

PALAEONTOLOGICAL HERITAGE ASSESSMENT: COMBINED DESKTOP & FIELD-BASED STUDY

Proposed Protea Solar Power Plant on the Remaining Extent of Farm Hartsboom 734 near Vryburg, North-West Province

John E. Almond PhD (Cantab.)
Natura Viva cc, PO Box 12410 Mill Street,
Cape Town 8010, RSA
naturaviva@universe.co.za

February 2016

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The company Protea Solar Power Plant (RF) (Pty) Ltd is proposing to develop up to 115 MW photovoltaic solar facility, known as the Protea Solar Power Plant, on the Remaining Extent of Farm Hartsboom 734, HN Registration Division, Province of the North-West.

The Protea Solar Power Plant study area is underlain at depth by Permo-Carboniferous glacial sediments of the Dwyka Group (Karoo Supergroup) that are of low palaeontological sensitivity and are very poorly exposed at surface. These ancient bedrocks are largely mantled by much younger, Late Caenozoic calcrete hardpans, sandy soils of possible aeolian origin and possible relict alluvial gravels related to the Dröe Harts River. Both the Palaeozoic bedrocks and the overlying superficial sediments are generally of low palaeontological sensitivity. It is concluded that, with or without mitigation, the overall impact of the proposed Protea Solar Power Plant on the Remaining Extent of Farm Hartsboom 734 is of **NEGATIVE LOW SIGNIFICANCE** in palaeontological heritage terms.

Should significant fossil remains - such as well-preserved stromatolites or mammal bones and teeth - be exposed during construction, the responsible Environmental Control Officer should safeguard these, preferably *in situ*. The South African Heritage Resources Authority (SAHRA) should be alerted as soon as possible (Contact details: Mrs Colette Scheermeyer, P.O. Box 4637, Cape Town 8000, Tel: 021 462 4502, Email: cscheermeyer@sahra.org.za), so that appropriate action can be taken by a professional palaeontologist, at the developer's expense. Mitigation would normally involve the scientific recording and judicious sampling or collection of fossil material as well as associated geological data (e.g. stratigraphy, sedimentology, taphonomy) by a professional palaeontologist. The palaeontologist concerned with mitigation work will need a valid fossil collection permit from SAHRA and any material collected would have to be curated in an approved depository (e.g. museum or university collection). These recommendations should be included within the EMPr for the proposed solar power plant development.

There are no fatal flaws in the proposed solar power plant development, nor are there objections to its authorisation as far as fossil heritage conservation is concerned, *provided* that the mitigation recommendations outlined above are fully complied with. The no-go option (no solar development) will have a neutral impact on local palaeontological heritage resources.

1. INTRODUCTION & BRIEF

The company Protea Solar Power Plant (RF) (Pty) Ltd is proposing to develop up to 115MW photovoltaic solar facility, known as the Protea Solar Power Plant on the Remaining Extent of Farm Hartsboom 734, HN Registration Division, Province of the North-West. The land parcel measures 2035,9834 hectares in area and is situated approximately 12 km south of the town of Vryburg, Naledi Local Municipality, North-West Province. The footprint of the proposed alternative energy project will be approximately 204 hectares (including supporting infrastructure).

The study site is situated on flat lying terrain on the north-eastern side of Farm Hartsboom 734 which lies on the western side of the N18 tar road between Vryburg and Kimberley. The location of the study area is shown on the map Fig. 1 and a satellite image of the area is shown in Fig. 2.

The proposed solar energy facility overlies potentially fossiliferous sediments of Late Palaeozoic and Late Caenozoic age. Fossils preserved within the bedrock or superficial deposits may be disturbed, damaged or destroyed during the construction phase of the proposed project. The extent of the proposed development (over 5000 m²) falls within the requirements for a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) as required by Section 38 (Heritage Resources Management) of the South African Heritage Resources Act (Act No. 25 of 1999).

The present combined desktop and field-based palaeontological study has accordingly been commissioned on behalf of the client by Protea Solar Power Plant (RF) (Pty) Ltd (Contact details: Mr D.P.S. Berlijn, Managing Director. Phone: +27 10 500 3680. Mobile: +27742 488 488. Fax: +27 862 731 614. Address: 2nd Floor West Tower, Nelson Mandela Square, Maude Street, Sandown. PO Box 785553, Sandton, 2146, RSA).

The Terms of Reference for this palaeontological study, as defined by Protea Solar Power Plant (RF) (Pty) Ltd, are as follows:

- A desktop investigation of the area, in which all geological maps, published scientific literature, previous paleontological impact studies in the same region and the author's field of experience (consultation with professional colleagues as well as examination of institutional fossil collections and data) should be studied and used.
- Based on the outcome of the desktop study and the comments obtained from SAHRA, the need for a field assessment must be determined. The desktop investigation must be supplemented with a field assessment if required.
- Assess the potential impacts, based on a supplied methodology.
- Describe mitigation measures to address impacts during the construction, operation and decommissioning stages.
- Develop a protocol for any paleontological finds.
- Describe cumulative impacts of the project on paleontological resources in both the local study area, regional study area and the proponent's plans to manage those effects.
- Supply the client with geo-referenced GIS shape files of any sensitive areas.

1.1. Legislative context of this palaeontological study

The various categories of heritage resources recognised as part of the National Estate in Section 3 of the National Heritage Resources Act (1999) include, among others:

- geological sites of scientific or cultural importance;
- palaeontological sites;
- palaeontological objects and material, meteorites and rare geological specimens.

According to Section 35 of the National Heritage Resources Act, dealing with archaeology, palaeontology and meteorites:

(1) The protection of archaeological and palaeontological sites and material and meteorites is the responsibility of a provincial heritage resources authority.

(2) All archaeological objects, palaeontological material and meteorites are the property of the State.

(3) Any person who discovers archaeological or palaeontological objects or material or a meteorite in the course of development or agricultural activity must immediately report the find to the responsible heritage resources authority, or to the nearest local authority offices or museum, which must immediately notify such heritage resources authority.

(4) No person may, without a permit issued by the responsible heritage resources authority—
(a) destroy, damage, excavate, alter, deface or otherwise disturb any archaeological or palaeontological site or any meteorite;

(b) destroy, damage, excavate, remove from its original position, collect or own any archaeological or palaeontological material or object or any meteorite;

(c) trade in, sell for private gain, export or attempt to export from the Republic any category of archaeological or palaeontological material or object, or any meteorite; or

(d) bring onto or use at an archaeological or palaeontological site any excavation equipment or any equipment which assist in the detection or recovery of metals or archaeological and palaeontological material or objects, or use such equipment for the recovery of meteorites.

(5) When the responsible heritage resources authority has reasonable cause to believe that any activity or development which will destroy, damage or alter any archaeological or palaeontological site is under way, and where no application for a permit has been submitted and no heritage resources management procedure in terms of section 38 has been followed, it may—

(a) serve on the owner or occupier of the site or on the person undertaking such development an order for the development to cease immediately for such period as is specified in the order;

(b) carry out an investigation for the purpose of obtaining information on whether or not an archaeological or palaeontological site exists and whether mitigation is necessary;

(c) if mitigation is deemed by the heritage resources authority to be necessary, assist the person on whom the order has been served under paragraph (a) to apply for a permit as required in subsection (4); and

(d) recover the costs of such investigation from the owner or occupier of the land on which it is believed an archaeological or palaeontological site is located or from the person proposing to undertake the development if no application for a permit is received within two weeks of the order being served.

Minimum standards for the palaeontological component of heritage impact assessment reports have been developed by SAHRA (2013).

2. APPROACH TO THE PALAEOLOGICAL HERITAGE ASSESSMENT

The information used in this desktop study was based on the following:

1. A short project outline and maps provided by Protea Solar Power Plant (RF) (Pty) Ltd;
2. A review of the relevant scientific literature, including published geological maps, satellite images, and previous fossil heritage assessments in the region (e.g. Almond 2013a, 2013b, 2013c);
3. The author's database on the formations concerned and their palaeontological heritage.

In preparing a palaeontological desktop study the potentially fossiliferous rock units (groups, formations *etc*) represented within the study area are determined from geological maps and satellite images. The known fossil heritage within each rock unit is inventoried from the published scientific literature, previous palaeontological impact studies in the same region, and the author's field experience (Consultation with professional colleagues as well as examination of institutional fossil collections may play a role here, or later following field assessment during the compilation of the final report). This data is then used to assess the palaeontological sensitivity of each rock unit to development. The potential impact of the proposed development on local fossil heritage is then determined on the basis of (1) the palaeontological sensitivity of the rock units concerned and (2) the nature and scale of the development itself, most significantly the extent of fresh bedrock excavation envisaged. When rock units of moderate to high palaeontological sensitivity are present within the development footprint, a Phase 1 field assessment study by a professional palaeontologist is usually warranted to identify any palaeontological hotspots and make specific recommendations for any mitigation required before or during the construction phase of the development.

On the basis of the desktop and Phase 1 field assessment studies, the likely impact of the proposed development on local fossil heritage and any need for specialist mitigation are then determined. Adverse palaeontological impacts normally occur during the construction rather than the operational or decommissioning phase. Phase 2 mitigation by a professional palaeontologist – normally involving the recording and sampling of fossil material and associated geological information (e.g. sedimentological data) may be required (a) in the pre-construction phase where important fossils are already exposed at or near the land surface and / or (b) during the construction phase when fresh fossiliferous bedrock has been exposed by excavations. To carry out mitigation, the palaeontologist involved will need to apply for a palaeontological collection permit from the relevant heritage management authority, *i.e.* The South African Heritage Resources Authority (SAHRA) (Contact details: Mrs Colette Scheermeyer, P.O. Box 4637, Cape Town 8000, Tel: 021 462 4502, Email: cscheermeyer@sahra.org.za). It should be emphasized that, *providing appropriate mitigation is carried out*, the majority of developments involving bedrock excavation can make a *positive* contribution to our understanding of local palaeontological heritage.

2.1. Assumptions & limitations

The accuracy and reliability of palaeontological specialist studies as components of heritage impact assessments are generally limited by the following constraints:

1. Inadequate database for fossil heritage for much of the RSA, given the large size of the country and the small number of professional palaeontologists carrying out fieldwork here. Most development study areas have never been surveyed by a palaeontologist.

2. Variable accuracy of geological maps which underpin these desktop studies. For large areas of terrain these maps are largely based on aerial photographs alone, without ground-truthing. The maps generally depict only significant (“mappable”) bedrock units as well as major areas of superficial “drift” deposits (alluvium, colluvium) but for most regions give little or no idea of the level of bedrock outcrop, depth of superficial cover (soil *etc*), degree of bedrock weathering or levels of small-scale tectonic deformation, such as cleavage. All of these factors may have a major influence on the impact significance of a given development on fossil heritage and can only be reliably assessed in the field.

3. Inadequate sheet explanations for geological maps, with little or no attention paid to palaeontological issues in many cases, including poor locality information;

4. The extensive relevant palaeontological “grey literature” - in the form of unpublished university theses, impact studies and other reports (*e.g.* of commercial mining companies) - that is not readily available for desktop studies;

5. Absence of a comprehensive computerized database of fossil collections in major RSA institutions which can be consulted for impact studies. A Karoo fossil vertebrate database is now accessible for impact study work.

In the case of palaeontological desktop studies without supporting Phase 1 field assessments these limitations may variously lead to either:

(a) *underestimation* of the palaeontological significance of a given study area due to ignorance of significant recorded or unrecorded fossils preserved there, or

(b) *overestimation* of the palaeontological sensitivity of a study area, for example when originally rich fossil assemblages inferred from geological maps have in fact been destroyed by tectonism or weathering, or are buried beneath a thick mantle of unfossiliferous “drift” (soil, alluvium *etc*).

Since most areas of the RSA have not been studied palaeontologically, a palaeontological desktop study usually entails *inferring* the presence of buried fossil heritage within the study area from relevant fossil data collected from similar or the same rock units elsewhere, sometimes at localities far away. Where substantial exposures of bedrocks or potentially fossiliferous superficial sediments are present in the study area, the reliability of a palaeontological impact assessment may be significantly enhanced through field assessment by a professional palaeontologist.

In the case of the present project area near Vryburg the main limitation for fossil heritage assessment is the generally low level of Precambrian and Palaeozoic bedrock exposure due to extensive cover by largely unfossiliferous superficial sediments as well as the limited access to much of the study area because of the sparse road network. However, confidence levels regarding the conclusions drawn following palaeontological field assessment are moderately good.




Fig. 1. Extracts from the 1: 250 000 topographical map 2724 Christiana (Courtesy of the Chief Directorate National Geo-spatial Information, Mowbray) showing the *approximate* location of the proposed Protea Solar Power Plant study area on the Remaining Extent of Farm Hartsboom 734, some 12 km south of Vryburg, North-West Province (black polygon).



Fig. 2. Google earth© satellite image of the Protea Solar Power Plant study area on the Remaining Extent of the Farm Hartsboom 734 to the south of Vryburg, North-West Province (orange polygon). The red polygon outlines the Farm Hartsboom 734.

3. GEOLOGICAL & PALAEOLOGICAL BACKGROUND

3.1. Topographical setting

The Protea Solar Power Plant study area on the Remaining Extent of Farm Hartsboom 734 near Vryburg consists of typical flat-lying terrain of the Ghaap Plateau region at an elevation of c. 1190 – 1220 m amsl that is currently used for agricultural purposes (principally cattle farming). The climate is semi-arid and the dense vegetation cover of grassy thornveld is mapped as Ghaap Plateau Vaalbosveld. The site lies close to the confluence of the Dröe Harts River, running less than two kilometres to the east, and its west bank tributary the Korobela River that traverses the southern tip of Hartsboom 734. Both these rivers have valleys that are incised into the Ghaap Plateau and that are probably associated with relict High Level Gravels. There are several small pans within the study area that are probably associated with substantial calcrete deposits (grey on satellite images, Fig. 2). Bedrock exposure within the study area is probably extremely low to non-existent due to extensive cover by superficial deposits such as sandy soils and calcrete.

3.2. Geological context

The geology of the study area to the southwest of Vryburg is shown on the 1: 250 000 geology map 2724 Christiana (Council for Geoscience, Pretoria; Fig. 3 herein). An explanation for the Christiana geological map has been published by Schuute (1994). Farm Hartsboom 734 is underlain *at depth* by ancient sedimentary rocks of the **Schmidtsdrif Subgroup** that are almost flat-lying in this area. This is the basal subdivision of the Late Archaean to Early Proterozoic **Ghaap Group (Transvaal Supergroup)** in the Griqualand West Basin, Ghaap Plateau Subbasin. Useful reviews of the stratigraphy and sedimentology of these Transvaal Supergroup rocks have been given by Moore *et al.* (2001), Eriksson and Altermann (1998) as well as Eriksson *et al.* (1993, 1995, 2006). The Ghaap Group represents some 200 Ma of chemical sedimentation - notably iron and manganese ores, cherts and carbonates with subordinate siliclastic rocks - within the Griqualand West Basin that was situated towards the western edge of the Kaapvaal Craton (See fig. 4.19 in McCarthy & Rubidge 2005).

The Schmidtsdrif Subgroup sediments in the Protea Solar Power Plant study area in the north-eastern corner of Hartsboom 734 are overlain by Permo-Carboniferous glacial deposits of the **Dwyka Group** (C-Pd, grey in Fig. 3). A short description of the Dwyka Group succession on the 1: 250 000 geological map sheet 2724 Christiana is given by Schutte (1994). The best exposures here occur in low-lying areas along the Droë Hartsrivier, especially to the north of Taung. The Dwyka rocks consist of tillite, boulder mudstone rich in a wide range of erratics, sandstone lenses and shale, this last including seasonally varved mudrocks. The Dwyka outcrop area is characterised by the widespread occurrence of downwasted glacial erratics.

Given their susceptibility to weathering, the Dwyka glacial beds are unlikely to be exposed at surface due to the pervasive cover by Late Cenozoic superficial sediments. These include Tertiary (Neogene) to Quaternary **calcrete hardpans** (T-Qc in Fig. 3), mapped in the westernmost portion of the study area, as well as possible **alluvial gravels** of Quaternary age in the east (Qa in Fig. **) that are related to the ancient Dröe Harts drainage system. These older superficial deposits are, in turn, likely to be mantled by orange-brown sandy soils and pale grey-brown calcareous soils.

Calcrete occurs widely in the Vryburg area, especially overlying the Ventersdorp, Boomplaas and Dwyka outcrop areas, notably in association with ancient drainage lines and pans. The

most extensive calcrete deposits occur on the south-western side of pans as a consequence of the prevailing northwest winds (Keyser & Du Plessis 1993). Schutte (1994) notes that terraces of well-indurated calcrete occur in the valley of the Dröe Harts River to the south of the present study area (Lange Rand). The calcretes there contain rounded clasts of various rock types that have a probable Dwyka provenance.

River terrace gravels in the Vryburg area are typically dominated by clasts of brown quartzite that are probably derived from the Vryburg Formation (Keyser & Du Plessis 1993, Schutte 1994). They also contain agates from the Ventersdorp lavas and sometimes diamonds too.

3.3. Palaeontological heritage

The fossil record of the **Dwyka Group** is generally poor, as expected for a glacial sedimentary succession (McLachlan & Anderson 1973, Anderson & McLachlan 1976, Visser 1989, Visser *et al.*, 1990, MacRae 1999, Visser 2003, Almond 2008a, 2008b, Almond & Pether 2008a, 2008b). Sparse, low diversity trace fossil biotas from the Elandsvlei Formation mainly consist of delicate arthropod trackways (probably crustacean) and fish swimming trails associated with recessive-weathering dropstone laminites (Anderson 1974, 1975, 1976, 1981). Sporadic vascular plant remains (drifted wood and leaves of the *Glossopteris* Flora) are also recorded (Anderson & Anderson 1985, Bamford 2000, 2004), while palynomorphs (organic-walled microfossils) are likely to be present within finer-grained mudrock facies. Glacial diamictites (tillites or “boulder mudstones”) are normally unfossiliferous but do occasionally contain fragmentary transported plant material as well as palynomorphs in the fine-grained matrix (Plumstead 1969). There are biogeographically interesting records of limestone glacial erratics from tillites along the southern margins of the Great Karoo that contain Cambrian eodiscid trilobites as well as archaeocyathid sponges. Such derived fossils provide important data for reconstructing the movement of Gondwana ice sheets (Cooper & Oosthuizen 1974).

Given the generally low palaeontological sensitivity of the Dwyka Group as well as its poor surface exposure within the study area, significant impacts on fossils in these bedrocks are not anticipated here.

The palaeontological sensitivity of Plio-Pleistocene and younger **calcrete hardpans** is generally low, but may be locally high. Mammalian bones, teeth and horn cores (also tortoise remains, and fish, amphibian or even crocodiles in wetter depositional settings such as pans) may be expected occasionally, notably in calcretised alluvium associated with ancient, Plio-Pleistocene fluvial gravels. However, such fossil sites are likely to be sparsely distributed and their locations difficult to predict, given the extensive younger sedimentary cover. Other fossil groups represented within older calcretes include terrestrial snails, trace fossils such as termitaria, plant roots casts (rhizoliths) and stone artefacts. Calcretes on the farm Rosendal shortly to the north of the Hartsboom study area contain embedded “palaeolithic stone tools”. MSA and probable LSA artefacts in fine-grained quartzite and dark grey chert have been observed weathering out of gravel horizons within calcrete hardpans elsewhere in the Vryburg region (Almond, pers. obs). These artefacts indicate a Quaternary or younger age for these pedogenic carbonate deposits.




Fig. 3. Extract from the 1: 250 000 geological map 2724 Christiana (Council for Geoscience, Pretoria) showing the approximate outline of the study area for the proposed Protea Solar Power Plant study area on the Remaining Extent of the Farm Hartsboom 734, some 12 km south of Vryburg (orange polygon). The main geological units represented mapped the broader study region include:

Vryburg Formation (Vv, middle and dark blue) – late Archaean fluvial and shallow marine quartzites, mudrocks, conglomerates with two intervals of andesitic volcanics (stippled)

Boomplaas Formation (Vb, pale & middle blue with dashes) – late Archaean dolomites (locally stromatolitic or oolitic) interbedded with siliciclastics (quartzite, shale, flagstone)

Clearwater Formation (Vc, dark grey) – late Archaean mudrocks with interbedded dolomites, flagstones, tuffites, cherts

Dwyka Group (C-Pd, middle grey) – Permocarbiniferous glacial sediments (tillites, interglacial mudrocks)

Calcrete (T-Qc, middle yellow) – Late Caenozoic calcrete hardpans

Alluvial gravels (Qa, dark yellow with or without stipple) – Quaternary relict or high level gravels

4. SIGNIFICANCE OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS ON PALAEOLOGICAL HERITAGE

A brief assessment of the impact significance of the construction phase of the proposed Protea Solar Power Plant on local fossil heritage resources in the study area on Farm Hartsboom 734 is presented here. Please note that further impacts are not anticipated during the operational and decommissioning phase of the development.

- **Nature of the impact**

Bedrock excavations and site clearance for the proposed PV panels, control building, any buried cables, the electrical substation as well as the internal site roads and powerline infrastructure may adversely affect potential fossil heritage within the study area by damaging, destroying, disturbing or permanently sealing-in fossils at or below the ground surface that are then no longer available for scientific research or other public good.

- **Geographical extent and duration of the impact**

Any significant impacts on fossil heritage are limited to the development site and to the construction phase when site clearance and excavations into fresh, potentially fossiliferous bedrock may take place. No further significant impacts are anticipated during the operational or decommissioning phases of the solar facility. Impacts on fossil heritage are generally permanent.

- **Probability of the impact occurring**

Given the generally low palaeontological sensitivity of the bedrocks within the study area, the probability of significant impacts on palaeontological heritage during the construction phase is assessed as low.

- **Intensity / magnitude of impact**

Given the apparent absence of fossil-rich bedrocks in the study area, the magnitude of impacts on palaeontological heritage is rated as low.

- **Degree to which the impact can be reversed**

Impacts on fossil heritage are generally irreversible. Well-documented new records and further palaeontological studies of any fossils revealed during construction would represent a positive impact from a scientific viewpoint.

- **Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources**

Irreplaceable loss of fossil heritage resources is not anticipated here.

- **Degree to which the impact can be mitigated**

Given the lack of evidence for vulnerable fossils on site, there are no recommendations for specialist monitoring or mitigation for the Protea Solar Power Plant project on Farm Hartsboom 734.

Should significant fossil remains - such as well-preserved stromatolites or mammalian bones and teeth - be exposed during construction, the responsible Environmental Control Officer should safeguard these, preferably *in situ*. The South African Heritage Resources Authority (SAHRA) should be alerted as soon as possible (Contact details: Mrs Colette Scheermeyer, P.O. Box 4637, Cape Town 8000, Tel: 021 462 4502, Email: cscheermeyer@sahra.org.za), so that appropriate action can be taken by a professional palaeontologist, at the developer's expense. Mitigation would normally involve the scientific recording and judicious sampling or collection of fossil material as well as associated geological data (e.g. stratigraphy, sedimentology, taphonomy) by a professional palaeontologist. The palaeontologist concerned with mitigation work will need a valid fossil collection permit from SAHRA and any material collected would have to be curated in an approved depository (e.g. museum or university collection). These recommendations should be included within the EMPr for the proposed solar power plant development.

- **Cumulative impacts**

Cumulative impacts could arise as other similar projects are constructed in the area. According to the Energy Blog's database only one other solar PV plant has been granted preferred bidders status within close proximity to the proposed Protea PV plant:

Waterloo Solar Park with a capacity of 75MW near Vryburg, North West Province (Approvals, planning and financing phase).

According to the Department's database numerous other solar plants have been proposed in relative close proximity to the proposed activity, namely:

- The proposed Carocraft Solar Park near Vryburg, North West Province (14/12/16/3/3/2/374);
- Construction of the 75MW Photovoltaic facility and associated infrastructure in Naledi (14/12/16/3/3/2/390).
- The proposed Tiger Kloof Solar Photovoltaic energy facility near Vryburg, North West Province (14/12/16/3/3/2/535).
- The proposed Keren Energy Bosh Pan Solar Plant, Northern Cape Province (14/12/16/3/3/1/563);
- The proposed renewable energy generation project. Carocraft Solar Park in North West Province (14/12/16/3/3/2/699);
- The proposed Renewable Energy Generation Project rem farm Elda, North West (14/12/16/3/3/2/750);
- The proposed Renewable Energy Project on Farm Doornbult 29 and Doornbult 33, North West (14/12/16/3/3/2/751);

Environamics and other environmental consultants are also in the process of applying for Environmental Authorisation for other PV projects in the area, namely:

- The proposed Protea Solar Power Plant near Vryburg, North West Province.
- The proposed Gamma Solar Power Plant near Vryburg, North West Province.
- The proposed Alpha Solar Power Plant near Vryburg, North West Province.
- The proposed Meerkat Solar Power Plant near Vryburg, North West Province.
- The proposed Sonbesie Solar Power Plant near Vryburg, North West Province.
- Three PV Solar Energy facilities on the farm Klondike - AMDA Developments

The potential for cumulative impacts may therefore exist. The Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Report will include a detailed assessment of the potential cumulative impacts associated with the proposed development.

5. CONCLUSIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS

The Protea Solar Power Plant study area is underlain at depth by Permo-Carboniferous glacial sediments of the Dwyka Group (Karoo Supergroup) that are of low palaeontological sensitivity and are very poorly exposed at surface. These ancient bedrocks are largely mantled by much younger, Late Caenozoic calcrete hardpans, sandy soils of possible aeolian origin and possible relict alluvial gravels related to the Dröe Harts River. Both the Palaeozoic bedrocks and the superficial sediments are generally of low palaeontological sensitivity. It is concluded that, with or without mitigation, the overall impact of the proposed Protea Solar Power Plant on the Remaining Extent of Farm Hartsboom 734 is of **NEGATIVE LOW SIGNIFICANCE** in palaeontological heritage terms.

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Mr Dick Berlijn of Protea Solar Power Plant (RF) (Pty) Ltd is thanked for commissioning this study. I am grateful to his colleague Ms Claire Phutieagae for kindly providing all the necessary background information and for facilitating our field visits in the area. Field assistance, logistical backup and companionship from Ms Madelon Tusenius is, as always, much appreciated.

7. REFERENCES

- ALMOND, J.E. 2008a. Fossil record of the Loeriesfontein sheet area (1: 250 000 geological sheet 3018). Unpublished report for the Council for Geoscience, Pretoria, 27 pp.
- ALMOND, J.E. 2008b. Palaeozoic fossil record of the Clanwilliam sheet area (1: 250 000 geological sheet 3218). Unpublished report for the Council for Geoscience, Pretoria, 49 pp.
- ALMOND, J.E. 2008c. Eskom Gamma-Omega 765kV transmission power line: desktop palaeontological heritage assessment, 22 pp plus map inserts. Commissioned by Cape Archaeological Survey and Associates (CAS) on behalf of PD Naidoo and Associates.
- ALMOND, J.E. 2013a. Proposed PV Solar Facility on a portion of the farm Waterloo 992 near Vryburg, Naledi Local Municipality, North-West Province. Palaeontological heritage assessment: combined desktop & field-based study, 29 pp. Natura Viva cc, Cape Town.
- ALMOND, J.E. 2013b. Proposed PV Solar Facility on a portion of the farm Rosendal 673 near Vryburg, Naledi Local Municipality, North-West Province. Palaeontological heritage assessment: desktop study, 15 pp. Natura Viva cc, Cape Town.
- ALMOND, J.E. 2013c. Proposed Tiger Kloof Solar Photovoltaic Energy Facility near Vryburg, Naledi Local Municipality, North West Province. Palaeontological heritage assessment: desktop study, 16 pp. Natura Viva cc, Cape Town.
- ALMOND, J.E. & PETHER, J. 2008a. Palaeontological heritage of the Northern Cape. Interim SAHRA technical report, 124 pp. Natura Viva cc., Cape Town.
- ALMOND, J.E. & PETHER, J. 2008b. Palaeontological heritage of the Western Cape. Interim SAHRA technical report, 20 pp. Natura Viva cc., Cape Town.
- ALTERMANN, W. 2001. The oldest fossils of Africa – a brief reappraisal of reports from the Archaean. *African Earth Sciences* 33, 427-436.
- ALTERMANN, W. 2008. Accretion, trapping and binding of sediment in Archean stromatolites – morphological expression of the antiquity of life. In: Botta, O.; Bada, J.; Gómez Elvira, J.; Javaux, E.; Selsis, F.; Summons, R. (Eds.): *Strategies of Life Detection. Space Sci. Revi.*, Vol. 25, ISSI, Bern, Switzerland. Springer, ISBN 978-0-38777515-9.
- ALTERMANN, J. & HERBIG 1991. Tidal flats deposits of the Lower Proterozoic Campbell Group along the southwestern margin of the Kaapvaal Craton, Northern Cape Province, South Africa. *Journal of African Earth Science* 13: 415-435.
- ALTERMANN, W. & SCHOPF, J.W. 1995. Microfossils from the Neoproterozoic Campbell Group, Griqualand West Sequence of the Transvaal Supergroup, and their paleoenvironmental and evolutionary implications. *Precambrian Research* 75, 65-90.
- ALTERMANN, W. & WOTHERSPOON, J. McD. 1995. The carbonates of the Transvaal and Griqualand West sequences of the Kaapvaal craton, with special reference to the Lime Acres limestone deposit. *Mineralium Deposita* 30, 124-134.

- ANDERSON, A.M. 1974. Arthropod trackways and other trace fossils from the Early Permian lower Karoo Beds of South Africa. Unpublished PhD thesis, University of Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, 172 pp.
- ANDERSON, A.M. 1975. Turbidites and arthropod trackways in the Dwyka glacial deposits (Early Permian) of southern Africa. *Transactions of the Geological Society of South Africa* 78: 265-273.
- ANDERSON, A.M. 1976. Fish trails from the Early Permian of South Africa. *Palaeontology* 19: 397-409, pl. 54.
- ANDERSON, A.M. 1981. The Umfolozia arthropod trackways in the Permian Dwyka and Ecca Groups of South Africa. *Journal of Paleontology* 55: 84-108, pls. 1-4.
- ANDERSON, A.M. & MCLACHLAN, I.R. 1976. The plant record in the Dwyka and Ecca Series (Permian) of the south-western half of the Great Karoo Basin, South Africa. *Palaeontologia africana* 19: 31-42.
- ANDERSON, J.M. & ANDERSON, H.M. 1985. Palaeoflora of southern Africa. Prodomus of South African megaflores, Devonian to Lower Cretaceous, 423 pp, 226 pls. Botanical Research Institute, Pretoria & Balkema, Rotterdam.
- AWRAMIK, S.M. 1991. Archaean and Proterozoic stromatolites. In Riding, R. (ed.) *Calcareous algae and stromatolites*, pp. 289-304. Springer, Berlin.
- BAMFORD, M.K. 2000. Fossil woods of Karoo age deposits in South Africa and Namibia as an aid to biostratigraphical correlation. *Journal of African Earth Sciences* 31, 119-132.
- BAMFORD, M.K. 2004. Diversity of woody vegetation of Gondwanan South Africa. *Gondwana Research* 7, 153-164.
- BERTRAND-SARFATI, J. & ERIKSSON, K. A. 1977. Columnar stromatolites from the Early Proterozoic Schmidtsdrift Formation, Northern Cape Province, South Africa--Part 1: Systematic and diagnostic features. *Palaeontologia Africana* 20, 1-26.
- BEUKES, N. J. 1977. Transition from siliciclastic to carbonate sedimentation near the base of the Transvaal Supergroup, Northern Cape Province, South Africa. *Sedimentary Geology* 18, 201-221.
- BEUKES, N. J. 1987. Facies relations, depositional environments and diagenesis in a major Early Proterozoic stromatolitic carbonate platform to basinal sequence, Campbellrand Subgroup, Transvaal Supergroup, southern Africa. *Sedimentary Geology* 54, 1-46.
- BRASIER, M., MCLOUGHLIN, N., GREEN, O. & WACEY, D. 2006. A fresh look at the fossil evidence for early Archaean cellular life. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B* 361, 887-902.
- BUICK, K. 2001. Life in the Archaean. In: Briggs, D.E.G. & Crowther, P.R. (eds.) *Palaeobiology II*, 13-21. Blackwell Science, London.
- COOPER, M.R. & OOSTHUIZEN, R. 1974. Archaeocyathid-bearing erratics from Dwyka Subgroup (Permo-Carboniferous) of South Africa, and their importance to continental drift. *Nature* 247, 396-398.

ERIKSSON, K.A. & TRUSWELL, J.F. 1973. High inheritance elongate stromatolitic mounds from the Transvaal Dolomite. *Palaeontologia Africana* 15, 23-28.

ERIKSSON, K.A. & TRUSWELL, J.F. 1974. Tidal flat associations from a Lower Proterozoic carbonate sequence in South Africa. *Sedimentology* 21: 293-309.

ERIKSSON, K.A., TRUSWELL, J.F. & BUTTON, A. 1976. Paleoenvironmental and geochemical models from an Early Proterozoic carbonate succession in South Africa. In: Walter, M.R. (Ed.) *Stromatolites*, 635-643. Blackwell, Oxford.

ERIKSSON, K.A. & MCGREGOR, I.M. 1981. Precambrian palaeontology of southern Africa. In: Hunter, D.R. (ed.) *Precambrian of the southern hemisphere*, 813-833. Elsevier, Amsterdam.

ERIKSSON, P.G., SCHWEITZER, J.K., BOSCH, P.J.A., SCHREIBER, U.M., VAN DEVENTER, J.L. & HATTON, C. 1993. The Transvaal Sequence: an overview. *Journal of African Earth Science* 16, 25-51.

ERIKSSON, P.G., HATTINGH, P.J. & ALTERMANN, W. 1995. An overview of the geology of the Transvaal Sequence and the Bushveld Complex, South Africa. *Mineralium Deposita* 30, 98-111.

ERIKSSON, P.G. & ALTERMANN, W. 1998. An overview of the geology of the Transvaal Supergroup dolomites (South Africa). *Environmental Geology* 36, 179-188.

ERIKSSON, P.G., ALTERMANN, W. & HARTZER, F.J. 2006. The Transvaal Supergroup and its precursors. In: Johnson, M.R., Anhaeusser, C.R. & Thomas, R.J. (Eds.) *The geology of South Africa*, pp. 237-260. Geological Society of South Africa, Marshalltown.

KEYSER, N. & DU PLESSIS, C.P. 1993. The geology of the Vryburg area. Explanation to 1: 250 000 geology sheet 2624 Vryburg, 28 pp. Council for Geoscience, Pretoria.

KNOLL, A.H. & BEUKES, N.J. 2009. Introduction: Initial investigations of a Neoproterozoic shelf margin – basin transition (Transvaal Supergroup, South Africa). *Precambrian Research* 2009. doi:10.1016/j.precamres.2008.10.2009

MACRAE, C. 1999. Life etched in stone. Fossils of South Africa. 305 pp. The Geological Society of South Africa, Johannesburg.

MCCARTHY, T. & RUBIDGE, B. 2005. The story of Earth and life: a southern African perspective on a 4.6-billion-year journey. 334pp. Struik, Cape Town.

MCLACHLAN, I.R. & ANDERSON, A. 1973. A review of the evidence for marine conditions in southern Africa during Dwyka times. *Palaeontologia africana* 15: 37-64.

MOORE, J.M., TSIKOS, H. & POLTEAU, S. 2001. Deconstructing the Transvaal Supergroup, South Africa: implications for Palaeoproterozoic palaeoclimate models. *African Earth Sciences* 33, 437-444.

PLUMSTEAD, E.P. 1969. Three thousand million years of plant life in Africa. Alex Du Toit Memorial Lectures No. 11. *Transactions of the Geological Society of South Africa, Annexure to Volume 72*, 72pp. 25 pls.

SAHRA 2013. Minimum standards: palaeontological component of heritage impact assessment reports, 15 pp. South African Heritage Resources Agency, Cape Town.

SCHOPF, J.W. 2006. Fossil evidence of Archaean life. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B* 361, 869-885.

SCHUTTE, I.C. 1994. Die geologie van die gebied Christiana. Explanation to 1: 250 000 geology sheet 2724 Christiana, 58 pp. Council for Geoscience, Pretoria.

SMIT, P.J., BEUKES, N.J., JOHNSON, M.R., MALHERBE, S.J. & VISSER, J.N.J. 1991. Lithostratigraphy of the Vryburg Formation (including the Kalkput, Geelbeksdam, Rosendal, Waterloo and Oceola Members). South African Committee for Stratigraphy Lithostratigraphic Series No. 14, 1-10.

SUMNER, D.Y. & BEUKES, N.J. 2006. Sequence stratigraphic development of the Neoproterozoic Transvaal carbonate platform, Kaapvaal Craton, South Africa. *South African Journal of Geology* 109, 11-22.

TANKARD, A.J., JACKSON, M.P.A., ERIKSSON, K.A., HOBDAK, D.K., HUNTER, D.R. & MINTER, W.E.L. 1982. Crustal evolution of southern Africa – 3.8 billion years of earth history, xv + 523pp. Springer Verlag, New York.

VAN SCHALKWYK, J. 2012. Heritage impact assessment for the proposed development of a photovoltaic power plant on a portion of the farm Waterloo 730, Vryburg region, North West Province, 16 pp.

VISSER, J.N.J. 1989. The Permo-Carboniferous Dwyka Formation of southern Africa: deposition by a predominantly subpolar marine ice sheet. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 70, 377-391.

VISSER, J.N.J. 1992. Deposition of the Early to Late Permian Whitehill Formation during a sea-level highstand in a juvenile foreland basin. *South African Journal of Geology* 95: 181-193.

VISSER, J.N.J. 1994. A Permian argillaceous syn- to post-glacial foreland sequence in the Karoo Basin, South Africa. In Deynoux, M., Miller, J.M.G., Domack, E.W., Eyles, N. & Young, G.M. (Eds.) *Earth's Glacial Record*. International Geological Correlation Project Volume 260, pp. 193-203. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

VISSER, J.N.J. 1997. Deglaciation sequences in the Permo-Carboniferous Karoo and Kalahari Basins of southern Africa: a tool in the analysis of cyclic glaciomarine basin fills. *Sedimentology* 44: 507-521.

VISSER, J.N.J. 2003. Lithostratigraphy of the Elandsvlei Formation (Dwyka Group). South African Committee for Stratigraphy, Lithostratigraphic Series No. 39, 11 pp. Council for Geoscience, Pretoria.

VISSER, J.N.J., VON BRUNN, V. & JOHNSON, M.R. 1990. Dwyka Group. Catalogue of South African Lithostratigraphic Units 2, 15-17. Council for Geoscience, Pretoria.

WRIGHT, D.T. & ALTERMANN, W. 2000. Microfacies development in Late Archaean stromatolites and oolites of the Ghaap Group of South Africa. *Geological Society, London, Special Publications* 178, 51-70.

YOUNG, R.B. 1932. The occurrence of stromatolitic or algal limestones in the Campbell Rand Series, Griqualand West. Transactions of the Geological Society of South Africa 53: 29-36.

8. QUALIFICATIONS & EXPERIENCE OF THE AUTHOR

Dr John Almond has an Honours Degree in Natural Sciences (Zoology) as well as a PhD in Palaeontology from the University of Cambridge, UK. He has been awarded post-doctoral research fellowships at Cambridge University and in Germany, and has carried out palaeontological research in Europe, North America, the Middle East as well as North and South Africa. For eight years he was a scientific officer (palaeontologist) for the Geological Survey / Council for Geoscience in the RSA. His current palaeontological research focuses on fossil record of the Precambrian - Cambrian boundary and the Cape Supergroup of South Africa. He has recently written palaeontological reviews for several 1: 250 000 geological maps published by the Council for Geoscience and has contributed educational material on fossils and evolution for new school textbooks in the RSA.

Since 2002 Dr Almond has also carried out palaeontological impact assessments for developments and conservation areas in the Western, Eastern and Northern Cape, Limpopo, Northwest and the Free State under the aegis of his Cape Town-based company *Natura Viva* cc. He has served as a long-standing member of the Archaeology, Palaeontology and Meteorites Committee for Heritage Western Cape (HWC) and an advisor on palaeontological conservation and management issues for the Palaeontological Society of South Africa (PSSA), HWC and SAHRA. He is currently compiling technical reports on the provincial palaeontological heritage of Western, Northern and Eastern Cape for SAHRA and HWC. Dr Almond is an accredited member of PSSA and APHP (Association of Professional Heritage Practitioners – Western Cape).

Declaration of Independence

I, John E. Almond, declare that I am an independent consultant and have no business, financial, personal or other interest in the proposed development project, application or appeal in respect of which I was appointed other than fair remuneration for work performed in connection with the activity, application or appeal. There are no circumstances that compromise the objectivity of my performing such work.



Dr John E. Almond
Palaeontologist
***Natura Viva* cc**