SOUTH AFRICAN HERITAGE RESOURCES AGENCY 111 HARRINGTON STREET, CAPE TOWN, 8001 POX 4637, CAPE TOWN, 8000 TEL: 021 462 4502 FAX: 021 462 4509

FOR ATTENTION: SAHRA Northern Cape Office

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY:
File No.: 9/2/038/0001
Date received: 24 October 2005
Date of comment: 31 October 2005.
Sent to peer review:
Date to Peer Rev.:
SAHRA Contact Person.: Dr S Wurz

ARCHAEOLOGICAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

BY ARCHAEOLOGIST OF HERITAGE RESOURCES AGENCY

REVIEW COMMENT ON

South Africa has a unique and non-renewable archaeological heritage. Archaeological sites are protected in terms of the National Heritage Resources Act (Act No 25 of 1999) and may not be disturbed without a permit. Archaeological Impact Assessments (AIAs) identify and assess the significance of the sites, assess the potential impact of developments upon such sites, and make recommendations concerning mitigation and management of these sites. On the basis of satisfactory specialist reports SAHRA or the relevant heritage resources agency can assess whether or not it has objection to a development and indicate the conditions upon which such development might proceed and assess whether or not to issue permission to destroy such sites.

AlAs often form part of the heritage component of an Environmental Impact Assessment or Environmental Management Plan. They may also form part of a Heritage Impact Assessment called for in terms of section 38 of the National Heritage Resources Act, Act No. 25, 1999. They may have other origins. In any event they should comply with basic minimum standards of reporting as indicated in SAHRA Regulations and Guidelines.

This form provides review comment from the Archaeologist of the relevant heritage resources authority for use by Heritage Managers, for example, when informing authorities that have applied to SAHRA for comment and for inclusion in documentation sent to environmental authorities. It may be used in conjunction with Form B, which provides relevant peer review comment.

A.	PROVINCE: NORTHERN CAPE			
В.	REGIONAL MANAGER: Ms MOLEBIEMANG MARANDA			
C.	AUTHOR(S) OF REPORT: Ms K van Ryneveld, PO Box 316, Kimberley, 8300,			
	Karen@museumsnc.co.za			
D.	DATE OF REPORT: 23 October 2005			
E.	TITLE OF REPORT: Cultural Resources Management Impact Assessment: (Portions of) Ettrick 182,			
	Hopetown District, Northern Cape, South Africa			
F.	Please circle as relevant: Archaeological component of EIA / EMP / HIA / CMF Other (Specify)			
G.	REPORT COMMISSIONED BY (CONSULTANT/DEVELOPER): Basadi Ba Tlou and Diamrog			
H.	CONTACT DETAILS: Ida Murbanie & Marie Peterson, Basadi Ba Tlou, 90 Transvaal Rd, Kimberley,			
	8301, 072 1455; Justice Thekisho, Diamroq, 18857 Thesele Street, Galeshewe, Kimberley, 8301, 084			
	622 3494			
	COMMENTS: (Please find comment on separate sheet(s) attached.			
	Planse see comment on reast nace			
	Please see comment on next page			

REVIEW COMMENT ON ARCHAEOLOGICAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

K van Ryneveld October 2005, Received 24 October 2005

Cultural Resources Management Impact Assessment: (Portions of) Ettrick 182, Hopetown District, Northern Cape, South Africa

This report assesses the archaeological record on Ettrick 182, ETT1, ETT2 and ETT3 (4.2 ha), in the Hopetown District of the Northern Cape (1:50 000 map 2923 BD Torquay). The report indicates that the total of the proposed mining area comprises a Middle Stone Age site. The site has an approximate sub-surface depth of 30-40cm. Cores, formal tools (scrapers, points, convergent flakes, denticulates and notched scrapers, blades and flakes were identified. This site forms part of a much larger site that covers the surrounding hills.

It is recommended that the proposed pick and shovel and limited mechanised 4.2 ha mining development proceed as applied for since only a small portion of the site will be destroyed. However, prior to any extension of the development area, a thorough assessment of the larger site with an associated archaeological and/or management plan should be submitted.

The SAHRA Archaeology, Palaeontology and Meteorite unit supports these recommendations of the specialist and development may proceed in areas ETT1, ETT2 and ETT3.

NAME OF ARCHAEOLOGIST:	Mary Leslie
NAME OF ARCHAEOLOGIST: SIGNATURE OF ARCHAEOLOGIST:	Strus
	mleslie@sahra.org.za
NAME OF HERITAGE RESOURCES AGENCY:	1

PLEASE NOTE THAT THE COMMENT (ABOVE OR APPENDED) CONSTITUTES THE COMMENT OF THE HERITAGE RESOURCES AGENCY ARCHAEOLOGIST AND THAT ANY DEVELOPMENT THAT INVOLVES DESTRUCTION OF ANY ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE IS STILL SUBJECT TO A PERMIT/PERMISSION FOR DESTRUCTION OF SUCH SITE GIVEN TO THE DEVELOPER BY THE RELEVANT HERITAGE RESOURCES AGENCY ARCHAEOLOGICAL PERMIT COMMITTEE (THIS WILL BE SUBJECT TO APPROVAL OF THE PHASE 2 OR ARCHAEOLOGICAL MITIGATION AS NECESSARY). THIS REPORT MAY BE TAKEN ONLY AS APPROVAL, IN PRINCIPLE, IN TERMS OF SECTION 35 OF THE NATIONAL HERITAGE RESOURCES ACT. THE PROVINCIAL MANAGER OF THE HERITAGE RESOURCES AUTHORITY MUST ADVISE AS TO APPROVAL IN TERMS OF HERITAGE ISSUES ENCOMPASSED BY OTHER ASPECTS OF THE LEGISLATION, SUCH AS ISSUES OF THE BUILT ENVIRONMENT (STRUCTURES (E.G. FARM HOUSES), OVER 60 YEARS), INDIGENOUS KNOWLEDGE SYSTEMS OR OF CULTURAL LANDSCAPES AS THIS IS NOT WITHIN THE SCOPE OF THE ARCHAEOLOGIST.

PLEASE NOTE THAT SAHRA IS NOW RESPONSIBLE FOR GRADE I HERITAGE RESOURCES (AND EXPORT) AND THE PROVINCIAL HERITAGE RESOURCES ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR GRADE II AND GRADE III HERITAGE RESOURCES, EXCEPT WHERE THERE IS AN AGENCY ARRANGEMENT WITH THE PROVINCIAL HERITAGE RESOURCES AUTHORITY.