

**PALAEONTOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT OF
THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT OF A
75MW PHOTOVOLTAIC SOLAR FARM ON
THE REMAINING EXTENT OF THE FARM
ONVERWAG NO 728 AND PORTION 2 OF
THE FARM VAALKRANZ NO 220, WELKOM,
FREE STATE PROVINCE.**

FOR

Enviroworks

DATE: 11 October 2013

By

Gideon Groenewald

Cell: 082 339 9202

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	4
SOUTH AFRICAN NATIONAL HERITAGE RESOURCE ACT NO 25/1999.....	4
METHODOLOGY.....	5
GEOLOGY	7
Quaternary sand deposits (Qs).....	7
Dolerite (Jd)	8
Adelaide Subgroup (Pa).....	8
PALAEONTOLOGY	8
Quaternary deposits (Qs).....	8
Dolerite (Jd)	8
Adelaide Subgroup (Pa).....	8
DISCUSSION.....	8
MANAGEMENT PLAN.....	9
CONCLUSION	10
REFERENCES	11
QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE OF THE AUTHOR	12
DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE	12

TABLE OF FIGURES

Figure 1 Locality of the proposed Lebone Solar Farm.	4
Figure 2 Geology of the study area.....	7
Figure 3 Palaeontological sensitivity of the Lebone Solar farm study area	10

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 Palaeontological sensitivity analysis outcome classification	6
Table 2 Palaeontological significance of geological units on site.....	9

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Gideon Groenewald was appointed by Enviroworks to undertake a desktop survey, assessing the potential palaeontological impact of the proposed development of a 75MW Photovoltaic Solar Farm by Lebone Solar Farm (Pty) Ltd, on the Remaining Extent of the Farm Onverwag No 728 and Portion 2 of the Farm Vaalkranz No 220, Welkom, Free State Province.

This Palaeontological Assessment forms part of the Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) and complies with the requirements of the South African National Heritage Resource Act No 25 of 1999. In accordance with Section 38 (Heritage Resources Management), a HIA is required to assess any potential impacts to palaeontological heritage within the development footprint.

Most of the study area is underlain by Quaternary aged aeolian sand deposits. Sections of both farms are underlain by Permian aged sedimentary rocks of the Adelaide Subgroup, Beaufort Group, Karoo Supergroup. Two areas on Vallkrans 220/2 are underlain by Jurassic aged Dolerite.

The Adelaide Subgroup is known to contain a rich assemblage of fossil remains of plants and vertebrates and areas underlain by these sediments have thus been allocated a Moderate sensitivity rating. No fossils have been recorded from the Quaternary deposits in the study area and Low sensitivity rating has been allocated to these areas. Dolerite will not contain fossils, and these areas have been allocated a Low sensitivity rating.

It is recommended that:

- The EAP of the project team should be made aware of the possible occurrence of fossils. If any fossils are recorded during initial field visits, a trained palaeontologist must be notified to assess the finds.
- Where construction is envisaged on areas with a Moderate sensitivity rating, a palaeontological site inspection by a qualified palaeontologist needs to be done to assess the presence of fossils.

INTRODUCTION

Gideon Groenewald was appointed by Enviroworks to undertake a desktop survey, assessing the potential palaeontological impact of the proposed development of a 75MW Photovoltaic Solar Farm by Lebone Solar Farm (Pty) Ltd, on the Remaining Extent of the Farm Onverwag No 728 and Portion 2 of the Farm Vaalkrans No 220, Welkom, Free State Province.

The development area (Figure 1) will constitute a total laydown footprint of approximately 194 ha which will include associated infrastructure such as an onsite substation, wiring between the PV mirrors and the substation, internal access roads, security infrastructure and a storage area.



Figure 1 Locality of the proposed Lebone Solar Farm.

SOUTH AFRICAN NATIONAL HERITAGE RESOURCE ACT NO 25/1999

This Palaeontological Assessment forms part of the Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) and complies with the requirements of the South African National Heritage Resource Act No 25 of 1999. In accordance with Section 38 (Heritage Resources Management), a HIA is required to assess any potential impacts to palaeontological heritage within the development footprint.

Categories of heritage resources recognised as part of the National Estate in Section 3 of the Heritage Resources Act, and which therefore fall under its protection, include:

- geological sites of scientific or cultural importance;
- objects recovered from the soil or waters of South Africa, including archaeological and palaeontological objects and material, meteorites and rare geological specimens;
- objects with the potential to yield information that will contribute to an understanding of South Africa's natural or cultural heritage.

METHODOLOGY

Following the "SAHRA APM Guidelines: Minimum Standards for the Archaeological & Palaeontological Components of Impact Assessment Reports" the aims of the palaeontological impact assessment are:

- to identify exposed and subsurface rock formations that are considered to be palaeontologically significant;
- to assess the level of palaeontological significance of these formations;
- to comment on the impact of the development on these exposed and/or potential fossil resources and
- to make recommendations as to how the developer should conserve or mitigate damage to these resources.

In preparing a palaeontological desktop study the potential fossiliferous rock units (groups, formations etc.) represented within the study area are determined from the relevant geological maps (1:250 000 2826 Winburg) and Google Earth imagery. The known fossil heritage within each rock unit is inventoried from the published scientific literature, previous palaeontological impact studies in the same region and the author's field experience.

The likely impact of the proposed development on local fossil heritage is determined on the basis of the palaeontological sensitivity of the rock units concerned and the nature and scale of the development itself, most notably the extent of fresh bedrock excavation envisaged. The different sensitivity classes used are explained in Table 1 below.

Table 1 Palaeontological sensitivity analysis outcome classification

Sensitivity	Description
Low Sensitivity	Areas where there is likely to be a negligible impact on the fossil heritage. This category is reserved largely for areas underlain by igneous rocks. However, development in fossil bearing strata with shallow excavations or with deep soils or weathered bedrock can also form part of this category.
Moderate Sensitivity	Areas where fossil bearing rock units are present but fossil finds are localised or within thin or scattered sub-units. Pending the nature and scale of the proposed development the chances of finding fossils are moderate. A field-based assessment by a professional palaeontologist is usually warranted.
High Sensitivity	Areas where fossil bearing rock units are present with a very high possibility of finding fossils of a specific assemblage zone. Fossils will most probably be present in all outcrops and the chances of finding fossils during a field-based assessment by a professional palaeontologist are very high. Palaeontological mitigation measures need to be incorporated into the Environmental Management Plan

When rock units of moderate to high palaeontological sensitivity are present within the development footprint, a field-based assessment by a professional palaeontologist is usually warranted.

The key assumption for this desktop study is that the existing geological maps and datasets used to assess site sensitivity are correct and reliable. However, the geological maps used were not intended for fine scale planning work and are largely based on aerial photographs alone, without ground-truthing. There are also inadequate database for fossil heritage for much of South Africa, due to the small number of professional palaeontologists carrying out fieldwork in South Africa. Many proposed development study areas have thus never been studied before by a professional palaeontologist.

These factors may have a major influence on the assessment of the fossil heritage significance of a given development, and without supporting field assessments, this may lead to either:

- an underestimation of the palaeontological significance of a given study area due to ignorance of significant recorded or unrecorded fossils preserved there, or
- an overestimation of the palaeontological sensitivity of a study area, for example when originally rich fossil assemblages inferred from geological maps have in fact been destroyed by weathering, or are buried beneath a thick mantle of unfossiliferous “drift” (soil, alluvium etc).

GEOLOGY




Figure 2 Geology of the study area

Most of the study area is underlain by Quaternary aged aeolian sand deposits. Sections of both farms are underlain by Permian aged sedimentary rocks of the Adelaide Subgroup, Beaufort Group, Karoo Supergroup. Two areas on Vallkrans 220/2 are underlain by Jurassic aged Dolerite.

Quaternary sand deposits (Qs)

This deposit is characterised by red to grey aeolian dune sand deposits (Council for Geoscience, 1998)

Dolerite (Jd)

Dolerite is a very hard igneous rock that intruded the sedimentary layers during the Jurassic Period and occurs either as sills or as dykes. Sills can be from a few meters to tens of meters thick.

Adelaide Subgroup (Pa)

The Adelaide Subgroup consists mainly of blue-grey mudstone and shale with very fine to coarse grained buff-white to white sandstone and subordinate conglomerate (Council for Geoscience, 1998). It is interpreted as a mixed fluvial and lacustrine deposit with major meandering river systems (Johnson et al 2006).

PALAEONTOLOGY

Quaternary deposits (Qs)

No fossils have been described from the quaternary aeolian deposits in the study area, although fossil finds have been recorded from similar aged sediments, for example: the Cornelia Formation in the north-eastern Free State (Johnson et al, 2006).

Dolerite (Jd)

Dolerite is an igneous rock type and will not contain any fossils.

Adelaide Subgroup (Pa)

The Permian Adelaide Subgroup is interpreted as a meandering river deposit grading upwards into a lacustrine environment and is well known for containing fossils (Johnson et al, 2006). Although difficult to correlate the study area directly with more well-known outcrops of the lower part of the Adelaide Subgroup to the east, the subgroup is known to contain very good examples of *Glossopteris* flora as well as numerous remains of vertebrate fossils associated with the *Dicynodon* Assemblage Zone in the north-eastern part of the Karoo Basin (Groenewald, 1989 and 1996).

DISCUSSION

The predicted palaeontological impact of the development is based on the initial mapping assessment and literature reviews. The palaeontological significance is summarised in Table 2.

Table 2 Palaeontological significance of geological units on site

Geological Unit	Rock Type and Age	Fossil Heritage	Vertebrate Biozone	Palaeontological Sensitivity
Quaternary deposits	Aeolian sand, dune sand QUATERNARY	Sparse mammal teeth, bones, shell fragments etc.	None	Low sensitivity
Dolerite	Dolerite sills and Dykes JURASSIC	None	None	Low sensitivity
Adelaide Subgroup	Blue-grey Mudstone & White Sandstone PERMIAN	Vertebrate fossils of the <i>Therapsid</i> Group e.g. <i>Gorgonopsian</i> and <i>Dicynodonts</i> . Plant fossils e.g. <i>Glossopteris</i> trees and leaves.	<i>Dicynodon</i> Assemblage Zone	Moderate sensitivity

There is a possibility that fossils could be encountered during excavation into both the quaternary sand deposits and the Adelaide Subgroup sediments within the development footprint. The study area has been extensively modified through agricultural development and it is unlikely that fossils will be exposed in these developed areas. Outcrops of the Adelaide Subgroup associated with the banks of the Rietspruit might provide limited opportunities of finding fossils. These fossils would be of international significance. The damage and/or loss of these fossils due to inadequate mitigation would have a highly negative palaeontological impact. The exposure and subsequent reporting of fossils (that would otherwise have remained undiscovered) to a qualified palaeontologist for excavation will be a beneficial palaeontological impact.

MANAGEMENT PLAN

The likely impact of the proposed development on local fossil heritage is determined on the basis of the palaeontological sensitivity of the rock units concerned and the nature and scale of the development itself, most notably the extent of fresh bedrock excavation envisaged. The different sensitivity classes used are explained in Table 1 above. Sections of the study area that are underlain by sediments of the Adelaide Subgroup have been allocated a Moderate sensitivity rating (Figure 3) while areas underlain by quaternary sediments and dolerite have been allocated a Low sensitivity rating.




Figure 3 Palaeontological sensitivity of the Lebone Solar farm study area

CONCLUSION

Most of the study area is underlain by Quaternary aged aeolian sand deposits. Sections of both farms are underlain by Permian aged sedimentary rocks of the Adelaide Subgroup, Beaufort Group, Karoo Supergroup. Two areas on Valkrans 220/2 are underlain by Jurassic aged Dolerite.

The Adelaide Subgroup is known to contain a rich assemblage of fossil remains of plants and vertebrates and areas underlain by these sediments have thus been allocated a Moderate sensitivity rating.

It is recommended that:

- The EAP of the project team should be made aware of the possible occurrence of fossils. If any fossils are recorded during initial field visits, a trained palaeontologist must be notified to assess the finds.
- Where construction is envisaged on areas with a Moderate sensitivity rating (Figure 3), a palaeontological site inspection by a qualified palaeontologist needs to be done to assess the presence of fossils.

REFERENCES

Council for Geoscience. 1998. Sheet 2826 Winburg, 1:250 000 Geological series. Council For Geoscience, Pretoria.

Groenewald GH. 1996. Stratigraphy and Sedimentology of the Tarkastad Subgroup, Karoo Supergroup, South Africa. Unpubl PhD Thesis, University of Port Elizabeth.

Groenewald GH. 1989. Stratigrafie en sedimentology van die Groep Beaufort in die Noord-Oos Vrystaat. Bull. Geol. Surv. S. Afr, 96. 62pp.

Johnson MR , Anhaeusser CR and Thomas RJ (Eds) (2006). The Geology of South Africa. GSSA, Council for Geoscience, Pretoria.

QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE OF THE AUTHOR

Dr Gideon Groenewald has a PhD in Geology from the University of Port Elizabeth (Nelson Mandela Metropolitan University) (1996) and the National Diploma in Nature Conservation from Technicon RSA (the University of South Africa) (1989). He specialises in research on South African Permian and Triassic sedimentology and macrofossils with an interest in biostratigraphy, and palaeoecological aspects. He has extensive experience in the locating of fossil material in the Karoo Supergroup and has more than 20 years of experience in locating, collecting and curating fossils, including exploration field trips in search of new localities in the southern, western, eastern and north-eastern parts of the country. His publication record includes multiple articles in internationally recognized journals. Dr Groenewald is accredited by the Palaeontological Society of Southern Africa (society member for 25 years).

DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE

I, Gideon Groenewald, declare that I am an independent specialist consultant and have no financial, personal or other interest in the proposed development, nor the developers or any of their subsidiaries, apart from fair remuneration for work performed in the delivery of palaeontological heritage assessment services. There are no circumstances that compromise the objectivity of my performing such work.



Dr Gideon Groenewald
Geologist