



CTS HERITAGE

HERITAGE SCREENER

CTS Reference Number:	CTS22_111
SAHRIS CaseID:	
Client:	SiVEST
Date:	August 2022
Title:	PROPOSED BONSMARA SOLAR PHOTOVOLTAIC (PV) RENEWABLE ENERGY FACILITY NEAR KROONSTAD, FREE STATE PROVINCE




Figure 1a. Satellite map indicating the location of the proposed development in the Free State Province.

CTS Heritage

Bonne Esperance, 238 Queens Road, Simons Town

Email: info@ctsheritage.com Web: www.ctsheritage.com



CTS HERITAGE

1. Proposed Development Summary

Bonsmara Solar PV (RF) (Pty) Ltd has appointed SiVEST Environmental (hereafter referred to as “SiVEST”) to undertake the required EIA / BA Processes for the proposed construction of the Bonsmara Solar PV Energy Facility (SEF) and associated grid connection infrastructure near Kroonstad in the Free State Province. The distinct EA's that are required for the Projects are as follows:

- Bonsmara SEF (up to 100MW)
- Bonsmara Grid Connection Infrastructure (up to 132kV)

The overall objective of the development is to generate electricity by means of renewable energy technology capturing energy to feed into the National Grid.

Bonsmara Solar PV (RF) (Pty) Ltd is proposing the construction of the 100MW Bonsmara Solar PV Facility, BESS and associated infrastructure on a site approximately 12km south-east from the town of Kroonstad, in the Free State Province. The facility will be located on Portion 0 of Farm 636 and Portion 1 of Farm 636 located in the Moqhaka Local Municipality, in the Fezile Dabi District Municipality. The facility will comprise of several arrays of PV panels and associated infrastructure that includes BESS and will have a contracted capacity of 100MW. The Solar PV facility will connect to the grid via a 2km 132kv powerline from the on-site substation to the Kroonstad Switching Station. This heritage screening assessment forms part of the Scoping Phase of the EIA for the Bonsmara PV Project.

2. Application References

Name of relevant heritage authority(s)	SAHRA
Name of decision making authority(s)	DFFE

3. Property Information

Latitude / Longitude	27°45'59.62"S 27°19'4.76"E
Erf number / Farm number	Remainder and Portion 1 of Farm Scheveningen 636
Local Municipality	Matjhabeng
District Municipality	Lejweleputswa
Province	Free State
Current Use	Agriculture
Current Zoning	Agriculture

CTS Heritage

Bonne Esperance, 238 Queens Road, Simons Town
Email: info@ctsheritage.com Web: www.ctsheritage.com



CTS HERITAGE

4. Nature of the Proposed Development

Total Surface Area of development	TBA
Depth of excavation (m)	TBA
Height of development (m)	TBA

5. Category of Development

X	Triggers: Section 38(8) of the National Heritage Resources Act
	Triggers: Section 38(1) of the National Heritage Resources Act
X	1. Construction of a road, wall, powerline, pipeline, canal or other similar form of linear development or barrier over 300m in length.
	2. Construction of a bridge or similar structure exceeding 50m in length.
	3. Any development or activity that will change the character of a site-
X	a) exceeding 5 000m ² in extent
	b) involving three or more existing erven or subdivisions thereof
	c) involving three or more erven or divisions thereof which have been consolidated within the past five years
	4. Rezoning of a site exceeding 10 000m ²
	5. Other (state):

6. Additional Infrastructure Required for this Development

NA

CTS Heritage

Bonne Esperance, 238 Queens Road, Simons Town
Email: info@ctsheritage.com Web: www.ctsheritage.com

7. Mapping (please see Appendix 3 and 4 for a full description of our methodology and map legends)





Figure 1b Overview Map. Satellite image (2022) indicating the proposed development area at closer range relative to Kroonstad PV



CTS HERITAGE



Proposed Layout
Kroonstad PV Farm Portions

0 0,75 1,5 km



CTS HERITAGE

Figure 1c. Overview Map. Satellite image (2022) indicating the proposed development on Remainder and Portion 1 of Farm 636

CTS Heritage

Bonne Esperance, 238 Queens Road, Simons Town
Email: info@ctsheritage.com Web: www.ctsheritage.com



CTS HERITAGE




Figure 1d. Overview Map. 1:50 000 Topo Map for the development area

CTS Heritage

Bonne Esperance, 238 Queens Road, Simons Town
Email: info@ctsheritage.com Web: www.ctsheritage.com




Figure 2. Previous HIAs Map. Previous Heritage Impact Assessments surrounding the proposed development area, with SAHRIS NIDS indicated. Please see Appendix 2 for a full reference list.





Figure 3a. Heritage Resources Map. Heritage Resources previously identified in and near the study area, with SAHRIS Site IDs indicated. Please See Appendix 4 for a full description of heritage resource types.



CTS HERITAGE




Figure 3b. Heritage Resources Map. Cultural Landscape elements identified from the Topo map

CTS Heritage

Bonne Esperance, 238 Queens Road, Simons Town
Email: info@ctsheritage.com Web: www.ctsheritage.com



CTS HERITAGE




Figure 4. Palaeosensitivity Map. Indicating Low to Very High fossil sensitivity underlying the study area. Please See Appendix 3 for a full guide to the legend.

CTS Heritage

Bonne Esperance, 238 Queens Road, Simons Town
Email: info@ctsheritage.com Web: www.ctsheritage.com




Figure 5. Geology Map. Extract from the CGS 2726 Kroonstad Geology Map indicating that the development area is underlain by sediments of the Adelaide Subgroup of the Beaufort Group (Pa), Klipriviersberg (RK) and Quaternary Sands



CTS HERITAGE

8. Heritage statement and character of the area

This application is for the proposed development of a PV facility and associated grid infrastructure located approximately southeast of Kroonstad along the R76 in the Free State Province. Kroonstad was established as a town in 1855. During the Second Boer War, from 13 March to 11 May 1900, the city became the capital of the Orange Free State, and subsequently the site of a British concentration camp to contain Boer women and children. Kroonstad still boasts much of the inherent rugged beauty which led the Voortrekkers to establish the town where they did and it is situated in an area characterised by open spaces and an abundant variety of vegetation that makes it particularly beautiful. According to Van Schalkwyk (2013), “Most farmsteads were burned down during the Anglo-Boer War, with the result that very little of the built environment dates to the 19th century.” According to Matenga (2019), the Black and Coloured townships are significant as landscapes of segregation occupying the north-western fringe of the CBD, while the exclusive white suburbs were located northeast of the town and south of the Valsch River.

According to Van Schalkwyk (2013), “The cultural landscape qualities of the region essentially consist of a rural setup. In this the human occupation is made up of a pre-colonial element consisting of limited Stone Age and Iron Age occupation, as well as a much later colonial (farmer) component. This was soon followed by the development of a number of urban centres or towns. Originally these mostly served the surrounding farming communities, but with the discovery of the Free State Gold Fields, they expanded rapidly in order to serve this industry as well.” The proposed Solar Energy Facility and its associated grid connections are located some distance from the historic core of Kroonstad town. Furthermore, the areas proposed for development are located more than 10km away from the site of the Boer War concentration camps and associated burial grounds.

Prior to colonial settlement in 1855, the area proposed for development formed part of a landscape that was occupied by indigenous Khoe herders and San hunter-gatherers. These indigenous communities were displaced by Bantu-speaking people who began to occupy the area in the Iron Age. According to Van Schalkwyk (2013), “Sites dating to the Late Iron Age are known to occur in the region, especially... in the vicinity of the Sandrivier, whereas some are known to occur to the northwest of Ventersburg, These are typical stone walled sites that are linked with Sothospeakers and date to the period after 1600.” As such, it is possible that Early, Middle or Later Stone Age artefacts may be located within the proposed development footprint. Furthermore, it is possible that evidence of Iron Age settlement may also be located within the proposed development areas. Recent archaeological field assessment conducted for the Vrede and Rondawel PV Facilities located approximately 10km from the proposed development area identified some cultural remains but with varied value and preservation. The isolated and scattered lithic artefacts identified are typical of a deflated landscape and have very limited cultural value given that they have been accumulated and modified by various natural processes to their current *ex situ* state. The stone piles found in the south west of the property are more noteworthy (Grade IIIA) and require sensitive treatment. It is likely that similar heritage resources may be present within this development area. As such, it is recommended that an archaeological assessment of the areas proposed for development is completed and anticipated impacts to such resources assessed.

According to the SAHRIS Palaeosensitivity Map (Figure 4), the areas proposed for development are underlain by sediments of moderate to very high palaeontological sensitivity. According to the Council of GeoScience 2726 Kroonstad Map (Figure 5), the development area is underlain by sediments of the Karoo Supergroup including the Adelaide Subgroup (Pa) which have very high palaeontological sensitivity. This formation forms part of the Dicynodon and Lystrosaurus assemblage zones and is known to include fossils of fish, amphibians, reptiles, therapsids and vertebrate burrows. Diverse terrestrial and freshwater tetrapods of *Pristerognathus* to *Dicynodon* Assemblage Zones (amphibians, true reptiles, synapsids – especially therapsids) have been found in this formation, as well as, palaeoniscoid fish, freshwater bivalves, trace fossils (including tetrapod trackways), sparse to rich assemblages of vascular plants (*Glossopteris* Flora, including spectacular petrified logs) and insects. Based on the known palaeontological sensitivities of the Adelaide Subgroup, it is recommended that a palaeontological assessment of the areas proposed for development is completed and anticipated impacts to such resources assessed.

RECOMMENDATIONS

As it is likely that significant heritage resources will be impacted by the proposed development, it is recommended that a Heritage Impact Assessment is completed that satisfies section 38(3) of the NHRA and assesses likely impacts to archaeological and palaeontological heritage.

CTS Heritage

Bonne Esperance, 238 Queens Road, Simons Town
Email: info@ctsheritage.com Web: www.ctsheritage.com



CTS HERITAGE

9. Scoping Assessment Impact Table

Impact

- Impact to archaeological resources
- Impact to palaeontological resources
- Impact to Cultural Landscape
- Cumulative Impact

Desktop Sensitivity Analysis of the Site

- Impact to significant archaeological resources such as Stone Age artefact scatters, burial grounds and graves, historical artefacts, historical structures and rock art engravings through destruction during the development phase and disturbance during the operational phase is possible.
- Impacts to palaeontological resources are possible.
- Due to the nature of the development and its context, cumulative impact and negative impact to the cultural landscape is possible

Issue	Nature of Impact	Extent of Impact	No-Go Areas
Impact to significant heritage resources through destruction during the development phase.	Destruction of significant heritage resources	Local scale with broader impacts to scientific knowledge	None known at present

Gaps in knowledge & recommendations for further study

- It is likely that the proposed development will impact significant archaeological and palaeontological heritage and as such, it is recommended that a heritage impact assessment be completed that assesses these impacts as per section 38(3) of the NHRA.

CTS Heritage

Bonne Esperance, 238 Queens Road, Simons Town
Email: info@ctsheritage.com Web: www.ctsheritage.com



CTS HERITAGE

APPENDIX 1

List of heritage resources within close proximity to the development area from SAHRIS

Site ID	Site no	Full Site Name	Site Type	Grading
26453	9/2/324/0005	Old Market Square Post Office and prison-cells, 66 Murray Street, Kroonstad	Building	Grade II
26455	9/2/324/0008	Town Hall and Leaping Fountain, Church Street, Kroonstad	Building	Grade II
26451	9/2/324/0014	Old Magistrate's Office, Murray Street, Kroonstad	Building	Grade II
26454	9/2/324/0006	Old market building, Market and Murray Streets, Kroonstad	Building	Grade II
32460	Kroonstad Quarry	Kroonstad Quarry Q42.5	Palaeontological	Grade IIIb
91014	Kroonstad N1	Kroonstad National Road 1 Widening	Burial Grounds & Graves	
138258	RSE-003	Rondavel Solar Energy	Artefacts	
138256	RSE-001	Rondavel Solar Energy	Artefacts	Grade IIIc
138259	RSE-004	Rondavel Solar Energy	Artefacts	Grade IIIc
138257	RSE-002	Rondavel Solar Energy	Burial Grounds & Graves	Grade IIIa
108132	Kroonstad Concentration Camp Cemetery	Kroonstad Concentration Camp Cemetery	Burial Grounds & Graves	
26452	9/2/324/0016	Nederduitse Gereformeerde Mother Church and Sarel Cilliers Statue, Church Square, Kroonstad	Building	Grade II
136204	Kroonstad	Kroonstad	Monuments & Memorials	
136206	DC20/NAMM/0012	World War Memorials, Moqhaka Local Municipality Office, Kroonstad	Monuments & Memorials	
136221	DC20/NAMM/0003	Anglo-Boer War Memorial, NG Moedergemeente, Kroonstad	Monuments & Memorials	

CTS Heritage

Bonne Esperance, 238 Queens Road, Simons Town
Email: info@ctsheritage.com Web: www.ctsheritage.com



CTS HERITAGE

136222	DC20/NAMM/0004	Sarel Cilliers Monument, NG Moedergemeente, Kroonstad	Monuments & Memorials	
137613	Kroonstad	Kroonstad	Monuments & Memorials	
137776	Kroonstad old Market	Kroonstad old Market	Monuments & Memorials	

CTS Heritage

Bonne Esperance, 238 Queens Road, Simons Town
Email: info@ctsheritage.com **Web:** www.ctsheritage.com



CTS HERITAGE

APPENDIX 2

Reference List from SAHRIS

NID	Author(s)	Date	Type	Title
5968	Cobus Dreyer	20/06/2005	AIA Phase 1	Archaeological and Historical Investigation of the Proposed New Filling Station at Kroonstad, Free State
5969	Cobus Dreyer	25/08/2005	AIA Phase 1	Historical Investigation of the Existing Outbuildings at the Farm Smaldeel 202, Kroonstad, Free State
5970	Cobus Dreyer	29/05/2006	AIA Phase 1	First Phase Archaeological and Cultural Heritage Assessment of the Proposed Residential Developments at the Farm Middenspruit 151, Kroonstad, Free State
5971	Cobus Dreyer	12/07/2006	AIA Phase 1	Archaeological and Historical Investigation of the Proposed Township Developments at Maokeng, Kroonstad, Free State
5972	Cobus Dreyer	26/10/2006	AIA Phase 1	First Phase Archaeological and Cultural Heritage Assessment of the Proposed Residential Developments at the Farm Boschpunt 2218 Kroonstad, Free State

Lavin and Wiltshire. November 2020. ARCHAEOLOGICAL SPECIALIST STUDY In terms of Section 38(8) of the NHRA for a Proposed development of the Vrede and Rondavel Solar Energy Facilities near Kroonstad, Free State Province. Unpublished. Section 38(8) Heritage Impact assessment process.

CTS Heritage

Bonne Esperance, 238 Queens Road, Simons Town
Email: info@ctsheritage.com Web: www.ctsheritage.com



CTS HERITAGE

APPENDIX 3 - Keys/Guides

Key/Guide to Acronyms

AIA	Archaeological Impact Assessment
DARD	Department of Agriculture and Rural Development (KwaZulu-Natal)
DEA	Department of Environmental Affairs (National)
DEADP	Department of Environmental Affairs and Development Planning (Western Cape)
DEDEAT	Department of Economic Development, Environmental Affairs and Tourism (Eastern Cape)
DEDECT	Department of Economic Development, Environment, Conservation and Tourism (North West)
DEDT	Department of Economic Development and Tourism (Mpumalanga)
DEDTEA	Department of economic Development, Tourism and Environmental Affairs (Free State)
DENC	Department of Environment and Nature Conservation (Northern Cape)
DMR	Department of Mineral Resources (National)
GDARD	Gauteng Department of Agriculture and Rural Development (Gauteng)
HIA	Heritage Impact Assessment
LEDET	Department of Economic Development, Environment and Tourism (Limpopo)
MPRDA	Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act, no 28 of 2002
NEMA	National Environmental Management Act, no 107 of 1998
NHRA	National Heritage Resources Act, no 25 of 1999
PIA	Palaeontological Impact Assessment
SAHRA	South African Heritage Resources Agency
SAHRIS	South African Heritage Resources Information System
VIA	Visual Impact Assessment

Full guide to Palaeosensitivity Map legend

	RED:	VERY HIGH - field assessment and protocol for finds is required
	ORANGE/YELLOW:	HIGH - desktop study is required and based on the outcome of the desktop study, a field assessment is likely
	GREEN:	MODERATE - desktop study is required
	BLUE/PURPLE:	LOW - no palaeontological studies are required however a protocol for chance finds is required
	GREY:	INSIGNIFICANT/ZERO - no palaeontological studies are required
	WHITE/CLEAR:	UNKNOWN - these areas will require a minimum of a desktop study.

CTS Heritage

Bonne Esperance, 238 Queens Road, Simons Town
 Email: info@ctsheritage.com Web: www.ctsheritage.com



CTS HERITAGE

APPENDIX 4 - Methodology

The Heritage Screener summarises the heritage impact assessments and studies previously undertaken within the area of the proposed development and its surroundings. Heritage resources identified in these reports are assessed by our team during the screening process.

The heritage resources will be described both in terms of **type**:

- Group 1: Archaeological, Underwater, Palaeontological and Geological sites, Meteorites, and Battlefields
- Group 2: Structures, Monuments and Memorials
- Group 3: Burial Grounds and Graves, Living Heritage, Sacred and Natural sites
- Group 4: Cultural Landscapes, Conservation Areas and Scenic routes

and **significance** (Grade I, II, IIIa, b or c, ungraded), as determined by the author of the original heritage impact assessment report or by formal grading and/or protection by the heritage authorities.

Sites identified and mapped during research projects will also be considered.

DETERMINATION OF THE EXTENT OF THE INCLUSION ZONE TO BE TAKEN INTO CONSIDERATION

The extent of the inclusion zone to be considered for the Heritage Screener will be determined by CTS based on:

- the size of the development,
- the number and outcome of previous surveys existing in the area
- the potential cumulative impact of the application.

The inclusion zone will be considered as the region within a maximum distance of 50 km from the boundary of the proposed development.

DETERMINATION OF THE PALAEOLOGICAL SENSITIVITY

The possible impact of the proposed development on palaeontological resources is gauged by:

- reviewing the fossil sensitivity maps available on the South African Heritage Resources Information System (SAHRIS)
- considering the nature of the proposed development
- when available, taking information provided by the applicant related to the geological background of the area into account

DETERMINATION OF THE COVERAGE RATING ASCRIBED TO A REPORT POLYGON

CTS Heritage

Bonne Esperance, 238 Queens Road, Simons Town
Email: info@ctsheritage.com Web: www.ctsheritage.com



CTS HERITAGE

Each report assessed for the compilation of the Heritage Screener is colour-coded according to the level of coverage accomplished. The extent of the surveyed coverage is labeled in three categories, namely low, medium and high. In most instances the extent of the map corresponds to the extent of the development for which the specific report was undertaken.

Low coverage will be used for:

- desktop studies where no field assessment of the area was undertaken;
- reports where the sites are listed and described but no GPS coordinates were provided.
- older reports with GPS coordinates with low accuracy ratings;
- reports where the entire property was mapped, but only a small/limited area was surveyed.
- uploads on the National Inventory which are not properly mapped.

Medium coverage will be used for

- reports for which a field survey was undertaken but the area was not extensively covered. This may apply to instances where some impediments did not allow for full coverage such as thick vegetation, etc.
- reports for which the entire property was mapped, but only a specific area was surveyed thoroughly. This is differentiated from low ratings listed above when these surveys cover up to around 50% of the property.

High coverage will be used for

- reports where the area highlighted in the map was extensively surveyed as shown by the GPS track coordinates. This category will also apply to permit reports.

RECOMMENDATION GUIDE

The Heritage Screener includes a set of recommendations to the applicant based on whether an impact on heritage resources is anticipated. One of three possible recommendations is formulated:

(1) The heritage resources in the area proposed for development are sufficiently recorded - The surveys undertaken in the area adequately captured the heritage resources. There are no known sites which require mitigation or management plans. No further heritage work is recommended for the proposed development.

This recommendation is made when:

- enough work has been undertaken in the area
- it is the professional opinion of CTS that the area has already been assessed adequately from a heritage perspective for the type of development proposed

(2) The heritage resources and the area proposed for development are only partially recorded - The surveys undertaken in the area have not adequately captured the heritage resources and/or there are sites which require mitigation or management plans. Further specific heritage work is recommended for the proposed development.

This recommendation is made in instances in which there are already some studies undertaken in the area and/or in the adjacent area for the proposed development. Further studies in a limited HIA may include:

CTS Heritage

Bonne Esperance, 238 Queens Road, Simons Town
Email: info@ctsheritage.com Web: www.ctsheritage.com



CTS HERITAGE

- improvement on some components of the heritage assessments already undertaken, for instance with a renewed field survey and/or with a specific specialist for the type of heritage resources expected in the area
- compilation of a report for a component of a heritage impact assessment not already undertaken in the area
- undertaking mitigation measures requested in previous assessments/records of decision.

(3) The heritage resources within the area proposed for the development have not been adequately surveyed yet - Few or no surveys have been undertaken in the area proposed for development. A full Heritage Impact Assessment with a detailed field component is recommended for the proposed development.

CTS Heritage

Bonne Esperance, 238 Queens Road, Simons Town
Email: info@ctsheritage.com Web: www.ctsheritage.com