

**Cultural heritage impact assessment for
THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE PROPOSED BOITSHOKO SOLAR POWER PLANT
ON THE REMAINING EXTENT OF PORTION 1 OF THE FARM LIMEBANK NO 471
REGISTRATION DIVISION KURUMAN, NORTHERN CAPE PROVINCE**

CULTURAL HERITAGE IMPACT ASSESSMENT FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE PROPOSED BOITSHOKO SOLAR POWER PLANT ON THE REMAINING EXTENT OF PORTION 1 OF THE FARM LIMEBANK NO 471 REGISTRATION DIVISION KURUMAN, NORTHERN CAPE PROVINCE

Report No: 2016/JvS/026
Status: Final
Revision No: 0
Date: March 2016

Prepared for:

Protea Solar Power Plant (RF) (Pty) Ltd
Representative: Mr D Berlijn

Postal Address: PO Box 785553, Sandton, 2146
Tel: 072 742 488 488
E-mail: berlijn@subsolar.co.za

Prepared by:

J van Schalkwyk (D Litt et Phil), Heritage Consultant
ASAPA Registration No.: 164
Principal Investigator: Iron Age, Colonial Period, Industrial Heritage

Postal Address: 62 Coetzer Avenue, Monument Park, 0181
Mobile: 076 790 6777
Fax: 086 611 3902
E-mail: jvschalkwyk@mweb.co.za

Copy Right:

This report is confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed or to whom it was meant to be addressed. It is provided solely for the purposes set out in it and may not, in whole or in part, be used for any other purpose or by a third party, without the author's prior written consent.

Declaration:

I, J.A. van Schalkwyk, declare that I do not have any financial or personal interest in the proposed development, nor its developers or any of their subsidiaries, apart from the provision of heritage assessment and management services, for which a fair remuneration is charged.



J A van Schalkwyk (D Litt et Phil)
Heritage Consultant
March 2016

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

CULTURAL HERITAGE IMPACT ASSESSMENT FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE PROPOSED BOITSHOKO SOLAR POWER PLANT ON THE REMAINING EXTENT OF PORTION 1 OF THE FARM LIMEBANK NO 471 REGISTRATION DIVISION KURUMAN, NORTHERN CAPE PROVINCE

Boitshoko Solar Power Plant (RF) (Pty) Ltd intends to develop a solar power plant and associated infrastructure on the farm Limebank 471 in the Gamagara Local Municipality area of Northern Cape Province.

In accordance with Section 38 of the NHRA, an independent heritage consultant was appointed by Boitshoko Solar Power Plant (RF) (Pty) Ltd to conduct a cultural heritage assessment to determine if the proposed development would have an impact on any sites, features or objects of cultural heritage significance.

The cultural landscape qualities of the region is made up of a pre-colonial element consisting of limited Stone Age occupation, as well as a much later colonial (farmer) component, which gave rise to an urban component.

Identified sites

- A number of stone tools dating to the Fauresmith assemblage and Middle Stone Age were identified on the rim of a small pan-like depression occurring adjacent to the old farmstead. The density is approximately 1 stone tool/5m² (see Appendix 5).
- Small informal burial place was identified. As the site is very much overgrown, it is difficult to establish the correct number of graves, although there might be as many as five. The graves are all only marked with packed stones, although one seems to have been fenced off in the past.

Impact assessment

Impact analysis of cultural heritage resources under threat of the proposed development, is based on the present understanding of the development.

- (7.3.1.1) A number of stone tools dating to the Fauresmith assemblage and Middle Stone Age were identified on the rim of a small pan-like depression (see Appendix 5).
 - Based on current understanding of the proposed development, this site is located outside the development area and would therefore not be impacted on by the solar plant development.
- (7.3.3.1) A single informal burial site with at least five graves was identified in the development area (see Appendix 5).
 - As it is located inside the study area it is anticipated that it eventually would be impacted on by the solar plant development.

Reasoned opinion as to whether the proposed activity should be authorised:

- From a heritage point of view it is recommended that the proposed development be allowed to continue.

Conditions for inclusion in the environmental authorisation:

- It is recommended that the burial site is retained and it should be permanently fenced off, leaving a buffer zone of at least five metres from the outer edge of the graves. If the graves cannot be retained, it should be relocated, but only on condition of following the correct procedures (see Appendix 3).
- Should archaeological sites or graves be exposed during construction work, it must immediately be reported to a heritage practitioner so that an investigation and evaluation of the finds can be made.



J A van Schalkwyk
Heritage Consultant
March 2016

TECHNICAL SUMMARY

Property details						
Province	Northern Cape					
Magisterial district	Kuruman					
Local municipality	Gamagara					
Topo-cadastral map	2722DB					
Farm name	Limebank 471					
Closest town	Kathu					
Coordinates	Centre point					
	No	Latitude	Longitude	No	Latitude	Longitude
	1	S 27.61432	E 22.95034			

Development criteria in terms of Section 38(1) of the NHR Act	Yes/No
Construction of road, wall, power line, pipeline, canal or other linear form of development or barrier exceeding 300m in length	No
Construction of bridge or similar structure exceeding 50m in length	No
Development exceeding 5000 sq m	Yes
Development involving three or more existing erven or subdivisions	No
Development involving three or more erven or divisions that have been consolidated within past five years	No
Rezoning of site exceeding 10 000 sq m	Yes
Any other development category, public open space, squares, parks, recreation grounds	No

Development	
Description	Development of a solar power plant
Project name	Boitsoko Power Plant (RF) (Pty) Ltd

Land use	
Previous land use	Farming
Current land use	Farming

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	II
TECHNICAL SUMMARY	IV
TABLE OF CONTENTS	V
LIST OF FIGURES.....	V
GLOSSARY OF TERMS AND ABBREVIATIONS.....	VI
1. INTRODUCTION.....	1
2. TERMS OF REFERENCE	1
3. HERITAGE RESOURCES.....	2
4. STUDY APPROACH AND METHODOLOGY	4
5. SITE SIGNIFICANCE AND ASSESSMENT	5
6. PROJECT DESCRIPTION.....	7
7. DESCRIPTION OF THE AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT	8
8. MANAGEMENT MEASURES	12
9. RECOMMENDATIONS.....	13
10. REFERENCES.....	15
APPENDIX 1: CONVENTIONS USED TO ASSESS THE SIGNIFICANCE OF HERITAGE RESOURCES	17
APPENDIX 2. RELEVANT LEGISLATION	18
APPENDIX 3. RELOCATION OF GRAVES	20
APPENDIX 4. SPECIALIST COMPETENCY.....	21
APPENDIX 5: INVENTORY OF IDENTIFIED CULTURAL HERITAGE SITES.....	22

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Fig. 1. Map indicating the track log of the field survey.....	5
Fig. 2. Location of the study area (red outline) in regional context.....	7
Fig. 3. Layout of the proposed development.	8
Fig. 4. Views over the study area.....	9
Fig. 5. Location of the identified sites.....	11

GLOSSARY OF TERMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

TERMS

Study area: Refers to the entire study area as indicated by the client in the accompanying Fig. 1 - 2.

Stone Age: The first and longest part of human history is the Stone Age, which began with the appearance of early humans between 3-2 million years ago. Stone Age people were hunters, gatherers and scavengers who did not live in permanently settled communities. Their stone tools preserve well and are found in most places in South Africa and elsewhere.

Early Stone Age	2 000 000 - 150 000 Before Present
Middle Stone Age	150 000 - 30 000 BP
Later Stone Age	30 000 - until c. AD 200

Iron Age: Period covering the last 1800 years, when new people brought a new way of life to southern Africa. They established settled villages, cultivated domestic crops such as sorghum, millet and beans, and they herded cattle as well as sheep and goats. As they produced their own iron tools, archaeologists call this the Iron Age.

Early Iron Age	AD 200 - AD 900
Middle Iron Age	AD 900 - AD 1300
Late Iron Age	AD 1300 - AD 1830

Historical Period: Since the arrival of the white settlers - c. AD 1840 - in this part of the country.

ABBREVIATIONS

ADRC	Archaeological Data Recording Centre
ASAPA	Association of Southern African Professional Archaeologists
CS-G	Chief Surveyor-General
EIA	Early Iron Age
ESA	Early Stone Age
LIA	Late Iron Age
LSA	Later Stone Age
HIA	Heritage Impact Assessment
MSA	Middle Stone Age
NASA	National Archives of South Africa
NHRA	National Heritage Resources Act
PHRA	Provincial Heritage Resources Agency
SAHRA	South African Heritage Resources Agency

CULTURAL HERITAGE IMPACT ASSESSMENT FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE PROPOSED BOITSHOKO SOLAR POWER PLANT ON THE REMAINING EXTENT OF PORTION 1 OF THE FARM LIMEBANK NO 471 REGISTRATION DIVISION KURUMAN, NORTHERN CAPE PROVINCE

1. INTRODUCTION

Boitshoko Solar Power Plant (RF) (Pty) Ltd intends to develop a solar power plant and associated infrastructure on the farm Limebank 471 in the Gamagara Local Municipality area of Northern Cape Province.

South Africa's heritage resources, also described as the 'national estate', comprise a wide range of sites, features, objects and beliefs. However, according to Section 27(18) of the National Heritage Resources Act (NHRA), No. 25 of 1999, no person may destroy, damage, deface, excavate, alter, remove from its original position, subdivide or change the planning status of any heritage site without a permit issued by the heritage resources authority responsible for the protection of such site.

In accordance with Section 38 of the NHRA, an independent heritage consultant was appointed by Boitshoko Solar Power Plant (RF) (Pty) Ltd to conduct a cultural heritage assessment to determine if the proposed development would have an impact on any sites, features or objects of cultural heritage significance.

This report forms part of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) as required by the EIA Regulations in terms of the National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998) as amended and is intended for submission to the South African Heritage Resources Agency (SAHRA).

2. TERMS OF REFERENCE

The aim of a full HIA investigation is to provide an informed heritage-related opinion about the proposed development by an appropriate heritage specialist. The objectives are to identify heritage resources (involving site inspections, existing heritage data and additional heritage specialists if necessary); assess their significances; assess alternatives in order to promote heritage conservation issues; and to assess the acceptability of the proposed development from a heritage perspective.

The result of this investigation is a heritage impact assessment report indicating the presence/ absence of heritage resources and how to manage them in the context of the proposed development.

Depending on SAHRA's acceptance of this report, the developer will receive permission to proceed with the proposed development, on condition of successful implementation of proposed mitigation measures.

2.1 Scope of work

The aim of this study is to determine if any sites, features or objects of cultural heritage significance occur within the boundaries of the area where the solar power plant is to be developed.

This includes:

- Conducting a desk-top investigation of the area;
- A visit to the proposed development site,

The objectives were to:

- Identify possible archaeological, cultural and historic sites within the proposed development areas;
- Evaluate the potential impacts of construction, operation and maintenance of the proposed development on archaeological, cultural and historical resources;
- Recommend mitigation measures to ameliorate any negative impacts on areas of archaeological, cultural or historical importance.

The scope of the assessment included the PV Solar Energy Facility and its associated structures and infrastructure (such as the power line and access route). The impacts associated with the power line and access route that run beyond the site are considered to be negligible since the actual footprints of disturbance of the power lines is confined to the pylon bases. Furthermore, the power line and access route are aligned with existing roads as far as possible to avoid any negative environmental impacts.

2.2 Limitations

The investigation has been influenced by the following factors:

- It is assumed that the description of the proposed project, provided by the client, is accurate.
- No subsurface investigation (i.e. excavations or sampling) were undertaken, since a permit from SAHRA is required for such activities.
- It is assumed that the public consultation process undertaken as part of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) is sufficient and that it does not have to be repeated as part of the heritage impact assessment.
- The unpredictability of buried archaeological remains.
- This report does not consider the palaeontological potential of the site.

3. HERITAGE RESOURCES

3.1 The National Estate

The NHRA (No. 25 of 1999) defines the heritage resources of South Africa which are of cultural significance or other special value for the present community and for future generations that must be considered part of the national estate to include:

- places, buildings, structures and equipment of cultural significance;
- places to which oral traditions are attached or which are associated with living heritage;
- historical settlements and townscapes;
- landscapes and natural features of cultural significance;
- geological sites of scientific or cultural importance;
- archaeological and palaeontological sites;
- graves and burial grounds, including-
 - ancestral graves;
 - royal graves and graves of traditional leaders;
 - graves of victims of conflict;
 - graves of individuals designated by the Minister by notice in the Gazette;

- historical graves and cemeteries; and
 - other human remains which are not covered in terms of the Human Tissue Act, 1983 (Act No. 65 of 1983);
- sites of significance relating to the history of slavery in South Africa;
- movable objects, including-
 - objects recovered from the soil or waters of South Africa, including archaeological and palaeontological objects and material, meteorites and rare geological specimens;
 - objects to which oral traditions are attached or which are associated with living heritage;
 - ethnographic art and objects;
 - military objects;
 - objects of decorative or fine art;
 - objects of scientific or technological interest; and
 - books, records, documents, photographic positives and negatives, graphic, film or video material or sound recordings, excluding those that are public records as defined in section 1(xiv) of the National Archives of South Africa Act, 1996 (Act No. 43 of 1996).

3.2 Cultural significance

In the NHRA, Section 2 (vi), it is stated that “cultural significance” means aesthetic, architectural, historical, scientific, social, spiritual, linguistic or technological value or significance. This is determined in relation to a site or feature’s uniqueness, condition of preservation and research potential.

According to Section 3(3) of the NHRA, a place or object is to be considered part of the national estate if it has cultural significance or other special value because of

- its importance in the community, or pattern of South Africa's history;
- its possession of uncommon, rare or endangered aspects of South Africa's natural or cultural heritage;
- its potential to yield information that will contribute to an understanding of South Africa's natural or cultural heritage;
- its importance in demonstrating the principal characteristics of a particular class of South Africa's natural or cultural places or objects;
- its importance in exhibiting particular aesthetic characteristics valued by a community or cultural group;
- its importance in demonstrating a high degree of creative or technical achievement at a particular period;
- its strong or special association with a particular community or cultural group for social, cultural or spiritual reasons;
- its strong or special association with the life or work of a person, group or organisation of importance in the history of South Africa; and
- sites of significance relating to the history of slavery in South Africa.

A matrix was developed whereby the above criteria were applied for the determination of the significance of each identified site (see Appendix 1). This allowed some form of control over the application of similar values for similar identified sites.

4. STUDY APPROACH AND METHODOLOGY

4.1 Extent of the Study

This survey and impact assessment covers the area as presented in Section 6 below and illustrated in Figure 2.

4.2 Methodology

4.2.1.1 Survey of the literature

A survey of the relevant literature was conducted with the aim of reviewing the previous research done and determining the potential of the area. In this regard, various anthropological, archaeological and historical sources were consulted – see list of references in Section 10.

- Information on events, sites and features in the larger region were obtained from these sources.

4.2.1.2 Data bases

The *Heritage Atlas Database*, the *Environmental Potential Atlas*, the *Chief Surveyor General* and the *National Archives of South Africa* were consulted.

- Database surveys produced a number of sites located in the larger region of the proposed development.

4.2.1.3 Other sources

Aerial photographs and topocadastral and other maps were also studied - see the list of references below.

- Information of a very general nature were obtained from these sources

4.2.2 Field survey

The field survey was done according to generally accepted archaeological practices, and was aimed at locating all possible sites, objects and structures. The area that had to be investigated was identified by Boitshoko Solar Power Plant (RF) (Pty) Ltd by means of maps and .kmf files indicating the development area. This was loaded onto a Nexus 7 tablet and used in Google Earth during the field survey to access the areas.

The site was visited on 23 March 2016. The area was investigated by travelling transects across it, giving special attention to features such as hills, outcrops and clumps of trees – see Fig. 1 below.

The following is relevant to the field survey:

- *During the site visit, Mr Hendrik van der Merwe, the farm owner, directed the consultant to the only known graves in the study area.*
- *During the site visit the archaeological visibility was slightly hindered by the vegetation encountered.*




Fig. 1. Map indicating the track log of the field survey.

4.2.3 Documentation

All sites, objects and structures that are identified are documented according to the general minimum standards accepted by the archaeological profession. Coordinates of individual localities are determined by means of the *Global Positioning System* (GPS) and plotted on a map. This information is added to the description in order to facilitate the identification of each locality.

The track log and identified sites were recorded by means of a Garmin Oregon 550 handheld GPS device. Photographic recording was done by means of a Canon EOS 550D digital camera.

Map datum used: Hartebeeshoek 94 (WGS84).

5. SITE SIGNIFICANCE AND ASSESSMENT

5.1 Heritage assessment criteria and grading

The National Heritage Resources Act, Act no. 25 of 1999, stipulates the assessment criteria and grading of heritage sites. The following grading categories are distinguished in Section 7 of the Act:

- **Grade I:** Heritage resources with qualities so exceptional that they are of special national significance;
- **Grade II:** Heritage resources which, although forming part of the national estate, can be considered to have special qualities which make them significant within the context of a province or a region; and
- **Grade III:** Other heritage resources worthy of conservation on a local authority level.

A matrix was developed whereby the criteria, as set out in Sections 3(3) and 7 of the NHRA, were applied for each identified site (see Appendix 1). This allowed some form of control over the application of similar values for similar sites.

The occurrence of sites with a Grade I significance will demand that the development activities be drastically altered in order to retain these sites in their original state. For Grade II and Grade III sites, the applicable of mitigation measures would allow the development activities to continue.

5.2 Methodology for the assessment of potential impacts

All impacts identified during the EIA stage of the study will be classified in terms of their significance. Issues were assessed in terms of the following criteria:

- The **nature**, a description of what causes the effect, what will be affected and how it will be affected;
- The physical **extent**, wherein it is indicated whether:
 - 1 - the impact will be limited to the site;
 - 2 - the impact will be limited to the local area;
 - 3 - the impact will be limited to the region;
 - 4 - the impact will be national; or
 - 5 - the impact will be international;
- The **duration**, wherein it is indicated whether the lifetime of the impact will be:
 - 1 - of a very short duration (0–1 years);
 - 2 - of a short duration (2-5 years);
 - 3 - medium-term (5–15 years);
 - 4 - long term (> 15 years); or
 - 5 - permanent;
- The **magnitude** of impact, quantified on a scale from 0-10, where a score is assigned:
 - 0 - small and will have no effect;
 - 2 - minor and will not result in an impact;
 - 4 - low and will cause a slight impact;
 - 6 - moderate and will result in processes continuing but in a modified way;
 - 8 – high, (processes are altered to the extent that they temporarily cease); or
 - 10 - very high and results in complete destruction of patterns and permanent cessation of processes;
- The **probability** of occurrence, which describes the likelihood of the impact actually occurring and is estimated on a scale where:
 - 1 - very improbable (probably will not happen);
 - 2 - improbable (some possibility, but low likelihood);
 - 3 - probable (distinct possibility);
 - 4 - highly probable (most likely); or
 - 5 - definite (impact will occur regardless of any prevention measures);
- The **significance**, which is determined through a synthesis of the characteristics described above (refer formula below) and can be assessed as low, medium or high;
- The **status**, which is described as either positive, negative or neutral;
- The degree to which the impact can be reversed;
- The degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources; and
- The degree to which the impact can be mitigated.

The **significance** is determined by combining the criteria in the following formula:

$$S = (E+D+M) \times P; \text{ where}$$

S = Significance weighting

E = Extent

D = Duration

M = Magnitude

P = Probability

The **significance weightings** for each potential impact are calculated as follows:

Table 1: Significance ranking

Significance of impact					
Extent	Duration	Magnitude	Probability	Significance	Weight
-	-	-	-	-	-

Points	Significant Weighting	Discussion
< 30 points	Low	where this impact would not have a direct influence on the decision to develop in the area
31-60 points	Medium	where the impact could influence the decision to develop in the area unless it is effectively mitigated
> 60 points	High	where the impact must have an influence on the decision process to develop in the area

6. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

6.1 Site location

The study area is located approximately 13 northwest of the town of Kathu in the Gamagara Local Municipality of Northern Cape Province (Fig. 2). For more information, see the Technical Summary on p. iii above.




Fig. 2. Location of the study area (red outline) in regional context. (Map 2722: Chief Surveyor-General)

6.2 Development proposal

Boitshoko Solar Power Plant (RF) (Pty) Ltd. intends to a solar power plant and associated infrastructure on Remaining Extent of Portion 1 of the Farm Limebank 471 in the Gamagara Local Municipality area of Northern Cape Province. The total extent of the property is 1295.5232 ha, of which the development footprint will be 250 ha.

The site was identified as being highly desirable due to its suitable climatic conditions, topography (i.e. in terms of slope), environmental conditions (i.e. agricultural potential, ecological sensitivity and archaeology), proximity to a grid connection point (i.e. for the purpose of electricity evacuation), as well as site access (i.e. to facilitate the movement of machinery, equipment, infrastructure and people during the construction phase).




Fig. 3. Layout of the proposed development.
(Image: Subsolar)

7. DESCRIPTION OF THE AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT

7.1 Site description

The geology is made up of sand, changing to dolomite in the west. The original vegetation is classified as Kalahari Plateau Bushveld. The topography is described as undulating plains and pans. The Ga-Mogara, a non-perennial river, passes about 7km to the west of the study area.

The current land use is grazing and, apart from a few farming related features such as water points, no previous development seemed to have taken place on the site.



Fig. 4. Views over the study area.

7.2 Overview of the region

The aim of this section is to present an overview of the history of the larger region in order to eventually determine the significance of heritage sites identified in the study area, within the context of their historic, aesthetic, scientific and social value, rarity and representivity – see Section 3.2 and Appendix 1 for more information.

The cultural landscape qualities of the region essentially consist of a two components. The first is a rural area in which the human occupation is made up of a pre-colonial (Stone Age) occupation and a much later colonial (farmer) component. The second component is an urban one consisting of a number of smaller towns, most of which developed during the last 150 years or less.

Stone Age

Occupation of the region took place during the Stone Age. Most of this, however, seems to date to the Early Stone Age and centres in the areas where there are hills, e.g. to the east and south. For example, in the vicinity of Kathu, Beaumont & Morris (1990) and Dreyer (2007) identified to occurrence of extensive Early Stone Age occupation.

The Kathu sites contain significant ESA Acheulean and Fauresmith assemblages, and also a well-represented MSA (Beaumont 1990b, 2004; Chazan et al. 2012; Wilkins & Chazan 2012).

Kathu Pan is formed by a shallow depression with an internal drainage and a high water table. Archaeological and palaeoenvironmental data from Kathu Pan and Kathu Townlands were used to reconstruct changes over time in the prehistoric environment (Beaumont 2004). The transitional Fauresmith at Kathu Pan has been dated to ca. 500 000 BP (Porat et al. 2010). A current research project at Kathu Pan 1 established a date of 500 000 years for a Fauresmith blade assemblage where blades were systematically removed from prepared cores (Porat et al. 2010; Wilkens & Chazan 2012).

The LCT's from this area often contain very fine handaxes with some superb symmetrical examples produced on banded ironstone in c. 0.8–1.3-Ma-old stratum 4b at Kathu Pan 1 (Beaumont & Bednarik 2013). Lithics in some of the Acheulean deposits, but also in MSA levels, display a shiny silica skin. At Kathu Townlands an outcropping of banded ironstone that covers a large area of around 25 km contains enormous quantities of flaked items. This phenomenon is ascribed to the use of the high-grade bedrock jasper and ironstone as a source for raw materials and is supported by the high incidence of handaxe roughouts (Beaumont 2004). The prepared core technique was used to produce the spectacular small handaxes, long blades, convergent flakes/points and scrapers found in Fauresmith collections. MSA tools were also recovered from the Kathu localities (Beaumont 2004). Surface sites around Kathu exhibit a palimpsest of prehistoric utilization and may contain lithics from all periods in the Stone Age succession.

Less obvious in its presence are the Later Stone Age sites, some of which are indicated by Beaumont & Vogel (1984). They equate these sites, some which occur in the larger region, with Cape Coastal pottery associated with amorphous LSA (herders) or Wilton (hunter-gatherers) in the period 100 BC to AD 1900.

Iron Age

Early Iron Age occupation did not take place in the region and seems as if the earliest people to live settled lives here were those of Tswana-speaking origin (Tlhaping and Tlharo) that settled mostly to the north and a bit to the west of Kuruman. However, they continued spreading westward and by the late 18th century some groups occupied the Langeberg region. With the annexation of the Tswana areas by the British in 1885, the area became known as British Betchuana Land. A number of reserves were set up for these people to stay in. In 1895 the Tswana-speakers rose up in resistance to the British authority as represented by the government of the Cape Colony. They were quickly subjected and their land was taken away, divided up into farms and given out to white farmers to settle on (Snyman 1986).

Historic period

Many early explorers, hunters, traders and missionaries travelled through the area on their way to Kuruman on what was to become known as the "missionary road". Anderson, Burchell, Harris, Holub, Lichtenstein and Moffat are but a few of the better-known names to pass through here.

In 1902 Olifaatshoek got its first permanent inhabitant, Edward Finnis and in 1903 Michael Colley opened a shop. The slow growth of Olifantshoek can be attributed to the fact that for many years Deben (Dibeng) was the main seat of the church in the region and local people preferred to go there.

Although prospecting for minerals, especially diamonds occurred in the area and some knowledge was available on the iron deposits, it was only during the 1940s that the extent of the iron and manganese deposits were established. This was followed by the establishment of towns such as Sishen (1952) and Kathu in 1972.

7.3 Identified sites

The following sites, features and objects of cultural significance were identified in the study area – see Appendix 5 for a discussion of each individual site.

In terms of Section 7 of the NHRA, all the sites currently known or which are expected to occur in the study area are evaluated to have a grading as identified in the table below.

Table 2. Summary of identified heritage resources in the study area

IDENTIFIED HERITAGE RESOURCES			
NHRA category	Number	Coordinates	Impact rating
Formal protections (NHRA)			
National heritage site (Section 27)	None	-	-
Provincial heritage site (Section 27)	None	-	-
Provisional protection (Section 29)	None	-	-
Listed in heritage register (Section 30)	None	-	-
General protections (NHRA)			
Structures older than 60 years (Section 34)	None	-	-
Archaeological site or material (Section 35)	7.3.1.1	-27.61432, 22.95034	Low
Palaeontological site or material (Section 35)	None	-	-
Graves or burial grounds (Section 36)	7.3.3.1	-27.61363, 22.95297	Medium
Public monuments or memorials (Section 37)	None	-	-
Other			
Any other heritage resources (describe)	None	-	-




Fig. 5. Location of the identified sites.
(Map 2722DB: Chief Surveyor-General)

7.3.1 Stone Age

- A number of stone tools dating to the Fauresmith assemblage and Middle Stone Age were identified on the rim of a small pan-like depression occurring adjacent to the old farmstead (Fig. 5 above). The density is approximately 1 stone tool/5m² (see Appendix 5).

7.3.2 Iron Age

- No sites, features or objects dating to the Iron Age were identified in the study area.

7.3.3 Historic period

- Small informal burial place (Fig. 5 above). As the site is very much overgrown, it is difficult to establish the correct number of graves, although there might be as many as five. The graves are all only marked with packed stones, although one seems to have been fenced off in the past.

7.4 Impact assessment

Impact analysis of cultural heritage resources under threat of the proposed development, is based on the present understanding of the development:

- (7.3.1.1) A number of stone tools dating to the Fauresmith assemblage and Middle Stone Age were identified on the rim of a small pan-like depression occurring adjacent to the old farmstead (Fig. 5 above). The density is approximately 1 stone tool/5m² (see Appendix 5).
 - This feature is viewed to have low significance on a local level.
 - Based on current understanding of the proposed development, this site is located outside the development area and would not be impacted on by the solar plant development. Therefore no further action is required.
- (7.3.3.1) A single informal burial site with at least five graves was identified (see Appendix 5). As it is located inside the study area it is anticipated that it eventually would be impacted on by the solar plant development.
 - This feature is viewed to have high significance on a local level.
 - If at all possible, the burial site should be avoided and fenced off with wire, leaving a buffer zone of at least five metres from the outer edges of the graves. If the area cannot be avoided, it is recommended that graves are relocated after the proper procedure has been followed (see Appendix 3).

8. MANAGEMENT MEASURES

Heritage sites are fixed features in the environment, occurring within specific spatial confines. Any impact upon them is permanent and non-reversible. Those resources that cannot be avoided and that are directly impacted by the proposed development can be excavated/recorded and a management plan can be developed for future action. Those sites that are not impacted on can be written into the management plan, whence they can be avoided or cared for in the future.

8.1 Objectives

- Protection of archaeological, historical and any other site or land considered being of cultural value within the project boundary against vandalism, destruction and theft.
- The preservation and appropriate management of new discoveries in accordance with the NHRA, should these be discovered during construction activities.

The following shall apply:

- Known sites should be clearly marked in order that they can be avoided during construction activities.
- The contractors and workers should be notified that archaeological sites might be exposed during the construction activities.
- Should any heritage artefacts be exposed during excavation, work on the area where the artefacts were discovered, shall cease immediately and the Environmental Control Officer shall be notified as soon as possible;
- All discoveries shall be reported immediately to a heritage practitioner so that an investigation and evaluation of the finds can be made. Acting upon advice from these specialists, the Environmental Control Officer will advise the necessary actions to be taken;
- Under no circumstances shall any artefacts be removed, destroyed or interfered with by anyone on the site; and
- Contractors and workers shall be advised of the penalties associated with the unlawful removal of cultural, historical, archaeological or palaeontological artefacts, as set out in the National Heritage Resources Act (Act No. 25 of 1999), Section 51. (1).

8.2 Control

In order to achieve this, the following should be in place:

- A person or entity, e.g. the Environmental Control Officer, should be tasked to take responsibility for the heritage sites and should be held accountable for any damage.
- Known sites should be located and isolated, e.g. by fencing them off. All construction workers should be informed that these are no-go areas, unless accompanied by the individual or persons representing the Environmental Control Officer as identified above.
- In areas where the vegetation is threatening the heritage sites, e.g. growing trees pushing walls over, it should be removed, but only after permission for the methods proposed has been granted by SAHRA. A heritage official should be part of the team executing these measures.

9. RECOMMENDATIONS

The aim of the survey was to locate, identify, evaluate and document sites, objects and structures of cultural significance found within the area in which it is proposed to develop a solar plant.

The cultural landscape qualities of the region is made up of a pre-colonial element consisting of limited Stone Age occupation, as well as a much later colonial (farmer) component, which gave rise to an urban component.

Identified sites

- A number of stone tools dating to the Fauresmith assemblage and Middle Stone Age were identified on the rim of a small pan-like depression occurring adjacent to the old farmstead. The density is approximately 1 stone tool/5m² (see Appendix 5).
- Small informal burial place. As the site is very much overgrown, it is difficult to establish the correct number of graves, although there might be as many as five. The graves are all only marked with packed stones, although one seems to have been fenced off in the past.

Impact assessment

Impact analysis of cultural heritage resources under threat of the proposed development, is based on the present understanding of the development.

- (7.3.1.1) A number of stone tools dating to the Fauresmith assemblage and Middle Stone Age were identified on the rim of a small pan-like depression (see Appendix 5).
 - Based on current understanding of the proposed development, this site is located outside the development area and would therefore not be impacted on by the solar plant development.
- (7.3.3.1) A single informal burial site with at least five graves was identified in the development area (see Appendix 5).
 - As it is located inside the study area it is anticipated that it eventually would be impacted on by the solar plant development.

Reasoned opinion as to whether the proposed activity should be authorised:

- From a heritage point of view it is recommended that the proposed development be allowed to continue.

Conditions for inclusion in the environmental authorisation:

- It is recommended that the burial site is retained and it should be permanently fenced off, leaving a buffer zone of at least five metres from the outer edge of the graves. If the graves cannot be retained, it should be relocated, but only on condition of following the correct procedures.
- Should archaeological sites or graves be exposed during construction work, it must immediately be reported to a heritage practitioner so that an investigation and evaluation of the finds can be made.

10. REFERENCES

10.1 Data bases

Chief Surveyor General
Environmental Potential Atlas, Department of Environmental Affairs and Tourism.
Heritage Atlas Database, Pretoria.
National Archives of South Africa
SAHRA Archaeology and Palaeontology Report Mapping Project (2009)

10.2 Literature

Acocks, J.P.H. 1975. *Veld Types of South Africa*. Memoirs of the Botanical Survey of South Africa, No. 40. Pretoria: Botanical Research Institute.

Beaumont, P.B. 1990. Kathu. In Beaumont, P & Morris, D (eds.). *Guide to the archaeological sites in the Northern Cape*. Kimberley: McGregor Museum. Pp. 75-100.

Beaumont, P.B. 2006. *Phase 1 Heritage Impact Assessment report on Erf 1439, Remainder of Erf 2974, Remainder of Portion 1 of Farm Uitkoms 463, and Farms Kathu 465 and Sims 462 at and near Kathu in the Northern Cape Province*. Unpublished report. Kimberley.

Beaumont, P & Morris, D (eds.) 1990. *Guide to the archaeological sites in the Northern Cape*. Kimberley: McGregor Museum.

Beaumont, P.B. & Vogel, J.C. 1984. Spatial patterning of the ceramic Later Stone Age in the Northern Cape Province, South Africa. In Hall, M., Avery, G., Avery, D.M., Wilson, M.L. and Humphreys, A.J.B. (eds.) 1984. *Frontiers: South African Archaeology Today*. Cambridge Monographs in African Archaeology 10. BAR International Series 207: 80-95.

Breutz, P-L. 1963. *The Tribes of the Districts of Kuruman and Postmasburg*. Ethnological Publications No. 49. Pretoria: Government Printer.

Chazan, M, Hagai, R, Matmon, A, Porat, N, Goldberg, P, Yates R, Avery, M, Sumner, A & Horwitz, LK. 2008. Radiometric dating of the Earlier Stone Age sequence in Excavation I at Wonderwerk Cave, South Africa: preliminary results. *Journal of Human Evolution* 55(1): 1-11.

Chazan, M & Horwitz, LK. 2010. Milestones in the development of symbolic behaviour: a case study from Wonderwerk Cave, South Africa. *World Archaeology* 41(4): 521-539.

Chazan, C, Wilkins, J, Morris, D & Berna, F. 2012. Bestwood 1: a newly discovered Earlier Stone Age living surface near Kathu, Northern Cape Province, South Africa. *Antiquity* 86(331). Antiquity Gallery.

Couzens, T. 2004. *Battles of South Africa*, Cape Town: David Philip.

Dreyer, J. 2007. First Phase archaeological and cultural heritage assessment of the proposed Garona-Mercury transmission power line, Northern Cape, North-West and Free State. Bloemfontein: Unpublished report.

Legassick, M. 1969. The Sotho-Tswana Peoples before 1800. In Thompson, L. (ed) 1969. *African Societies in southern Africa*. London: Heinemann. Pp. 86-125.

Morris, D. 2010. Kathu Solar Energy Facility and the Sishen Solar Energy Facility: Specialist input for the Scoping Phase of the environmental impact assessment for the proposed Kathu

Solar Energy Facility and the Proposed Sishen Solar Energy Facility, Northern Cape Province. Unpublished report: McGregor Museum, Kimberley.

Porat, N, Chazan, M, Grün, R, Aubert, M, Eisenmann, V & Horwitz, LK. 2010. New radiometric ages for the Fauresmith industry from Kathu Pan, southern Africa: implications for the Earlier to Middle Stone Age transition. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 37: 269–283.

S A Manganese Amcor Ltd. 1977. *Kalahari Wealth: the story of manganese, 1926-1976*. Cape Town: Purnell.

Snyman, P.H.R. 1986. Die Langeberg-rebellie en die totstandkoming van Olifantshoek. *Contree* 20:16-26.

Snyman, P.H.R. 1987. Die rol van Sendelinge, die owerheid en ekonomiese faktore in die ontstaan van Kuruman, 1886-1913. *Contree* 22:5-14.

Van Schalkwyk, J.A. 2010. *Archaeological impact survey report for the proposed Kalahari Solar Park development on the farm Kathu 465, Northern Cape Province*. Unpublished report 2010/vS/071.

Van der Ryst, M. 2013. *Desktop study: Archaeological context for the Stone Age of the Northern Cape, Bushmanland and Namaqualand*. Unpublished report.

Walker, S.J.H, Chazan, M & Morris, D. 2013. *Kathu Pan: Location and Significance*. Report requested by SAHRA.

Wilkins, J and Chazan, M. 2012. Blade production ~500 thousand years ago at Kathu Pan 1, South Africa: support for a multiple origins hypothesis for early Middle Pleistocene blade technologies. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 39(6): 1883-1900.

10.3 Maps and aerial photographs

1: 50 000 Topocadastral maps
Google Earth

APPENDIX 1: CONVENTIONS USED TO ASSESS THE SIGNIFICANCE OF HERITAGE RESOURCES

Significance

According to the NHRA, Section 2(vi) the **significance** of a heritage sites and artefacts is determined by it aesthetic, architectural, historical, scientific, social, spiritual, linguistic or technical value in relation to the uniqueness, condition of preservation and research potential. It must be kept in mind that the various aspects are not mutually exclusive, and that the evaluation of any site is done with reference to any number of these.

Matrix used for assessing the significance of each identified site/feature

1. Historic value			
Is it important in the community, or pattern of history			
Does it have strong or special association with the life or work of a person, group or organisation of importance in history			
Does it have significance relating to the history of slavery			
2. Aesthetic value			
It is important in exhibiting particular aesthetic characteristics valued by a community or cultural group			
3. Scientific value			
Does it have potential to yield information that will contribute to an understanding of natural or cultural heritage			
Is it important in demonstrating a high degree of creative or technical achievement at a particular period			
4. Social value			
Does it have strong or special association with a particular community or cultural group for social, cultural or spiritual reasons			
5. Rarity			
Does it possess uncommon, rare or endangered aspects of natural or cultural heritage			
6. Representivity			
Is it important in demonstrating the principal characteristics of a particular class of natural or cultural places or objects			
Importance in demonstrating the principal characteristics of a range of landscapes or environments, the attributes of which identify it as being characteristic of its class			
Importance in demonstrating the principal characteristics of human activities (including way of life, philosophy, custom, process, land-use, function, design or technique) in the environment of the nation, province, region or locality.			
7. Sphere of Significance		High	Medium
International			
National			
Provincial			
Regional			
Local			
Specific community			
8. Significance rating of feature			
1.	Low		
2.	Medium		
3.	High		

APPENDIX 2. RELEVANT LEGISLATION

All archaeological and palaeontological sites, and meteorites are protected by the National Heritage Resources Act (Act no 25 of 1999) as stated in Section 35:

(1) Subject to the provisions of section 8, the protection of archaeological and palaeontological sites and material and meteorites is the responsibility of a provincial heritage resources authority: Provided that the protection of any wreck in the territorial waters and the maritime cultural zone shall be the responsibility of SAHRA.

(2) Subject to the provisions of subsection (8)(a), all archaeological objects, palaeontological material and meteorites are the property of the State. The responsible heritage authority must, on behalf of the State, at its discretion ensure that such objects are lodged with a museum or other public institution that has a collection policy acceptable to the heritage resources authority and may in so doing establish such terms and conditions as it sees fit for the conservation of such objects.

(3) Any person who discovers archaeological or palaeontological objects or material or a meteorite in the course of development or agricultural activity must immediately report the find to the responsible heritage resources authority, or to the nearest local authority offices or museum, which must immediately notify such heritage resources authority.

(4) No person may, without a permit issued by the responsible heritage resources authority-

- (a) destroy, damage, excavate, alter, deface or otherwise disturb any archaeological or palaeontological site or any meteorite;
- (b) destroy, damage, excavate, remove from its original position, collect or own any archaeological or palaeontological material or object or any meteorite;
- (c) trade in, sell for private gain, export or attempt to export from the Republic any category of archaeological or palaeontological material or object, or any meteorite; or
- (d) bring onto or use at an archaeological or palaeontological site any excavation equipment or any equipment which assist in the detection or recovery of metals or archaeological and palaeontological material or objects, or use such equipment for the recovery of meteorites.

In terms of cemeteries and graves the following (Section 36):

(1) Where it is not the responsibility of any other authority, SAHRA must conserve and generally care for burial grounds and graves protected in terms of this section, and it may make such arrangements for their conservation as it sees fit.

(2) SAHRA must identify and record the graves of victims of conflict and any other graves which it deems to be of cultural significance and may erect memorials associated with the grave referred to in subsection (1), and must maintain such memorials.

(3) No person may, without a permit issued by SAHRA or a provincial heritage resources authority-

- (a) destroy, damage, alter, exhume or remove from its original position or otherwise disturb the grave of a victim of conflict, or any burial ground or part thereof which contains such graves;
- (b) destroy, damage, alter, exhume, remove from its original position or otherwise disturb any grave or burial ground older than 60 years which is situated outside a formal cemetery administered by a local authority; or
- (c) bring onto or use at a burial ground or grave referred to in paragraph (a) or (b) any excavation equipment, or any equipment which assists in the detection or recovery of metals.

(4) SAHRA or a provincial heritage resources authority may not issue a permit for the destruction or damage of any burial ground or grave referred to in subsection (3)(a) unless it is satisfied that the applicant has made satisfactory arrangements for the exhumation and re-interment of the contents of such graves, at the cost of the applicant and in accordance with any regulations made by the responsible heritage resources authority.

The National Heritage Resources Act (Act no 25 of 1999) stipulates the assessment criteria and grading of archaeological sites. The following categories are distinguished in Section 7 of the Act:

- **Grade I:** Heritage resources with qualities so exceptional that they are of special national significance;
- **Grade II:** Heritage resources which, although forming part of the national estate, can be considered to have special qualities which make them significant within the context of a province or a region; and
- **Grade III:** Other heritage resources worthy of conservation, and which prescribes heritage resources assessment criteria, consistent with the criteria set out in section 3(3), which must be used by a heritage resources authority or a local authority to assess the intrinsic, comparative and contextual significance of a heritage resource and the relative benefits and costs of its protection, so that the appropriate level of grading of the resource and the consequent responsibility for its management may be allocated in terms of section 8.

Presenting archaeological sites as part of tourism attraction requires, in terms 44 of the Act, a Conservation Management Plan as well as a permit from SAHRA.

(1) Heritage resources authorities and local authorities must, wherever appropriate, co-ordinate and promote the presentation and use of places of cultural significance and heritage resources which form part of the national estate and for which they are responsible in terms of section 5 for public enjoyment, education, research and tourism, including-

- (a) the erection of explanatory plaques and interpretive facilities, including interpretive centres and visitor facilities;
- (b) the training and provision of guides;
- (c) the mounting of exhibitions;
- (d) the erection of memorials; and
- (e) any other means necessary for the effective presentation of the national estate.

(2) Where a heritage resource which is formally protected in terms of Part I of this Chapter is to be presented, the person wishing to undertake such presentation must, at least 60 days prior to the institution of interpretive measures or manufacture of associated material, consult with the heritage resources authority which is responsible for the protection of such heritage resource regarding the contents of interpretive material or programmes.

(3) A person may only erect a plaque or other permanent display or structure associated with such presentation in the vicinity of a place protected in terms of this Act in consultation with the heritage resources authority responsible for the protection of the place.

APPENDIX 3. RELOCATION OF GRAVES

If the graves are younger than 60 years, an undertaker can be contracted to deal with the exhumation and reburial. This will include public participation, organising cemeteries, coffins, etc. They need permits and have their own requirements that must be adhered to.

If the graves are older than 60 years old or of undetermined age, an archaeologist must be in attendance to assist with the exhumation and documentation of the graves. This is a requirement by law.

Once it has been decided to relocate particular graves, the following steps should be taken:

- Notices of the intention to relocate the graves need to be put up at the burial site for a period of 60 days. This should contain information where communities and family members can contact the developer/archaeologist/public-relations officer/undertaker. All information pertaining to the identification of the graves needs to be documented for the application of a SAHRA permit. The notices need to be in at least 3 languages, English, and two other languages. This is a requirement by law.
- Notices of the intention needs to be placed in at least two local newspapers and have the same information as the above point. This is a requirement by law.
- Local radio stations can also be used to try contact family members. This is not required by law, but is helpful in trying to contact family members.
- During this time (60 days) a suitable cemetery need to be identified close to the development area or otherwise one specified by the family of the deceased.
- An open day for family members should be arranged after the period of 60 days so that they can gather to discuss the way forward, and to sort out any problems. The developer needs to take the families requirements into account. This is a requirement by law.
- Once the 60 days has passed and all the information from the family members have been received, a permit can be requested from SAHRA. This is a requirement by law.
- Once the permit has been received, the graves may be exhumed and relocated.
- All headstones must be relocated with the graves as well as any items found in the grave.

Information needed for the SAHRA permit application

- The permit application needs to be done by an archaeologist.
- A map of the area where the graves have been located.
- A survey report of the area prepared by an archaeologist.
- All the information on the families that have identified graves.
- If graves have not been identified and there are no headstones to indicate the grave, these are then unknown graves and should be handled as if they are older than 60 years. This information also needs to be given to SAHRA.
- A letter from the landowner giving permission to the developer to exhume and relocate the graves.
- A letter from the new cemetery confirming that the graves will be reburied there.
- Details of the farm name and number, magisterial district and GPS coordinates of the gravesite.

APPENDIX 4. SPECIALIST COMPETENCYJohan (Johnny) van Schalkwyk

J A van Schalkwyk, D Litt et Phil, heritage consultant, has been working in the field of heritage management for more than 30 years. Based at the National Museum of Cultural History, Pretoria, he has actively done research in the fields of anthropology, archaeology, museology, tourism and impact assessment. This work was done in Limpopo Province, Gauteng, Mpumalanga, North West Province, Eastern Cape, Northern Cape, Botswana, Zimbabwe, Malawi, Lesotho and Swaziland. Based on this work, he has curated various exhibitions at different museums and has published more than 60 papers, many in scientifically accredited journals. During this period he has done more than 2000 impact assessments (archaeological, anthropological, historical and social) for various government departments and developers. Projects include environmental management frameworks, road-, pipeline-, and power line developments, dams, mining, water purification works, historical landscapes, refuse dumps and urban developments.

APPENDIX 5: INVENTORY OF IDENTIFIED CULTURAL HERITAGE SITES

Location	No. 7.3.1.1	Limebank 471	S 27.61432, E 22.95034
Description			
A small pan-like depression occurring adjacent to the old farmstead (the above coordinate indicate the approximate centre point of the pan). A number of stone tools dating to the Fauresmith assemblage and Middle Stone Age were identified. The density is approximately 1 stone tool/5m ² .			

Significance of site/feature	Low on a local level – Grade III
-------------------------------------	----------------------------------

Impact assessment
Based on current understanding of the proposed development, this site is located outside the development area and would therefore not be impacted on by the solar plant development.

Significance of impact					
Extent	Duration	Magnitude	Probability	Significance	Weight
1	5	2	2	16	Low

Mitigation
This site is located outside the development area and would therefore not be impacted on by the solar plant

Requirements
No further action required

References
1: 50 000 topocadastral map



Location	No. 7.3.3.1	Limebank 471	S 27.61363, E 22.95297
Description			
Small informal burial place. As the site is very much overgrown, it is difficult to establish the correct number of graves, although there might be as many as five. The graves are all only marked with packed stones, although one seems to have been fenced off in the past.			

Significance of site/feature	High on a local level – Grade III
-------------------------------------	-----------------------------------

Impact assessment
According to current understanding of the proposed development, this site is located inside the development area and would therefore be impacted on as a result.

Significance of impact					
Extent	Duration	Magnitude	Probability	Significance	Weight
1	5	6	4	48	Medium

Mitigation
If at all possible, the burial site should be avoided and fenced off with wire, leaving a buffer zone of at least five metres from the outer edges of the graves. If the area cannot be avoided, it is recommended that graves are relocated after the proper procedure has been followed – see Appendix 3.

Requirements
As these graves are probably older than 60 years a valid permit for their relocation must be obtained from SAHRA.

References
1: 50 000 topocadastral map

