

**CULTURAL HERITAGE IMPACT ASSESSMENT
OF THE PROPOSED 11HA MIXED-USE
DEVELOPMENT ON PORTION 361 (OF 25) OF
THE FARM UITKOMST AND DOORNRUG NO 852,
NEAR HAMMARSDALE, KWAZULU-NATAL.**



ACTIVE HERITAGE cc.

Frans Prins
MA (Archaeology)

P.O. Box 947
Howick
3290

activeheritage@gmail.com
Fax: 086 7636380

14 December 2012

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	BACKGROUND INFORMATION ON THE PROJECT	1
2	BACKGROUND INFORMATION OF THE SURVEY	3
2.1	Methodology	3
2.2	Restrictions encountered during the survey	3
2.2.1	<i>Visibility</i>	3
2.2.2	<i>Disturbance</i>	3
2.3	Details of equipment used in the survey.....	3
3	DESCRIPTION OF SITES AND MATERIAL OBSERVED	4
3.1	Locational data	4
3.2	Description of the general area surveyed.....	4
3.3	Heritage sites identified.....	4
4	STATEMENT OF SIGNIFICANCE (HERITAGE VALUE)	4
4.1	Field Rating.....	4
5	RECOMMENDATIONS	5
6	MAPS AND FIGURES	6
7	REFERENCES	9

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.	Background information.....	1
Table 2.	Field rating and recommended grading of sites (SAHRA 2005)	4

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

EIA	Early Iron Age
ESA	Early Stone Age
HISTORIC PERIOD	Since the arrival of the white settlers - c. AD 1820 in this part of the country
IRON AGE	Early Iron Age AD 200 - AD 1000 Late Iron Age AD 1000 - AD 1830
LIA	Late Iron Age
LSA	Late Stone Age
MSA	Middle Stone Age
NEMA	National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998 and associated regulations (2006).
NHRA	National Heritage Resources Act, 1999 (Act No. 25 of 1999) and associated regulations (2000)
SAHRA	South African Heritage Resources Agency
STONE AGE	Early Stone Age 2 000 000 - 250 000 BP Middle Stone Age 250 000 - 25 000 BP Late Stone Age 30 000 - until c. AD 200

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A cultural heritage survey of the proposed 11 ha mixed-use development on Portion 361 (of 25) of the Farm Uitkomst and Doornrug no 852, near Hammarsdale identified no heritage sites or features. There is no archaeological reason why the proposed development on these properties may not proceed as planned. However, attention is drawn to the South African Heritage Resources Act, 1999 (Act No. 25 of 1999) and the KwaZulu-Natal Heritage Act (Act no 4 of 2008) which, requires that operations that expose archaeological or historical remains should cease immediately, pending evaluation by the provincial heritage agency.

1 BACKGROUND INFORMATION ON THE PROJECT

Table 1. Background information

Consultant:	Frans Prins (Active Heritage) for Green Door Environmental
Type of development:	The Applicant proposes to establish an 11 ha Mixed-Use Development on Portion 361 (of 25) of the Farm Uitkomst and Doornrug No. 852, located near to Hammarsdale, KwaZulu- Natal. The development will include light industrial, commercial and residential development.
Rezoning or subdivision:	Subdivision
Terms of reference	To carry out a Heritage Impact Assessment
Legislative requirements:	The Heritage Impact Assessment was carried out in terms of the National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998) (NEMA) and following the requirements of the National Heritage Resources Act, 1999 (Act No. 25 of 1999) (NHRA) and the KwaZulu-Natal Heritage Act, 1997 (Act No. 4 of 2008)

1.1. Details of the area surveyed:

The proposed mixed-use development consists of two plots situated adjacent to Harrison Street and the Mr 385 (Uitkomst Road) respectively. The plots are situated approximately 4.5km south of Cato Ridge at a distance of 300m from each other (Fig 1). The GPS co-ordinates for the two plots are: S 29° 46' 26.91" E 30° 36' 25.44" and S 29° 46' 49.63" E 30° 36' 35.71". Plot 1 (situated adjacent to a dirt track called Harrison Street) consists of an exotic Eucalyptus woodlot with no structures or buildings situated on the property (Fig 3). The second plot (situated adjacent to the Mr

385) consists of disturbed grassland with no infrastructure on the actual footprint (Fig 4).

BACKGROUND TO ARCHAEOLOGICAL HISTORY OF AREA

The greater Hammarsdale area is relatively well covered by archaeological surveys conducted by members of the then Natal Museum in the 1960's and 1970's. The available evidence, as captured in the KwaZulu-Natal Museum heritage site inventories, indicates that the area contains mostly Early Stone Age material, i.e. eighteen sites. Most of these sites are situated close to water, such as the Umngeni River, in open air context. Seven sites contain material indicative of the transition between Early Stone Age and Middle Stone Age period. One Later Stone Age site is known from the area and one Later Iron Age Site. However, a large number of Early Iron Age sites, i.e. twenty, have been located by members of the then Natal Museum in the adjacent Mngeni Valley. Various buildings and farmsteads belonging to the Victorian and Edwardian periods occur in the area. Some of the old trading store buildings and churches in the adjacent Camperdown area are also older than 60 years. These would also be protected by heritage legislation (Derwent 2006).

Stone Age sites of all the main periods and cultural traditions occur within the greater Hammarsdale area. Most of these occur in open air contexts as exposed by donga and sheet erosion. The occurrence of Early Stone Age tools in the near vicinity of permanent water resources, such as the Umngeni River, is typical of this tradition. These tools were most probably made by early hominins such as *Homo erectus* or *Homo ergaster*. Based on typological criteria they most probably date back to between 300 000 and 1.7 million years ago. The presence of the first anatomically modern people (i.e. *Homo sapiens sapiens*) in the area is indicated by the presence of a few Middle Stone Age blades and flakes. These most probably dates back to between 40 000 and 200 000 years ago. The later Stone Age flakes identified in the area are associated with the San (Bushmen) and their direct ancestors. These most probably dates back to between 200 and 20 000 years ago.

The San were the owners of the land for almost 30 000 years but the local demography started to change soon after 2000 years ago when the first Bantu-speaking farmers crossed the Limpopo River and arrived in South Africa (Mitchell 2002). By 1500 years ago these early Bantu-speaking farmers also settled adjacent to the Umngeni River to the immediate north of Hammarsdale. Due to the fact that these first farmers introduced metal technology to southern Africa they are designated as the Early Iron Age in archaeological literature. Their distinct ceramic pottery is classified to styles known as "Msuluzi" (AD 500-700), Ndongondwane (AD 700-800) and Ntshekane (AD 800-900). Most of the Early Iron Age sites in KwaZulu-Natal belong to these traditions (Maggs 1989:31; Huffman 2007:325-462). These sites characteristically occur on alluvial or colluvial soil adjacent to large rivers below the

1000m contour. The Early Iron Age farmers originally came from western Africa and brought with them an elaborate initiation complex and a value system centred on the central significance of cattle.

Later Iron Age sites also occur in this area. These were Bantu-speaking agropastoralists who arrived in southern Africa after 1000 year ago via East Africa. Later Iron Age communities in KwaZulu-Natal were the direct ancestors of the Zulu people (Huffman 2007). The larger Umngeni Valley area was inhabited by various Nguni-speaking groups such as the Dlanyawo, Nyavu and Njilo, in the beginning of the 19th century (Bryant 1965; Wright 1988). With the exception of the Nyavu who remained fiercely independent most of these communities were incorporated into the Zulu Kingdom of Shaka in the 1820's. After the Anglo-Zulu war of 1879 and the Bambatha Rebellion of 1911 almost all the African people in the study area adopted a Zulu ethnic identity.

2 BACKGROUND INFORMATION OF THE SURVEY

2.1 Methodology

A desktop study was conducted of the archaeological databases housed in the KwaZulu-Natal Museum. In addition, the available archaeological literature covering the area was also consulted.

A ground survey, following standard and accepted archaeological procedures, was conducted.

2.2 Restrictions encountered during the survey

2.2.1 Visibility

Visibility was good.

2.2.2 Disturbance

No disturbance of any potential heritage features was noted.

2.3 Details of equipment used in the survey

GPS: Garmin Etrek

Digital cameras: Canon Powershot A460

All readings were taken using the GPS. Accuracy was to a level of 5 m.

3 DESCRIPTION OF SITES AND MATERIAL OBSERVED

3.1 Locational data

Province: KwaZulu-Natal
Municipality: eThekweni Municipality
Towns: Hammarsdale

3.2 Description of the general area surveyed

Although the area is potentially rich in Iron Age and Stone Age sites no heritage sites or features were observed on the actual footprint. Special care was also taken to survey the area for graves of farm labourers and occupants. However, no grave sites were identified in the immediate environs of the proposed development.

3.3 Heritage sites identified

None

4 STATEMENT OF SIGNIFICANCE (HERITAGE VALUE)

Not applicable as no heritage sites were identified.

4.1 Field Rating

Not applicable as no heritage sites were identified.

Table 2. Field rating and recommended grading of sites (SAHRA 2005)

Level	Details	Action
National (Grade I)	The site is considered to be of National Significance	Nominated to be declared by SAHRA
Provincial (Grade II)	This site is considered to be of Provincial significance	Nominated to be declared by Provincial Heritage Authority
Local Grade IIIA	This site is considered to be of HIGH significance locally	The site should be retained as a heritage site
Local Grade IIIB	This site is considered to be of HIGH significance locally	The site should be mitigated, and part retained as a heritage site
Generally Protected A	High to medium significance	Mitigation necessary before destruction
Generally Protected B	Medium significance	The site needs to be recorded before destruction
Generally Protected C	Low significance	No further recording is required before destruction

5 RECOMMENDATIONS

The proposed mixed-use development on the property may proceed from an archaeological point of view as no heritage sites or features are in danger of being destroyed or altered. It should, however, be pointed out that the KwaZulu-Natal Heritage Act requires that operations exposing archaeological and historical residues should cease immediately pending an evaluation by the heritage authorities.

6 MAPS AND FIGURES




Figure 1. Google aerial photograph showing the location of the study area near Hammarsdale, KwaZulu-Natal



Figure 2. Plot 1 consists of an exotic Eucalyptus woodlot. There are no heritage sites on this property.



Figure 3. Plot 2 consists of disturbed grassland. Plot 1 (Eucalyptus woodlot) is visible in the distance. There are no heritage sites on these properties.

7 REFERENCES

Bryant, A. T. 1965. *Olden times in Zululand and Natal*. Cape Town: C. Struik.

Derwent, S. 2006. *KwaZulu-Natal Heritage Sites: A Guide to Some Great Places*. David Phillips: Cape Town

Huffman, T. N. 2007. *Handbook to the Iron Age: The Archaeology of Pre-colonial Farming Societies in Southern Africa*. University of KwaZulu-Natal Press. Pietermaritzburg.

Maggs, T. The Iron Age farming communities. In Duminy, A. and Guest, B. 1989. *Natal and Zululand: from Earliest Times to 1910. A New History*. Pg. 28-46. University of Natal Press. Pietermaritzburg.

Mitchell, P. 2002. *The Archaeology of Southern Africa*. Cambridge University Press: Cambridge

SAHRA, 2005. *Minimum Standards For The Archaeological And The Palaeontological Components Of Impact Assessment Reports, Draft version 1.4*.

