PALAEONTOLOGICAL DESKTOP ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPOSED DIAMOND PERMIT APPLICATION NEAR KIMBERLEY, SOL PLAATJIES MUNICIPALITY, NORTHERN CAPE PROVINCE.

Registration No: NC 30/5/1/3/2/10698 MP

Compiled for:

OBODO PTY LTD

24 Brockman Place, Beaconsfield, Kimberley, 8301

12 May 2018

Prepared by: BANZAI ENVIRONMENTAL (PTY) LTD

Declaration of Independence

General declaration:

- I, Elize Butler, declare that -
- I act as the independent Palaeontologist in this application
- I will perform the work relating to the application in an objective manner, even if this results in views and findings that are not favourable to the applicant
- I declare that there are no circumstances that may compromise my objectivity in performing such work;
- I have expertise in conducting palaeontological impact assessments, including knowledge of the Act, Regulations and any guidelines that have relevance to the proposed activity;
- I will comply with the Act, Regulations and all other applicable legislation;
- I will take into account, to the extent possible, the matters listed in section 38 of the NHRA when preparing the application and any report relating to the application;
- I have no, and will not engage in, conflicting interests in the undertaking of the activity;
- I undertake to disclose to the applicant and the competent authority all material information in my possession that reasonably has or may have the potential of influencing - any decision to be taken with respect to the application by the competent authority; and - the objectivity of any report, plan or document to be prepared by myself for submission to the competent authority;
- I will ensure that information containing all relevant facts in respect of the application is distributed or made available to interested and affected parties and the public and that participation by interested and affected parties is facilitated in such a manner that all interested and affected parties will be provided with a reasonable opportunity to participate and to provide comments on documents that are produced to support the application;
- I will provide the competent authority with access to all information at my disposal regarding the application, whether such information is favourable to the applicant or not
- All the particulars furnished by me in this form are true and correct;
- I will perform all other obligations as expected from a heritage practitioner in terms of the Act and the constitutions of my affiliated professional bodies; and
- I realise that a false declaration is an offence in terms of regulation 71 of the Regulations and is punishable in terms of section 24F of the NEMA.

Disclosure of Vested Interest

I do not have and will not have any vested interest (either business, financial, personal or other) in the proposed activity proceeding other than remuneration for work performed in terms of the Regulations;

PALAEONTOLOGICAL CONSULTANT: CONTACT PERSON:

Banzai Environmental (Pty) Ltd Elize Butler Tel: +27 844478759

Email: elizebutler002@gmail.com

SIGNATURE:

Bitler.

The Palaeontological Impact Assessment report has been compiled taking into account the NEMA Appendix 6 requirements for specialist reports as indicated in the table below.

Table 1:Nema Requirements

NEMA	Regs (2014) - Appendix 6	Relevant section in report				
1. (1) A	A specialist report prepared in terms of these Regulations must					
contain	-					
a)	details of-					
	i. the specialist who prepared the report; and	Page ii of Report - Contact				
	ii. the expertise of that specialist to compile a specialist	details and company and				
	report including a curriculum vitae;	Appendix 1				
b)	a declaration that the specialist is independent in a form as					
	may be specified by the competent authority;	Page ii-iii				
C)	an indication of the scope of, and the purpose for which, the					
	report was prepared;	Section 4 – Objective				
	(cA) an indication of the quality and age of base data used for					
	the specialist report;	Section 5 - Geological and				
		Palaeontological history				
	(cB) a description of existing impacts on the site, cumulative					
impact	s of the proposed development and levels of acceptable					
change	2;	Section 9 – Impacts				
d)	the date, duration and season of the site investigation and					
	the relevance of the season to the outcome of the					
	assessment;	Desktop Study				
e)	a description of the methodology adopted in preparing the					
	report or carrying out the specialised process inclusive of					
	equipment and modelling used;	Section 7 Methodology				
f)	details of an assessment of the specific identified sensitivity					
	of the site related to the proposed activity or activities and					
	its associated structures and infrastructure, inclusive of a					
	site plan identifying site alternatives;	Section 1, Section 5				
g)	an identification of any areas to be avoided, including					
	buffers;	N/A				
h)	a map superimposing the activity including the associated					
	structures and infrastructure on the environmental					
	sensitivities of the site including areas to be avoided,					
	including buffers;	Section 5				
i)	a description of any assumptions made and any	Section 7.1 Assumptions				
	uncertainties or gaps in knowledge;	and Limitation				
j)	a description of the findings and potential implications of	Section 10				

such findings on the impact of the proposed activity,	
including identified alternatives on the environment or	
activities;	
k) any mitigation measures for inclusion in the EMPr;	Section 1, Section 10
I) any conditions for inclusion in the environmental	
authorisation;	N/A
m) any monitoring requirements for inclusion in the EMPr or	N/A
environmental authorisation;	
n) a reasoned opinion-	
i. as to whether the proposed activity, activities or portions	
thereof should be authorised;	
(iA) regarding the acceptability of the proposed activity or	
activities; and	
ii. if the opinion is that the proposed activity, activities or	
portions thereof should be authorised, any avoidance,	
management and mitigation measures that should be	
included in the EMPr, and where applicable, the closure	
plan;	Saction1, Section 10
o) a description of any consultation process that was	
undertaken during the course of preparing the specialist	
report;	Not applicable.
p) a summary and copies of any comments received during	Not applicable. To date not
any consultation process and where applicable all	comments regarding
responses thereto; and	heritage resources that
	require input from a
	specialist have been raised.
q) any other information requested by the competent authority.	Not applicable.
2) Where a government notice <i>gazetted</i> by the Minister provides for	
any protocol or minimum information requirement to be applied to a	Refer to section 2 and 3
specialist report, the requirements as indicated in such notice will	compliance with SAHRA
apply.	guidelines

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Obodo Pty Ltd has been appointed to assist with a mining permit application of a diamond mine development on a portion of the farm Waterfall 133, Richie, Sol Plaatjie Local Municipality, Frances Baard District Municipality, Northern Cape Province. The National Heritage Resources Act (No 25 of 1999, section 38) (NHRA), states that a Palaeontological Impact Assessment (PIA) is key to detect the presence of fossil material within the planned development footprint. This Impact Assessment is thus necessary to evaluate the effect of the construction on the palaeontological resources.

The proposed mining development is underlain by the Makwassie Formation (Platberg Group; Ventersdorp Supergroup) as well Quaternary deposits of the Kalahari Group. According to the PalaeoMap of South African Heritage Resources Information System the Palaeontological Sensitivity of the Makwassie Formation is moderate and that of the Kalahari deposits low. It is therefore considered that the prospecting right application near near Kinberley, Northern Cape Province is deemed appropriate and feasible and will not lead to detrimental impacts on the palaeontological resources of the area. Thus, the construction and operation of the facility may be authorised as the whole extent of the development footprint is not considered sensitive in terms of palaeontological resources.

In the event that fossil remains are discovered during any phase of construction, either on the surface or exposed by fresh excavations the **Chance Find Protocol** must be implemented by the ECO in charge of these developments. These discoveries ought to be secured (preferably *in situ*) and the ECO ought to alert SAHRA so that appropriate mitigation (*e.g.* documented and collection) can be undertaken by a professional palaeontologist.

The specialist would need a collection permit from SAHRA. Fossil material must be curated in an approved collection (museum or university) and all fieldwork and reports should meet the minimum standards for palaeontological impact studies developed by SAHRA.

CONTENTS

1	INT	RODUCTION	1						
2	QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE OF THE AUTHOR								
3	LEG	GISLATION	5						
3	.1	National Heritage Resources Act (25 of 1999)	5						
4	OB	JECTIVE	6						
5	GE	OLOGICAL AND PALAEONTOLOGICAL HERITAGE	7						
6	GEO	OGRAPHICAL LOCATION OF THE SITE	13						
7	ME	THODS	13						
7	.1	Assumptions and limitations	13						
8	AD	DITIONAL INFORMATION CONSULTED	13						
9	IMP	PACT ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY	14						
9	.1	SUMMARY OF IMPACT TABLES	17						
10	FIN	IDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	17						
11	CHA	ANCE FINDS PROTOCOL	18						
1	1.1	Legislation	18						
1	1.2	Background	18						
1	1.3	Introduction	18						
1	1.4	Chance Find Procedure	18						
12	REF	FERENCES	19						

LIST OF FIGURES

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1:Nema Requirements	iv
Table 2: The rating system	Error! Bookmark not defined.

APPENDIX 1: CV:	26
-----------------	----

1 INTRODUCTION

Obodo Pty Ltd has been appointed to assist with a mining permit application of a diamond mine development on a portion of the farm Waterfall 133, Richie, Sol Plaatjie Local Municipality, Frances Baard District Municipality, Northern Cape Province. The proposed application area was previously mined/disturbed and the new development will utilize only a small area (5 ha) of the property.

The mining activities will focus on dolomite, alluvial and, if required, target the kimberlite with the hope that the identified area on the farm could be efficiently mined to produce products of economic value.

It is planned that a rotary diamond plant will use a 16 feet rotary pan. It is estimated that the rotary pan will use 17 000 liters of water per hour and will be running for 8 hours a day with water usage estimated at 720 000 litters per week. Mining activities may change the subsurface flow of surface water through dewatering activities, but loss of run-off to the Vaal River is not anticipated.

The proposed mining will include the following:

Chemical Storage Diesel Storage Domestic Waste Facility Excavations Oil storage facility Roads to trenches and processing Plant Slimes dam will be established Stock Pile Temporary Dump Site Water pipeline of undetermined length but less than 10 Km

Invasive Activities will be limited to the digging of trenches



Figure 1: Map of the proposed mining development on a portion of Waterfall 133, Richie, Sol Plaatjie Municipality, Northern Cape Province. Map provided by Obodo.



Figure 2: Proposed mining development. Map provided by Obodo.



Figure 3: Extract of the 2924 BA topographical map indicating the location of the proposed mining development on a portion of Waterfall 133, Richie, near Kimberley, Sol Plaatjie Municipality, Northern Cape Province. Map provided by Obodobo .

2 QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE OF THE AUTHOR

The author (Elize Butler) has an MSc in Palaeontology from the University of the Free State, Bloemfontein, South Africa. She has been working in Palaeontology for more than twenty-four years. She has extensive experience in locating, collecting and curating fossils, including exploration field trips in search of new localities in the Karoo Basin. She has been a member of the Palaeontological Society of South Africa for 13 years. She has been conducting PIAs since 2014.

3 LEGISLATION

3.1 NATIONAL HERITAGE RESOURCES ACT (25 OF 1999)

Cultural Heritage in South Africa, includes all heritage resources, is protected by the National Heritage Resources Act (Act 25 of 1999) (NHRA). Heritage resources as defined in Section 3 of the Act include "all objects recovered from the soil or waters of South Africa, including archaeological and palaeontological objects and material, meteorites and rare geological specimens".

Palaeontological heritage is unique and non-renewable and is protected by the NHRA. Palaeontological resources may not be unearthed, broken moved, or destroyed by any development without prior assessment and without a permit from the relevant heritage resources authority as per section 35 of the NHRA.

This DIA forms part of the Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) and adhere to the conditions of the Act. According to **Section 38 (1)**, an HIA is required to assess any potential impacts to palaeontological heritage within the development footprint where:

- the construction of a road, wall, power line, pipeline, canal or other similar form of linear development or barrier exceeding 300 m in length;
- the construction of a bridge or similar structure exceeding 50 m in length;
- any development or other activity which will change the character of a site—
- (exceeding 5 000 m² in extent; or
- involving three or more existing erven or subdivisions thereof; or
- involving three or more erven or divisions thereof which have been consolidated within the past five years; or
- the costs of which will exceed a sum set in terms of regulations by SAHRA or a provincial heritage resources authority
- the re-zoning of a site exceeding 10 000 m² in extent;
- or any other category of development provided for in regulations by SAHRA or a Provincial heritage resources authority.

4 OBJECTIVE

The objective of a Palaeontological Impact Assessment (PIA) is to determine the impact of the development on potential palaeontological material at the site.

According to the "SAHRA APM Guidelines: Minimum Standards for the Archaeological and Palaeontological Components of Impact Assessment Reports" the aims of the PIA are: 1) to **identify** the palaeontological status of the exposed as well as rock formations just below the surface in the development footprint 2) to estimate the **palaeontological importance** of the formations 3) to determine the **impact** on fossil heritage; and 4) to recommend how the developer ought to protect or mitigate damage to fossil heritage.

The terms of reference of a PIA are as follows:

General Requirements:

- Adherence to the content requirements for specialist reports in accordance with Appendix 6 of the EIA Regulations 2014, as amended;
- Adherence to all applicable best practice recommendations, appropriate legislation and authority requirements;
- Submit a comprehensive overview of all appropriate legislation, guidelines;
- Description of the proposed project and provide information regarding the developer and consultant who commissioned the study,
- Description and location of the proposed development and provide geological and topographical maps
- Provide Palaeontological and geological history of the affected area.
- Identification sensitive areas to be avoided (providing shapefiles/kmls) in the proposed dvelopment;
- Evaluation of the significance of the planned development during the Pre-construction, Construction, Operation, Decommissioning Phases and Cumulative impacts. Potential impacts should be rated in terms of the direct, indirect and cumulative:
 - a. **Direct impacts** are impacts that are caused directly by the activity and generally occur at the same time and at the place of the activity.
 - b. **Indirect impacts** of an activity are indirect or induced changes that may occur as a result of the activity.
 - **c. Cumulative impacts** are impacts that result from the incremental impact of the proposed activity on a common resource when added to the impacts of other past, present or reasonably foreseeable future activities.
- Fair assessment of alternatives (infrastructure alternatives have been provided):
- Recommend mitigation measures to minimise the impact of the proposed development; and
- Implications of specialist findings for the proposed development (such as permits, licenses etc).

5 GEOLOGICAL AND PALAEONTOLOGICAL HERITAGE

The proposed development is underlain by the Makwassie Formation (Platberg Group, Ventersdorp Supergroup) as wel as Quaternary Kalahari deposits.

Note that three different geological maps were used in this desktop study namely the QGIS map utilizing the Council for Geoscience shapefiles (Figure 5), the 1: 250 000, 2724 Kimberley (1993) Geological Map (Council for Geoscience) (Figure 6); as well as the SAHRIS PalaeoMap (Figure 7). The geological formations indicated on the different maps differ and thus all the relefant geological sediments will be discussed.

A series of four basisns developed between 3000 and 2100 Million years ago (Mya), after the stabilization of the Kaapvaal Craton. The **Ventersdorp Supergroup** was the second last of these basins that developed and provides an exceptional volcano-sedimentary supracrustal record. This Supergroup is thus an example of a Large Igneous Profince (LIP). In the Kaapvaal Craton, Ventersdorp Supergroup is the largest and most widespread sequence of volcanic rocks.

The Ventersdorp Supergroup consists of two Groups namely Klippriversberg Group at the base that is overlain by the Platberg Group, which is in turn followed by the sedimentary Bothaville Formation and the Volcanic Allanridge Formation (Van Der Westhuizen, 2006).

The Makwassie Formation, present in the development footprint, consists mainly of acid lavas (mostly quartz porphyry), ash flows, and subordinate sediments with minor limestones and cherts present in the upper portion of the succession..

Palaeontological heritageof the **Ventersdorp Supergroup** include lacustrine stromatolites. Microfossils could be present as well, as they have been recorded from sediments of the Platberg Group in the Northern Free State. Cherts could contain organic-walled microfossils. Borehole cores have been taken from this Supergroup and Stromatolites have been recorded (Figure 4).

Algal growth structures, also known as "Stromatolites", are fossil structures described from the dolomites of the Transvaal Supergroup (Figure 3). Stromatolites are layered mounds, columns and sheet-like sedimentary rocks. These structures were originally formed by the growth of layer upon layer of cyanobacteria, a single-celled photosynthesizing microbe. Cyanobacteria are prokaryotic cells (simplest form of modern carbon-bases life). Stromatolites are first found in Precambrian rocks and are known as the earliest known fossils. The oxygen atmosphere that we depend on was generated by numerous cyanobacteria photosynthesizing during the Archaean and Proterozoic Era.



Figure 4: Example of a well preserved stromatolite from the Archaean Era.

Almond & Pether 2008, allocated a low significance to the Kalahari Group because fossil assemblages are generally rare and low in diversity and occur over a wide-ranging geographic area. In the past palaeontologists did not focus on Cenozoic superficial deposits although they sometimes comprise of significant fossil biotas.

The **Cenozoic Kalahari Group** (Ca 65 – 2.5 million years old (Ma) is the most widespread body of terrestrial sediments in southern Africa. The Cenozoic sands and calcretes of the Kalahari Group range in thickness from a few metres to more than 180m (Partridge et al., 2006). The youngest formation of the Kalahari group is the Gordonia Formation which is generally termed Kalahari sand and comprises of red aeolian sands that covers most of the Kalahari Group sediments. The pan sediments of the area originated from the Gordonia Formation and contains white to brown fine grained silts, sands and clays. Some of the pans consist of clayey material mixed with evaporates that shows seasonal effects of shallow saline groundwaters. Quaternary alluvium, aolian sands, surface limestone, silcrete, and terrace gravels are also included in the Kalahari Group (Kent 1980

The fossil assemblages of the Kalahari are generally very low in diversity, and occur over a wide range and thus the palaeontological diversity of this Group is low. These fossils represent terrestrial plants and animals with a close resemblance to living forms and include trace fossils, ostracods,

bivalves, gastropod shells, diatoms. Fossil assemblages include bivalves, diatoms, gastropod shells, ostracods and trace fossils.



Figure 5: Surface geology of the proposed mining development on a portion of Waterfall 133, Richie, Sol Plaatjie Municipality, Northern Cape Province. The proposed development is underlain by the Makwassie Formation of the Platberg Group and Ventersdorp Supergroup as wel as Quaternary Deposits. Map drawn QGIS Desktop 2.18.18



Figure 6: Extract of the 1: 250 000 2824 Kimberly Geological Map (Council for Geosciences, Pretoria) indicating the approximate location of the *proposed mining development on a portion of Waterfall 133, Richie, Sol Plaatjie Municipality, Northern Cape Province.*

Legend to Map and short explanation

Qs – Red to grey-coloured wind-blown sand.

Mining activities

Kimberlite pipe (◊)



Figure 7: Extract of the 1 in 250 000 SAHRIS PalaeoMap map (Council of Geosciences). Approximate location of the proposed development is indicated in black

Colour	Sensitivity	Required Action
RED	VERY HIGH	field assessment and protocol for finds is required
ORANGE/YELLOW	HIGH	desktop study is required and based on the outcome of the desktop study, a field assessment is likely
GREEN	MODERATE	desktop study is required
BLUE	LOW	no palaeontological studies are required however a protocol for finds is required
GREY	INSIGNIFICANT/ZERO	no palaeontological studies are required
WHITE/CLEAR	UNKNOWN	these areas will require a minimum of a desktop study. As more information comes to light, SAHRA will continue to populate the map.

According to the SAHRIS palaeosensitivity map (Figure 7) there is very little chance of finding fossils in this area. This is in contradiction with the tables on the SAHRIS web page where the *Ventersdorp Supergroup* is allocated a moderate Palaeontological Sensitivity.

6 GEOGRAPHICAL LOCATION OF THE SITE

The proposed development is located on a 5 ha portion of farm Waterfall 133, approximately 35 km south of Kimberley

- -29.0408S 24.53893E
- -29.0405S 24.54137E
- -29.0423S 24.54186E
- -29.0426S 24.53939E

7 METHODS

A desktop study was assembled to evaluate the possible risk to palaeontological heritage (this includes fossils as well as trace fossils) in the proposed development area. In compiling the desktop report aerial photos, Google Earth 2018, topographical and geological maps and other reports from the same area as well as the author's experience were used to assess the proposed development footprint. int. No consultations were undertaken for this Impact Assessment.

7.1 Assumptions and limitations

The accuracy of Desktop Palaeontological Assessment is reduced by several factors which may include the following: the databases of institutions are not always up to date and relevant locality and geological information were not accurately documented in the past. Various remote areas of South Africa have not been assessed by palaeontologists and data is based on aerial photographs alone. Geological maps concentre on the geology of an area and the sheet explanations were never intended to focus on palaeontological heritage.

Similar Assemblage Zones, but in different areas is used to provide information on the presence of fossil heritage in an unmapped area. Desktop studies of similar geological formations and Assemblage Zones generally **assume** that exposed fossil heritage is present within the development area. The accuracy of the Palaeontological Impact Assessment is thus improved considerably by conducting a field-assessment.

8 ADDITIONAL INFORMATION CONSULTED

In compiling this report the following sources were consulted:

- The Palaeosensitivity Map from the SAHRIS website.
- 1:250 000 2824 Kimberly Geological Map
- 1: 50 000 Topographical map 2924 BA

- Coordinates was provided by Obodo Pty Ltd
- BAR and EMP for the development provided by Obodo
- Other Impact Studies in the same area is listed in the references and include Almond 2017a;b
 ; Bamford, 2017; Fourie, 2018, Groenewald 2013, 2014.

9 IMPACT ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY

 Methodology used in determining and ranking the nature, significance, consequences, extent, duration and probability of potential environmental impacts and risks;

(Describe how the significance, probability, and duration of the aforesaid identified impacts that were identified through the consultation process was determined in order to decide the extent to which the initial site layout needs revision).

The criteria used to assess the significance of the impacts are discussed below. The criteria used to assess the significance of the impacts are shown in the table below. The limits were defined in relation to mining characteristics. Those for probability, intensity/severity and significance are subjective, based on rule-of-thumb and experience. Natural and existing mitigation measures were considered.

These natural mitigation measures were defined as natural conditions, conditions inherent in the project design and existing management measures, which alleviate impacts. The significance of the impacts was calculated by using the following formula:

(Severity +Spacial Scope + Duration) x Probability weighting

For the impact assessment, the different project activities and associated infrastructure were identified and considered in order to identify and analyse the various possible impacts. These include roads and hauling, excavations, temporary waste dumping, topsoil storage, mine residue deposit dam, plant and

processing area, temporary office, workshops and ablution facilities, water tanks, diesel tanks, pipeline, other temporary buildings, etc.

Significance of impacts is defined as follows:

No Impact – There will be no impact on the system or any of its parts.

Very Low – Impact would be negligible. Almost no mitigation and/or remedial activity would be needed, and any minor steps which might be needed would be easy, cheap and simple.

Low – Impact would have little real effect. Mitigation and/or remedial activity would be either easily achieved or little would be required or both.

Medium – Impact would be real but not substantial within the bounds of those which could occur. Mitigation and/or remedial activity would be both feasible and fairly easily possible.

High – Impacts of substantial order. Mitigation and/or remedial activity would be feasible but difficult, expensive, time consuming or some combination of these.

Very High – Of the highest order possible within the bounds of impacts which could occur. There would be no possible mitigation and/or remedial activity to offset the impact at the spatial or time scale for which was predicted.

Weight	Severity	Spacial Scope	Duration
1	Insignificant/non-	Activity specific/No	Immediate (0 – 6
	harmful	effect/Controlled	months)
2	Minimal / potentially	Slight permanent	Short term /
	harmful	deviation /	construction (6
		<mark>on-site</mark>	months- 1 yr)
3	Medium / slightly	Immediate	Life of operation
	harmful	surroundings /	
		local / outside mine	
		area	
4	High / Critical /	Regional effect	Decommissioning
	Serious		
5	Catastrophic / major	National/ Severe	Residual
		environmental	
		damage	
6	Disastrous	Trans boundary	Residual
		effects	

Tabla	7.
rable	1:
Table	

Table 8:

Weight N	umber	1	2	3	4	5
Frequency	y					
Probabili	Frequen	Highly	Rare	Low	Probabl	Certain
ty	cy of	unlikely		<mark>likelihoo</mark>	e/	
	Impact			d	possibl	
					е	

		Practical	Conceivable but	Only	Unusua	Definite
		ly	very unlikely	remotel	l but	
		impossib		у	possibl	
		le		possible	е	
Frequ	ien	Annually	6	Infreque	Life of	Life of
су	of	or less	monthly/tempor	nt	operati	operati
Activi	ty		arily		on	on

Table 9:

	CONSEQUENCE															
	(Severity + Spatial Scope + Duration)															
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	<mark>10</mark>	11	12	13	14	15
		2	4	6	8	1	1	1	1	1	20	22	24	26	28	30
						0	2	4	6	8						
		3	6	9	1	1	1	2	2	2	30	33	36	39	42	45
					2	5	8	1	4	7						
		4	8	1	1	2	2	2	3	3	40	44	48	52	56	60
	Ŧ			2	6	0	4	8	2	6						
	pac	5	1	1	2	2	3	3	4	4	50	55	60	65	70	75
	f im		0	5	0	5	0	5	0	5						
	с С	6	1	1	2	3	3	4	4	5	60	66	72	78	84	90
	nen		2	8	4	0	6	2	8	4						
	req	7	1	2	2	3	4	4	5	6	70	77	84	91	98	10
	+ f		4	1	8	5	2	9	6	3						5
	ivity	8	1	2	3	4	4	5	6	7	80	88	96	10	11	12
	act		6	4	2	0	8	6	4	2				4	2	0
ΙĘ	<u>ү</u>	9	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	90	99	10	11	12	13
ABII	lenc		8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1			8	7	6	5
OB	edu	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
PR	(Fr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 10

Colour	Significance	Value	Negative	Positive Impact
Code	Rating		Impact	Management
			Management	Strategy
			Strategy	
	VERY HIGH	126 – 150	Improve current	Maintain current
			management	management

		management	management
	<mark>1 - 25</mark>	Improve current	Maintain current
		management	management
VERY LOW	26 – 50	Improve current	Maintain current
MEDIUM		management	management
LOW –	51 – 75	Improve current	Maintain current
HIGH		management	management
MEDIUM –	76 – 100	Improve current	Maintain current
		management	management
HIGH	101 – 125	Improve current	Maintain current

9.1 SUMMARY OF IMPACT TABLES

The proposed development is underlain by the Makwassie Formation (Platberg Group, Ventersdorp Supergroup) as wel as Quaternary Kalahari deposits. The Palaeontological Sensitivity of the Makwassie formation is moderate while that of the Kalahari is Low. The severity of the impacts is rated as medium. The impacts will only have and effect on the development site. The expected duration of the impact is assessed as potentially permanent to long term. Impacts on palaeontological heritage will only occur during the construction phase but are regarded as having a low possibility.

10 FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The proposed mining development is underlain by the Makwassie Formation (Platberg Group; Ventersdorp Supergroup) as well Quaternary deposits of the Kalahari Group. According to the PalaeoMap of South African Heritage Resources Information System the Palaeontological Sensitivity of the Makwassie Formation is moderate. It is therefore considered that the prospecting right application near near Kinberley, Northern Cape Province is deemed appropriate and feasible and will not lead to detrimental impacts on the palaeontological resources of the area. Thus, the construction and operation of the facility may be authorised as the whole extent of the development footprint is not considered sensitive in terms of palaeontological resources.

In the event that fossil remains are discovered during any phase of construction, either on the surface or exposed by fresh excavations the **Chance Find Protocol** must be implemented by the ECO in charge of these developments. These discoveries ought to be secured (preferably *in situ*) and the ECO ought to alert SAHRA so that appropriate mitigation (*e.g.* documented and collection) can be undertaken by a professional palaeontologist.

The specialist would need a collection permit from SAHRA. Fossil material must be curated in an approved collection (museum or university) and all fieldwork and reports should meet the minimum standards for palaeontological impact studies developed by SAHRA.

11 CHANCE FINDS PROTOCOL

A following procedure will only be followed in the event that fossils are uncovered during excavation.

11.1 LEGISLATION

Cultural Heritage in South Africa (includes all heritage resources) is protected by the National Heritage Resources Act (Act 25 of 1999) (NHRA). According to Section 3 of the Act, all Heritage resources include "all objects recovered from the soil or waters of South Africa, including archaeological and palaeontological objects and material, meteorites and rare geological specimens".

Palaeontological heritage is unique and non-renewable and is protected by the NHRA and are the property of the State. It is thus the responsibility of the State to manage and conserve fossils on behalf of the citizens of South Africa. Palaeontological resources may not be excavated, broken, moved, or destroyed by any development without prior assessment and without a permit from the relevant heritage resources authority as per section 35 of the NHRA.

11.2 BACKGROUND

A fossil is the naturally preserved remains (or traces) of plants or animals embedded in rock. These plants and animals lived in the geologic past millions of years ago. Fossils are extremely rare and irreplaceable. By studying fossils it is possible to determine the environmental conditions that existed in a specific geographical area millions of years ago.

11.3 INTRODUCTION

This informational document is intended for workmen and foremen on construction sites. It describes the actions to be taken when mining or construction activities accidentally uncovers fossil material.

It is the responsibility of the Environmental Control Officer (ECO) of the project to train the workmen and foremen in the procedure to follow when a fossil is accidentally uncovered. In the absence of the ECO, a member of the staff must be appointed to be responsible for the proper implementation of the chance find protocol as not to compromise the conservation of fossil material.

11.4 CHANCE FIND PROCEDURE

- If a chance find is made the person responsible for the find must immediately **stop working** and all work must cease in the immediate vicinity of the find.
- The person who made the find must immediately **report** the find to his/her direct supervisor which in turn must report the find to his/her manager and the ECO or site manager. The ECO

must report the find to the relevant Heritage Agency (South African Heritage Research Agency, SAHRA). (Contact details: SAHRA, 111 Harrington Street, Cape Town. PO Box 4637, Cape Town 8000, South Africa. Tel: 021 462 4502. Fax: +27 (0)21 462 4509. Web: <u>www.sahra.org.za</u>). The information to the Heritage Agency must include photographs of the find, from various angles, as well as the GPS co-ordinates.

- A preliminary report must be submitted to the Heritage Agency within **24 hours** of the find and must include the following: 1) date of the find; 2) a description of the discovery and a 3) description of the fossil and its context (depth and position of the fossil), GPS co-ordinates.
- Photographs (the more the better) of the discovery must be of high quality, in focus, accompanied by a scale. It is also important to have photographs of the vertical section (side) where the fossil was found.

Upon receipt of the preliminary report, the Heritage Agency will inform the ECO (site manager) whether a rescue excavation or rescue collection by a palaeontologist is necessary.

- The site must be secured to protect it from any further damage. **No attempt** should be made to remove material from their environment. The exposed finds must be stabilized and covered by a plastic sheet or sand bags. The Heritage agency will also be able to advise on the most suitable method of protection of the find.
- In the event that the fossil cannot be stabilized the fossil may be collected with extreme care by the ECO (site manager). Fossils finds must be stored in tissue paper and in an appropriate box while due care must be taken to remove all fossil material from the rescue site.
- Once Heritage Agency has issued the written authorization, the developer may continue with the development.

12 REFERENCES

ALMOND, J., PETHER, J., 2008. Palaeontological heritage of the Northern Cape. SAHRA Palaeotechnical Report, 143pp

ALMOND, J.E. and PETHER, J. 2009. SAHRA Palaeotechnical Report: Palaeontological Heritage of the Northern Cape Province. South African Heritage Resources Agency, Pp 1-143.

ALMOND, J., PETHER, J, and GROENEWALD, G. 2013. South African National Fossil Sensitivity Map. SAHRA and Council for Geosciences.

ALMOND, J. E., 2013. Palaeontological Desktop study for the proposed 16 mtpa expansion of Transnet's existing Manganese Ore export railway line & associated infrastructure between Hotazel and the port of Ngqura, Northern & Eastern Cape. Part 1: Hotazel to Kimberley, Northern Cape.

BAMFORD, M. 2017., Palaeontological Impact Assessment for the proposed new underground Khwara Manganese mine near Hotazel, Northern Cape Province

BUTTRICK, D.B., VAN ROOY, J.L. & LIGTHELM, R. 1993. Environmental geological aspects of the dolomites of South Africa. Journal of African Earth Sciences 16, 53-61.

DU TOIT, A. 1954. The geology of South Africa. xii + 611pp, 41 pls. Oliver & Boyd, Edinburg.

ERIKSSON, P.G. & ALTERMANN, W. 1998. An overview of the geology of the Transvaal Supergroup dolomites (South Africa). Environmental Geology 36, 179-188.

FOURIE, H.C. 2018. Proposed upgrading of the 66 KV Network to a 132 KV Network in the Hotazel, Kuruman and Kathu area Ga-Segonyana -, Joe Morolong - and Gamagara Local Municipalities, John Taolo Gaetsewe District Municipality, Northern Cape Province Farm: Existing servitude.

KENT, L. E., 1980. Part 1: Lithostratigraphy of the Republic of South Africa, South West Africa/Namibia and the Republics of Bophuthatswana, Transkei, and Venda. SACS, Council for Geosciences, Pp 535-574.

MACRAE, C. 1999. Life etched in stone. Fossils of South Africa. 305 pp. The Geological Society of South Africa, Johannesburg.

MCCARTHY, T. & RUBIDGE, B. 2005. The story of Earth and life: a southern African perspective on a 4.6-billion-year journey. 334pp. Struik, Cape Town.

McKEE, J.K., THACKERAY, J.F. & BERGER, L.R. 1995. Faunal assemblage seriation of southern African Pliocene and Pleistocene fossil deposits. American Journal of Physical Anthropology 96, 235-250.

PARTRIDGE, T.C., BOTHA, G.A. & HADDON, I.G. 2006. Cenozoic deposits of the interior. In: Johnson, M.R., Anhaeusser, C.R. & Thomas, R.J. (Eds.) The geology of South Africa, pp. 585-604. Geological Society of South Africa, Marshalltown.

SG 2.2 SAHRA APMHOB Guidelines, 2012. Minimum standards for palaeontological components of Heritage Impact Assessment Reports, Pp 1-15.

SCHOPF, J.W. 2006. Fossil evidence of Archaean life. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London (B) 361, 869-885.

TRUSWELL, J.F. & ERIKSSON, K.A. 1972. The morphology of stromatolites from the Transvaal Dolomite northwest of Johannesburg, South Africa. Transactions of the Geological Society of South Africa 75, 99-110.

TANKARD, A.J., JACKSON, M.P.A., ERIKSSON, K.A., HOBDAY, D.K., HUNTER, D.R. & MINTER, W.E.L. 1982. Crustal evolution of southern Africa – 3.8 billion years of earth history, xv + 523pp. Springer Verlag, New York.

VAN DER MERWE, S.J. 1997. Basin Analysis of the Kalahari Manganese Basin. Unpublished MSc Thesis, UOFS.

VAN DER WESTHUIZEN, W.A., and De BRUIYN, H. 2006. The Ventersdorp Supergroup. In: Johnson, M.R., Anhaeusser, C.R. & Thomas, R.J. (Eds.) The geology of South Africa, pp. 237-260. Geological Society of South Africa, Marshalltown.

VISSER, D.J.L. (ed) 1984. Geological Map of South Africa 1:100 000. South African Committee for Stratigraphy, Council for Geoscience, Pretoria.

VISSER, D.J.L. (ed) 1989. *Toeligting: Geologiese kaart (1:100 000)*. *Die Geologie van die Republieke van Suid Afrika, Transkei, Bophuthatswana, Venda, Ciskei en die Koningkryke van Lesotho en Swaziland*. South African Committee for Stratigraphy. Council for Geoscience, Pretoria, Pp 494.

Appendix: 1: CV				
	Deles entels vist			
PROFESSION:				
YEARS' EXPERIENCE:	25 years in Palaeontology			
EDUCATION:	B.Sc Botany and Zoology, 1988			
	University of the Orange Free State			
	B.Sc (Hons)	Zoology, 1991		
	University of the Orange Free State Management Course, 1991 University of the Orange Free State			
	M. Sc. Curr	n laude (Zoology), 2009		
	University of	the Free State		
Dissertation title: The postor Galesaurus planiceps: implication	cranial skeleton of ons for biology and life	the Early Triassic non-mammalian Cynodont estyle		
Registered as a PhD fe	llow at the Zoology De	epartment of the UFS 2013 to current		
Dissertation title: A new gorgo Karoo Ba	phopsian from the upp Isin of South Africa	ermost Daptocephalus Assemblage Zone, in the		
MEMBERSHIP				
Palaeontological Society of Sou	th Africa (PSSA)	2006-currently		
EMPLOYMENT HISTORY				
Part time Laboratory assistant		Department of Zoology & Entomology University of the Free State Zoology 1989- 1992		
Part time laboratory assistant	Dep	artment of Virology		
		University of the Free State Zoology 1992		
Research Assistant		National Museum, Bloemfontein 1993 – 1997		
Principal Research Assistant		National Museum, Bloemfontein		
and Collection Manager		1998–currently		

TECHNICAL REPORTS

Butler, E. 2014. Palaeontological Impact Assessment of the proposed development of private dwellings on portion 5 of farm 304 Matjesfontein Keurboomstrand, Knysna District, Western Cape Province. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2014. Palaeontological Impact Assessment for the proposed upgrade of existing water supply infrastructure at Noupoort, Northern Cape Province. 2014. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2015. Palaeontological impact assessment of the proposed consolidation, re-division and development of 250 serviced erven in Nieu-Bethesda, Camdeboo local municipality, Eastern Cape. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2015. Palaeontological impact assessment of the proposed mixed land developments at Rooikraal 454, Vrede, Free State. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2015. Palaeontological exemption report of the proposed truck stop development at Palmiet 585, Vrede, Free State. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2015. Palaeontological impact assessment of the proposed Orange Grove 3500 residential development, Buffalo City Metropolitan Municipality East London, Eastern Cape. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2015. Palaeontological Impact Assessment of the proposed Gonubie residential development, Buffalo City Metropolitan Municipality East London, Eastern Cape Province. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2015. Palaeontological Impact Assessment of the proposed Ficksburg raw water pipeline. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2015. Palaeontological Heritage Impact Assessment report on the establishment of the 65 MW Majuba Solar Photovoltaic facility and associated infrastructure on portion 1, 2 and 6 of the farm Witkoppies 81 HS, Mpumalanga Province. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2015. Palaeontological Impact Assessment of the proposed township establishment on the remainder of portion 6 and 7 of the farm Sunnyside 2620, Bloemfontein, Mangaung metropolitan municipality, Free State, Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2015. Palaeontological Impact Assessment of the proposed Woodhouse 1 photovoltaic solar energy facilities and associated infrastructure on the farm Woodhouse729, near Vryburg, North West Province. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2015. Palaeontological Impact Assessment of the proposed Woodhouse 2 photovoltaic solar energy facilities and associated infrastructure on the farm Woodhouse 729, near Vryburg, North West Province. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2015.Palaeontological Impact Assessment of the proposed Orkney solar energy farm and associated infrastructure on the remaining extent of Portions 7 and 21 of the farm Wolvehuis 114, near Orkney, North West Province. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2015. Palaeontological Impact Assessment of the proposed Spectra foods broiler houses and abattoir on the farm Maiden Manor 170 and Ashby Manor 171, Lukhanji Municipality, Queenstown, Eastern Cape Province. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2016. Palaeontological Impact Assessment of the proposed construction of the 150 MW Noupoort concentrated solar power facility and associated infrastructure on portion 1 and 4 of the farm Carolus Poort 167 and the remainder of Farm 207, near Noupoort, Northern Cape. Prepared for Savannah Environmental. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2016. Palaeontological Impact Assessment of the proposed Woodhouse 1 Photovoltaic Solar Energy facility and associated infrastructure on the farm Woodhouse 729, near Vryburg, North West Province. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2016. Palaeontological Impact Assessment of the proposed Woodhouse 2 Photovoltaic Solar Energy facility and associated infrastructure on the farm Woodhouse 729, near Vryburg, North West Province. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2016. Proposed 132kV overhead power line and switchyard station for the authorised Solis Power 1 CSP project near Upington, Northern Cape. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2016. Palaeontological Impact Assessment of the proposed Senqu Pedestrian Bridges in Ward 5 of Senqu Local Municipality, Eastern Cape Province. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2016. Recommendation from further Palaeontological Studies: Proposed Construction of the Modderfontein Filling Station on Erf 28 Portion 30, Founders Hill, City Of Johannesburg, Gauteng Province. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2016. Recommendation from further Palaeontological Studies: Proposed Construction of the Modikwa Filling Station on a Portion of Portion 2 of Mooihoek 255 Kt, Greater Tubatse Local Municipality, Limpopo Province. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2016. Recommendation from further Palaeontological Studies: Proposed Construction of the Heidedal filling station on Erf 16603, Heidedal Extension 24, Mangaung Local Municipality, Bloemfontein, Free State Province. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2016. Recommended Exemption from further Palaeontological studies: Proposed Construction of the Gunstfontein Switching Station, 132kv Overhead Power Line (Single Or Double Circuit) and ancillary infrastructure for the Gunstfontein Wind Farm Near Sutherland, Northern Cape Province. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2016. Palaeontological Impact Assessment of the proposed Galla Hills Quarry on the remainder of the farm Roode Krantz 203, in the Lukhanji Municipality, division of Queenstown, Eastern Cape Province. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2016. Chris Hani District Municipality Cluster 9 water backlog project phases 3a and 3b: Palaeontology inspection at Tsomo WTW. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2016. Palaeontological Impact Assessment of the proposed construction of the 150 MW Noupoort concentrated solar power facility and associated infrastructure on portion 1 and 4 of the farm Carolus Poort 167 and the remainder of Farm 207, near Noupoort, Northern Cape. Savannaha South Africa. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2016. Palaeontological Impact Assessment of the proposed upgrading of the main road MR450 (R335) from the Motherwell to Addo within the Nelson Mandela Bay Municipality and Sunday's river valley Local Municipality, Eastern Cape Province. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2016. Palaeontological Impact Assessment construction of the proposed Metals Industrial Cluster and associated infrastructure near Kuruman, Northern Cape province.. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2016. Palaeontological Impact Assessment for the proposed construction of up to a 132kv power line and associated infrastructure for the proposed Kalkaar Solar Thermal Power Plant near Kimberley, Free State and Northern Cape Provinces. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2016. Palaeontological Impact Assessment of the proposed development of two burrow pits (DR02625 and DR02614) in the Enoch Mgijima Municipality, Chris Hani District, Eastern Cape

Butler, E. 2016. Ezibeleni waste Buy-Back Centre (near Queenstown), Enoch Mgijima Local Municipality, Eastern Cape. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2016. Palaeontological Impact Assessment for the proposed construction of two 5 Mw Solar Photovoltaic Power Plants on Farm Wildebeestkuil 59 and Farm Leeuwbosch 44, Leeudoringstad, North West Province. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2016.Palaeontological Impact Assessment for the proposed development of four Leeuwberg Wind farms and basic assessments for the associated grid connection near Loeriesfontein, Northern Cape Province. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2016. Palaeontological impact assessment for the proposed Aggeneys south prospecting right project, Northern Cape Province. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2016. Palaeontological impact assessment of the proposed Motuoane Ladysmith Exploration right application, KwaZulu Natal. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2016. Palaeontological impact assessment for the proposed construction of two 5 MW solar photovoltaic power plants on farm Wildebeestkuil 59 and farm Leeuwbosch 44, Leeudoringstad, North West Province. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2016.: Palaeontological desktop assessment of the establishment of the proposed residential and mixed use development on the remainder of portion 7 and portion 898 of the farm Knopjeslaagte 385 IR, located near Centurion within the Tshwane Metropolitan Municipality of Gauteng Province. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2017. Palaeontological impact assessment for the proposed development of a new cemetery, near Kathu, Gamagara local municipality and John Taolo Gaetsewe district municipality, Northern Cape. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2017. Palaeontological Impact Assessment Of The Proposed Development Of The New Open Cast Mining Operations On The Remaining Portions Of 6, 7, 8 And 10 Of The Farm Kwaggafontein 8 In The Carolina Magisterial District, Mpumalanga Province. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2017. Palaeontological Desktop Assessment for the Proposed Development of a Wastewater Treatment Works at Lanseria, Gauteng Province. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2017. Palaeontological Scoping Report for the Proposed Construction of a Warehouse and Associated Infrastructure at Perseverance in Port Elizabeth, Eastern Cape Province.

Butler, E. 2017. Palaeontological Desktop Assessment for the Proposed Establishment of a Diesel Farm and a Haul Road for the Tshipi Borwa mine Near Hotazel, In the John Taolo Gaetsewe District Municipality in the Northern Cape Province. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2017. Palaeontological Desktop Assessment for the Proposed Changes to Operations at the UMK Mine near Hotazel, In the John Taolo Gaetsewe District Municipality in the Northern Cape Province. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2017. Palaeontological Impact Assessment for the Development of the Proposed Ventersburg Project-An Underground Mining Operation near Ventersburg and Henneman, Free State Province. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2017. Palaeontological desktop assessment of the proposed development of a 3000 MW combined cycle gas turbine (CCGT) in Richards Bay, Kwazulu-Natal. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2017. Palaeontological Impact Assessment for the Development of the Proposed Revalidation of the lapsed General Plans for Elliotdale, Mbhashe Local Municipality. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2017. Palaeontological assessment of the proposed development of a 3000 MW Combined Cycle Gas Turbine (CCGT) in Richards Bay, Kwazulu-Natal. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2017. Palaeontological Impact Assessment of the proposed development of the new open cast mining operations on the remaining portions of 6, 7, 8 and 10 of the farm Kwaggafontein 8 10 in the Albert Luthuli Local Municipality, Gert Sibande District Municipality, Mpumalanga Province. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2017. Palaeontological Impact Assessment of the proposed mining of the farm Zandvoort 10 in the Albert Luthuli Local Municipality, Gert Sibande District Municipality, Mpumalanga Province. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2017. Palaeontological Desktop Assessment for the proposed Lanseria outfall sewer pipeline in Johannesburg, Gauteng Province. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2017. Palaeontological Desktop Assessment of the proposed development of open pit mining at Pit 36W (New Pit) and 62E (Dishaba) Amandelbult Mine Complex, Thabazimbi, Limpopo Province. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2017. Palaeontological impact assessment of the proposed development of the sport precinct and associated infrastructure at Merrifield Preparatory school and college, Amathole Municipality, East London. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2017. Palaeontological impact assessment of the proposed construction of the Lehae training and fire station, Lenasia, Gauteng Province. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2017. Palaeontological Desktop Assessment of the proposed development of the new open cast mining operations of the Impunzi mine in the Mpumalanga Province. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2017. Palaeontological Desktop Assessment of the construction of the proposed Viljoenskroon Munic 132 KV line, Vierfontein substation and related projects. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2017. Palaeontological Desktop Assessment of the proposed rehabilitation of 5 ownerless asbestos mines. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2017. Palaeontological Desktop Assessment of the proposed development of the Lephalale coal and power project, Lephalale, Limpopo Province, Republic of South Africa. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2017. Palaeontological Impact Assessment of the proposed construction of a 132KV powerline from the Tweespruit distribution substation (in the Mantsopa local municipality) to the Driedorp rural substation (within the Naledi local municipality), Free State province. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2017. Palaeontological Desktop Assessment of the proposed development of the new coal-fired power plant and associated infrastructure near Makhado, Limpopo Province. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2017. Palaeontological Impact Assessment of the proposed construction of a Photovoltaic Solar Power station near Collett substation, Middelberg, Eastern Cape. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2017. Palaeontological Impact Assessment for the proposed township establishment of 2000 residential sites with supporting amenities on a portion of farm 826 in Botshabelo West, Mangaung Metro, Free State Province. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2017. Palaeontological Desktop Assessment for the proposed prospecting right project without bulk sampling, in the Koa Valley, Northern Cape Province. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2017. Palaeontological Desktop Assessment for the proposed Aroams prospecting right project, without bulk sampling, near Aggeneys, Northern Cape Province. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2017. Palaeontological Impact Assessment of the proposed Belvior aggregate quarry II on portion 7 of the farm Maidenhead 169, Enoch Mgijima Municipality, division of Queenstown, Eastern Cape. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2017. PIA site visit and report of the proposed Galla Hills Quarry on the remainder of the farm Roode Krantz 203, in the Lukhanji Municipality, division of Queenstown, Eastern Cape Province. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2017. Palaeontological Impact Assessment of the proposed construction of Tina Falls Hydropower and associated power lines near Cumbu, Mthlontlo Local Municipality, Eastern Cape. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2017. Palaeontological Desktop Assessment of the proposed construction of the Mangaung Gariep Water Augmentation Project. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2017. Palaeontological Impact Assessment of the proposed Belvoir aggregate quarry II on portion 7 of the farm Maidenhead 169, Enoch Mgijima Municipality, division of Queenstown, Eastern Cape. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2017. Palaeontological Impact Assessment of the proposed construction of the Melkspruit-Rouxville 132KV Power line. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2017 Palaeontological Desktop Assessment of the proposed development of a railway siding on a portion of portion 41 of the farm Rustfontein 109 is, Govan Mbeki local municipality, Gert Sibande district municipality, Mpumalanga Province. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2017. Palaeontological Impact Assessment of the proposed consolidation of the proposed Ilima Colliery in the Albert Luthuli local municipality, Gert Sibande District Municipality, Mpumalanga Province. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2017. Palaeontological Desktop Assessment of the proposed extension of the Kareerand Tailings Storage Facility, associated borrow pits as well as a storm water drainage channel in the Vaal River near Stilfontein, North West Province. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2017. Palaeontological Desktop Assessment of the proposed construction of a filling station and associated facilities on the Erf 6279, district municipality of John Taolo Gaetsewe District, Ga-Segonyana Local Municipality Northern Cape. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2017. Palaeontological Desktop Assessment of the proposed of the Lephalale Coal and Power Project, Lephalale, Limpopo Province, Republic of South Africa. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2017. Palaeontological Desktop Assessment of the proposed Overvaal Trust PV Facility, Buffelspoort, North West Province. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2017. Palaeontological Impact Assessment of the proposed development of the H2 Energy Power Station and associated infrastructure on Portions 21; 22 And 23 of the farm Hartebeestspruit in the Thembisile Hani Local Municipality, Nkangala District near Kwamhlanga, Mpumalanga Province. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2017. Palaeontological Impact Assessment of the proposed upgrade of the Sandriver Canal and Klippan Pump station in Welkom, Free State Province. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2017. Palaeontological Impact Assessment of the proposed upgrade of the 132kv and 11kv power line into a dual circuit above ground power line feeding into the Urania substation in Welkom, Free State Province. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2017. Palaeontological Desktop Assessment of the proposed Swaziland-Mozambique border patrol road and Mozambique barrier structure. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2017. Palaeontological Impact Assessment of the proposed diamonds alluvial & diamonds general prospecting right application near Christiana on the remaining extent of portion 1 of the farm Kaffraria 314, registration division HO, North West Province. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2017. Palaeontological Desktop Assessment for the proposed development of Wastewater Treatment Works on Hartebeesfontein, near Panbult, Mpumalanga. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2017. Palaeontological Desktop Assessment for the proposed development of Wastewater Treatment Works on Rustplaas near Piet Retief, Mpumalanga. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2018. Palaeontological Impact Assessment for the Proposed Landfill Site in Luckhoff, Letsemeng Local Municipality, Xhariep District, Free State. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2018. Palaeontological Impact Assessment of the proposed development of the new Mutsho coal-fired power plant and associated infrastructure near Makhado, Limpopo Province. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2018. Palaeontological Impact Assessment of the authorisation and amendment processes for Manangu mine near Delmas, Victor Khanye local municipality, Mpumalanga. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2018. Palaeontological Desktop Assessment for the proposed Mashishing township establishment in Mashishing (Lydenburg), Mpumalanga Province. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2018. Palaeontological Desktop Assessment for the Proposed Mlonzi Estate Development near Lusikisiki, Ngquza Hill Local Municipality, Eastern Cape. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2018. Palaeontological Phase 1 Assessment of the proposed Swaziland-Mozambique border patrol road and Mozambique barrier structure. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2018. Palaeontological Desktop Assessment for the proposed electricity expansion project and Sekgame Switching Station at the Sishen Mine, Northern Cape Province. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2018. Palaeontological field assessment of the proposed construction of the Zonnebloem Switching Station (132/22kV) and two loop-in loop-out power lines (132kV) in the Mpumalanga Province. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2018. Palaeontological Field Assessment for the proposed re-alignment and decommisioning of the Firham-Platrand 88kv Powerline, near Standerton, Lekwa Local Municipality, Mpumalanga province. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2018. Palaeontological Desktop Assessment of the proposed Villa Rosa development In the Buffalo City Metropolitan Municipality, East London. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2018. Palaeontological field Assessment of the proposed Villa Rosa development In the Buffalo City Metropolitan Municipality, East London. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2018. Palaeontological desktop assessment of the proposed Mookodi – Mahikeng 400kV line, North West Province. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2018. Palaeontological Desktop Assessment for the proposed Thornhill Housing Project, Ndlambe Municipality, Port Alfred, Eastern Cape Province. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2018. Palaeontological desktop assessment of the proposed housing development on portion 237 of farm Hartebeestpoort 328. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2018. Palaeontological desktop assessment of the proposed New Age Chicken layer facility located on holding 75 Endicott near Springs in Gauteng. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2018 Palaeontological Desktop Assessment for the development of the proposed Leslie 1 Mining Project near Leandra, Mpumalanga Province. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2018. Palaeontological field assessment of the proposed development of the Wildealskloof mixed use development near Bloemfontein, Free State Province. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2018. Palaeontological Field Assessment of the proposed Megamor Extension, East London. Bloemfontein.

Butler, E. 2018. Palaeontological Impact Assessment of the proposed diamonds Alluvial & Diamonds General Prospecting Right Application near Christiana on the Remaining Extent of Portion 1 of the Farm Kaffraria 314, Registration Division HO, North West Province. Bloemfontein

E. Butler. 2019. Palaeontological Desktop Assessment of the proposed Westrand Strengthening Project Phase II.

E. Butler. 2019. Palaeontological Field Assessment for the proposed Sirius 3 Photovoltaic Solar Energy Facility near Upington, Northern Cape Province

E. Butler. 2019. Palaeontological Field Assessment for the proposed Sirius 4 Photovoltaic Solar Energy Facility near Upington, Northern Cape Province

E. Butler. 2019. Palaeontological Field Assessement for Heuningspruit PV 1 Solar Energy Facility near Koppies, Ngwathe Local Municipality, Free State Province.

E. Butler. 2019. Palaeontological Field Assessment for the Moeding Solar Grid Connection, North West Province.

E. Butler. 2019. Recommended Exemption from further Palaeontological studies for the Proposed Agricultural Development on Farms 1763, 2372 And 2363, Kakamas South Settlement, Kai! Garib Municipality, Mgcawu District Municipality, Northern Cape Province.

E. Butler. 2019. Recommended Exemption from further Palaeontological studies: of Proposed Agricultural Development, Plot 1178, Kakamas South Settlement, Kai! Garib Municipality

E. Butler. 2019. Palaeontological Desktop Assessment for the Proposed Waste Rock Dump Project at Tshipi Borwa Mine, near Hotazel, Northern Cape Province:

E. Butler. 2019. Palaeontological Exemption Letter for the proposed DMS Upgrade Project at the Sishen Mine, Gamagara Local Municipality, Northern Cape Province

E. Butler. 2019. Palaeontological Desktop Assessment of the proposed Integrated Environmental Authorisation process for the proposed Der Brochen Amendment project, near Groblershoop, Limpopo

E. **Butler. 2019.** Palaeontological Desktop Assessment of the proposed updated Environmental Management Programme (EMPr) for the Assmang (Pty) Ltd Black Rock Mining Operations, Hotazel, Northern Cape

E. Butler. 2019. Palaeontological Desktop Assessment of the proposed Kriel Power Station Lime Plant Upgrade, Mpumalanga Province

E. Butler. 2019. Palaeontological Impact Assessment for the proposed Kangala Extension Project Near Delmas, Mpumalanga Province.

E. Butler. 2019. Palaeontological Desktop Assessment for the proposed construction of an iron/steel smelter at the Botshabelo Industrial area within the Mangaung Metropolitan Municipality, Free State Province.

E. Butler. 2019. Recommended Exemption from further Palaeontological studies for the proposed agricultural development on farms 1763, 2372 and 2363, Kakamas South settlement, Kai! Garib Municipality, Mgcawu District Municipality, Northern Cape Province.

E. Butler. 2019. Recommended Exemption from further Palaeontological Studies for Proposed formalisation of Gamakor and Noodkamp low cost Housing Development, Keimoes, Gordonia Rd, Kai !Garib Local Municipality, ZF Mgcawu District Municipality, Northern Cape Province.

E. Butler. 2019. Recommended Exemption from further Palaeontological Studies for proposed formalisation of Blaauwskop Low Cost Housing Development, Kenhardt Road, Kai !Garib Local Municipality, ZF Mgcawu District Municipality, Northern Cape Province.

E. Butler. 2019. Palaeontological Desktop Assessment of the proposed mining permit application for the removal of diamonds alluvial and diamonds kimberlite near Windsorton on a certain portion of Farm Zoelen's Laagte 158, Registration Division: Barkly Wes, Northern Cape Province

E. Butler. 2019. Palaeontological Desktop Assessment of the proposed Vedanta Housing Development, Pella Mission 39, Khâi-Ma Local Municipality, Namakwa District Municipality, Northern Cape.