

**SAHRA PALAEO TECHNICAL REPORT**

***PALAEONTOLOGICAL HERITAGE  
OF GAUTENG***



[en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mrs.\\_Ples](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mrs._Ples)

**Dr Gideon Groenewald**

**Cell: (082) 339-9202**

**David Groenewald**

**Cell: (083) 469-4696**

**Logistical Support: Sue Groenewald**

**Cell: (082) 339 9202**

***PO Box 360, Clarens, 9707***  
**[1davidgroenewald@gmail.com](mailto:1davidgroenewald@gmail.com)**

**(Copyright: March 2014)**

# GENERAL INTRODUCTION

---

The core purpose of this SAHRA palaeotechnical report (PTR) is to briefly but comprehensively document the palaeontological heritage resources in South Africa in an accessible and useful format. Following the request by SAHRA, the report is presented in the form of two sections. The first section outlines the general geological history of South Africa and the second section provides a more detailed, geological history of the Free State, Gauteng, North West, Limpopo and Mpumalanga Provinces with specific reference to the palaeontological sensitivity of geological formations and their importance to the development of life through 3600 million years of time in Earth history.

The first section summarises the geological history of South Africa and gives a very brief description of the six major events that shaped the Earth over time. The known and predicted fossil heritage within all the major fossiliferous stratigraphic units (formations, groups etc) that crop out in South Africa are presented on a map that relates directly to the composite geological map of South Africa where mapping was done on a 1:250 000 scale. The palaeontological sensitivity of geological units was allocated sensitivity ratings on a five point scale: **very high sensitivity**, **high sensitivity**, **moderate sensitivity**, **low sensitivity** and very low sensitivity (Table 1). When used in conjunction with published geological maps, this report can be used by heritage managers and environmental impact assessors, as well as private developers, to rapidly evaluate the potential impact of proposed developments on fossil heritage. (Please note that this scheme is provisional, and will need to be modified in the light of discussions with heritage managers and palaeontological colleagues). Early assessment of palaeontological sensitivity – preferably at the NID or BID phase - is highly advantageous for developers and heritage managers alike, as well as providing the best safeguard for fossil heritage.

The second section of the report consists of concise summaries of the geological history of the Free State, North West, Gauteng, Limpopo and Mpumalanga Provinces. The geological history is specifically related to the development of life as it is recorded in the fossil content of the geological formations. A colour coded palaeontological sensitivity map is provided for each province, with colours referring to the five sensitivity classes described in Table 1. The maps must be read in conjunction with a tabular database for each individual province. The database for each province explicitly relates palaeontological heritage to well-defined stratigraphic units – normally successions of sedimentary rocks – rather than to known fossil sites. This is because a site-specific approach is normally inappropriate for assessing the potential impact of new developments on fossil heritage. The best predictors of fossil heritage at any unstudied locality are the stratigraphic units present there. An undue emphasis on fossil sites (eg map showing all known localities) would be counterproductive since it would give the misleading impression that areas between known sites are less palaeontologically sensitive than the sites themselves. Furthermore, a site specific database could not be made freely available since it would undoubtedly endanger localities of scientific importance.

Despite the comparatively good legal protection offered to palaeontological heritage in South Africa by the current legislation, hitherto this aspect of natural heritage has been largely ignored by developers and professional heritage managers alike. In part this stems from pervasive ignorance

about the extent of fossil resources in this country, as well as a widespread confusion between palaeontological and archaeological heritage.

# LEGISLATIVE FRAMEWORK

---

Fossil heritage in South Africa is protected, with important exceptions, by the **National Heritage Resources Act of 1999** (NHRA, Act 25 of 1999). This act replaces the earlier National Monuments Act of 1969 (Act 28 of 1969). Under the new act, fossils are treated as a category of heritage – *palaeontological heritage* - and are regarded as part of the National Estate (NHRA, 1999, p14 and section 32.1(a)).

## Definitions

The NHRA does not define the term “fossil” but does offer its own definition of the term “palaeontological” which might be reasonably taken to circumscribe all fossil heritage:

*(xxxi) “palaeontological” means any fossilised remains or fossil trace of animals or plants which lived in the geological past, other than fossil fuels or fossiliferous rock intended for industrial use, and any site which contains such fossilised remains or traces (NHRA, 1999, p. 10)*

## Formal Protections

SAHRA and PHRAs must identify those places with qualities so exceptional that they are of special significance and must investigate their desirability as National and Provincial Heritage Sites, including sites of exceptional palaeontological significance. Any person may submit a nomination to SAHRA for a place to be declared a National Heritage Site or to the provincial heritage resources authority for a place to be declared a Provincial Heritage Site.

**27. (18)** No person may destroy, damage, deface, excavate, alter, remove from its original position, subdivide or change the planning status of any heritage site without a permit issued by the heritage resources authority responsible for the protection of such site.

Palaeontological objects can be listed as “Heritage objects” in terms of section 32 of the NHRA, (1999), however this requires a formal process.

**32. (1)** An object or collection of objects, or a type of object or list of objects, whether specific or generic, that is part of the national estate and the export of which SAHRA deems it necessary to control, **may be declared a heritage object**, including—

(a) objects recovered from the soil or waters of South Africa, including archaeological and **palaeontological objects**, meteorites and rare geological specimens;

## General Protections

The import of foreign cultural property into South Africa is prohibited and requires evidence of permission from the country of origin.

**33. (1)** No person may import into South Africa any foreign cultural property other than through a customs port of entry, and the export permit or other permission issued in the country of origin of such object must be produced to a customs officer before import to South Africa is effected or allowed.

According to the NHRA it is illegal to own, collect, damage or destroy South African fossils without a permit (NHRA, 1999, 35, p58). Such permits would usually be granted only to qualified palaeontologists or other heritage specialists. It is also illegal to buy or sell South African fossils.

35. (1) Subject to the provisions of section 8, the protection of archaeological and palaeontological sites and material and meteorites is the responsibility of a provincial heritage resources authority: Provided that the protection of any wreck in the territorial waters and the maritime cultural zone shall be the responsibility of SAHRA.

(2) Subject to the provisions of subsection (8)(a), all archaeological objects, palaeontological material and meteorites are the property of the State. The responsible heritage authority must, on behalf of the State, at its discretion ensure that such objects are lodged with a museum or other public institution that has a collection policy acceptable to the heritage resources authority and may in so doing establish such terms and conditions as it sees fit for the conservation of such objects.

(3) Any person who discovers archaeological or palaeontological objects or material or a meteorite in the course of development or agricultural activity must immediately report the find to the responsible heritage resources authority, or to the nearest local authority offices or museum, which must immediately notify such heritage resources authority.

(4) No person may, without a permit issued by the responsible heritage resources authority—

(a) destroy, damage, excavate, alter, deface or otherwise disturb any archaeological or palaeontological site or any meteorite;

(b) destroy, damage, excavate, remove from its original position, collect or own any archaeological or palaeontological material or object or any meteorite;

(c) trade in, sell for private gain, export or attempt to export from the Republic any category of archaeological or palaeontological material or object, or any meteorite; or

(d) bring onto or use at an archaeological or palaeontological site any excavation equipment or any equipment which assist in the detection or recovery of metals or archaeological and palaeontological material or objects, or use such equipment for the recovery of meteorites.

### **Provincial Heritage Authorities**

The NHRA provides for the setting up of Provincial Heritage Resources Agencies (PHRAs) to manage most aspects of fossil heritage including, for example, permits and database management. However, some key issues (eg export and destruction permits) are dealt with at a national level by SAHRA (South African Heritage Resources Agency), based in Cape Town. In practice, several provinces have yet to establish PHRAs backed up by appropriate palaeontological expertise. Palaeontological heritage in these provinces is entirely managed by SAHRA. The provinces in which palaeontology is still managed by SAHRA include;

- Mpumalanga
- Northern Cape
- North West Province
- Gauteng
- Limpopo Province

- Free State Province

The following provinces have PHRAs competent in management of palaeontological heritage;

- Eastern Cape: EC PHRA (S. Mokhanya: [smokhanya@ecphra.co.za](mailto:smokhanya@ecphra.co.za))
- KZN: Amafa (A. Van de Venter-Radford: [amafaddps@amafapmb.co.za](mailto:amafaddps@amafapmb.co.za))
- Western Cape: HWC (T. Smuts: [tsmuts@westerncape.gov.za](mailto:tsmuts@westerncape.gov.za))

# GEOLOGICAL HISTORY OF SOUTH AFRICA

---

## 1 Introduction

The geological history of South Africa spans a total of at least 3.6 billion years and includes some of the major tectonic events that shaped the Earth since the very early formation of this unique Planet. Several leading scientists have devoted their professional careers to the study of the geological history of this part of the world and comprehensive summaries of their work are contained in four recent publications (Tankard et al (1982), MacRae (1999), McCarthy and Rubidge (2005) and Johnson et al (2006)). A comprehensive summary of research in the Pliocene, Pleistocene and Holocene (4,5Ma to the present) cave deposits in the Cradle of Humankind provides an understanding of the palaeoenvironment as well as the development of humans over time (Hilton-Barber and Berger, 2002).

The aim of this document is to give the average reader a very brief idea of the geological history of Southern Africa, with the specific aim of introducing readers to the important impact that the geological development of the region had on the development and evolution of life during the past 3.6 billion years. For more detailed information the reader is referred to the above mentioned publications as well as a wealth of other publications.

The geological history of South Africa is best summarized in chronological order according to the internationally accepted geological time scale as presently used by the South African Committee for Stratigraphy (SACS) and used in recent publications of the Geological Society of South Africa in Johnson et al (2006).

The geological timescale is basically divided into three major Eons, namely the extremely old (older than 2500 million years) Archaean Eon, the Proterozoic Eon (2500 to 545 million years ago) and the Phanerozoic Eon (545 million years ago to today). The Eons are subdivided into different Era, for example the Palaeozoic (545 to 250ma), the Mesozoic (250 to 65ma) and the Cenozoic (65ma to today) which in turn is subdivided into Periods, with more well known terms such as the Permian (300 to 250ma), Triassic (250 to 200ma), Jurassic (200 to 145ma) Cretaceous (145 to 65ma), Tertiary (65 to 1,8ma) and the Quaternary (1,8 ma to today). The Tertiary and Quaternary Periods are further subdivided into Epoch, or Series, with terms such as for example Miocene (23 to 7ma) and Pliocene (7 to 1,8ma) in the Tertiary. The Quaternary is divided into the Pleistocene (1,8ma to 10 000 years ago) and Holocene (10 000 years ago to today). The constant upgrading of the geological timescale has lead to the fact that specific dates assigned to these time intervals can differ, depending on the specific version of the timescale used by a specific author. The dates used in this report are from Johnson et al (2006).

Geological events have distinct features, which in many cases also have distinct relationships with the palaeontological heritage that is preserved in the rock record. Six major stages of geological development, spanning more than 3600 million years, are reflected in the rock record of South Africa.

The six major stages of development can be summarized as follows:

- Early crustal development during the Archaean Eon, including the greenstone belts such as the Barberton Greenstone Belt. The greenstone belts are areas of tectonism, magmatism and sedimentation that occurred on a more ancient stable piece of continental crust known as the Kaapvaal Craton. These rocks contain evidence of very early life in the form of unicellular organisms and bacteria.
- Increasing stability of the crust to allow for volcano-sedimentary sequences such as the Witwatersrand and Ventersdorp Supergroups to accumulate during the latter part of the Archaean Eon.
- In part contemporaneous with the above, collision of crustal plates to yield metamorphic belts such as the Limpopo Metamorphic Belt and the deposition of sediments in large basins, forming such depositions as the Transvaal Supergroup and the emplacement of large plutonic intrusions such as the Bushveld Complex. During this period Southern Africa was also struck by a meteorite that measured up to 10km in diameter, creating the Vredefort Impact Crator. The sedimentary rocks contain evidence of abundant algal growth in the form of Stromatolites.
- Accretion of depositional basins, such as the Waterberg, Soutpansberg and Olifantshoek basins in the north, Damara and Gariep in the west and the Malmesbury basin in the south. These sediments were deformed and metamorphosed at different times (for example during the Namaqua-Natal Metamorphic Belt) with the accretion of continental plates to the Kaapvaal Craton during the Proterozoic Eon.
- Amalgamation of continental plates to form the Gondwana supercontinent on which extensive intracratonic basins (for example the Karoo Basin) developed during the Palaeozoic and Mesozoic Eras of the Phanerozoic Eon. This period represents a major explosion of life forms and is of extreme importance for the palaeontological heritage of South Africa.
- Fragmentation of Gondwana, accompanied by the extrusion of great volumes of basaltic and rhyolitic magma during the early Mesozoic Era of the Phanerozoic Eon. Extensive deposits of sedimentary rocks accumulated during the later Phanerozoic Eon, known as the Cenozoic Era. These rocks contain important palaeontological evidence of the development of life, including the very important fossilized remains of Mankind.



## **2 Geological History of Southern Africa**

The geological history of southern Africa is briefly discussed in terms of the chronological sequence of events that shaped this part of the world. All the major geological events have been described in several comprehensive publications. For the purpose of this document, specific attention is given to those geological processes that had some influence on the development of life. These units will be of specific importance to the palaeontological heritage of South Africa.

### **2.1 Ancient continental blocks and the Earliest Forms of Life**

The Kaapvaal Craton is one of the oldest single pieces of stable continental crust on Earth (McCarthy and Rubidge, 2005; Johnson et al, 2006) and dates back to the Archaean Eon up to 3600 million years ago. This geological treasure underlies a large part of South Africa and holds evidence of the formation of extremely old crustal plates. The rocks that contain information about these ancient times are best exposed as the Barberton Supergroup in the Barberton Mountain Land, where exposures of ancient cherts contain the remains of spherical, single-celled cyanobacteria. These fossils are between 3300 and 3500 million years old and belong to the Archaean Eon (MacRae, 1999). Although these fossils are extremely small (1 micron in diameter) and not visible with the naked eye, they play a very important role in the story of life and therefore are of extreme importance to the palaeontological heritage of South Africa.

### **2.2 Archaean Sedimentary and Volcanic deposits**

The later part of the Archaean Eon was dominated by a series of geological events that lead to the deposition of large quantities of sedimentary and volcanic rocks in what is today known as the Dominion Group, Witwatersrand and Ventersdorp Supergroups and the Pongola Supergroup. These rocks date from 3080 to 2700Ma and are interpreted to have been deposited in local basins that formed on the Kaapvaal Craton, possibly during the same time that the Kaapvaal Craton collided with the Zimbabwe Craton in the north (Johnson et al., 2006).

Due to very high gold reserves found in them, the Witwatersrand Supergroup is probably to most famous group of rocks in South Africa. This group of mainly sedimentary rocks were deposited in a foreland basin which resulted from the collision of the Kaapvaal Craton with the Zimbabwe Craton (Johnson et al, 2006). These rocks have also attracted the attention of palaeontologists when recent discoveries conclusively indicated that some of the gold deposits were concentrated by biological processes associated with lichens that populated the sedimentary environments (Mac Rae, 1999). This interpretation therefore indicates that more advanced forms of life were present at 2900 to 2700Ma, much earlier than was previously believed.

Advanced algal structures, known as stromatolites, have also been described from the Pongola Supergroup. This confirms the importance of these sedimentary sequences in the palaeontological heritage of South Africa.

### **2.3 Late Archaean to Proterozoic Events**

During the late Archaean Eon conditions on the Kaapvaal Craton was more stable, leading to the deposition of thick deposits of carbonaceous sediments in extensive shallow basins that today forms the Transvaal Supergroup of rocks. The dolomites that dominate the lower part of the Supergroup (Malmani Subgroup of the Chuniespoort Group in the north and Cambell Rand Subgroup of the Ghaap Group in the south western part of the basin) contain some excellent examples of stromatolites, ranging from centimetre scale to several tens of meters in size. These structures, that are a result of algal growth in shallow water, indicate a very rich growth of algae that would have caused an enrichment in the amount of oxygen in the atmosphere, which in turn would have led to the precipitation of large thicknesses of banded iron formation in the overlying groups of rock sequences (Penge Iron Formation in the Chuniespoort Group and Asbestos Hill Subgroup in the Ghaap Group). The precipitation of iron oxide is probably closely related to the biological processes of cyanobacteria (MacRae, 1999).

The presence of stromatolites and micro-fossils in the rocks of the Transvaal Supergroup is of high palaeontological significance. Reports of other possible “trace fossils structures” from rocks of the Transvaal Supergroup might lead to some very important discoveries of advanced life forms in the lower Proterozoic in the future. The importance of these rock units for the palaeontological heritage of South Africa must not be underestimated.

Two other important geological events that happened during the Proterozoic Eon are the intrusion of the Bushveld Igneous Complex at about 2050Ma and the massive impact of an asteroid at Vredefort at 2023Ma, making it the oldest impact structure on Earth. Although no fossils are directly associated with these events, they are important for the possible influence that they would have had on the development of life on the planet. The fact that most of the life forms on Earth were very primitive at the time reduces the actual impact of these two events (McCarthy and Rubidge, 2005).

### **2.4 Accretion of Depositional Basins during the Proterozoic Eon**

Commencing around 1900Ma, shallow-water marine sediments represented by limestones, dolomites quartzites and mudstones, accumulated in the west, depositing rocks of the Olifantshoek Supergroup. At the same time, rocks of the Waterberg and Soutpansberg Groups in the north were also deposited in local extension basins. The Waterberg and Soutpansberg Groups contain the Earth’s oldest red beds, indicating deposition under an atmosphere that contained free oxygen. Up to this point in time, oxygen produced by cyanobacteria was consumed by iron and manganese dissolved in the oceans and precipitated as banded iron formations (McCarthy and Rubidge, 2005). Although minor

indications of possible algal mats have been recorded from these sediments, no major fossil finds have been recorded to date.

Several intrusive events, including the better known Pilansberg Complex, took place during this time, about 1300Ma. These events did not have noticeable impacts on the primitive life of the time.

During a collision event on the southern margin of the Kaapvaal Craton 1750Ma, the Namaqua-Natal Metamorphic Belt was formed but no fossils have up to date been recorded from this sequence. Towards the west the Gariiep Basin developed, characterised by shallow marine shelf environments with stromatolitic algal reefs and clean quartz sand deposits (Johnson et al, 2006). Similar conditions probably prevailed in the south where the Malmesbury and related groups were deposited. Very little is known about the fossil content of these rocks and a gap of at least 1950 million years in palaeontological evidence in our knowledge of life on Earth is indicated by MacRae (1999).

### **2.5 Late Proterozoic and Phanerozoic Developments**

The late Proterozoic Eon (600Ma to 500Ma) saw the making of the Gondwana supercontinent and represents a time of incredible diversification of life on Earth (MacRae, 1999; McCarthy and Rubidge, 2005 and Johnson et al, 2006). Accretion of continental blocks led to the folding and deformation of rocks along the margins of the old continents. The Pan-African event (550Ma) encompasses the welding together of the old continents (McCarthy and Rubidge, 2005) and lead to the development of high mountain ranges along what is now the southern Cape as well as the West Coast of South Africa. Sediments from the mountains on the West Coast accumulated in a shallow basin, resulting in the deposition of the Nama Group. The Nama Group of sediments contain some of the best, if not the best examples of some of the oldest multicellular invertebrate animals in the world (Mac Rae, 1999). Rocks of this group also contain very good examples of trace fossils that indicate an age of 543Ma for the boundary between the Proterozoic and the Phanerozoic (also known as the Precambrian/Cambrian boundary) (MacRae, 1999).

During the early Phanerozoic Eon (or early Palaeozoic Era) Southern Africa was the keystone for the early Gondwana Supercontinent and aborted drifting produced elongate troughs along the southern and eastern margins of South Africa , leading to the deposition of the Ordovician to Carboniferous (500Ma to 325Ma) Cape Supergroup and Natal Group of rocks (Jonson et al, 2006). The Cape Supergroup sediments contain a wealth of organisms with hard outer skeletons (MacRae, 1999; McCarthy and Rubidge, 2005), rendering it as one of the palaeontological treasure houses of South Africa.

By mid-Carboniferous times (325Ma) shortening and buckling of the crust lead to the deformation of the Cape Supergroup rocks whilst most of the interior of South Africa was covered in thick ice sheets due to the drifting of this part of Gondwana past the South Pole. Melting of the ice sheets and downwarp to the north of the now actively building Cape Fold

Mountain Range, lead to the deposition of the Karoo Supergroup from 325 to 180 million years ago. Rocks of the Dwyka Formation (glacial deposit), Ecca Group (mainly deep water sediments in the south and deltaic sediments with extensive coal beds in the north), Beaufort Group (mainly fluvial to lacustrine deposits) and Stormberg Group (mainly fluvial, playa lakes and desert deposits) was deposited in a foreland basin that extended far to the north of the Cape Fold Belt. Sedimentation was terminated at about 180 Ma with the extensive eruption of basaltic lava during deposition of the Drakensberg Formation and the Lebombo Group lavas up to 150Ma during the Jurassic Period (MacRae, 1999; McCarthy and Rubidge, 2005; Johnson et al, 2006). The Karoo Supergroup is internationally known for the extreme wealth of palaeontological information relating to the development of life on land, both in the Plant and Animal Kingdoms (MacRae, 1999; McCarthy and Rubidge, 2005). Of specific importance is the evidence for the development of reptiles, mammal-like reptiles, mammals and dinosaurs (MacRae, 1999; McCarthy and Rubidge, 2005). The rocks of the Karoo Supergroup contain a very important part of the palaeontological heritage of South Africa.

### **2.6 The Break-up of Gondwana and Late Phanerozoic Events**

Towards the end of the Mesozoic Era the final break-up of Gondwana lead to the formation of numerous fault-bound basins on the continental margin of Southern Africa during the Cretaceous Period (150Ma to 65Ma). Although most of these deposits are preserved in off-shore basins off the coast of South Africa, the Algoa Basin is particularly well known for the wealth of fossil material from the Kirkwood Formation (including dinosaur remains) and the Sundays River Formation (rich in marine vertebrates, invertebrates and trace fossils) of the Uitenhage Group (Johnson et al, 2006). The most important palaeontological information on conditions that prevailed in the interior of South Africa comes from crater lakes of volcanoes and specifically Kimberlite pipes that erupted during the Cretaceous (McCarthy and Rubidge, 2005).

Apart from the extensive terrestrial deposits of the Kalahari Group in the Kalahari Basin, Cenozoic deposits are largely confined to coastal areas where very rich assemblages of marine fossils (KwaZulu-Natal and Eastern and Western Cape coasts) are recorded (MacRae, 1999; Johnson et al, 2006). These assemblages contribute significantly to the Palaeontological Heritage of the country.

Finally, the Cenozoic Era (65Ma to today), reflects climatic changes, changes in the geomorphology of South Africa and the presence of fossil hominins in certain cave and spring deposits that contributed significantly to the understanding of the evolution of modern man (MacRae, 1999; Hilton-Barber and Berger, 2002; McCarthy and Rubidge, 2005; Johnson et al, 2006).

### 3 Conclusion

The geological history of Southern Africa spans at least 3.6 billion years of time and includes several major geological events that not only shaped the geological formation of the area, but had profound impacts on the development of life on Earth. Most of the ancient Archaean and Proterozoic rock sequences contain only very primitive forms of life whereas the rock units that date back to the late Proterozoic and specifically the Phanerozoic, contain some of the richest treasure houses of fossils in the world. Fossils from these younger units bear witness to the development of higher forms of life, including the development of mammals from reptiles and also the development of humankind from very early ancestors.

### 4 References

**Hilton-Barber, B. and Berger, L. 2002.** *The Official Field Guide to the Cradle of Humankind.* Struik Publishers, Cape Town.

**Johnson, M.R., Anhaeusser, C.R. and Thomas, R.J. (Eds.) 2006.** *The Geology of South Africa.* Geological Society of South Africa, Johannesburg/Council for Geoscience, Pretoria, 691pp.

**MacRae, C. 1999.** *Life Etched in Stone.* Fossils of South Africa. The Geological Society of South Africa, Johannesburg.

**McCarthy, T. and Rubidge, B.S. 2005.** *The Story of Earth and Life.* Struik Publishers, Cape Town.

# GEOLOGICAL HISTORY OF THE GAUTENG PROVINCE

---

## 1 Introduction

The geological history of the Gauteng Province spans a total of 3600 million years, including some of the major events that lead to the deposition of a wealth of economically important sequences of rocks. Although most of the Archaean and Proterozoic-aged rocks are more renowned for their mineral wealth, rather than their palaeontological importance, the more recent Phanerozoic deposits and specifically the Cenozoic cave deposits, are of extreme importance in the study of the evolution of life during the last 4.5 million years. This includes the important discoveries of fossilized remains of Hominins and the development of human ancestors in what is today known as the Cradle of Humankind close to Johannesburg.

## 2 Significant Palaeontological features in the Gauteng Province

### 2.1 Archaean and Proterozoic Eons

Rocks belonging to the Archaean and Proterozoic Eons contain fossils of ancient life in the form of unicellular organisms such as cyanobacteria. None of these fossils have been described from the ancient rocks in Gauteng, and any recording of these organisms will be significant.

Examples of cyanobacteria have been described from the gold bearing conglomerates of the Witwatersrand Supergroup in the past (MacRae, 1999). These are significant recordings as it gives a possible indication of very early life forms in the form of ancient lichens that existed up to 2900 million years ago. These structures are not visible to the naked eye and recording of the fossils will only be possible when electron microscope work is done on the rocks.

Stromatolites have been interpreted as ancient examples of modern algal mats as early as 1933 (MacRae, 1999). These structures have been recorded from borehole data in the Rietgat Formation of the Ventersdorp Supergroup in the Free State Province and any recordings from the rocks in Gauteng will be significant.

Very good examples of stromatolites have been described from the Malmani Subgroup of the Chuniespoort Group of the Transvaal Supergroup near Pretoria where these structures were studied in detail by scientists such as Black (1933) and Young (in MacRae, 1999), Bart Nagy and Murray Macgregor since 1974 (MacRae, 1999). These structures are significant indicators of palaeoenvironments and provide evidence of algal growth between 2640 and 2432 million years ago (MacRae, 1999). Stromalites have also been reported in many of the sediments of the Pretoria Group, with algal mat structures also present in sediments of the Waterberg Group north of Pretoria. The presence of stromatolites and algal mat structures contributes significantly to the understanding of the palaeoenvironments that prevailed during the deposition of the strata. These structures therefore contribute significantly to the palaeontological heritage of the Gauteng Province.

Informal reports of “sedimentary structures” that might have a biogenic origin have been reported from sedimentary rocks in the Pretoria Group of the Transvaal Supergroup and the Waterberg Group to the north of Pretoria (Colin MacRae, pers comm 2014). If these structures are indeed biogenic in origin, they are some of the oldest recordings of more advanced life in the history of life on Earth.

Although of no palaeontological interest, the rock units that overlie the Pretoria Group form part of the economically very important Bushveld Igneous Complex. This igneous intrusion contains the largest reserves of platinum group minerals in the world and intruded the Transvaal Supergroup rocks about 2050 million years ago. There is no obvious indication that this event had a profound influence on life at that stage.

Another significant event that is recorded in the southern border regions of the Gauteng Province caused the formation of the Vredefort Dome. This geological feature is interpreted as the largest meteorite impact crater on Earth, caused by a meteorite of at least 10km in diameter that hit the Earth 2023 million years ago (Johnson et al, 2006). Due to the very primitive forms of life that existed at the time, this event seems to have had little effect on the evolution of life, mainly due to the fact that the cyanobacteria could survive the adverse environmental conditions for extensive periods of time (McCarthy and Rubidge, 2005).

The dolomites of the Transvaal Supergroup underlie the well-known “Cradle of Humankind” World Heritage Site in Gauteng. Deposits of Cenozoic aged cave breccia associated with sinkholes and karst formations contain the fossilized remains of plants and animals, including the remains of the ancestors of man (MacRae, 1999; Hilton-Barber and Berger, 2002). These formations are not mapped out on the geological maps and for this reason the entire outcrop area of the Chuniespoort and Pretoria Subgroups are allocated a **high palaeontological significance**.

## **2.2 Phanerozoic Eon**

The base of the Phanerozoic Eon is known for the sudden explosion of diversity of life (MacRae, 1999; McCarthy and Rubidge, 2005). There are no outcrops of rocks that date from the lower or early Phanerozoic (Cambrian, Ordovician, Silurian and Devonian) and the first deposits of this age are the Carboniferous to Permian aged sediments of the Dwyka Formation. Plant fossils have been described from outcrops of the Dwyka Formation in Limpopo Province and any discovery of fossils in the Gauteng Province will be highly significant.

The Permian Eccca Group sediments are well-known for the wealth of plant fossils present in the sequence of sandstone and mudstone. Particularly important are the Eccca Group sediments in the vicinity of Vereniging, where Fanie le Roux (1946-1955) and Dr Edna Plumstead (1952-1962) recorded some of the best plant fossil material in South Africa. Sediments of the Eccca Group contain significant reserves of coal and the interbedded shale is an important source of clay for brick making. Areas underlain by Eccca Group sediments will have a **very high palaeontological significance**.

By far the most significant fossil finds in Gauteng are recorded from Cenozoic cave breccia associated with the karst topography in areas underlain by dolomite of the Transvaal Supergroup. These rock formations are invariably associated with underground deposits and are not mapped on the geological maps. The known sites are mostly included in the Cradle of Humankind World Heritage Site west of Johannesburg, with a very comprehensive description of sites and fossils by Hilton-Barber and Berger (2002). New recordings of deposits of cave breccia will be of very high

significance to the study of life during the Cenozoic. This will include the study of the development of early man (MacRae, 1999; McCarthy and Rubidge, 2005). Most of the fossil material is housed at the Evolutionary Studies Institute (ESI) at the University of the Witwatersrand in Johannesburg and at the Ditsong Museum in Pretoria.

Superficial deposits of Quaternary and Tertiary age can contain important fossils. Most notable are fossils associated with, for example, the Tswaing Impact Crater north of Pretoria (Johnson et al, 2006). The superficial deposits are allocated a moderate palaeontological sensitivity due to the fact that many fossiliferous rocks might be covered in a thin layer of soil, where fossils are only found where the topsoil has been removed by erosion.

### 3 Conclusion

The Gauteng Province is underlain by some of the most valuable geological formations in the world, including the Witwatersrand Supergroup (gold ore resources) and Bushveld Complex (platinum group of minerals). The ancient sediments of the Witwatersrand Supergroup and the Transvaal Supergroup contain significant micro-fossils as well as stromatolite structures associated with ancient life forms. These fossils are of importance to the understanding of the development of life and it is essential that good examples of these structures be recorded and, if possible, preserved as part of the palaeontological heritage of South Africa.

The Permian Ecca Group contains significant plant fossils. These fossils provide us with unique opportunities to study ancient ecosystems and are allocated a very high palaeontological significance.

The most significant fossils in Gauteng are associated with Cenozoic cave breccias that are present in the karst landscape underlain by dolomite of the Transvaal Supergroup. These deposits are allocated a very high palaeontological significance.

### 4 References

**Hilton-Barber, B. and Berger, L. 2002.** The Official Field Guide to the Cradle of Humankind. Struik Publishers, Cape Town.

**Johnson, M.R., Anhaeusser, C.R. and Thomas, R.J. (Eds.) 2006.** *The Geology of South Africa*. Geological Society of South Africa, Johannesburg/Council for Geoscience, Pretoria, 691pp.

**MacRae, C. 1999.** *Life Etched in Stone*. Fossils of South Africa. The Geological Society of South Africa, Johannesburg.

**McCarthy, T. and Rubidge, B.S. 2005.** *The Story of Earth and Life*. Struik Publishers, Cape Town.



**PALAEONTOLOGICAL SIGNIFICANCE/VULNERABILITY OF ROCK UNITS**

The following colour scheme is proposed for the indication of palaeontological sensitivity classes. This classification of sensitivity is adapted from that of Almond et al 2008.

<b>RED</b>	<p>Very High Palaeontological sensitivity/vulnerability. Development will most likely have a very significant impact on the Palaeontological Heritage of the region. Very high possibility that significant fossil assemblages will be present in all outcrops of the unit. Appointment of professional palaeontologist, desktop survey, phase I Palaeontological Impact Assessment (PIA) (field survey and recording of fossils) and phase II PIA (rescue of fossils during construction ) as well as application for collection and destruction permit compulsory.</p>
<b>ORANGE</b>	<p>High Palaeontological sensitivity/vulnerability. High possibility that significant fossil assemblages will be present in most of the outcrop areas of the unit. Fossils most likely to occur in associated sediments or underlying units, for example in the areas underlain by Transvaal Supergroup dolomite where Cenozoic cave deposits are likely to occur. Appointment of professional palaeontologist, desktop survey and phase I Palaeontological Impact Assessment (field survey and collection of fossils) compulsory. Early application for collection permit recommended. Highly likely that aPhase II PIA will be applicable during the construction phase of projects.</p>
<b>GREEN</b>	<p>Moderate Palaeontological sensitivity/vulnerability. High possibility that fossils will be present in the outcrop areas of the unit or in associated sediments that underly the unit. For example areas underlain by the Gordonia Formation or undifferentiated soils and alluvium. Fossils described in the literature are visible with the naked eye and development can have a significant impact on the Palaeontological Heritage of the area. Recording of fossils will contribute significantly to the present knowledge of the development of life in the geological record of the region. Appointment of a professional palaeontologist, desktop survey and phase I PIA (ground proofing of desktop survey) recommended.</p>
<b>BLUE</b>	<p>Low Palaeontological sensitivity/vulnerability. Low possibility that fossils that are described in the literature will be visible to the naked eye or be recognized as fossils by untrained persons. Fossils of for example small domal Stromatolites as well as micro-bacteria are associated with these rock units. Fossils of micro-bacteria are extremely important for our understanding of the development of Life, but are only visible under large magnification. Recording of the fossils will contribute significantly to the present knowledge and understanding of the development of Life in the region. Where geological units are allocated a blue colour of significance, and the geological unit is surrounded by highly significant geological units (red or orange coloured units), a palaeontologist must be appointed to do a desktop survey and to make professional recommendations on the impact of development on significant palaeontological finds that might occur in the unit that is allocated a blue colour. An example of this scenario will be where the scale of mapping on the 1:250 000 scale maps excludes small outcrops of highly significant sedimentary rock units occurring in dolerite sill outcrops. Collection of a representative sample of potential fossiliferous material recommended.</p>

<b>GREY</b>	<p>Very Low Palaeontological sensitivity/vulnerability. Very low possibility that significant fossils will be present in the bedrock of these geological units. The rock units are associated with intrusive igneous activities and no life would have been possible during emplacement of the rocks. It is however essential to note that the geological units mapped out on the geological maps are invariably overlain by Cenozoic aged sediments that might contain significant fossil assemblages and archaeological material. Examples of significant finds occur in areas underlain by granite, just to the west of Hoedspruit in the Limpopo Province, where significant assemblages of fossils and clay-pot fragments are associated with large termite mounds. Where geological units are allocated a grey colour of significance, and the geological unit is surrounded by very high and highly significant geological units (red or orange coloured units), a palaeontologist must be appointed to do a desktop survey and to make professional recommendations on the impact of development on significant palaeontological finds that might occur in the unit that is allocated a grey colour. An example of this scenario will be where the scale of mapping on the 1:250 000 scale maps excludes small outcrops of highly significant sedimentary rock units occurring in dolerite sill outcrops. It is important that the report should also refer to archaeological reports and possible descriptions of palaeontological finds in Cenozoic aged surface deposits.</p>
-------------	--



EON	ERA	Period	Supergroup/ Sequence	Group	Subgroup	Formation	Member	Lithology	Fossil Heritage	Comments				
PROTEROZOIC	Vaalian		TRANSVAAL	PRETORIA		Magaliesberg (Vmg Vim)		Coastal sandstones with mudrocks	Microbial mat structures (Desiccated mats sometimes resemble trace fossils)	Pretoria Group subunits with stromatolites probably also contain microfossils. This may also apply to carbonaceous mudrocks.  ALERT FOR POTENTIALLY FOSSILIFEROUS LATE CAENOZOIC CAVE BRECCIAS WITHIN OUTCROP AREA OF CARBONATE SUBUNITS – i.e. LIMESTONES DOLOMITES (breccias not individually mapped)  Rooberg Group was previously included within top of Transvaal Supergroup but now regarded as separate succession				
						Silverton (Vsi)		Marine mudrocks with minor carbonates, volcanic rocks (Machadodorp Member)	Stromatolites					
						Igneous intrusions (Vdi)		Igneous intrusions	No fossils recorded					
						Daspoort (Vda, Vhd, Vdq, Vdp)		Alluvial, fluvial and deltaic sandstones and mudrocks, marine sediments in east	Stromatolites					
						Strubenkop (Vst, Vsd)		Lacustrine mudrocks with minor sandstone	No fossils recorded					
						Dwaalheuwel (Vdw, Vhd)		Alluvial sandstones, conglomerates and mudrocks	No fossils recorded					
						Helgport (Vh, Vhd, Vha)		Volcanics (basalts, pyroclastics) with minor lacustrine shales	No fossils recorded					
						Boshoek (Vb)		Sandstones, conglomerates, diamictite (alluvial fans, slumps)	No fossils recorded					
						Timeball Hill (Vt; Vti)	klapperkop (Vkp)	Lacustrine and fluvio-deltaic mudrocks with diamictite, conglomerates, quartzite, minor lavas. Shale, siltstone, conglomerate, quartzite	Stromatolites					
						Rooihoogte (Vr)		Basal breccio-conglomerates, quartzites, mudrocks, carbonates (alluvial fan, lakes, karst infill)	No fossils recorded					
						Duitschland (Vd)		Conglomerate	No fossils recorded					
						Penge (Vp)		Iron-rich shale	Stromatolites					
						Malmari (Vm; Vmd, Vma)		Stromatolitic carbonates (limestones / dolomites), minor secondary cherts, mudrocks including carbonaceous shales	Range of shallow marine to intertidal stromatolites (domes, columns etc), organic-walled microfossils					
								Black Reef (Vbr)	Siliciclastic sediments (mature sandstones plus minor mudrocks, conglomerates) deposited during a fluvial to shallow marine transition		Possible equivalent of Black Reef Fm in N. Cape (Vryburg Formation) contains stromatolitic carbonates			
ARCHAEAN	Randian		VENTERSDORP	PLATBERG (P-vp)		Ra; Rb; Rm; Rma; Rgb; Rka; Rkm; Rka1; Rka2		Basic and acid volcanics with subordinate siliciclastic sediments (breccias, conglomerates, sandstones, mudrocks), with minor limestones and cherts in upper part of succession late Archaean Randian 2.7-2.5	Lacustrine stromatolites and possible microfossils.	Fossils recorded from sediments of Platberg Group elsewhere (Northern Free State) and therefore might also be present in Gauteng				
						Rietgat (Rr; Rrg; Rrg2)		Predominantly lavas with minor metasediments (fluvial and lacustrine conglomerates, breccias, minor shales, stromatolitic carbonates, cherts)	Lacustrine stromatolites reported in carbonates of Rietgat Formation (Platberg Group); possible organic-walled microfossils in cherts. LIP (Large Igneous Province) with voluminous eruptions of basaltic and other lavas.	Stromatolites recorded from borehole cores. Any surface occurrences would be of considerable interest.				
						Rm; Rgb; Rkm			Possible stromatolites					
						KUPRVIERSBERG (Rk)		Ra; Rw; Rai; Rwe;	Basic and acid volcanics with subordinate siliciclastic sediments	No fossils recorded				
						WITWATERSRAND (Ww)	CENTRAL RAND		Turffontein (Rt)	Klerksdorp (Rkl) Elsberg (Re)		Mainly quartzites conglomerates (braided fluvial), pyritic sands, minor shales, volcanics, debris-flow diamictites	Thin layers of carbonaceous material (kerogen / bitumen) possibly represent ancient microbial mats, but this material probably has an abiogenic origin (e.g. precipitation of inorganic carbon due to irradiation by radioactive uranium minerals)	
								Johannesburg (Rjo)		Mainly quartzites conglomerates (braided fluvial), pyritic sands, minor shales, volcanics, debris-flow diamictites	Main source of Wits gold (beds of quartzose, pyritic fluvial conglomerates or "banket" that are known as "reefs") Evidence for earliest known glaciations on Earth (Government Subgroup (Rg) c. 2.9-2.7 Ga	Archaean / Randian		
								WEST RAND	Rj; Rg; Rh	Rc; Ro	Marine shelf quartzites, shales, rare conglomerates, banded iron formation (BIF), volcanics, fluvial sediments, several diamictites			
							ARCHAEAN GRANITE-GNEISS Granite / gneiss (ZA) Halfway House Granite (Zhh; Zh)	Zh; Z17; Z18; Z19; Z20; Z21; Z22; Z24; Z27; Z30;				Archaean granitoid intrusions and high grade metamorphic rocks of the Johannesburg Dome c. 3.3 – 3.1 Ga	No fossils	Ancient continental crustal rocks of the Kaapvaal Craton  Granitoid rocks intruded, deformed and metamorphosed older "greenstones" within a magmatic arc setting
							ARCHAEAN MAFIC IGNEOUS ROCKS ("GREENSTONES") OF THE KAAPVAAL CRATON Muldersdrif Roodekrans Complexes (Zmr) W, SE Joburg dome mafic rocks (Z; Zm; Z24)					Mafic and ultramafic intrusions and volcanic rocks, for the most part highly deformed and metamorphosed  Poorly dated ( 3.3-3.2 Ga)	No fossils recorded  Microbial fossils and microbial trace fossils might be preserved within cherts and volcanic glasses (as seen in similar-aged Barberton Greenstone Belt)	Ancient oceanic crust and mantle rocks (ophiolites) reflecting collision zones between continental blocks