



NOTIFICATION OF INTENT TO DEVELOP (NID FORM)

SUBMITTED TO THE EASTERN CAPE PROVINCIAL HERITAGE RESOURCES AUTHORITY (EC PHRA) IN TERMS OF
THE NATIONAL HERITAGE RESOURCES ACT, ACT NO. 25 OF 1999 (NHRA 1999)

NID Submission Date	Y	Y	Y	Y	/	M	M	/	D	D
---------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

NOTIFIER:

Name and Surname	Jenna Lavin		
Company Name / (Name of) Government Department	CTS Heritage		
Tel / Mobile	083 619 0854		
Email	jenna.lavin@ctsheritage.com		
Does the NID comprise clarification on heritage requirements for a Section 38(8) development? <small>[Please note that a heritage Site Sensitivity Verification (SSV), be it an archaeological and cultural heritage SSV or a palaeontological SSV, or both, does not constitute heritage compliance in terms of the NHRA 1999 for Section 38(1) or 38(8) developments.]</small>	Mark applicable with an X		
	Yes		X
	No		
	Unknown		

NHRA 1999 – Section 38(1) and 38(8) – Heritage Resources Management

	Mark applicable with an X
(1) Subject to the provisions of subsection (7), (8) and (9), any person who intends to undertake a development categorized as –	
(a) The Construction of a road, wall, powerline, pipeline, canal or other similar form of linear development or barrier exceeding 300m in length;	
(b) The construction of a bridge or similar structure exceeding 50m in length;	
(c) Any development or other activity which will change the character of a site –	
(i) Exceeding 5,000m ² in extent; or	X
(ii) Involving three or more existing erven or subdivisions thereof; or	
(iii) Involving three or more erven or divisions thereof which have been consolidated within the past five years; or	
(iv) The costs of which will exceed a sum set in terms of regulations by SAHRA or a provincial heritage resources authority;	
(d) The re-zoning of a site exceeding 10,000m ² in extent; or	
(e) Any other category of development provided for in regulations by SAHRA or a provincial heritage resources authority	
(8) The provisions of this section do not apply to a development as described in subsection (1) if an evaluation of the impact of such development on heritage resources is required in terms of the Environment Conservation Act, 1989 (Act No. 73 of 1989), or the integrated environmental	X

management guidelines issued by the Department of Environmental Affairs and Tourism, or the Minerals Act, 1999 (Act No. 50. of 1991), or any other legislation: Provided that the consenting authority must ensure that the evaluation fulfills the requirements of the relevant heritage resources authority in terms of subsection (3), and any comments and recommendations of the relevant heritage resources authority with regard to such development have been taken into account prior to the granting of the consent.	
--	--

DEVELOPMENT / STUDY SITE INFORMATION:

Development Name	Proposed development of the FE Kudu Wind Energy Facility, Eastern Cape Province				
Development Address [Rural Region / Farm Name & Number / Erf Number & Street Name]	Portion 2 of Farm Oorlogspoort 85				
Town / Nearest Town	Aberdeen				
Municipal District	Mark applicable with an X		Print name of relevant municipality		
	Metropolitan Municipality				
	District Municipality		Sarah Baartman		
	Local Municipality		Dr Beyers Naude		
General Development Coordinate					
History of Heritage Impact Assessments (HIA) or heritage research conducted at the study site	Mark applicable with an X				
(1) Has a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) ever been conducted on the (whole or part of the) study site?	Yes		No	x	Unknown
If yes (1), has the HIA been conducted within the past 5 years?	Yes		No		Unknown
If yes (1), supply HIA details (SAHRIS CaseID number, other heritage reference number, or attach copies of the HIA reports)					
SAHRIS CaseID (or other) number					
(2) Has a heritage research permit ever been issued on the study site?	Yes		No		Unknown x
If yes (2), has this permit been issued within the past 5 years?	Yes		No		Unknown
If yes (2), supply permit details (authoring body name and permit number / attach a copy of the permit)					
Heritage research permit details					

(3) Does the study site comprise, in whole or in part, a declared heritage resource in terms of the NHRA 1999 Section 7?	Yes		No	x	Unknown
(4) Does the study site comprise, in whole or in part, a previously declared National Monument?	Yes		No	x	Unknown
If yes (1), what is the declaration status of the site / resource?	Grade I		Grade II / III		
Name of the declared heritage resource / National Monument					
SAHRA Identifier					

BASIC DEVELOPMENT DESCRIPTION:

FE Kudu (Pty) Ltd is proposing the development of a wind energy facility and associated infrastructure on a site located approximately 40km west of Aberdeen in the Eastern Cape Province. The project is located within the Dr Beyers Naude Local Municipality and the greater Sarah Baartman District Municipality. The project site comprises a single affected property, Portion 2 of Farm Oorlogspoort 85. The project is known as the FE Kudu Wind Energy Facility. The project is planned as part of a cluster of renewable energy projects, which includes a second wind energy facility with a capacity of up to 240MW (FE Tango Wind Energy Facility), located approximately 20km east of the FE Kudu Wind Energy Facility.

The entire extent of the site falls within the Beaufort West Renewable Energy Development Zones (i.e. REDZ Focus Area 11). The undertaking of a basic assessment process for the project is in-line with the requirements stated in GNR 114 of 16 February 2018. The Kudu Wind Energy Facility will have a contracted capacity of up to 622.5MW and comprise wind turbines with a capacity of up to 7.5MW each. The project has a preferred project site of approximately ~9 170ha. The current infrastructure is preliminarily proposed and will be updated once an optimised layout with all sensitivities considered has been generated. Access to the site will be via an access road off of the nearby R61. The FE Kudu Wind Energy Facility project site is proposed to accommodate the following infrastructure:

- Wind turbines
- Concrete turbine foundations and turbine hardstands
- An on-site substation hub incorporating:
 - A132/33kV On-site substation
 - Switchyard with collector infrastructure
 - Battery Energy Storage System (BESS)
- A balance of plant area incorporating:
 - Temporary laydown areas
 - A construction camp laydown and temporary concrete batching plant
 - Operation and Maintenance buildings
- Cabling between the turbines, to be laid underground where practical.
- Access roads to the site and between project components with a width up to 10m and a servitude of 13.5m.

The project is intended to provide electricity to the national grid through the Department of Mineral Resource and Energy's (DMRE) Renewable Energy Independent Power Producer Procurement (REIPPP) Programme or other public or private off-taker programmes.

DEVELOPMENT MAP / SITE LAYOUT PLAN:

[You can also attach a Google Earth file of the study site]

See attached desktop assessment

STUDY SITE PHOTOGRAPHS:

Study Site Photographs	Mark applicable with an X		
(1) Has a site visit been conducted at the study site?	Yes	No	
If yes (1), please attach photographs of the site below			

A site visit is planned for the HIA Phase

NID – EC PHRA RESPONSE

ISSUED IN TERMS OF THE NHRA 1999 SECTION 38(2), 38(3), AND 38(8)

DATE: NID RECEIVED YYYY/MM/DD
EC PHRA RESPONSE YYYY/MM/DD

ATTENTION: **[Insert Notifier Name and Surname]**
[Insert Notifier Company Name / (Name of) Government Department]
Email [Insert Notifier email]

RE: **[Insert Development Name]**

[Write the HIA requirements for AIA and PIA here, including additional studies if required, etc.]

- NOTES:**
1. The developer / appointed responsible person must open an online SAHRIS case for the development (<https://sahris.sahra.org.za/>).
 2. The above requested specialist heritage impact assessment reports must be submitted on the SAHRIS case.
 3. The NID must be submitted on the SAHRIS case under "Additional Documents".
 4. EC PHRA will issue a formal HIA Comment in terms of the NHRA 1999 Section 38(4) / 38(8) for the development upon receipt of all requested specialist heritage impact assessment reports.

Yours sincerely,
[Insert your Name and Surname]

[Insert your signature]
[EC PHRA: Designation]

EC PHRA Reference:
SAHRIS CaseID:

NHRA 1999 – Section 38(2) and 38(3) – Heritage Resources Management

- (1) The responsible heritage resources authority must, within 14 days of receipt of a notification in terms of subsection (1) –
 - (a) If there is reason to believe that heritage resources will be affected by such development, notify the person who intends to undertake the development to submit an impact assessment report. Such report must be compiled at the cost of the person proposing the development, by a person or persons approved by the responsible heritage resources authority with relevant qualifications and experience and professional standing in heritage resources management; or
 - (b) Notify the person concerned that this section does not apply.
- (2) The responsible heritage resources authority must specify the information to be provided in a report required in terms of subsection (2)(a):
Provided that the following must be included:
 - (a) The identification and mapping of all heritage in the area affected;
 - (b) An assessment of the significance of such resources in terms of the heritage assessment criteria set out in section 6(2) or prescribed under section 7;
 - (c) An assessment of the impact of the development on such heritage resources;
 - (d) An evaluation of the impact of the development on heritage resources relative to the sustainable social and economic benefits to be derived from the development;
 - (e) The results of consultation with communities affected by the proposed development and other interested parties regarding the impact of the development on heritage resources;
 - (f) If heritage resources will be adversely affected by the proposed development, the consideration of alternatives; and
 - (g) Plans for mitigation of any adverse effects during and after the completion of the proposed development.