

**SECTION B (12.56ha):**



Fig. 54: Section B is situated next to the Waterfall River. The area was dense with indigenous as well as alien vegetation. A power line runs through this section.



Fig. 55: The middle area of Section B.



Fig. 56: A poorly defined LIA stone wall was identified in the southern part near the entrance gate.



Fig. 57: An upper grinder was identified within the stone walls.





Fig. 58: The LIA stone wall is damaged by alien vegetation.



Fig. 59: Another LIA stone wall was identified in the eastern part of Section B. Dense vegetation made it impossible to determine a layout pattern.



Fig. 60: The LIA stone wall in this section is approximately 40m long.

**SECTION C (3.82ha):**



Fig. 61: Section C is also situated next to the Waterfall river. The vegetation was mostly dense.





Fig. 62: Visibility was fair in the northern parts of section C.



Fig. 63: A sand quarry in section C was investigated for archaeological or cultural material, but none was found.

**SECTION D (3.12ha):**



Fig. 64: Section D is historically disturbed land. The above photo is taken in the middle of this section facing south-west.



Fig. 65: South-eastern view of Section D. No archaeological or cultural material was found in this section.





Fig. 66: North-western view of Section D. No archaeological or cultural material was found in this section.

**SECTION E (3.18ha):**



Fig. 67: Section E is a narrow strip situated between two roads and was also historically disturbed land.



Fig. 68: The middle part of section E. Previous agricultural activities as well as road infrastructure disturbed this section.



Fig. 69: No archaeological or cultural material was found in Section E.