

**PALAEONTOLOGICAL DESKTOP ASSESSMENT FOR THE  
PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT ON PTN 12 OF  
WEMMERSHUIS 379 JT AND THE REMAINDER VAN  
BERGENDAL 981JT, EMAKHAZENI LOCAL  
MUNICIPALITY, NKANGALA DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY,  
MPUMALANGA PROVINCE**

**For:**

**HIA CONSULTANTS**

**APELSER ARCHAEOLOGICAL CONSULTING CC  
Cell: 0834593091**

**DATE: 22 April 2016**

**By**

**Gideon Groenewald  
Cell: 078 713 6377**

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Gideon Groenewald was appointed by Apelser Archaeological Consulting CC to undertake a desktop survey, assessing the potential Palaeontological Impact of the proposed development on Ptn 12 of Wemmershuis 379JT and the Remainder van Bergendal 981JT, Emakhazeni Local Municipality, Nkangala District Municipality, Mpumalanga Province.

This report forms part of the Environmental Impact Assessment and complies with the requirements of the South African National Heritage Resource Act No 25 of 1999. In accordance with Section 38 (Heritage Resources Management), a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) is required to assess any potential impacts to palaeontological heritage within the development footprint of the development.

The proposed development on Ptn 12 of Wemmershuis 379JT and the Remainder van Bergendal 981JT, Emakhazeni Local Municipality, Nkangala District Municipality, Mpumalanga Province, is underlain by Vaalian aged Diabase and Permian aged Coarse-grained sandstone and shale, with coal beds of the Vryheid Formation, Ecca Group of the Karoo Supergroup.

The very high fossiliferous potential of the Vryheid Formation as well as the important alert for fossiliferous coal beds associated with these rocks. The Very High Palaeontological sensitivity allocated to areas underlain by the Vryheid Formation refers to the potential presence of significant plant remains in the Formation.

The fossils associated with the sedimentary rocks of the Ecca Groups are normally exposed in natural outcrops where the rocks have been exposed to natural weathering for some time, or in recently excavated material where the sedimentary rock samples are available for close inspection. Interpretation of the Google images for the site indicates that the site is most probably covered in deep sandy soil and exposure of rock samples will only result from relatively deep (>1.5m) excavation into bedrock. If deep excavation is envisaged from Geotechnical reports for the construction procedures, the excavation material will potentially contain significant fossil rich material. If deep excavation (>1.5m) and exposure of bedrock is planned, a qualified Palaeontologist must be appointed to inspect the excavated material and to collect a representative sample of the fossil rich rocks according to SAHRA specifications. If the HIA consultant reports any outcrops of sandstone and shale of the Vryheid Formation, a qualified Palaeontologist must be appointed to inspect record and collect fossils according to SAHRA procedures and specifications.

### Recommendations:

1. The EAP as well as the ECO for this project must be made aware of the fact that the Vryheid Formation of the Ecca Group is Highly significant for fossil remains of plant and trace fossils, albeit mostly where good outcrops are available for inspection.
2. In areas that are allocated a Very High Palaeontological sensitivity and specifically where deep excavation into bedrock is envisaged (>1.5m, following the geotechnical investigation), or where fossils are recorded during the geotechnical investigations, a qualified palaeontologist must be appointed to assess and record fossils at specific footprints of infrastructure developments (Phase 1 PIA).
3. These recommendations should form part of the EMP of the project.

## TABLE OF CONTENT

1. INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1. Background.....	1
1.2. Aims and Methodology.....	1
1.3. Scope and Limitations of the Desktop Study.....	3
2. DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT.....	4
3. GEOLOGY.....	4
3.1. Vaalain aged Diabase (Vdi).....	5
3.2. Karoo Supergroup, Eccca Group.....	5
3.2.1. Vryheid Formation (Pv).....	5
4. PALAEOONTOLOGY OF THE AREA.....	5
4.1. Karoo Supergroup, Eccca Group.....	5
4.1.1. Vryheid Formation (Pv, brown colour on map above). .....	5
5. PALAEOONTOLOGICAL SENSITIVITY.....	6
6. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	6
7. REFERENCES.....	7
8. QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE OF THE AUTHOR.....	8
9. DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE.....	8

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 Locality of study area south of Belfast, Mpumalanga.....	4
Figure 3.1 The Study Area is underlain by diabase (Vdi) and Vryheid Formation (light brown) .....	4
Figure 5.1 Palaeosensitivity of the Study Area. Colour coding explained in Table 1 above .....	6

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1 Palaeontological sensitivity classification and colour coding .....	2
---	---

## 1. INTRODUCTION

### 1.1. Background

Gideon Groenewald was appointed by Apelser Archaeological Consulting CC to undertake a desktop survey, assessing the potential Palaeontological Impact of the proposed development on Ptn 12 of Wemmershuis 379JT and the Remainder of Bergendal 981JT, Emakhazeni Local Municipality, Nkangala District Municipality, Mpumalanga Province.

This report forms part of the Environmental Impact Assessment and complies with the requirements of the South African National Heritage Resource Act No 25 of 1999. In accordance with Section 38 (Heritage Resources Management), a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) is required to assess any potential impacts to palaeontological heritage within the development footprint of the development.

Categories of heritage resources recognised as part of the National Estate in Section 3 of the Heritage Resources Act, and which therefore fall under its protection, include:

- geological sites of scientific or cultural importance;
- objects recovered from the soil or waters of South Africa, including archaeological and palaeontological objects and material, meteorites and rare geological specimens;
- objects with the potential to yield information that will contribute to an understanding of South Africa's natural or cultural heritage.

### 1.2. Aims and Methodology

Following the *"SAHRA APM Guidelines: Minimum Standards for the Archaeological & Palaeontological Components of Impact Assessment Reports"* the aims of the palaeontological impact assessment are:

- to identify exposed and subsurface rock formations that are considered to be palaeontologically significant;
- to assess the level of palaeontological significance of these formations;
- to comment on the impact of the development on these exposed and/or potential fossil resources and
- to make recommendations as to how the developer should conserve or mitigate damage to these resources.

In preparing a palaeontological desktop study the potential fossiliferous rock units (groups, formations etc.) represented within the study area are determined from geological maps (2528 PRETORIA). The known fossil heritage within each rock unit is inventoried from the published scientific literature and previous palaeontological impact studies in the same region.

The likely impact of the proposed development on local fossil heritage is determined on the basis of the palaeontological sensitivity of the rock units concerned and the nature and scale of the development itself, most notably the extent of fresh bedrock excavation envisaged. The different sensitivity classes used are explained in Table 1.1 below.

**Table 1.1 Palaeontological sensitivity classification and colour coding**

<b>PALAEONTOLOGICAL SIGNIFICANCE/VULNERABILITY OF ROCK UNITS</b>	
The following colour scheme is proposed for the indication of palaeontological sensitivity classes. This classification of sensitivity is adapted from that of Almond et al (2008) and Groenewald et al, (2014).	
<b>RED</b>	Very High Palaeontological sensitivity/vulnerability. Development will most likely have a very significant impact on the Palaeontological Heritage of the region. Very high possibility that significant fossil assemblages will be present in all outcrops of the unit. Appointment of professional palaeontologist, desktop survey, phase I Palaeontological Impact Assessment (PIA) (field survey and recording of fossils) and phase II PIA (rescue of fossils during construction ) as well as application for collection and destruction permit compulsory.
<b>ORANGE</b>	High Palaeontological sensitivity/vulnerability. High possibility that significant fossil assemblages will be present in most of the outcrop areas of the unit. Fossils most likely to occur in associated sediments or underlying units, for example in the areas underlain by Transvaal Supergroup dolomite where Cenozoic cave deposits are likely to occur. Appointment of professional palaeontologist, desktop survey and phase I Palaeontological Impact Assessment (field survey and collection of fossils) compulsory. Early application for collection permit recommended. Highly likely that a Phase II PIA will be applicable during the construction phase of projects.
<b>GREEN</b>	Moderate Palaeontological sensitivity/vulnerability. High possibility that fossils will be present in the outcrop areas of the unit or in associated sediments that underlie the unit. For example areas underlain by the Gordonia Formation or undifferentiated soils and alluvium. Fossils described in the literature are visible with the naked eye and development can have a significant impact on the Palaeontological Heritage of the area. Recording of fossils will contribute significantly to the present knowledge of the development of life in the geological record of the region. Appointment of a professional palaeontologist, desktop survey and phase I PIA (ground proofing of desktop survey) recommended.
<b>BLUE</b>	Low Palaeontological sensitivity/vulnerability. Low possibility that fossils that are described in the literature will be visible to the naked eye or be recognized as fossils by untrained persons. Fossils of for example small domal Stromatolites as well as micro-bacteria are associated with these rock units. Fossils of micro-bacteria are extremely important for our understanding of the development of Life, but are only visible under large magnification. Recording of the fossils will contribute significantly to the present knowledge and understanding of the development of Life in the region. Where geological units are allocated a blue colour of significance, and the geological unit is surrounded by highly significant geological units (red or orange coloured units), a palaeontologist must be appointed to do a desktop survey and to make professional recommendations on the impact of development on significant palaeontological finds that might occur in the unit that is allocated a blue colour. An example of this scenario will be where the scale of mapping on the 1:250 000 scale maps excludes small outcrops of highly significant sedimentary rock units occurring in dolerite sill outcrops. Collection of a representative sample of potential fossiliferous material recommended.

<b>GREY</b>	<p>Very Low Palaeontological sensitivity/vulnerability. Very low possibility that significant fossils will be present in the bedrock of these geological units. The rock units are associated with intrusive igneous activities and no life would have been possible during emplacement of the rocks. It is however essential to note that the geological units mapped out on the geological maps are invariably overlain by Cenozoic aged sediments that might contain significant fossil assemblages and archaeological material. Examples of significant finds occur in areas underlain by granite, just to the west of Hoedspruit in the Limpopo Province, where significant assemblages of fossils and clay-pot fragments are associated with large termite mounds. Where geological units are allocated a grey colour of significance, and the geological unit is surrounded by very high and highly significant geological units (red or orange coloured units), a palaeontologist must be appointed to do a desktop survey and to make professional recommendations on the impact of development on significant palaeontological finds that might occur in the unit that is allocated a grey colour. An example of this scenario will be where the scale of mapping on the 1:250 000 scale maps excludes small outcrops of highly significant sedimentary rock units occurring in dolerite sill outcrops. It is important that the report should also refer to archaeological reports and possible descriptions of palaeontological finds in Cenozoic aged surface deposits.</p>
-------------	--

### 1.3. Scope and Limitations of the Desktop Study

The study will include: i) an analysis of the area's stratigraphy, age and depositional setting of fossil-bearing units; ii) a review of all relevant palaeontological and geological literature, including geological maps, and previous palaeontological impact reports; iii) data on the proposed development provided by the developer (e.g. location of footprint, depth and volume of bedrock excavation envisaged) and iv) where feasible, location and examination of any fossil collections from the study area (e.g. museums).

The key assumption for this scoping study is that the existing geological maps and datasets used to assess site sensitivity are correct and reliable. However, the geological maps used were not intended for fine scale planning work and are largely based on aerial photographs alone, without ground-truthing. There is also an inadequate database for fossil heritage for much of the RSA, due to the small number of professional palaeontologists carrying out fieldwork in RSA. Most development study areas have never been surveyed by a palaeontologist.

These factors may have a major influence on the assessment of the fossil heritage significance of a given development and without supporting field assessments may lead to either:

- an underestimation of the palaeontological significance of a given study area due to ignorance of significant recorded or unrecorded fossils preserved there, or
- an overestimation of the palaeontological sensitivity of a study area, for example when originally rich fossil assemblages inferred from geological maps have in fact been destroyed by weathering, or are buried beneath a thick mantle of unfossiliferous "drift" (soil, alluvium etc.).

## 2. DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

The developer proposes to develop Portion 12 of Wemmershuis 379JT and the Remainder of Bergendal 981JT (Figure 2.1).



Figure 2.1 Locality of study area south of Belfast, Mpumalanga

## 3. GEOLOGY

The study area is underlain by Permian aged sandstone and shale, with coal beds of the Vryheid Formation (Pv), Ecca Group, Karoo Supergroup and Vaalian aged Diabase (Vdi) (Figure 3.1).




Figure 3.1 The Study Area is underlain by diabase (Vdi) and Vryheid Formation (light brown)

### 3.1. Vaalian aged Diabase (Vdi)

The larger part of the Remainder of Bergendal 981JT is underlain by Vaalian aged diabase which represents an igneous intrusion of the time during the early developments on the Kaapvaal Craton (Johnson et al, 2009).

### 3.2. Karoo Supergroup, Ecca Group

#### 3.2.1. Vryheid Formation (Pv)

The Permian aged Vryheid Formation is a thick sequence of sedimentary rocks dominated by light grey sandstones with interbedded grey shale and thick, economically important coal seams. These sandstones were deposited along ancient sandy shorelines behind which lay vast swamplands. Burial of vegetation in the swamps eventually formed coal which is mined at various localities in the area (Johnson et al, 2009).

## 4. PALAEOLOGY OF THE AREA

### 4.1. Karoo Supergroup, Ecca Group

#### 4.1.1. Vryheid Formation (Pv, brown colour on map above).

The Vryheid Formation is well-known for the occurrence of coal beds that resulted from the accumulation of plant material over long periods of time. Plant fossils described by Bamford (2011) from the Vryheid Formation are; *Azaniodendron fertile*, *Cyclodendron leslii*, *Sphenophyllum hammanskraalensis*, *Annularia sp.*, *Raniganjia sp.*, *Asterotheca spp.*, *Liknopetalon enigmata*, *Glossopteris > 20 species*, *Hirsutum 4 spp.*, *Scutum 4 spp.*, *Ottokaria 3 spp.*, *Estcourtia sp.*, *Arberia 4 spp.*, *Lidgettonia sp.*, *Noeggerathiopsis sp.* and *Podocarpidites sp.*

According to Bamford (2011) "Little data have been published on these potentially fossiliferous deposits. Around the coalmines there is most likely to be good material and yet in other areas the exposures may be too poor to be of interest. When they do occur fossil plants are usually abundant and it would not be feasible to preserve and maintain all the sites, however, in the interests of heritage and science such sites should be well recorded, sampled and the fossils kept in a suitable institution.

Although no vertebrate fossils have been recorded from the Vryheid Formation, invertebrate trace fossils have been described in some detail by Mason and Christie (1985). It should be noted, however, that the aquatic reptile, *Mesosaurus*, which is the earliest known reptile from the Karoo Basin, as well as fish (*Palaeoniscus capensis*), have been recorded in equivalent-aged strata in the Whitehill Formation in the southern part of the basin (MacRae, 1999; Modesto, 2006). Indications are that the Whitehill Formation in the main basin might be correlated with the mid-Vryheid Formation. If this assumption proves correct, there is a possibility that *Mesosaurus* could be found in the Vryheid Formation.


The late Carboniferous to early Jurassic Karoo Supergroup of South Africa includes economically important coal deposits within the Vryheid Formation of Natal. The Karoo sediments are almost entirely lacking in body fossils but ichnofossils (trace fossils) are locally abundant. Modern sedimentological and ichnofaunal studies suggest that the north-eastern part of the Karoo basin was marine. In KwaZulu-Natal a shallow basin margin accommodated a prograding fluviodeltaic complex forming a broad sandy platform on which coal-bearing sediments were deposited. Ichnofossils include U-burrows (formerly *Corophioides*) which are assigned to ichnogenus *Diplocraterion* (Mason and Christie, 1985).



Following the desktop analysis a Very High Palaeontological Sensitivity is allocated to the areas underlain by the Vryheid Formation.

## 5. PALAEOLOGICAL SENSITIVITY

The likely impact of the proposed development on local fossil heritage is determined on the basis of the palaeontological sensitivity of the rock units concerned and the nature and scale of the



**Figure 5.1 Palaeosensitivity of the Study Area. Colour coding explained in Table 1 above**

development itself, most notably the extent of bedrock excavation envisaged (Figure 5.1). The different sensitivity classes used are explained in Table 1.1 above.

## 6. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The proposed development on Ptn 12 of Wemmershuis 379JT and the Remainder of Bergendal 981JT, Emakhazeni Local Municipality, Nkangala District Municipality, Mpumalanga Province, is underlain by Vaalian aged Diabase and Permian aged Coarse-grained sandstone and shale, with coal beds of the Vryheid Formation, Ecca Group of the Karoo Supergroup.

The very high fossiliferous potential of the Vryheid Formation as well as the important alert for fossiliferous coal beds associated with these rocks. The Very High Palaeontological sensitivity allocated to areas underlain by the Vryheid Formation refers to the potential presence of significant plant remains in the Formation.

The fossils associated with the sedimentary rocks of the Ecca Groups are normally exposed in natural outcrops where the rocks have been exposed to natural weathering for some time, or in recently excavated material where the sedimentary rock samples are available for close inspection. Interpretation of the Google images for the site indicates that the site is most probably covered in deep sandy soil and exposure of rock samples will only result from relatively deep (>1.5m) excavation into bedrock. If deep excavation is envisaged from Geotechnical reports for the construction procedures, the excavation material will potentially contain significant fossil rich material. If deep excavation (>1.5m) and exposure of bedrock is planned, a qualified Palaeontologist

must be appointed to inspect the excavated material and to collect a representative sample of the fossil rich rocks according to SAHRA specifications. If the HIA consultant reports any outcrops of sandstone and shale of the Vryheid Formation, a qualified Palaeontologist must be appointed to inspect, record and collect fossils according to SAHRA procedures and specifications.

Recommendations:

1. The EAP as well as the ECO for this project must be made aware of the fact that the Vryheid Formation of the Ecca Group is Highly significant for fossil remains of plant and trace fossils, albeit mostly where good outcrops are available for inspection.
2. In areas that are allocated a Very High Palaeontological sensitivity and specifically where deep excavation into bedrock is envisaged (>1.5m, following the geotechnical investigation), or where fossils are recorded during the geotechnical investigations, a qualified palaeontologist must be appointed to assess and record fossils at specific footprints of infrastructure developments (Phase 1 PIA).
3. These recommendations should form part of the EMP of the project.

## 7. REFERENCES

**Almond J.E. and Pether J. 2008.** *Palaeontological Heritage of the Western Cape*. Internal Report Heritage Western Cape.

**Almond J.E., De Klerk B. and Gess R., 2009.** *Palaeontological Heritage of the Eastern Cape*. Internal Report, SAHRA.

**Bamford M. 2011.** Desktop study Palaeontology Ermelo to Empangeni – Eskom powerline. Internal report Bernard Price Institute for Palaeontological Research, University of the Witwatersrand.

**Cairncross, B., Beukes, N.J., Coetzee, L.L. and Rehfeld, U. 2005.** The Bivalve *Megadesmus* from the Permian Volksrust Shale Formation (Karoo Supergroup), northeastern Karoo Basin, South Africa: implications for late Permian Basin development. *South African Journal of Geology* 108: 547-556.

**Groenewald G.H., 2012.** *Palaeontological Technical Report for KwaZulu-Natal*. Internal Report, AMAFA.

**Groenewald G.H., Groenewald D.P. and Groenewald S.M., 2014.** *Palaeontological Heritage of the Free State, Gauteng, Limpopo, Mpumalanga and North West Provinces*. Internal Palaeotechnical Reports, SAHRA.

**Johnson MR , Anhaeusser CR and Thomas RJ (Eds). 2009.** *The Geology of South Africa*. GSSA, Council for Geoscience, Pretoria.

**Linstrom W. 1987** Die Geologie van die gebied Durban. Explanation Sheet 2930 (1:250 000). Geological Survey of South. Africa.

**MacRae C. 1999.** *Life Etched in Stone*. Geological Society of South Africa, Linden, South Africa.

**Mason TR and Christie ADM 1986.** Palaeoenvironmental significance of ichnogenus *Diplocraterion* torell from the Permian Vryheid Formation of the Karoo Supergroup, South Africa. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 53(3-4):249-265.

**McCarthy T and Rubidge BS. 2005.** *Earth and Life*. 333pp. Struik Publishers, Cape Town.

## 8. QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE OF THE AUTHOR

Dr Gideon Groenewald has a PhD in Geology from the University of Port Elizabeth (Nelson Mandela Metropolitan University) (1996) and the National Diploma in Nature Conservation from Technicon RSA (the University of South Africa) (1989). He specialises in research on South African Permian and Triassic sedimentology and macrofossils with an interest in biostratigraphy, and palaeoecological aspects. He has extensive experience in the locating of fossil material in the Karoo Supergroup and has more than 20 years of experience in locating, collecting and curating fossils, including exploration field trips in search of new localities in the southern, western, eastern and north-eastern parts of the country. His publication record includes multiple articles in internationally recognized journals. Dr Groenewald is accredited by the Palaeontological Society of Southern Africa (society member for 25 years).

## 9. DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE

I, Gideon Groenewald, declare that I am an independent specialist consultant and have no financial, personal or other interest in the proposed development, nor the developers or any of their subsidiaries, apart from fair remuneration for work performed in the delivery of palaeontological heritage assessment services. There are no circumstances that compromise the objectivity of my performing such work.



**Dr Gideon Groenewald**  
**Geologist**