

**Cultural heritage impact assessment for
THE UTILISATION OF QUARRIES FOR REHABILITATION OF NATIONAL
ROUTE 2 SECTION 19 BETWEEN NQADU (KM 22,0) AND MZEKE RIVER (KM
55,4), O R TAMBO DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY,
EASTERN CAPE PROVINCE**

CULTURAL HERITAGE ASSESSMENT FOR THE UTILISATION OF QUARRIES FOR REHABILITATION OF NATIONAL ROUTE 2 SECTION 19 BETWEEN NQADU (KM 22,0) AND MZEKE RIVER (KM 55,4), O R TAMBO DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY, EASTERN CAPE PROVINCE

Report No: 2016/JvS/031a
Status: Final
Revision No: 0
Date: April 2016
Update: July 2018

Prepared for:

Chameleon Environmental Consultants
Representative: Dr. J Bothma

Postal Address: P O Box 11788, Silver Lakes, 0054
Mobile: 082 571 6920
Fax: 086 6855 080
E-mail: ce.j@mwebbiz.co.za

Prepared by:

J van Schalkwyk (D Litt et Phil), Heritage Consultant
ASAPA Registration No.: 164
Principal Investigator: Iron Age, Colonial Period, Industrial Heritage

Postal Address: 62 Coetzer Avenue, Monument Park, 0181
Mobile: 076 790 6777
Fax: 086 611 3902
E-mail: jvschalkwyk@mweb.co.za

Copy Right:

This report is intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed or to whom it was meant to be addressed. It is provided solely for the purposes set out in it and may not, in whole or in part, be used for any other purpose or by a third party, without the author's prior written consent.



J A van Schalkwyk (D Litt et Phil)
Heritage Consultant
July 2018

Specialist competency:


Johan A van Schalkwyk, D Litt et Phil, heritage consultant, has been working in the field of heritage management for more than 40 years. Originally based at the National Museum of Cultural History, Pretoria, he has actively done research in the fields of anthropology, archaeology, museology, tourism and impact assessment. This work was done in Limpopo Province, Gauteng, Mpumalanga, North West Province, Eastern Cape Province, Northern Cape Province, Botswana, Zimbabwe, Malawi, Lesotho and Swaziland. Based on this work, he has curated various exhibitions at different museums and has published more than 70 papers, most in scientifically accredited journals. During this period, he has done more than 2000 Phase 1 and Phase 2 impact assessments (archaeological, anthropological, historical and social) for various government departments and developers. Projects include environmental management frameworks, roads, pipeline-, and power line developments, dams, mining, water purification works, historical landscapes, refuse dumps and urban developments.

SPECIALIST DECLARATION

I, J A van Schalkwyk, as the appointed independent specialist, in terms of the 2014 EIA Regulations (as amended), hereby declare that I:

- I act as the independent specialist in this application;
- I perform the work relating to the application in an objective manner, even if this results in views and findings that are not favourable to the applicant;
- regard the information contained in this report as it relates to my specialist input/study to be true and correct, and do not have and will not have any financial interest in the undertaking of the activity, other than remuneration for work performed in terms of the NEMA, the Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations, 2014 (as amended) and any specific environmental management Act;
- I declare that there are no circumstances that may compromise my objectivity in performing such work;
- I have expertise in conducting the specialist report relevant to this application, including knowledge of the Act, Regulations and any guidelines that have relevance to the proposed activity;
- I will comply with the Act, Regulations and all other applicable legislation;
- I have no, and will not engage in, conflicting interests in the undertaking of the activity;
- I have no vested interest in the proposed activity proceeding;
- I undertake to disclose to the applicant and the competent authority all material information in my possession that reasonably has or may have the potential of influencing - any decision to be taken with respect to the application by the competent authority; and the objectivity of any report, plan or document to be prepared by myself for submission to the competent authority;
- I have ensured that information containing all relevant facts in respect of the specialist input/study was distributed or made available to interested and affected parties and the public and that participation by interested and affected parties was facilitated in such a manner that all interested and affected parties were provided with a reasonable opportunity to participate and to provide comments on the specialist input/study;
- I have ensured that the comments of all interested and affected parties on the specialist input/study were considered, recorded and submitted to the competent authority in respect of the application;
- all the particulars furnished by me in this specialist input/study are true and correct; and
- I realise that a false declaration is an offence in terms of regulation 48 and is punishable in terms of section 24F of the Act.

Signature of the specialist



J A van Schalkwyk
July 2018

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

CULTURAL HERITAGE ASSESSMENT FOR THE UTILISATION OF QUARRIES FOR REHABILITATION OF NATIONAL ROUTE 2 SECTION 19 BETWEEN NQADU (KM 22,0) AND MZEKE RIVER (KM 55,4), O R TAMBO DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY, EASTERN CAPE PROVINCE

As part of on-going upgrading process, it is proposed to upgrade a section of the N2 national route in Eastern Cape Province. A number of borrow pits and quarries have been identified for obtaining material for the proposed work. In order to comply with relevant legislation, the agency managing this road, SANRAL, commissioned an environmental impact assessment. This report deals with issues pertaining to heritage resources.

Originally only two quarries were subjected to a heritage impact assessment. However, in subsequent planning stages SANRAL determined that additional material would be required, which necessitated the assessment of an additional 2 quarries.

In accordance with Section 38 of the NHRA, an independent heritage consultant was therefore appointed by **Chameleon Environmental Consultants** to conduct a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) to determine if any sites, features or objects of cultural heritage significance occur within the boundaries of the quarries where it is planned to obtain material for the road upgrade.

Identified sites:

(For a detailed discussion of each identified site, please see Appendix 5: Inventory of Identified Cultural Heritage Sites at the end of this report.)

Stone Age

- (7.3.1.1) An open area adjacent to a small stream where a number of MSA tools and flakes are eroding out. The material used is hornfels (indurated shale) and include blades, scrapers, cores and fakes, indicating a factory site.
 - This feature is viewed to have medium significance on a local level and should be avoided – Grade III

Iron Age

- No sites, features or objects dating to the Iron Age were identified in the study area.

Historic period

- (7.3.3.2) A small, informal burial place was identified in close proximity of a possible access road to Quarries 3 & 4. It contains approximately 10 graves in a small area approximately 10 x 10m square.
 - This feature is viewed to have high significance on a local level and should be avoided – Grade III.

Impact assessment:

Impact analysis of cultural heritage resources under threat of the proposed development, is based on the present understanding of the development:

- (7.3.1.1) An open area adjacent to a small stream where a number of MSA tools and flakes are eroding out. The material used is hornfels (indurated shale) and include blades, scrapers, cores and fakes, indicating a factory site.
 - The identified area is approximately 120m (bearing = 325° true) from the centre of proposed **Quarries 3 & 4**.
 - At present it is uncertain if the quarry would extend into this area.
- (7.3.3.2) A small informal burial place was identified in close proximity of a possible access road to **Quarries 3 and 4**. It contains approximately 10 graves.
 - At present it is not known if this track will be used to access the proposed quarries. At its closest point, the graves are approximately 5m (bearing = 255° true) from the edge of the existing track.
 - Although it is very unlikely that there will be an impact on this feature, it is recommended that it should be fenced off for the duration of the road upgrade, leaving a buffer zone of at least five metres from the outer edge of the graves.

Legal requirements

The legal requirements related to heritage specifically are specified in Appendixes 4 and 5. For this proposed project, the assessment has identified two sites of heritage significance. It is calculated that the potential impact of the proposed development on these sites would be low. Therefore, no heritage permits are required, unless indicated otherwise by SAHRA. If heritage features are identified during construction, as stated in the management recommendation, these finds would have to be assessed by a specialist, after which a decision will be made regarding the application for relevant permits.

Reasoned opinion as to whether the proposed activity should be authorised:

- From a heritage point of view it is recommended that the proposed development be allowed to continue, on condition of acceptance of the proposed mitigation measures.

Conditions for inclusion in the environmental authorisation:

- If Quarries 3 & 4 is to extent closer than 50m from the MSA site a surface collection of the material should be made by a qualified archaeologist.
- It is recommended that the burial sites are retained and that it should be fenced off for the duration of the construction activities, leaving a buffer zone of at least five metres from the outer edge of the graves.
- Should archaeological sites or graves be exposed during construction work, it must immediately be reported to a heritage practitioner so that an investigation and evaluation of the finds can be made.



J A van Schalkwyk
Heritage Consultant
July 2018

TECHNICAL SUMMARY

Property details	
Province	Eastern Province
Magisterial district	Libode/Tsolo
District municipality	O R Tambo
Topo-cadastral map	3128BB, 3128BD
Farm name	Various
Closest town	Tsolo

Development criteria in terms of Section 38(1) of the NHR Act	Yes/No
Construction of road, wall, power line, pipeline, canal or other linear form of development or barrier exceeding 300m in length	No
Construction of bridge or similar structure exceeding 50m in length	No
Development exceeding 5000 sq m	Yes
Development involving three or more existing erven or subdivisions	No
Development involving three or more erven or divisions that have been consolidated within past five years	No
Rezoning of site exceeding 10 000 sq m	No
Any other development category, public open space, squares, parks, recreation grounds	No

Development	
Description	Developing new borrow pits and quarries, as well as expanding existing borrow pits
Project name	Rehabilitation of N2 Section 19 Nqadu to Mzeke River

Land use	
Previous land use	Farming
Current land use	Farming

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	IV
TECHNICAL SUMMARY	VI
TABLE OF CONTENTS	VII
LIST OF FIGURES.....	VII
GLOSSARY OF TERMS AND ABBREVIATIONS.....	VIII
COMPLIANCE WITH APPENDIX 6 OF THE 2014 EIA REGULATIONS (AS AMENDED)	IX
1. INTRODUCTION.....	1
2. TERMS OF REFERENCE	1
3. HERITAGE RESOURCES.....	2
4. STUDY APPROACH AND METHODOLOGY	4
5. SITE SIGNIFICANCE AND ASSESSMENT	6
6. PROJECT DESCRIPTION.....	7
7. DESCRIPTION OF THE AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT	8
8. MANAGEMENT MEASURES.....	15
9. RECOMMENDATIONS.....	16
10. REFERENCES.....	19
APPENDIX 1: INDEMNITY AND TERMS OF USE OF THIS REPORT	21
APPENDIX 2. CONVENTIONS USED TO ASSESS THE SIGNIFICANCE OF HERITAGE RESOURCES	22
APPENDIX 3. RELEVANT LEGISLATION	23
APPENDIX 4. RELOCATION OF GRAVES	25
APPENDIX 5: INVENTORY OF IDENTIFIED CULTURAL HERITAGE SITES.....	26
APPENDIX 6: CURRICULUM VITAE	29

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Fig. 1. Map indicating the track log of the field survey (9 April 2016).	5
Fig. 2. The location of the study areas in regional context.	8
Fig. 3. Views over the quarries.	9
Fig. 4. Imperial War Map of the region, dating to 1902.....	11
Fig. 5. Development of the National Freeways by 1972.	11
Fig. 6. Location of the identified sites.....	12
Fig. 7. Location of the identified sites in relation to the quarries.....	14

GLOSSARY OF TERMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

TERMS

Study area: Refers to the entire study area as indicated by the client in the accompanying Fig. 1 - 2.

Stone Age: The first and longest part of human history is the Stone Age, which began with the appearance of early humans between 3-2 million years ago. Stone Age people were hunters, gatherers and scavengers who did not live in permanently settled communities. Their stone tools preserve well and are found in most places in South Africa and elsewhere.

Early Stone Age	2 000 000 - 150 000 Before Present
Middle Stone Age	150 000 - 30 000 BP
Later Stone Age	30 000 - until c. AD 200

Iron Age: Period covering the last 1800 years, when new people brought a new way of life to southern Africa. They established settled villages, cultivated domestic crops such as sorghum, millet and beans, and they herded cattle as well as sheep and goats. As they produced their own iron tools, archaeologists call this the Iron Age.

Early Iron Age	AD 200 - AD 900
Middle Iron Age	AD 900 - AD 1300
Late Iron Age	AD 1300 - AD 1830

Historical Period: Since the arrival of the white settlers - c. AD 1830 - in this part of the country.

ABBREVIATIONS

ADRC	Archaeological Data Recording Centre
ASAPA	Association of Southern African Professional Archaeologists
CS-G	Chief Surveyor-General
EIA	Early Iron Age
ESA	Early Stone Age
LIA	Late Iron Age
LSA	Later Stone Age
HIA	Heritage Impact Assessment
MSA	Middle Stone Age
NASA	National Archives of South Africa
NHRA	National Heritage Resources Act
PHRA	Provincial Heritage Resources Agency
SAHRA	South African Heritage Resources Agency

COMPLIANCE WITH APPENDIX 6 OF THE 2014 EIA REGULATIONS (AS AMENDED)

Requirements of Appendix 6 – GN R982	Addressed in the Specialist Report
1. (1) A specialist report prepared in terms of these Regulations must contain-	
a) details of-	
i. the specialist who prepared the report; and	Front page
ii. the expertise of that specialist to compile a specialist report including a curriculum vitae;	Page i Appendix 6
b) a declaration that the specialist is independent in a form as may be specified by the competent authority;	Page iii
c) an indication of the scope of, and the purpose for which, the report was prepared;	Section 1
(cA) an indication of the quality and age of base data used for the specialist report;	Section 4
(cB) a description of existing impacts on the site, cumulative impacts of the proposed development and levels of acceptable change;	Section 6
d) the duration, date and season of the site investigation and the relevance of the season to the outcome of the assessment;	Section 4.2.2
e) a description of the methodology adopted in preparing the report or carrying out the specialised process inclusive of equipment and modelling used;	Section 4
f) details of an assessment of the specific identified sensitivity of the site related to the proposed activity or activities and its associated structures and infrastructure, inclusive of a site plan identifying site alternatives;	Appendix 5; Fig. 7
g) an identification of any areas to be avoided, including buffers;	Section 8
h) a map superimposing the activity including the associated structures and infrastructure on the environmental sensitivities of the site including areas to be avoided, including buffers;	Appendix 5; Fig. 7
i) a description of any assumptions made and any uncertainties or gaps in knowledge;	Section 2
j) a description of the findings and potential implications of such findings on the impact of the proposed activity or activities;	Section 8
k) any mitigation measures for inclusion in the EMPr;	Section 9
l) any conditions for inclusion in the environmental authorisation;	Section 9
m) any monitoring requirements for inclusion in the EMPr or environmental authorisation;	Section 9
n) a reasoned opinion-	
i. whether the proposed activity, activities or portions thereof should be authorised;	Section 9
(iA) regarding the acceptability of the proposed activity or activities; and	
ii. if the opinion is that the proposed activity, activities or portions thereof should be authorised, any avoidance, management and mitigation measures that should be included in the EMPr, and where applicable, the closure plan;	Section 8, 9
o) a description of any consultation process that was undertaken during the course of preparing the specialist report;	Section 4.3
p) a summary and copies of any comments received during any consultation process and where applicable all responses thereto; and	-
q) any other information requested by the competent authority.	-
(2) Where a government notice by the Minister provides for any protocol or minimum information requirement to be applied to a specialist report, the requirements as indicated in such notice will apply.	-

CULTURAL HERITAGE ASSESSMENT FOR THE UTILISATION OF QUARRIES FOR REHABILITATION OF NATIONAL ROUTE 2 SECTION 19 BETWEEN NQADU (KM 22,0) AND MZEKE RIVER (KM 55,4), O R TAMBO DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY, EASTERN CAPE PROVINCE

1. INTRODUCTION

As part of on-going upgrading process, it is proposed to upgrade a section of the N2 national route in Eastern Cape Province. A number of borrow pits and quarries have been identified for obtaining material for the proposed work. In order to comply with relevant legislation, the agency managing this road, SANRAL, commissioned an environmental impact assessment. This report deals with issues pertaining to heritage resources.

Originally only two quarries were subjected to a heritage impact assessment. However, in subsequent planning stages SANRAL determined that additional material would be required, which necessitated the assessment of an additional 2 quarries.

South Africa's heritage resources, also described as the 'national estate', comprise a wide range of sites, features, objects and beliefs. However, according to Section 27(18) of the National Heritage Resources Act (NHRA), No. 25 of 1999, no person may destroy, damage, deface, excavate, alter, remove from its original position, subdivide or change the planning status of any heritage site without a permit issued by the heritage resources authority responsible for the protection of such site.

In accordance with Section 38 of the NHRA, an independent heritage consultant was therefore appointed by **Chameleon Environmental Consultants** to conduct a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) to determine if any sites, features or objects of cultural heritage significance occur within the boundaries of the quarries where it is planned to obtain material for the road upgrade.

This report forms part of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) as required by the EIA Regulations in terms of the National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998) as amended and is intended for submission to the South African Heritage Resources Agency (SAHRA).

2. TERMS OF REFERENCE

The aim of a full HIA investigation is to provide an informed heritage-related opinion about the proposed development by an appropriate heritage specialist. The objectives are to identify heritage resources (involving site inspections, existing heritage data and additional heritage specialists if necessary); assess their significances; assess alternatives in order to promote heritage conservation issues; and to assess the acceptability of the proposed development from a heritage perspective.

The result of this investigation is a heritage impact assessment report indicating the presence/ absence of heritage resources and how to manage them in the context of the proposed development.

Depending on SAHRA's acceptance of this report, the developer will receive permission to proceed with the proposed development, on condition of successful implementation of proposed mitigation measures.

2.1 Scope of work

The aim of this study is to determine if any sites, features or objects of cultural heritage significance occur within the boundaries of the areas where the borrow pits are to be expanded.

This includes:

- Conducting a desk-top investigation of the area;
- A visit to the proposed development site,

The objectives were to

- Identify possible archaeological, cultural and historic sites within the proposed development areas;
- Evaluate the potential impacts of construction, operation and maintenance of the proposed development on archaeological, cultural and historical resources;
- Recommend mitigation measures to ameliorate any negative impacts on areas of archaeological, cultural or historical importance.

2.2 Limitations

The investigation has been influenced by the following factors:

- It is assumed that the description of the proposed project, provided by the client, is accurate.
- The unpredictability of buried archaeological remains.
- No subsurface investigation (i.e. excavations or sampling) were undertaken, since a permit from SAHRA is required for such activities.
- It is assumed that the public consultation process undertaken as part of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) is sufficient and that it does not have to be repeated as part of the heritage impact assessment.
- This report does not consider the palaeontological potential of the site.

3. HERITAGE RESOURCES

3.1 The National Estate

The NHRA (No. 25 of 1999) defines the heritage resources of South Africa which are of cultural significance or other special value for the present community and for future generations that must be considered part of the national estate to include:

- places, buildings, structures and equipment of cultural significance;
- places to which oral traditions are attached or which are associated with living heritage;
- historical settlements and townscapes;
- landscapes and natural features of cultural significance;
- geological sites of scientific or cultural importance;
- archaeological and palaeontological sites;
- graves and burial grounds, including-
 - ancestral graves;
 - royal graves and graves of traditional leaders;
 - graves of victims of conflict;
 - graves of individuals designated by the Minister by notice in the Gazette;
 - historical graves and cemeteries; and

- other human remains which are not covered in terms of the Human Tissue Act, 1983 (Act No. 65 of 1983);
- sites of significance relating to the history of slavery in South Africa;
- movable objects, including-
 - objects recovered from the soil or waters of South Africa, including archaeological and palaeontological objects and material, meteorites and rare geological specimens;
 - objects to which oral traditions are attached or which are associated with living heritage;
 - ethnographic art and objects;
 - military objects;
 - objects of decorative or fine art;
 - objects of scientific or technological interest; and
 - books, records, documents, photographic positives and negatives, graphic, film or video material or sound recordings, excluding those that are public records as defined in section 1(xiv) of the National Archives of South Africa Act, 1996 (Act No. 43 of 1996).

3.2 Cultural significance

In the NHRA, Section 2 (vi), it is stated that “cultural significance” means aesthetic, architectural, historical, scientific, social, spiritual, linguistic or technological value or significance. This is determined in relation to a site or feature’s uniqueness, condition of preservation and research potential.

According to Section 3(3) of the NHRA, a place or object is to be considered part of the national estate if it has cultural significance or other special value because of

- its importance in the community, or pattern of South Africa's history;
- its possession of uncommon, rare or endangered aspects of South Africa's natural or cultural heritage;
- its potential to yield information that will contribute to an understanding of South Africa's natural or cultural heritage;
- its importance in demonstrating the principal characteristics of a particular class of South Africa's natural or cultural places or objects;
- its importance in exhibiting particular aesthetic characteristics valued by a community or cultural group;
- its importance in demonstrating a high degree of creative or technical achievement at a particular period;
- its strong or special association with a particular community or cultural group for social, cultural or spiritual reasons;
- its strong or special association with the life or work of a person, group or organisation of importance in the history of South Africa; and
- sites of significance relating to the history of slavery in South Africa.

A matrix was developed whereby the above criteria were applied for the determination of the significance of each identified site (see Appendix 1). This allowed some form of control over the application of similar values for similar identified sites.

4. STUDY APPROACH AND METHODOLOGY

4.1 Extent of the Study

This survey and impact assessment covers the area as presented in Section 6 below and illustrated in Figure 2.

4.2 Methodology

4.2.1.1 Survey of the literature

A survey of the relevant literature was conducted with the aim of reviewing the previous research done and determining the potential of the area. In this regard, various anthropological, archaeological and historical sources were consulted – see list of references in Section 10.

- Information on events, sites and features in the larger region were obtained from these sources.

4.2.1.2 Data bases

The *Heritage Atlas Database*, the *Environmental Potential Atlas*, the *Chief Surveyor General* and the *National Archives of South Africa* were consulted.

- Database surveys produced a number of sites located in the larger region of the proposed development.

4.2.1.3 Other sources

Aerial photographs and topocadastral and other maps were also studied - see the list of references below.

- Information of a very general nature were obtained from these sources

Based on the literature assessment the probability of sites, features and objects of cultural significance occurring within vicinity of the proposed borrow pits are summarised in Table 1.

Table 1: Pre-Feasibility Assessment

Category	Period	Probability
Early hominin	Pliocene - Lower Pleistocene	
	Early Hominin	None
Stone Age	Lower Pleistocene - Holocene	
	Early Stone Age	Low
	Middle Stone Age	Medium
	Later Stone Age	Low - Medium
	Rock Art	Low
Iron Age	Holocene	
	Early Iron Age	Low
	Middle Iron Age	None
	Later Iron Age	Medium - High
Historic Period	Holocene	
	Contact Period	Low
	Recent Past	Medium
	Industrial Heritage	Low

4.2.2 Field survey

The field survey was done according to generally accepted archaeological practices, and was aimed at locating all possible sites, objects and structures. The area that had to be investigated was identified by Chameleon Environmental Consultants by means of maps and .kml files indicating the development area. This was loaded onto a Nexus 7 tablet and used in Google Earth during the field survey to access the areas.

The sites were visited on 4 and 5 November 2016. The areas were investigated by walking transects across it – see Fig. 1 below.




Fig. 1. Map indicating the track log of the field survey (9 April 2016).

- During the site visit the archaeological visibility was somewhat limited by the vegetation encountered after the recent good rains in the region.

4.2.3 Documentation

All sites, objects and structures that are identified are documented according to the general minimum standards accepted by the archaeological profession. Coordinates of individual localities are determined by means of the *Global Positioning System* (GPS) and plotted on a map. This information is added to the description in order to facilitate the identification of each locality.

The track log and identified sites were recorded by means of a Garmin Oregon 550 handheld GPS device. Photographic recording was done by means of a Canon EOS 550D digital camera.

Map datum used: Hartebeeshoek 94 (WGS84).

5. SITE SIGNIFICANCE AND ASSESSMENT

5.1 Heritage assessment criteria and grading

The National Heritage Resources Act, Act no. 25 of 1999, stipulates the assessment criteria and grading of heritage sites. The following grading categories are distinguished in Section 7 of the Act:

- **Grade I:** Heritage resources with qualities so exceptional that they are of special national significance;
- **Grade II:** Heritage resources which, although forming part of the national estate, can be considered to have special qualities which make them significant within the context of a province or a region; and
- **Grade III:** Other heritage resources worthy of conservation on a local authority level.

A matrix was developed whereby the criteria, as set out in Sections 3(3) and 7 of the NHRA, were applied for each identified site (see Appendix 1). This allowed some form of control over the application of similar values for similar sites.

The occurrence of sites with a Grade I significance will demand that the development activities be drastically altered in order to retain these sites in their original state. For Grade II and Grade III sites, the applicable of mitigation measures would allow the development activities to continue.

5.2 Methodology for the assessment of potential impacts

All impacts identified during the EIA stage of the study will be classified in terms of their significance. Issues were assessed in terms of the following criteria:

- The **nature**, a description of what causes the effect, what will be affected and how it will be affected;
- The physical **extent**, wherein it is indicated whether:
 - 1 - the impact will be limited to the site;
 - 2 - the impact will be limited to the local area;
 - 3 - the impact will be limited to the region;
 - 4 - the impact will be national; or
 - 5 - the impact will be international;
- The **duration**, wherein it is indicated whether the lifetime of the impact will be:
 - 1 - of a very short duration (0–1 years);
 - 2 - of a short duration (2-5 years);
 - 3 - medium-term (5–15 years);
 - 4 - long term (> 15 years); or
 - 5 - permanent;
- The **magnitude** of impact, quantified on a scale from 0-10, where a score is assigned:
 - 0 - small and will have no effect;
 - 2 - minor and will not result in an impact;
 - 4 - low and will cause a slight impact;
 - 6 - moderate and will result in processes continuing but in a modified way;
 - 8 – high, (processes are altered to the extent that they temporarily cease); or
 - 10 - very high and results in complete destruction of patterns and permanent cessation of processes;
- The **probability** of occurrence, which describes the likelihood of the impact actually occurring and is estimated on a scale where:
 - 1 - very improbable (probably will not happen);
 - 2 - improbable (some possibility, but low likelihood);
 - 3 - probable (distinct possibility);
 - 4 - highly probable (most likely); or
 - 5 - definite (impact will occur regardless of any prevention measures);

- The **significance**, which is determined through a synthesis of the characteristics described above (refer formula below) and can be assessed as low, medium or high;
- The **status**, which is described as either positive, negative or neutral;
- The degree to which the impact can be reversed;
- The degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources; and
- The degree to which the impact can be mitigated.

The **significance** is determined by combining the criteria in the following formula:

$$S = (E+D+M) \times P; \text{ where}$$

S = Significance weighting

E = Extent

D = Duration

M = Magnitude

P = Probability

The **significance weightings** for each potential impact are calculated as follows (Table 2 below):

Table 2: Significance ranking

Significance of impact					
Extent	Duration	Magnitude	Probability	Significance	Weight
-	-	-	-	-	-

Points	Significant Weighting	Discussion
< 30 points	Low	where this impact would not have a direct influence on the decision to develop in the area
31-60 points	Medium	where the impact could influence the decision to develop in the area unless it is effectively mitigated
> 60 points	High	where the impact must have an influence on the decision process to develop in the area

6. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

This report does not deal with development projects outside of or even adjacent to the study area as is presented in Section 6 of this report. The same holds true for heritage sites, except in a generalised sense where it is used to create an overview of the heritage potential in the larger region.

6.1 Site location

It is proposed to upgrade a section of the National Route N2, Section 19 between Nqadu (km 22,0) and Mzeke river (km 55,4) in the O R Tambo District Municipality of Eastern Cape Province. For this, two existing borrow pits, two new borrow pits and four new quarries have been identified where the material will be sourced. All the areas are located to the east of the town of Tsolo (Fig. 2 and Table 3). For more information, see the Technical Summary on p. iv above.




Fig. 2. The location of the study areas in regional context.

Table 3: Summary of quarries

Type	Code	Coordinates (centre point)	Status
Quarry	HQ 03	S 31.29269, E 28.82251	Greenfields
Quarry	HQ 04	S 31.29213, E 28.81899	Greenfields
Quarry	HQ 07	S 31.33627, E 28.76565	Greenfields
Quarry	HQ 08	S 31.33417, E 28.77229	Greenfields

7. DESCRIPTION OF THE AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT

7.1 Site description

The geology of the region is made up of mudstone, with a number of dolerite intrusions, which forms the higher ridges. The vegetation is made up of Moist Upland Grassland. The topography is described as irregular undulating lowlands with hills.

The urban centres in the region consist of Tsolo, which is the regional administration headquarters, and a number of smaller villages spread haphazardly across the landscape.

All the sites can be described as ‘greenfields’, even those that have been used before and are primarily used for grazing of stock. All are quite isolated and not visible from the N2, therefore the visual impact will be minimal.



Fig. 3. Views over the quarries.

7.2 Overview of the region

The aim of this section is to present an overview of the history of the larger region in order to eventually determine the significance of heritage sites identified in the study area, within the context of their historic, aesthetic, scientific and social value, rarity and representivity – see Section 3.2 and Appendix 1 for more information.

The cultural landscape qualities of the region essentially consist of two components. The first is a rural area in which the human occupation is made up of a pre-colonial element (Iron Age) as well as a much later colonial component. The second component, although much younger, is a farming one which also gave rise to an urban element.

Very little archaeological research has been done in the region. The information presented below is mostly derived from the work of Derricourt (1977), but is supplemented where possible with other sources.

The region has been inhabited by humans since at Later Stone Age (LSA) times. This is based on the occurrence of sites such as Kambi, Umnga and Ngcengane in the larger region that containing rock paintings. More to the east, a number of sites have been studied by Opperman (1982, 1987, 1996), as well as Mallen (2008), indicating a Later Stone Age presence in the region.

Iron Age people started to settle in southern Africa c. AD 300, with one of the oldest known sites at Silver Leaves south of Tzaneen dating to AD 270. Having only had cereals (sorghum, millet) that need summer rainfall, Early Iron Age (EIA) people did not move outside this rainfall zone, and neither did they occupy the central interior highveld area.

The occupation of the Eastern Cape region did not start much before the 1500s, although recent research (e.g. Binneman 1996, Nogwaza 1994, Prins & Granger 1993) indicates that it started during the 1st millennium. Feely and Bell-Cross (2011) indicate the existence of a number of sites possibly dating to the Early Iron Age in an area to the northeast of the current study area. Derricourt (1977) investigated a number of sites in the Middeldrift area that he attributed to the Iron Age. Of course, the Late Iron Age also continues into modern times with the occupation of the area by the Nguni-speakers currently occupying the region. The history of these groups have been detailed by Hammond-Tooke (1955, 1956a, 1956b) and Hunter (1969). They are mostly related to the Mpondo and Mpondomise, who have been settled in the region for a considerable period of time. However, a number of groups that originated in Natal, such as the Tolo, Zizi, Hlubi, Bhele and Ngwane, settled in the region after the wars broke out in KwaZulu-Natal during the early part of the 18th century.

White settlers moved into the area during the second half of the 18th century. They were largely self-sufficient, basing their survival on cattle/sheep farming and hunting. Few towns were established and it remained an undeveloped area. This was also the area where white colonial expansion came into contact with the African populations, resulting in conflict, as well as the transfer of new ideas.

From the map in Fig. 4, dating to 1902, it can be seen that very little development existed in the region. By and large, the current road follows the original alignment dating back to the early 20th century.

By the 1970s, the main roads leading through the area were incorporated into the national road system (Fig. 5). However, with the so-called independence of the former homelands, these roads became the concern of these ersatz states and quickly declined in quality due to a lack of maintenance.




Fig. 4. Imperial War Map of the region, dating to 1902.



Fig. 5. Development of the National Freeways by 1972.
(After Floor 1985)

7.3 Identified sites

The following sites, features and objects of cultural significance were identified in the study area – see Appendix 5 for a detailed discussion of each individual site.

In terms of Section 7 of the NHRA, all the sites currently known or which are expected to occur in the study area are evaluated to have a grading as identified in the table below.

Table 4. Summary of identified heritage resources in the study area.

IDENTIFIED HERITAGE RESOURCES			
NHRA category	Number	Coordinates	Impact rating
Formal protections (NHRA)			
National heritage site (Section 27)	None	-	-
Provincial heritage site (Section 27)	None	-	-
Provisional protection (Section 29)	None	-	-
Listed in heritage register (Section 30)	None	-	-
General protections (NHRA)			
Structures older than 60 years (Section 34)	None	-	-
Archaeological site or material (Section 35)	7.3.1.1	-31.29110, 28.82112	Low
Palaeontological site or material (Section 35)	None	-	-
Graves or burial grounds (Section 36)	7.3.3.2	-31.28474, 28.81668	Low
Public monuments or memorials (Section 37)	None	-	-
Other			
Any other heritage resources (describe)	None	-	-




Fig. 6. Location of the identified sites.
(Map 3128BB, 3128BD: Chief Surveyor-General)

7.3.1 Stone Age

- Open site
 - (7.3.1.1) An open area adjacent to a small stream where a number of MSA tools and flakes are eroding out. The material used is hornfels (indurated shale) and include blades, scrapers, cores and fakes, indicating a factory site.
 - This feature is viewed to have medium significance on a local level and should be avoided – Grade III

7.3.2 Iron Age

- No sites, features or objects dating to the Iron Age were identified in the study area.

7.3.3 Historic period

- Informal burial places:
 - (7.3.3.2) A small, informal burial place was identified in close proximity of a possible access road to Quarries 3 & 4. It contains approximately 10 graves in a small area approximately 10 x 10m square.
 - This feature is viewed to have high significance on a local level and should be avoided – Grade III.

7.4 Impact assessment

Heritage impacts are categorised as:

- Direct or physical impacts, implying alteration or destruction of heritage features within the project boundaries
- Indirect impacts, e.g. restriction of access or visual intrusion concerning the broader environment
- Cumulative impacts that are combinations of the above

The impacts of the proposed development could be direct or physical but will not be indirect and cumulative.

Impact can be managed through one or a combination of the following measures:

- Mitigation
- Avoidance
- Compensation
- Enhancement (positive impacts)
- Rehabilitation
- Interpretation
- Memorialisation

Impact analysis of cultural heritage resources under threat of the proposed development, is based on the present understanding of the development:

- (7.3.1.1) An open area adjacent to a small stream where a number of MSA tools and flakes are eroding out. The material used is hornfels (indurated shale) and include blades, scrapers, cores and fakes, indicating a factory site.

- The identified area is approximately 120m (bearing = 325° true) from the centre of proposed **Quarries 3 & 4**. At present it is uncertain if the quarry would extend into this area.
 - If the quarry is to extent closer than 50m from the above coordinate (seen as the centre of the identified site) a surface collection of the material should be made by a qualified archaeologist.
- (7.3.3.2) A small informal burial place was identified in close proximity of a possible access road to **Quarries 3 and 4**. It contains approximately 10 graves.
 - At present it is not known if this track will be used to access the proposed quarries. At its closest point, the graves are approximately 5m (bearing = 215° true) from the edge of the existing track.
 - Although it is very unlikely that there will be an impact on this feature, it is recommended that it should be fenced off for the duration of the road upgrade, leaving a buffer zone of at least five metres from the outer edge of the graves.




Fig. 7. Location of the identified sites in relation to the quarries.

Nature: Archaeological sites: surface scatter of MSA material in close proximity to		
	Without mitigation	With mitigation
CONSTRUCTION PHASE		

Probability	Definite (2)	Definite (2)
Duration	Permanent (5)	Permanent (5)
Extent	Limited to the site (1)	Limited to the site (1)
Magnitude	Minor (2)	Minor (2)
Significance	16 (low)	16 (low)
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
OPERATIONAL PHASE		
Probability	Definite (2)	Definite (2)
Duration	Permanent (5)	Permanent (5)
Extent	Limited to the site (1)	Limited to the site (1)
Magnitude	Minor (2)	Low (2)
Significance	16 (low)	16 (low)
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Reversibility	Low	Moderate
Irreplaceable loss of resources?	Moderate	Low
Can impacts be mitigated?	Yes	

Nature: Graves in formal or informal burial site. Date of graves and total per burial site is impossible to determine as none have headstones with names and some robbing of stones might have taken place

	Without mitigation	With mitigation
CONSTRUCTION PHASE		
Probability	Definite (2)	Definite (2)
Duration	Permanent (5)	Permanent (5)
Extent	Limited to the site (1)	Limited to the site (1)
Magnitude	Minor (2)	Minor (2)
Significance	16 (low)	16 (low)
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
OPERATIONAL PHASE		
Probability	Definite (2)	Definite (2)
Duration	Permanent (5)	Permanent (5)
Extent	Limited to the site (1)	Limited to the site (1)
Magnitude	Minor (2)	Low (2)
Significance	16 (low)	16 (low)
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Reversibility	Low	Moderate
Irreplaceable loss of resources?	Moderate	Low
Can impacts be mitigated?	Yes	

8. MANAGEMENT MEASURES

Heritage sites are fixed features in the environment, occurring within specific spatial confines. Any impact upon them is permanent and non-reversible. Those resources that cannot be avoided and that are directly impacted by the proposed development can be excavated/recorded and a management plan can be developed for future action. Those sites

that are not impacted on can be written into the management plan, whence they can be avoided or cared for in the future.

8.1 Objectives

- Protection of archaeological, historical and any other site or land considered being of cultural value within the project boundary against vandalism, destruction and theft.
- The preservation and appropriate management of new discoveries in accordance with the NHRA, should these be discovered during construction activities.

The following shall apply:

- Known sites should be clearly marked in order that they can be avoided during construction activities.
- The contractors and workers should be notified that archaeological sites might be exposed during the construction activities.
- Should any heritage artefacts be exposed during excavation, work on the area where the artefacts were discovered, shall cease immediately and the Environmental Control Officer shall be notified as soon as possible;
- All discoveries shall be reported immediately to a heritage practitioner so that an investigation and evaluation of the finds can be made. Acting upon advice from these specialists, the Environmental Control Officer will advise the necessary actions to be taken;
- Under no circumstances shall any artefacts be removed, destroyed or interfered with by anyone on the site; and
- Contractors and workers shall be advised of the penalties associated with the unlawful removal of cultural, historical, archaeological or palaeontological artefacts, as set out in the National Heritage Resources Act (Act No. 25 of 1999), Section 51. (1).

8.2 Control

In order to achieve this, the following should be in place:

- A person or entity, e.g. the Environmental Control Officer, should be tasked to take responsibility for the heritage sites and should be held accountable for any damage.
- Known sites should be located and isolated, e.g. by fencing them off. All construction workers should be informed that these are no-go areas, unless accompanied by the individual or persons representing the Environmental Control Officer as identified above.
- In areas where the vegetation is threatening the heritage sites, e.g. growing trees pushing walls over, it should be removed, but only after permission for the methods proposed has been granted by SAHRA. A heritage official should be part of the team executing these measures.

9. RECOMMENDATIONS

The aim of the survey was to locate, identify, evaluate and document sites, objects and structures of cultural significance found within the area in which the development is proposed. The cultural landscape qualities of the region essentially consist of two components. The first is a rural area in which the human occupation is made up of a pre-colonial element (Iron Age) as well as a much later colonial component. The second component, although much younger, is a farming one which also gave rise to an urban element.

Identified sites:

(For a detailed discussion of each identified site, please see Appendix 6: Inventory of Identified Cultural Heritage Sites at the end of this report.)

Stone Age

- (7.3.1.1) An open area adjacent to a small stream where a number of MSA tools and flakes are eroding out. The material used is hornfels (indurated shale) and include blades, scrapers, cores and fakes, indicating a factory site.
 - This feature is viewed to have medium significance on a local level and should be avoided – Grade III

7.3.2 Iron Age

- No sites, features or objects dating to the Iron Age were identified in the study area.

7.3.3 Historic period

- (7.3.3.1) A small, informal burial place was identified in close proximity of Borrow Pit 4. It contains approximately 20 graves spread over a wide area.
 - This feature is viewed to have high significance on a local level and should be avoided – Grade III.
- (7.3.3.2) A small, informal burial place was identified in close proximity of a possible access road to Quarries 3 & 4. It contains approximately 10 graves in a small area approximately 10 x 10m square.
 - This feature is viewed to have high significance on a local level and should be avoided – Grade III.

Impact assessment:

Impact analysis of cultural heritage resources under threat of the proposed development, is based on the present understanding of the development:

- (7.3.1.1) An open area adjacent to a small stream where a number of MSA tools and flakes are eroding out. The material used is hornfels (indurated shale) and include blades, scrapers, cores and fakes, indicating a factory site.
 - The identified area is approximately 120m (bearing = 325° true) from the centre of proposed **Quarries 3 & 4**.
 - At present it is uncertain if the quarry would extend into this area.
- (7.3.3.2) A small informal burial place was identified in close proximity of a possible access road to **Quarries 3 and 4**. It contains approximately 10 graves.
 - At present it is not known if this track will be used to access the proposed quarries. At its closest point, the graves are approximately 5m (bearing = 255° true) from the edge of the existing track.
 - Although it is very unlikely that there will be an impact on this feature, it is recommended that it should be fenced off for the duration of the road upgrade, leaving a buffer zone of at least five metres from the outer edge of the graves.

Legal requirements

The legal requirements related to heritage specifically are specified in Appendixes 4 and 5. For this proposed project, the assessment has identified two sites of heritage significance. It is calculated that the potential impact of the proposed development on these sites would be low. Therefore, no heritage permits are required, unless indicated otherwise by SAHRA. If heritage features are identified during construction, as stated in the management recommendation, these finds would have to be assessed by a specialist, after which a decision will be made regarding the application for relevant permits.

Reasoned opinion as to whether the proposed activity should be authorised:

- From a heritage point of view it is recommended that the proposed development be allowed to continue, on condition of acceptance of the proposed mitigation measures.

Conditions for inclusion in the environmental authorisation:

- If Quarries 3 & 4 is to extent closer than 50m from the identified coordinate (seen as the centre of the identified heritage site) a surface collection of the material should be made by a qualified archaeologist.
- It is recommended that the burial sites are retained and that it should be fenced off for the duration of the construction activities, leaving a buffer zone of at least five metres from the outer edge of the graves.
- Should archaeological sites or graves be exposed during construction work, it must immediately be reported to a heritage practitioner so that an investigation and evaluation of the finds can be made.

10. REFERENCES

10.1 Data bases

Chief Surveyor General
Environmental Potential Atlas, Department of Environmental Affairs and Tourism.
Heritage Atlas Database, Pretoria.
National Archives of South Africa
SAHRA Archaeology and Palaeontology Report Mapping Project (2009)

10.2 Literature

Acocks, J.P.H. 1975. *Veld Types of South Africa*. Memoirs of the Botanical Survey of South Africa, No. 40. Pretoria: Botanical Research Institute.

Binneman, J. 1996. Preliminary results from investigations at Kulubele, an Early Iron Age farming settlement in the Great Kei River valley, Eastern Cape. *Southern African Field Archaeology* 5(1)28-35.

Department of Water Affairs and Forestry, 2007. Dam safety rehabilitation programme for Government Water Works 2007/2008.

Derricourt, R.M. 1977. Prehistoric man in the Ciskei and Transkei. Cape Town: Struik.

Floor, B.C. 1985. *The history of National Roads in South Africa*. Cape Town: CTP Printers.

Feely, J.M. 1985. Smelting in the Iron Age of Transkei. *South African Journal of Science* 81:10-11.

Feely, J.M. 1987. *The Early Farmers of Transkei, Southern Africa before A.D. 1870*. Cambridge Monographs in African Archaeology 24. BAR International Series 378.

Feely, J.M. & Bell-Cross, S.M. 2011. The distribution of Early Iron Age settlement in the Eastern Cape: some historical and ecological implications. *South African Archaeological Bulletin* 66(194):105-112.

Granger, J.E., Hall, M., McKenzie, B. & Feely, J.M. 1985. Archaeological research on plant and animal husbandry in Transkei. *South African Journal of Science* 81:12-15.

Hammond-Tooke, W.D. 1955. *The Tribes of Mount Frere District*. Ethnological Publications No. 33. Pretoria: Government Printer.

Hammond-Tooke, W.D. 1956a. *The Tribes of Umtata District*. Ethnological Publications No. 35. Pretoria: Government Printer.

Hammond-Tooke, W.D. 1956b. *The Tribes of the Willowvale District*. Ethnological Publications No. 36. Pretoria: Government Printer.

Holm, S.E. 1966. *Bibliography of South African Pre- and Protohistoric archaeology*. Pretoria: J.L. van Schaik.

Hunter, M. 1969. *Reaction to Conquest*. London: Oxford University Press.

Mallen, L. 2008. *Rock art and identity in the north Eastern Cape Province*. Unpublished MA dissertation. Johannesburg: University of the Witwatersrand.

- Nogwaza, T. 1994. Early Iron Age pottery from Canasta Place, East London District. *Southern African Field Archaeology* 3:103-106.
- Opperman, H. 1982. Some research results of excavations in the Colwinton Rock Shelter, North-eastern Cape. *South African Archaeological Bulletin* 37:51-56
- Opperman, H. 1987. The Later Stone Age of the Drakensberg Range and its Foothills. *Cambridge Monographs in African Archaeology*. BAR International Series 339.P. 136
- Opperman, H. 1996. Excavation of a Later Stone Age deposit in Strathalan Cave A, Maclear District, North-eastern Cape, South Africa. In Pwiti, G & Soper, R. (eds.) *Aspects of African Archaeology*. Harare: University of Zimbabwe Publications. Pp. 335-342.
- Prins, F.E. 1996. Aspects of Iron Age Ecology in the Eastern Cape and KwaZulu/Natal. *Azania* 29/30:71-90.
- Prins, F.E. & Granger, J.E. 1993. Early farming communities in the northern Transkei: the evidence from Ntsitsana and adjacent areas. *Natal Museum Journal of Humanities* 5:153-174.
- Raper, P.E. 2004. *South African place names*. Johannesburg: Jonathan Ball Publishers.
- Richardson, D. 2001. *Historic sites of South Africa*. Cape Town: Struik Publishers.
- Wahl, E. & Van Schalkwyk, L. 2014. *Environmental Impact Assessment for the Mzimvubu Water Project: Phase 1 Heritage Impact Assessment*. Unpublished report: eThembeni.

10.3 Maps and aerial photographs

1: 50 000 Topocadastral maps
Google Earth

APPENDIX 1: INDEMNITY AND TERMS OF USE OF THIS REPORT

The findings, results, conclusions and recommendations given in this report are based on the author's best scientific and professional knowledge as well as available information. The report is based on survey and assessment techniques which are limited by time and budgetary constraints relevant to the type and level of investigation undertaken and the author reserve the right to modify aspects of the report including the recommendations if and when new information may become available from ongoing research or further work in this field, or pertaining to this investigation.

Although all possible care is taken to identify all sites of cultural importance during the investigation of study areas, it is always possible that hidden or sub-surface sites could be overlooked during the study. The author of this report will not be held liable for such oversights or for costs incurred as a result of such oversights.

Although the author exercises due care and diligence in rendering services and preparing documents, he accepts no liability and the client, by receiving this document, indemnifies the author against all actions, claims, demands, losses, liabilities, costs, damages and expenses arising from or in connection with services rendered, directly or indirectly by the author and by the use of the information contained in this document.

This report must not be altered or added to without the prior written consent of the author. This also refers to electronic copies of this report which are supplied for the purposes of inclusion as part of other reports, including main reports. Similarly, any recommendations, statements or conclusions drawn from or based on this report must make reference to this report. If these form part of a main report relating to this investigation or report, this report must be included in its entirety as an appendix or separate section to the main report.

APPENDIX 2. CONVENTIONS USED TO ASSESS THE SIGNIFICANCE OF HERITAGE RESOURCES

Significance

According to the NHRA, Section 2(vi) the **significance** of a heritage sites and artefacts is determined by it aesthetic, architectural, historical, scientific, social, spiritual, linguistic or technical value in relation to the uniqueness, condition of preservation and research potential. It must be kept in mind that the various aspects are not mutually exclusive, and that the evaluation of any site is done with reference to any number of these.

Matrix used for assessing the significance of each identified site/feature

1. Historic value				
Is it important in the community, or pattern of history				
Does it have strong or special association with the life or work of a person, group or organisation of importance in history				
Does it have significance relating to the history of slavery				
2. Aesthetic value				
It is important in exhibiting particular aesthetic characteristics valued by a community or cultural group				
3. Scientific value				
Does it have potential to yield information that will contribute to an understanding of natural or cultural heritage				
Is it important in demonstrating a high degree of creative or technical achievement at a particular period				
4. Social value				
Does it have strong or special association with a particular community or cultural group for social, cultural or spiritual reasons				
5. Rarity				
Does it possess uncommon, rare or endangered aspects of natural or cultural heritage				
6. Representivity				
Is it important in demonstrating the principal characteristics of a particular class of natural or cultural places or objects				
Importance in demonstrating the principal characteristics of a range of landscapes or environments, the attributes of which identify it as being characteristic of its class				
Importance in demonstrating the principal characteristics of human activities (including way of life, philosophy, custom, process, land-use, function, design or technique) in the environment of the nation, province, region or locality.				
7. Sphere of Significance		High	Medium	Low
International				
National				
Provincial				
Regional				
Local				
Specific community				
8. Significance rating of feature				
1.	Low			
2.	Medium			
3.	High			

APPENDIX 3. RELEVANT LEGISLATION

All archaeological and palaeontological sites, and meteorites are protected by the National Heritage Resources Act (Act no 25 of 1999) as stated in Section 35:

(1) Subject to the provisions of section 8, the protection of archaeological and palaeontological sites and material and meteorites is the responsibility of a provincial heritage resources authority: Provided that the protection of any wreck in the territorial waters and the maritime cultural zone shall be the responsibility of SAHRA.

(2) Subject to the provisions of subsection (8)(a), all archaeological objects, palaeontological material and meteorites are the property of the State. The responsible heritage authority must, on behalf of the State, at its discretion ensure that such objects are lodged with a museum or other public institution that has a collection policy acceptable to the heritage resources authority and may in so doing establish such terms and conditions as it sees fit for the conservation of such objects.

(3) Any person who discovers archaeological or palaeontological objects or material or a meteorite in the course of development or agricultural activity must immediately report the find to the responsible heritage resources authority, or to the nearest local authority offices or museum, which must immediately notify such heritage resources authority.

(4) No person may, without a permit issued by the responsible heritage resources authority-

- (a) destroy, damage, excavate, alter, deface or otherwise disturb any archaeological or palaeontological site or any meteorite;
- (b) destroy, damage, excavate, remove from its original position, collect or own any archaeological or palaeontological material or object or any meteorite;
- (c) trade in, sell for private gain, export or attempt to export from the Republic any category of archaeological or palaeontological material or object, or any meteorite; or
- (d) bring onto or use at an archaeological or palaeontological site any excavation equipment or any equipment which assist in the detection or recovery of metals or archaeological and palaeontological material or objects, or use such equipment for the recovery of meteorites.

In terms of cemeteries and graves the following (Section 36):

(1) Where it is not the responsibility of any other authority, SAHRA must conserve and generally care for burial grounds and graves protected in terms of this section, and it may make such arrangements for their conservation as it sees fit.

(2) SAHRA must identify and record the graves of victims of conflict and any other graves which it deems to be of cultural significance and may erect memorials associated with the grave referred to in subsection (1), and must maintain such memorials.

(3) No person may, without a permit issued by SAHRA or a provincial heritage resources authority-

- (a) destroy, damage, alter, exhume or remove from its original position or otherwise disturb the grave of a victim of conflict, or any burial ground or part thereof which contains such graves;
- (b) destroy, damage, alter, exhume, remove from its original position or otherwise disturb any grave or burial ground older than 60 years which is situated outside a formal cemetery administered by a local authority; or
- (c) bring onto or use at a burial ground or grave referred to in paragraph (a) or (b) any excavation equipment, or any equipment which assists in the detection or recovery of metals.

(4) SAHRA or a provincial heritage resources authority may not issue a permit for the destruction or damage of any burial ground or grave referred to in subsection (3)(a) unless it is satisfied that the applicant has made satisfactory arrangements for the exhumation and re-interment of the contents of such graves, at the cost of the applicant and in accordance with any regulations made by the responsible heritage resources authority.

The National Heritage Resources Act (Act no 25 of 1999) stipulates the assessment criteria and grading of archaeological sites. The following categories are distinguished in Section 7 of the Act:

- **Grade I:** Heritage resources with qualities so exceptional that they are of special national significance;
- **Grade II:** Heritage resources which, although forming part of the national estate, can be considered to have special qualities which make them significant within the context of a province or a region; and
- **Grade III:** Other heritage resources worthy of conservation, and which prescribes heritage resources assessment criteria, consistent with the criteria set out in section 3(3), which must be used by a heritage resources authority or a local authority to assess the intrinsic, comparative and contextual significance of a heritage resource and the relative benefits and costs of its protection, so that the appropriate level of grading of the resource and the consequent responsibility for its management may be allocated in terms of section 8.

Presenting archaeological sites as part of tourism attraction requires, in terms 44 of the Act, a Conservation Management Plan as well as a permit from SAHRA.

(1) Heritage resources authorities and local authorities must, wherever appropriate, co-ordinate and promote the presentation and use of places of cultural significance and heritage resources which form part of the national estate and for which they are responsible in terms of section 5 for public enjoyment, education, research and tourism, including-

- (a) the erection of explanatory plaques and interpretive facilities, including interpretive centres and visitor facilities;
- (b) the training and provision of guides;
- (c) the mounting of exhibitions;
- (d) the erection of memorials; and
- (e) any other means necessary for the effective presentation of the national estate.

(2) Where a heritage resource which is formally protected in terms of Part I of this Chapter is to be presented, the person wishing to undertake such presentation must, at least 60 days prior to the institution of interpretive measures or manufacture of associated material, consult with the heritage resources authority which is responsible for the protection of such heritage resource regarding the contents of interpretive material or programmes.

(3) A person may only erect a plaque or other permanent display or structure associated with such presentation in the vicinity of a place protected in terms of this Act in consultation with the heritage resources authority responsible for the protection of the place.

APPENDIX 4. RELOCATION OF GRAVES

If the graves are younger than 60 years, an undertaker can be contracted to deal with the exhumation and reburial. This will include public participation, organising cemeteries, coffins, etc. They need permits and have their own requirements that must be adhered to.

If the graves are older than 60 years old or of undetermined age, an archaeologist must be in attendance to assist with the exhumation and documentation of the graves. This is a requirement by law.

Once it has been decided to relocate particular graves, the following steps should be taken:

- Notices of the intention to relocate the graves need to be put up at the burial site for a period of 60 days. This should contain information where communities and family members can contact the developer/archaeologist/public-relations officer/undertaker. All information pertaining to the identification of the graves needs to be documented for the application of a SAHRA permit. The notices need to be in at least 3 languages, English, and two other languages. This is a requirement by law.
- Notices of the intention needs to be placed in at least two local newspapers and have the same information as the above point. This is a requirement by law.
- Local radio stations can also be used to try contact family members. This is not required by law, but is helpful in trying to contact family members.
- During this time (60 days) a suitable cemetery need to be identified close to the development area or otherwise one specified by the family of the deceased.
- An open day for family members should be arranged after the period of 60 days so that they can gather to discuss the way forward, and to sort out any problems. The developer needs to take the families requirements into account. This is a requirement by law.
- Once the 60 days has passed and all the information from the family members have been received, a permit can be requested from SAHRA. This is a requirement by law.
- Once the permit has been received, the graves may be exhumed and relocated.
- All headstones must be relocated with the graves as well as any items found in the grave.

Information needed for the SAHRA permit application

- The permit application needs to be done by an archaeologist.
- A map of the area where the graves have been located.
- A survey report of the area prepared by an archaeologist.
- All the information on the families that have identified graves.
- If graves have not been identified and there are no headstones to indicate the grave, these are then unknown graves and should be handled as if they are older than 60 years. This information also needs to be given to SAHRA.
- A letter from the landowner giving permission to the developer to exhume and relocate the graves.
- A letter from the new cemetery confirming that the graves will be reburied there.
- Details of the farm name and number, magisterial district and GPS coordinates of the gravesite.

APPENDIX 5: INVENTORY OF IDENTIFIED CULTURAL HERITAGE SITES

Location	No. 7.3.1.1	Open site	S 31.29110, E 28.82112
Description			
An open area adjacent to a small stream where a number of MSA tools and flakes are eroding out. The material used is hornfels (indurated shale) and include blades, scrapers, cores and fakes, indicating a factory site. The density of the material is approximately 1 object per 3m ² .			

Significance of site/feature	Medium on a local level – Grade III
-------------------------------------	-------------------------------------

Impact assessment
The identified area is approximately 120m (bearing = 325° true) from the centre of the proposed quarry. At present it is uncertain if the quarry would extend into this area.

Significance of impact					
Extent	Duration	Magnitude	Probability	Significance	Weight
2	5	6	2	26	Low

Mitigation
If the quarry is to extent closer that 50m from the above coordinate (seen as the centre of the identified site) a surface collection of the material should be made by a qualified archaeologist.

Requirements
SAHRA permit

References
1: 50 000 topocadastral map



The location of the MSA site (black arrow) in relation the proposed quarries (green arrow).

Location	No. 7.3.3.2	Burial site	S 31.28474, E 28.81668
Description			
A small, informal burial place was identified in close proximity of a possible access road to Quarries 3 & 4. It contains approximately 10 graves in a small area approximately 10 x 10m square.			

Significance of site/feature	High on a local level – Grade III
-------------------------------------	-----------------------------------

Impact assessment
This site is located within 5m (bearing = 255° true) from a possible access route to Quarry


Significance of impact					
Extent	Duration	Magnitude	Probability	Significance	Weight
2	5	6	2	26	Low

Mitigation
If the burial place is retained, it should be fenced off for the duration of the road upgrade, leaving a buffer zone of at least five metres from the outer edge of the graves. This demarcation with danger tape should be done in consultation with the local community. If the graves cannot be retained, it should be relocated, but only on condition of following the correct procedures (see Appendix 5).

Requirements
As some of these graves might be older than 60 years, a valid permit for their relocation must be obtained from SAHRA. This is in addition to all other requirements – see Appendix 5.

References
1: 50 000 topocadastral map





APPENDIX 6: CURRICULUM VITAE**Johan Abraham van Schalkwyk****Personal particulars**

Date of birth: 14 April 1952
Identity number: 520414 5099 08 4
Marital status: Married; one daughter
Nationality: South African

Current address: home

62 Coetzer Ave, Monument Park, Pretoria, 0181
Mobile: 076 790 6777; E-mail: jvschalkwyk@mweb.co.za

Qualifications

1995 DLitt et Phil (Anthropology), University of South Africa
1985 MA (Anthropology), University of Pretoria
1981 BA (Hons), Anthropology, University of Pretoria
1979 Post Graduate Diploma in Museology, University of Pretoria
1978 BA (Hons), Archaeology, University of Pretoria
1976 BA, University of Pretoria

Non-academic qualifications

12th HSRC-School in Research Methodology - July 1990
Dept. of Education and Training Management Course - June 1992
Social Assessment Professional Development Course - 1994
Integrated Environmental Management Course, UCT - 1994

Professional experience

Private Practice
2017 - current: Professional Heritage Consultant

National Museum of Cultural History

1992 - 2017: Senior researcher: Head of Department of Research. Manage an average of seven researchers in this department and supervise them in their research projects. Did various projects relating to Anthropology and Archaeology in Limpopo Province, Mpumalanga, North West Province and Gauteng. Headed the Museum's Section for Heritage Impact Assessments.
1978 - 1991: Curator of the Anthropological Department of the Museum. Carried out extensive fieldwork in both anthropology and archaeology

Department of Archaeology, University of Pretoria

1976 - 1977: Assistant researcher responsible for excavations at various sites in Limpopo Province and Mpumalanga.

Awards and grants

1. Hanisch Book Prize for the best final year Archaeology student, University of Pretoria - 1976.
2. Special merit award, National Cultural History Museum - 1986.
3. Special merit award, National Cultural History Museum - 1991.
4. Grant by the Department of Arts, Culture, Science and Technology, to visit the various African countries to study museums, sites and cultural programmes - 1993.
5. Grant by the USA National Parks Service, to visit the United States of America to study museums, sites, tourism development, cultural programmes and impact assessment programmes - 1998.
6. Grant by the USA embassy, Pretoria, under the Bi-national Commission Exchange Support Fund, to visit cultural institutions in the USA and to attend a conference in Charleston - 2000.

7. Grant by the National Research Foundation to develop a model for community-based tourism - 2001.

8. Grant by the National Research Foundation to develop a model for community-based tourism - 2013. In association with RARI, Wits University.

Publications

Published more than 70 papers, mostly in scientifically accredited journals, but also as chapters in books.

Conference Contributions

Regularly present papers at conferences, locally as well as internationally, on various research topics, ranging in scope from archaeology, anthropological, history, cultural historical and tourism development.

Heritage Impact Assessments

Since 1992, I have done more than 2000 Phase 1 and Phase 2 impact assessments (archaeological, anthropological, historical and social) for various government departments and developers. Projects include environmental management frameworks, roads, pipeline-, and power line developments, dams, mining, water purification works, historical landscapes, refuse dumps and urban developments.