# PALAEONTOLOGICAL SPECIALIST STUDY

In terms of Section 38(8) of the NHRA

Palaeontological Impact Assessment for Proposed Solar Development on ERF 77 in Greenbushes, Port Elizabeth, Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality, Eastern Cape Province.

Prepared by

**Dewald Wilken** 

September 2022

#### THE INDEPENDENT PERSON WHO COMPILED A SPECIALIST REPORT OR UNDERTOOK A SPECIALIST PROCESS

I, Dewald Wilken, as the appointed independent specialist hereby declare that I:

• act/ed as the independent specialist in this application;

• regard the information contained in this report as it relates to my specialist input/study to be true and correct, and

• do not have and will not have any financial interest in the undertaking of the activity, other than remuneration for work performed in terms of the NEMA, the Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations, 2010 and any specific environmental management Act;

· have and will not have no vested interest in the proposed activity proceeding;

• have disclosed, to the applicant, EAP and competent authority, any material information that have or may have the potential to influence the decision of the competent authority or the objectivity of any report, plan or document required in terms of the NEMA, the Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations, 2014 (as amended) and any specific environmental management Act;

• am fully aware of and meet the responsibilities in terms of NEMA, the Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations, 2014 (specifically in terms of regulation 13 of GN No. R. 326) and any specific environmental management Act, and that failure to comply with these requirements may constitute and result in disqualification;

 have ensured that information containing all relevant facts in respect of the specialist input/study was distributed or made available to interested and affected parties and the public and that participation by interested and affected parties was facilitated in such a manner that all interested and affected parties were provided with a reasonable opportunity to participate and to provide comments on the specialist input/study;

• have ensured that the comments of all interested and affected parties on the specialist input/study were considered, recorded and submitted to the competent authority in respect of the application;

• have ensured that the names of all interested and affected parties that participated in terms of the specialist input/study were recorded in the register of interested and affected parties who participated in the public participation process;

• have provided the competent authority with access to all information at my disposal regarding the application, whether such information is favorable to the applicant or not; and

• am aware that a false declaration is an offence in terms of regulation 14 of GN No. R. 326.

Signed

Then Name

Dewald Wilken

Date 29 September 2022

1

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

A palaeontological impact assessment was requested for the proposed Solar Development on ERF 77 in Greenbushes, Port Elizabeth. A palaeontological impact assessment was conducted to comply with the South African Heritage Resources Agency (SAHRA) in terms of Section 38(8) of the National Heritage Resources Act, 1999 (Act No. 25 of 1999) (NHRA).

The proposed area is situated on the Peninsula Formation of the Table Mountain Group. This Formation was deposited during the Ordovician. The formation is identified as sensitive, however fossils in the formation are sparse and mostly consist of trace fossils. The footprint of the development is small and for that reason there is a very small chance that fossils could be discovered, damaged, or lost during the construction. For this reason, the project may proceed. If any fossil material should be uncovered during bush clearing, the Chance Fossil Find Procedure at the end of this document should be followed.

## CONTENTS

1.	Intro	oduction	4	
	1.1	Background Information on Project	4	
	.2	Study approach	5	
2.	Geo	ological and Paleontological context of the study area	5	
F	Peninsula Formation			
3.	Asse	essment of impact of the development	8	
4.	As	ssumptions and uncertainties	10	
5.	Con	clusion and Recommendations	10	
6.	Refe	erences	11	
/	Appendix 1			

#### 1. Introduction

#### 1.1 Background Information on Project

A palaeontological impact assessment was requested for the proposed Solar Development on ERF 77 in Greenbushes, Port Elizabeth. A palaeontological impact assessment was conducted to comply with the South African Heritage Resources Agency (SAHRA) in terms of Section 38(8) of the National Heritage Resources Act, 1999 (Act No. 25 of 1999) (NHRA).

Erf 77 lies on the corner of Blommelaan and Pennelsdrift weg in Greenbushes, Port Elizabeth (Figure 1). The entire site is underlain by the Ordovician Peninsula Formation of the Table Mountain Group (Figure 3).



Figure 1 Google Earth© satellite image Erf 77 in Greenbushes where a solar development is proposed, marked in yellow.



Figure 2 Palaeo-sensitivity Map. Adapted from <u>https://sahris.sahra.org.za/map/palaeo</u>. Indicating high fossil sensitivity underlying the study area in the Eastern Cape. Solar development marked in yellow with in the orange zonation.

#### 1.2 Study approach

This PIA report provides a record of the inferred palaeontological heritage resources within the study area. The identified resources have been assessed to evaluate their heritage significance in terms of the grading system outlined in Section 3 of the NHRA (Act 25 of 1999). Recommendations for specialist palaeontological mitigation are made where this is considered necessary. The report is based on (1) a review of the relevant scientific literature in the broader study region, (2) published geological maps and accompanying sheet explanations (*e.g.* Toerien, D.K. (1984)).

#### 2. Geological and Paleontological context of the study area

The following section will provide a basic review of the general geology and palaeontology in the study area. As summarised in

Table 1.

The Cape Supergroup rocks, divided into the Table Mountain, Bokkeveld and Witteberg Group, were deposited on a passive continental margin (Tankard et al., 1982) in a wide range of subaerial and subaqueous depositional environments (Johnson, 1991). This took place during the Late Cambrian to early Carboniferous time (520-340 million years). The deformation of the Cape Supergroup rocks took place during the Permian and Triassic time as a single phase, multiple event orogeny (278-215 million years) (Hälbich et al., 1983). This deformation is characterised by numerous folds (often verging to the North) and numerous thrust and reverse faults, the most significant one being the Baviaanskloof Thrust (Theron, 1969) that occurs to the north of the area of interest. Therefore, the Table Mountain group rocks in the area have been deformed significantly by faulting and folding which often makes the stratigraphic interpretation of these rocks rather difficult (Booth & Shone, 2002). The site is underlain by the Table Mountain Group. The formations within this group can be seen in Table 1 and Figure 4.



Figure 3 Geologic map underlying the study area in the Eastern Cape. proposed Solar Development on ERF 77 marked in yellow. (Op) Peninsula.

Ago	West of ~21°E		East of ~21°E		Lithology	Delessenvirenment
Age		Formation		Formation	Linology	Falaeoenvironment
Dev.	dno Mn	Rietvlei (200)	dnc	S-Db Baviaanskloof (200)	Sandstone (+ shale in the East)	Shallow Marine (+ offshore shelf in the East)
rian	Jardo ubgr	Skurweberg (300)	Jardo ubgr	(Ss) Skurweberg (400)	Sandstone (thick bedded)	Fluvial braid-plain, shallow marine
Silu	20	Goudini (200)	<u>ک</u> ک	(Sg) Goudini (300)	Sandstone (red-brown)	Shallow marine, fluvial braid-plain
		Cederberg (120)		(Oc) Cederberg (50)	Shale, Siltstone	Offshore shelf
U		Pakhuis (80)		~	Diamictite, Sandstone	Glacial
ovicia		Peninsula (2000)		(Op) Peninsula (2700)	Sandstone	Fluvial braid-plain, shallow marine
rde		Graafwater			Sandstone, Siltstone,	Distal fluvial, tidal flat,
0		(430)		(Os) Sardinia	Shale	shallow marine
		Piekenierskloof (900)		Bay (900?)	Sandstone, Conglomorate	Fluvial braid-plain

 Table 1 Explanation of symbols and summary of geology (thickness in m) and palaeontology in Figure 3, relevant formation marked in blue.



Figure 4 Representative sections of the Table Mountain Group. (Thomas & Johnson 2006)

Only the Peninsula formation is relevant for this study and will be briefly discussed.

#### **Peninsula Formation**

The Peninsula Formation is the main unit in the Cape Supergroup. It comprises of quartz arenites, with minor shales and conglomerates. The maximum thickness of this formation ranges from ~2700m in the east, to 2000m in the west (Thomas & Johnson 2006). The Peninsula Formation contains sparse fossils of freshwater, estuarine, shallow marine and coastal origin. These include eurypterid track ways and trilobite borrows (Cruziana and Skolithos ichnofacies). (Braddy & Almond 1999, Almond et al. 2009)

#### 3. Assessment of impact of the development

The area for the proposed Solar Development on ERF 77 in Greenbushes, is underlain by the Peninsula Formation of the Table Mountain Group. The Peninsula Formation is marked as highly sensitive in terms of palaeontology (Figure 2). However, fossils in this Formation are sparse. It is unlikely that any significant fossils will be found, damaged, or lost, during development granted that the chance fossil find procedure at the end of this document is followed.

	Pre-	Mitigation	Post-Mitigation	
Criteria	Category	Explanation	Category	Explanation
Overall Nature	Slightly Negative	Fossil find is highly unlikely	Slightly Negative	Fossil find is highly unlikely
Туре	Direct	The development will directly impact these resources	Direct	The development will directly impact these resources
Extent	Site (1)	Impact is limited to the Solar development only	Site (1)	Impact is limited to the Solar development only
Duration	Very short term (1)	The Palaeontology will only be impacted during excavation	Very short term (1)	The Palaeontology will only be impacted during excavation
Severity	Negative (0)	Fossil find is highly unlikely	Negative (0)	Fossil find is highly unlikely
Reversibility	Completely reversable (0)	If Fossil Find procedure is followed in case of fossil find.	Completely reversable (0)	If Fossil Find procedure is followed in case of fossil find.
Irreplaceable Loss	Resources may be partialy destroyed. (0.5)	Fossil find is highly unlikely. Impact will remain negligible if the Chance Fossil Find Procedure is followed in the case of any fossil finds.	Resources may be partialy destroyed. (0.5)	Fossil find is highly unlikely. Impact will remain negligible if the Chance Fossil Find Procedure is followed in the case of any fossil finds.
Probability	Unlikely (0)	Fossil find is highly unlikely	Unlikely (0)	Fossil find is highly unlikely
Mitigation Potential	High	If the Chance Fossil Find Procedure is followed in the case of any fossil finds.	High	If the Chance Fossil Find Procedure is followed in the case of any fossil finds.
Impact Significance	Negligible	Fossil find is highly unlikely	Negligible	Fossil find is highly unlikely
Overall significance	Negligible		Negligible	

Table 2. Impact Assessment Criteria pre and post Mitigation

Table 3. Assessment criteria on the NO GO option.

	No Go			
Criteria	Category	Explanation		
Overall Nature	Negative	No fossils will be discovered.		
Туре	Direct	The will be no impact		
Extent	Site (0)	No development negates the possibility of finding Fossils.		
Duration	Very short term (0)	No development negates the possibility of finding Fossils.		
Severity	Negative (0)	No development negates the possibility of finding Fossils.		
Reversibility	Completely reversable (0)	No development negates the possibility of finding Fossils.		
Irreplaceable Loss	Resources will not be lost. (0)	But resources can also not be discovered.		
Probability	Unlikely (0)	Fossil find is highly unlikely		
Mitigation Potential	High	No mitigation required.		
Impact Significance	Negligible	No development negates the possibility of finding Fossils.		
Overall significance	Negligible			

#### 4. Assumptions and uncertainties

Based on the palaeontological record and the geology of the area, it is assumed that the Peninsula Formation, although sensitive, contains only sparse fossils.

"The key assumption for this scoping study is that the existing geological maps and datasets used to assess site sensitivity are correct and reliable. However, the geological maps used were not intended for fine scale planning work and are largely based on aerial photographs alone, without ground-truthing. There is also an inadequate database for fossil heritage for much of the RSA, due to the small number of professional palaeontologist carrying out fieldwork in RSA. Most development study areas have never been surveyed by a palaeontologist.

These factors may have a major influence on the assessment of the fossil heritage significance of a given development and without supporting field assessments may lead to either:

- an underestimation of the palaeontological significance of a given study area due to ignorance of significant recorded or unrecorded fossils preserved there, or
- an overestimation of the palaeontological sensitivity of a study area, for example when originally rich fossil assemblages inferred from geological maps have in fact been destroyed by weathering or are buried beneath a thick mantle of unfossiliferous "drift" (soil, alluvium etc.)." Groenewald (2016)

#### 5. Conclusion and Recommendations

The area is underlain by the Peninsula Formation of the Table Mountain, this Formation is classified as sensitive, however fossils, consisting mostly of trace fossils, are sparse. The proposed development in question has a small footprint, and it is unlikely that fossils will be discovered, damaged, or lost during its construction. The chance fossil find procedure should be followed in the unlikely event of a fossil find.

Should important new fossil remains be found the finder should alert ECPHRA (*i.e.* The Eastern Cape Provincial Heritage Resources Authority. Contact details: Ms. Ayanda MaMncwabe Mama 74 Alexander Road, King Williams Town 5600; <u>amncwabe@gmail.com</u>) as soon as possible. This is so that appropriate action can be taken in good time by a professional palaeontologist at the developer's expense. Palaeontological mitigation would normally involve the scientific recording and judicious sampling or collection of fossil material as well as of associated geological data (*e.g.* stratigraphy, sedimentology, taphonomy). The palaeontologist concerned with mitigation work will need a valid fossil collection permit from ECPHRA and any material collected would have to be curated in an approved depository (*e.g.* museum or university collection). All palaeontological specialist work should conform to international best practice for palaeontological fieldwork and the study (*e.g.* data recording fossil collection and curation, final report) should adhere as far as possible to the minimum standards for Phase 2 palaeontological studies recently developed by SAHRA (2013). These recommendations are summarized in tabular form in Appendix 1 (Chance Fossil Finds Procedure) and should be incorporated into the Environmental Management Program (EMPr) for the proposed development.

#### 6. References

Gabbott, S.E., Browning, C., Theron, J.N., & Whittle, R.J., The late Ordovician Soom Shale Lagerstätte: an extraordinary post-glacial fossil sedimentary record. 2017. Journal of the geological Society 174 pp. 1-9

MacRae, C. Life etched in stone: Fossils of South Africa, 1999. United Kingdom/Geological Society of South Africa. 305pp.

Thomas, A. G., Johnson, M.R. (2006). The Cape Supergroup. In: Johnson, M.R., Anhaeusser, C.R. and Thomas, R.J., (Eds.), The Geology of South Africa, Johannesburg/Councel for Geoscience, Pretoria, 443-460 pp.

Viljoen, W.P. (1979) Geological Map of the Oudtshoorn Area, Sheet 3322 Geological Survey of South Africa, 1:250000 Series

Booth, P.W.K & Shone, R.W. (2002) A review of thrust faulting in the eastern Cape Fold Belt, South Africa, and the implications for current lithostratigraphic interpretations of the Cape Supergroup. J. Afr. Earth Sci., 34, 179--190

Hälbich, I.W., Fitch, F.J. & Miller, J.A. (1983) Dating the Cape Orogeny. In: Söhnge, A.P.G. & Hälbich, I.W. (Eds.), Geodynamics of the Cape Fold Belt. Spec. Publ. Geol. Soc. S. Afr., 12. 75-101.

Johnson, M.R. (1991). Sandstone petrography, provenance and plate tectonic setting in Gondwana context of the southeastern Cape- Karoo Basin. S. Afr. J. Geol., 94(2/3), 137-154.

Tankard, A.J., Jackson, M.P.A., Eriksson, K.A., Hobday, D.K., Hunter, D.R> & Minter, W.E.L. (1982). 3.5 Billion Years of Crustal Evolution in Southern Africa. Springer Verlag, New York, 523pp.

Theron, J.N. (1969). The Baviaanskloof Range – a South African nappe. Trans. Geol. Soc. S. Afr., 72, 29-30.

Rust, I.C. 1967. On the sedimentation of the Table Mountain Group in the Western Cape province. Unpublished PhD thesis, University of Stellenbosch, South Africa, 110 pp.

Braddy, S.J., Almond J.E. 1999 Eurypterid trackways from the Table Mountain Group (Ordovician) of South Africa, Journal of African Earth Science vol 29(1),165-177

Almond, J.E., de Klerk, B., Gess, R Palaeontological heritage of the Eastern Cape. 2009

#### Appendix 1

### **Chance Fossil Finds Procedure**

(Adopted from the HWC Chance Fossils Finds Procedure: June 2016)

#### Introduction

This document is aimed to inform workmen and foremen working on a construction and/or mining site. It describes the procedure to follow in instances of accidental discovery of palaeontological material (please see attached poster with descriptions of palaeontological material) during construction/mining activities. This protocol does not apply to resources already identified under an assessment undertaken under s. 38 of the National Heritage Resources Act (no 25 of 1999).

Fossils are rare and irreplaceable. Fossils tell us about the environmental conditions that existed in a specific geographical area millions of years ago. As heritage resources that inform us of the history of a place, fossils are public property that the State is required to manage and conserve on behalf of all the citizens of South Africa. Fossils are therefore protected by the National Heritage Resources Act and are the property of the State. Ideally, a qualified person should be responsible for the recovery of fossils noticed during construction/mining to ensure that all relevant contextual inFormation is recorded.

Heritage Authorities often rely on workmen and foremen to report finds, and thereby contribute to our knowledge of South Africa's past and contribute to its conservation for future generations.

#### Training

Workmen and foremen need to be trained in the procedure to follow in instances of accidental discovery of fossil material, in a similar way to the Health and Safety protocol. A brief introduction to the process to follow in the event of possible accidental discovery of fossils should be conducted by the designated Environmental Control Officer (ECO) for the project, or the foreman or site agent in the absence of the ECO It is recommended that copies of the attached poster and procedure are printed out and displayed at the site office so that workmen may familiarise themselves with them and are thereby prepared in the event that accidental discovery of fossil material takes place.

#### Actions to be taken

One person in the staff must be identified and appointed as responsible for the implementation of the attached protocol in instances of accidental fossil discovery and must report to the ECO or site agent. If the ECO or site agent is not present on site, then the responsible person on site should follow the protocol correctly in order to not jeopardize the conservation and well-being of the fossil material. Once a workman notices possible fossil material, he/she should report this to the ECO or site agent.

#### Procedure to follow if it is likely that the material identified is a fossil:

- The ECO or site agent must ensure that all work ceases immediately in the vicinity of the area where the fossil or fossils have been found;
- The ECO or site agent must inform SAHRA of the find immediately. This inFormation must include photographs of the findings and GPS co-ordinates;

- The ECO or site agent must compile a Preliminary Report and fill in the attached Fossil Discoveries: Preliminary Record Form within 24 hours without removing the fossil from its original position. The Preliminary Report records basic information about the find including:
  - o The date
  - A description of the discovery
  - o A description of the fossil and its context (e.g. position and depth of find)
  - Where and how the find has been stored
  - Photographs to accompany the preliminary report (the more the better):
  - o A scale must be used
  - Photos of location from several angles
  - o Photos of vertical section should be provided
  - o Digital images of hole showing vertical section (side);
  - Digital images of fossil or fossils.

Upon receipt of this Preliminary Report, SAHRA will inform the ECO or site agent whether or not a rescue excavation or rescue collection by a palaeontologist is necessary.

- Exposed finds must be stabilised where they are unstable and the site capped, e.g. with a plastic sheet or sandbags. This protection should allow for the later excavation of the finds with due scientific care and diligence. SAHRA can advise on the most appropriate method for stabilisation.
- If the find cannot be stabilised, the fossil may be collected with extreme care by the ECO or the site agent and put
  aside and protected until SAHRA advises on further action. Finds collected in this way must be safely and securely
  stored in tissue paper and an appropriate box. Care must be taken to remove all the fossil material and any
  breakage of fossil material must be avoided at all costs.

# No work may continue in the vicinity of the find until SAHRA has indicated, in writing, that it is appropriate to proceed.

FOSSIL DISCOVERIES: PRELIMINARY RECORDING FORM				
Name of project:				
Name of fossil location:				
Date of discovery:				
Description of situation in which the fossil was found:				
Description of context in which the fossil was found:				
Description and condition of fossil identified:				
GPS coordinates:	Lat:	Long:		
If no co-ordinates available then please describe the location:				
Time of discovery:				
Depth of find in hole				
Photographs (tick as appropriate and indicate number of the photograph)	Digital image of vertical section (side)			
	Fossil from different angles			
	Wider context of the find			
Wider context of the find. Temporary storage (where it is located and how it is conserved)				
Person identifying the fossil Name:				
Contact:				
Recorder Name:				
Contact:				
Photographer Name:				
Contact:				