



Funded by SANParks

MAPUNGUBWE NATIONAL PARK AND WHS

Heritage Impact Assessment for Several Proposed Developments

January 2020

This page is intentionally left blank

CREDIT SHEET

Stephan Gaigher (BA Hons, Archaeology, UP)

Principle Investigator for G&A Heritage Properties (Pty) Ltd.



Member of ASAPA (Site Director Status)

Cell: +27 73 752 6583

Email: stephan@gaheritage.co.za

REPORT AUTHOR

Stephan Gaigher

***Disclaimer:** Although all possible care is taken to identify all sites of cultural importance during the investigation of study areas, it is always possible that hidden or sub-surface sites could be overlooked during the study. G&A Heritage and its personnel will not be held liable for such oversights or for costs incurred as a result of such oversights.*

Statement of Independence

As the duly appointed representative of G&A Heritage, I Stephan Gaigher, hereby confirm my independence as a specialist and declare that neither I nor G&A Heritage have any interests, be it business or otherwise, in any proposed activity, application or appeal in respect of which the Environmental Consultant was appointed as Environmental Assessment Practitioner, other than fair remuneration for work performed on this project.

SIGNED OFF BY: STEPHAN GAIGHER

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'S. Gaigher', written in a cursive style.

CONTENTS

General	12
Technical Scope of HIA	12
Geographical / Spatial Scope of HIA	14
Temporal Scope	15
Legislative Context	16
National Legislation and Policies	16
The National Heritage Resources Act (25 of 1999)	18
UNESCO WHS CHARTER	20
World Heritage Conservation Act	22
Mapungubwe National Park and World Heritage Site, Integrated Management Plan	25
Other International conventions and legal instruments	27
IFC Performance Standards	27
International Finance Corporation (IFC) Performance Standard 8	27
Scope of Application	28
Development Bank of South Africa (DBSA) Environmental and Social Safeguard Standards	30
DBSA Environmental and Social Safeguard Standard 8	30
Methodology	34
Heritage Management	34
Site Visit / Fieldwork Details	34
Consultations	34
Assumptions	34
Gaps / Limitations / Uncertainty	34
Specialist-specific Methodology	34
Background to Current Study	36
Concerns Raised by SAHRA	36
Peer Reviews	37
Individual Project Descriptions	38
Rhodes Drift Staff Housing	38
Location Map	39
Design layouts	39
Motivations for the development	39
Discussions in terms of the current Integrated Park Management Plan (IPMP)	39
Mazhou Campsite Ablution	40
Location	41
Design layouts	42
Motivations for the development	42
Discussions in terms of the current Integrated Park Management Plan (IPM)	42
Hamilton Youth Dormitories	42

Location	43
Design layout	44
Motivation for Development	44
Discussions in terms of the current Integrated Park Management Plan (IPMP)	44
Office Block	45
Location	47
Proposed Design Layout	47
Motivation for Development	48
Discussions in terms of the current Integrated Park Management Plan (IPMP)	48
Mapungubwe Interpretive Centre	49
Main Interpretive Centre	50
Picnic/Boma Sites	54
K8 Interpretive Centre	56
Schroda Orientation Centre	57
Layout and Location	57
Motivation for Development	60
Description of Affected Environment	61
The Limpopo Heritage Landscape: Specific Themes.	61
Previous research	61
The Earlier, Middle and Later Stone Ages	64
The Iron Age / Farmer Period	68
The Mapungubwe Cultural Landscape	69
Later History: Trade, Exploration and Colonial Times	73
Archaeo-metallurgy	73
Fieldwork Findings	75
Rhodes Drift Staff Housing	75
Finds	75
Heritage Sensitivity Map	76
Mazhou Campsite Ablution Facilities	77
Finds	77
Heritage Sensitivity Map	78
Office Complex	78
Finds	78
Heritage Sensitivity Map	81
Student Dormitories	81
Finds	81
Heritage Sensitivity Map	84
Mapungubwe Orientation Centre	84
Finds	84
Heritage Sensitivity Maps	90
Schroda Orientation Centre	92

Finds	92
Heritage Sensitivity Map	96
Potential Heritage Impacts and Proposed Mitigation	97
Assessment Matrix (Determining Archaeological Significance)	97
Estimating site potential	97
Assessing site value by attribute	98
Impact Statement (Assessment of Impacts)	98
Indicators of Impact Severity	99
Magnitude	99
Severity	99
Duration	99
Range	99
Frequency	99
Diversity	100
Cumulative Effect	100
Rate of Change	100
Impact Evaluation	101
Determination of Significance of Impacts	101
Impact Rating System	101
Rating System Used to Classify Impacts	101
Anticipated Impact of the Developments	105
Rhodes Drift Staff Housing	105
Subterranean or Unmarked Sites	105
Adherence to Legislation and Management Reports	105
Administrative Office	106
Subterranean or Unmarked Sites	106
Adherence to Legislation and Management Reports	107
Student Dormitories	107
Subterranean or Unmarked Sites	107
Adherence to Legislation and Management Reports	108
Mazhou Campsite Ablution Block	108
Subterranean or Unmarked Sites	108
Adherence to Legislation and Management Reports	109
Mapungubwe Orientation Complex	109
Impacts During the Construction Phase	109
Impacts During the Operational Phase	112
Schroda Orientation Centre	115
Impacts During the Construction Phase	115
Impacts During the Operational Phase	116
Adherence to Legislation and Management Reports	117
NHRA 25 of 1999	117

UNESCO WHS Charter	117
Chance Finds Protocol	119
Conclusions and Recommendations	120
References	121
Appendix A – Detailed Designs	123

List of Figures

Figure 1. Location of The Park.....	15
Figure 2. Medium-Term Strategy 2014-2021 (UNESCO 37 C/4).....	22
Figure 3. Proposed Cultural Heritage Product Development in the Park.....	26
Figure 4. Staff housing at Rhodes Drift.....	38
Figure 5. Staff housing location	39
Figure 6. Administrative and Staff Development Planning in the IPMP	40
Figure 7. Existing ablution block	40
Figure 8. Proposed location for the new ablution block.....	41
Figure 9. Location of proposed Mazhou campsite ablution block	42
Figure 10. Proposed location for student dormitories.....	43
Figure 11. Proposed dormitories.....	44
Figure 12. Infrastructure development needs within the IPMP.....	45
Figure 13. Proposed Location of Office Block	47
Figure 14. Proposed layout.....	48
Figure 15. Primary Development Objectives for IPMP	49
Figure 16. Focus Area for Mapungubwe Orientation Centre.....	50
Figure 17. Proposed Orientation Centre (Detailed design plans are appended in Appendix A).....	51
Figure 18. Proposed locations and View Sheds	52
Figure 19. Design renderings in location	53
Figure 20. 1:50 Side elevations	54
Figure 21. Boma Floorplan.....	55
Figure 22. Proposed Boma Structures.....	55
Figure 23. Proposed K8 exhibit.....	56
Figure 24. Proposed Location of the Schroda Orientation Centre	57
Figure 25. Proposed Design Layout of Schroda Orientation Centre	58
Figure 26. Artist Rendering of View-Sheds at Schroda Orientation Centre	59
Figure 27. Elevation Models of Orientation Centre at Schroda	60
Figure 28. “Map of the Zoutpansberg”, compiled by the Swiss Missionary Henri Bertou c.1903.	61
Figure 29. Map of the Transvaal”, compiled by Alexander Merensky c.1880.	62
Figure 30. Map of the Transvaal Goldfields, Zoutpansberg District”, compiled by H. Raddatz c1870.	62
Figure 31. Map of archaeological sites around Mapungubwe, compiled by Neville Jones in 1935.	63
Figure 32. Map detailing the occurrence of Stone Age sites in the Limpopo Basin	64
Figure 34. Tracing of a complex painted panel at Koaxa Shelter.....	65
Figure 35. Map detailing the occurrence of Rock Art sites in the Limpopo Basin.....	67
Figure 36. View of Mapungubwe Hill.	69
Figure 37. Examples of typical K2 type ceramics (Huffman 2007:238).	70
Figure 38. A plated golden rhino, one of many gold objects excavated from Mapungubwe Hill.	71
Figure 39. Map detailing the occurrence of Iron Age sites in the Limpopo Basin	72
Figure 40. Venda-type stone walled site east of Musina at Maremani.....	72
Figure 41. Map detailing archaeo- metallurgy sites in the Limpopo Basin.....	74

Figure 42. Recent brick shed on site	75
Figure 43. Existing staff housing on site	76
Figure 44. Rhodes Drift Staff Housing Heritage Sensitivity Map	77
Figure 45. Proposed location for the new ablution facilities	78
Figure 46. Largely finished Office Complex structures	79
Figure 47. Foundations of structures still to be finished	79
Figure 48. Sub-surface inspections for deposits of heritage significance	80
Figure 49. Finished foundation excavations	80
Figure 50. Proposed Development Area with Shed	81
Figure 51. LSA Stone Tools noted on Site	82
Figure 52. Banded Ironstone LSA tool in situ	82
Figure 53. Non-diagnostic Potsherds in situ	83
Figure 54. Dispersed recent ash deposits	83
Figure 55. Heritage Sensitivity Mapp for Proposed Dormitories	84
Figure 56. Pottery with hatched Mapungubwe type decoration	85
Figure 57. One proposed location for the Mapungubwe Orientation Centre.....	85
Figure 58. Non-diagnostic pottery with burnishing	86
Figure 59. Typical Mapungubwe type decorated sherd with triangular design and cross-hatching	86
Figure 60. Pottery with shoulder and earlier K2 decoration.....	87
Figure 61. Combination of LSA flakes and non-diagnostic pottery	87
Figure 62. LSA Stone Tool.....	88
Figure 63. <i>Achatina achatina</i> (Land Snail) shell fragments	88
Figure 64. Ash deposits with pottery and LSA flakes	89
Figure 65. Larger Mapungubwe Area Deposits	90
Figure 66. Development area deposits	91
Figure 67. Deposits around K8	92
Figure 68. The Schroda Site	93
Figure 69. View towards the west	93
Figure 70. LSA Microliths and Flakes	94
Figure 71. In situ Pottery and LSA Flakes	94
Figure 72. Ash Deposits.....	95
Figure 73. Secondary erosion as a result of the original 2004 anti-erosion measures.....	95
Figure 74. Extent of Schroda Deposits	96

ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Meaning
AD	Anno Domini
APM	Archaeological Paleontological and Meteorite
BP	Before Present
c.	circa
BCE	Before Common Era
CE	Common Era
DBSA	Development Bank of Southern Africa
DEA	Department of Environmental Affairs
EAP	Environmental Assessment Practitioner
EIA	Early Iron Age / Environmental Impact Assessment
ESA	Early Stone Age
ESIA	Environmental and Social Impact Assessment
ESMP	Environmental and Social Management Plan
ESMS	Environmental and Social Management System
ESSS	Environmental and Social Safeguard Standard
HIA	Heritage Impact Assessment
I & AP	Interested and Affected Parties
ICOMOS	International Council on Monuments and Sites
ICP	Informed Consultation and Participation
IFC	International Finance Corporation
IFLA	International Federation of Library Associations and Institutions
IMP	Integrated Management Plan
IPMP	Integrated Park Management Plan
LIA	Late Iron Age
LSA	Late Stone Age
MCL	Mapungubwe Cultural Landscape
MPNP	Mapungubwe National Park
MSA	Middle Stone Age
NEM: PAA	National Environmental Management: Protected Areas Act
NEMA	National Environmental Management Act
NHRA	National Heritage Resources Act
OUV	Outstanding Universal Value
PIA	Palaeontological Impact Assessment
PS	Performance Standard
SAHRA	South African Heritage Resource Agency
SANParks	South African National Parks
TFCA	Transfrontier Conservation Area
UN	United Nations
UNESCO	United Nations Education, Scientific and Cultural Organization
VIA	Visual Impact Assessment
WHS	World Heritage Site
ZAR	Zuid-Afrikaansche Republiek

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

A **'place'** is defined as:

A site, area or region;

A building or other structure (which may include equipment, furniture, fittings and articles associated with or connected with such building or other structure);

A group of buildings or other structures (which may include equipment, furniture, fittings and articles associated with or connected with such group of buildings or other structures); and (d) an open space, including a public square, street or park; and in relation to the management of a place, includes the immediate surroundings of a place.

'Archaeological' means:

Material remains resulting from human activity which are in a state of disuse and are in or on land and are older than 100 years, including artefacts, human and hominid remains and artificial features and structures;

Rock art, being a form of painting, engraving or other graphic representation on a fixed rock surface or loose rock or stone, which was executed by human agency and is older than 100 years including any area within 10 m of such representation; and

Wrecks, being any vessel or aircraft, or any part thereof, which was wrecked in South Africa, whether on land or in the maritime cultural zone referred to in section 5 of the Maritime Zones Act 1994 (Act 15 of 1994), and any cargo, debris or artefacts found or associated therewith, which are older than 60 years or which in terms of national legislation are considered to be worthy of conservation;

Features, structures and artefacts associated with military history which are older than 75 years and the sites on which they are found.

'Circa' is used in front of a particular year to indicate an approximate date.

'Grave' means a place of interment and includes the contents, headstone or other marker of and any other structures on or associated with such place.

'Paleontological' means any fossilised remains or fossil trace of animals or plants which lived in the geological past, other than fossil fuels or fossiliferous rock intended for industrial use, and any site which contains such fossilised remains or trace. **'Structure'** means any building, works, device, or other facility made by people and which is fixed to land and any fixtures, fittings and equipment associated therewith older than 60 years.

General

Technical Scope of HIA

The Heritage Impact Assessment is meant to deliver, evaluate and inform on the following aspects:

- (a) The identification and mapping of all heritage resources in the area affected;
- (b) An assessment of the significance of such resources in terms of the heritage assessment criteria set out in the relevant legal descriptions, development proponent requirements and as per international best practise approaches and charters;
- (c) An assessment of the impact of the development on such heritage resources;
- (d) An evaluation of the impact of the development on heritage resources relative to the sustainable social and economic benefits to be derived from the development;
- (e) The results of consultation with communities affected by the proposed development and other interested parties regarding the impact of the development on heritage resources;
- (f) If heritage resources will be adversely affected by the proposed development, the consideration of alternatives; and
- (g) Plans for mitigation of any adverse effects during and after the completion of the proposed development.

The following categories of heritage objects are considered.

Graves: A place of interment and includes the contents, headstone or other marker of and any other structures on or associated with such place. This may include any of the following:

- (1) Ancestral graves
- (2) Royal graves and graves of traditional leaders
- (3) Graves of victims of conflict i.e. graves of important individuals
- (4) Historical graves and cemeteries older than 60 years
- (5) Other human remains, buried or otherwise.

The removal of graves is subject to the following procedures:

- Notification of the impending removals (using local language media and notices at the grave site)
- Consultation with individuals or communities related or known to the deceased
- Satisfactory arrangements for the curation of human remains and / or headstones in a museum, where applicable
- Procurement of a permit from the relevant controlling body
- Appropriate arrangements for the exhumation (preferably by a suitably trained archaeologist) and re-interment (sometimes by a registered undertaker, in a formally proclaimed cemetery)
- Observation of rituals or ceremonies required by the families

Movable objects: this includes objects such as historic or rare books and manuscripts, paintings, drawings, sculptures, statuettes and carvings; modern or historic religious items; historic costumes, jewellery and textiles; fragments of monuments or historic buildings; archaeological material; and natural history collections such as shells, flora, or minerals. Discoveries and access resulting from a project may increase the vulnerability of cultural objects to theft, trafficking or abuse.

- (1) Objects recovered from the soil or water including archaeological and paleontological objects and material, meteorites and rare geological specimens
- (2) Ethnographic art and objects
- (3) Military objects
- (4) Objects of decorative art
- (5) Objects of fine art
- (6) Objects of scientific or technological interest
- (7) Books, records, documents, photographic positives and negatives, graphic, film or video material or sound recordings
- (8) Any other prescribed categories, but excluding any object made by a living person

Battlefields: Older than 75 years.

Heritage “Places”: A ‘place’ is defined as:

- (a) A site, area or region
- (b) A building or other structure (which may include equipment, furniture, fittings and articles associated with or connected with such building or other structure)
- (c) A group of buildings or other structures (which may include equipment, furniture, fittings and articles associated with or connected with such group of buildings or other structures)
- (d) An open space, including a public square, street or park; and in relation to the management of a place, includes the immediate surroundings of a place
- (e) Traditional buildings used in cultural ceremonies

Heritage Structures: Refers to single or groups of architectural works found in urban or rural settings providing evidence of a particular civilisation, a significant development or a historic event. It includes groups of buildings, structures and open spaces constituting past or contemporary human settlements that are recognised as cohesive and valuable from an architectural, aesthetic, spiritual or socio-cultural perspective.

Means any building, works, device, or other facility made by people and which is fixed to land and any fixtures, fittings and equipment associated therewith older than 60 years.

Archaeological Sites: any combination of structural remains, artefacts, human or ecological elements and may be located entirely beneath, partially above, or entirely above the land or water surface. Archaeological material may be found anywhere on the earth’s surface, singly or scattered over large areas. Such material includes burial areas, human remains, artefacts and fossils. Archaeological sites may include:

(a) Material remains resulting from human activity which are in a state of disuse and are in or on land and are older than 100 years, including artefacts, human and hominid remains and artificial features and structures;

(b) Rock art, being a form of painting, engraving or other graphic representation on a fixed rock surface or loose rock or stone, which was executed by human agency and is older than 100 years including any area within 10 m of such representation; and

(c) Wrecks, being any vessel or aircraft, or any part thereof, which was wrecked, whether on land or in the maritime cultural zone, and any cargo, debris or artefacts found or associated therewith, which are older than 60 years or which in terms of national legislation are considered to be worthy of conservation;

(d) Features, structures and artefacts associated with military history which are older than 75 years and the sites on which they are found.

Paleontological resources: Refers to any fossilised remains or fossil trace of animals or plants which lived in the geological past, other than fossil fuels or fossiliferous rock intended for industrial use, and any site which contains such fossilised remains or trace.

Sacred or Spiritual Sites: Natural Features with cultural significance include sacred hills, mountains, landscapes, streams, rivers, waterfalls, caves and rocks; sacred trees or plants, groves and forests; carvings or paintings on exposed rock faces or in caves; and paleontological deposits of early human, animal or fossilised remains. This heritage may have significance to local community groups or minority populations.

Geographical / Spatial Scope of HIA

The geographic and spatial scope of the HIA centres around six (6) selected sites for proposed development, development completion or betterment. These sites all lie within the Mapungubwe National Park and World Heritage Site (WHS), from hereon referred to as “The Park”.

The six sites proposed for development are as follows:

- New staff quarters at the Rhodes Drift House
- A new ablution facility at the existing Mazhou Camping Site
- The completion of the partly finished office complex at Hamilton
- Student Dormitories proposed at Hamilton
- An Interpretive Centre and Visitors Facility to the south of Mapungubwe Hill
- An Interpretive Centre and Visitors Facility to the east of the Schroda archaeological site

The Park is located in the far North-Eastern part of South Africa within the Limpopo Province. The park is located on the border between South Africa, Zimbabwe and Botswana. It is located on the South African side of the confluence between the Shashe and Limpopo Rivers. The Limpopo River forms the northern boundary and the R572 and R521 Provincial tar roads form the southern and western boundaries respectively. The core stretches from the farm Rhodes Drift in the west for 35 km to the farm Riedel in the east, and from the Limpopo River in the north to the R572 tar road in the south.




Figure 1. Location of The Park

Temporal Scope

The proposed project will consist of three phases:

- Construction
- Operation
- Decommissioning

Due to the nature of the proposed development impacts on heritage sites are only anticipated during the construction and operational phases of the proposed developments. There is still no well-defined decommissioning phase.

Legislative Context

(As per the 2019 – 2028 Integrated Management Plan)

The Mapungubwe site and the buffer zone are legally protected through the National Heritage Resources Act (Act No. 25 of 1999), the World Heritage Convention Act (Act No. 49 of 1999) and the National Environmental Management Act (Act No. 73 of 1989).

The property is also recognized as a protected area in terms of the NEM: PAA. This legislation implies that mining or prospecting will be completely prohibited from taking place within the property and the buffer zone. Furthermore, any development with a potential impact on the property will be subjected to an environmental impact assessment.

SANParks is the management authority for the property and provides overall management involving coordinating government and local community efforts to conserve the site. SANParks is currently updating the Integrated Management Plan. Regular consultative meetings with stakeholders and local communities take place on the site through the park forum and by other means of engagement.

A Trilateral Memorandum of Understanding is also being drawn up with the objective of establishing the Limpopo-Shashe Transfrontier Conservation Area (TFCA). This very extensive area of 5,040 km² will, when established, constitute an effective buffer zone. It is intended that each participating country will concentrate on one facet of protection: cultural heritage in South Africa; wildlife in Botswana; and living cultures in Zimbabwe.

To help guarantee long-term protection for the property there is a need to complete the Integrated Management Plan and to submit the buffer zone for approval by the World Heritage Committee.

There is also a need to ensure that any consideration of mining licenses is in line with the recommendations of the Technical Workshop on World Heritage and Mining adopted at the 24th session of the World Heritage Committee, to ensure that mining does not constitute a threat to the property, its buffer zone or its wider setting.

National Legislation and Policies

- Department of Environmental Affairs Cultural Heritage Survey Guidelines and assessment tools for protected areas in South Africa
- ICOMOS International cultural tourism charter
- Mapungubwe National Park and World Heritage Site – Park Management Plan
- National Environmental Management: Protected Areas Act 57 of 2003 and its regulations
- National Heritage Resources Act 25 of 1999 and its regulations
- South African Heritage Resources Agency Conservation principles
- South African Heritage Resources Agency Guidelines for basic management plan format for rock art and other archaeological sites to be opened to the public
- South African Heritage Resources Agency Guidelines for the development of plans for the management of heritage sites or places

- South African Heritage Resources Agency Minimum standards for archaeological site museums and rock art sites open to the public
- SANParks Guidelines for the development and maintenance of heritage sites in South African National Parks
- SANParks Policy for the collection and management of heritage objects
- SANParks Policy on conservation, management and promotion of cultural heritage resources
- Tourism Act 3 of 2014
- UNESCO Operational guidelines for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention
- World Heritage Convention Act 49 of 1999

The National Heritage Resources Act (25 of 1999)

In terms of the National Heritage Resources Act, there are several implications for places that are declared National Heritage Sites.

Section 27 of the National Heritage Resources Act specifies that:

(4) a written motivation for the declaration must be prepared and kept on record by SAHRA;

(15) SAHRA is responsible for the protection of national heritage sites;

(18) No person may destroy, damage, deface, excavate, alter, remove from its original position, subdivide or change the planning status of any national heritage site without a permit issued by SAHRA;

(19) SAHRA may make regulations, with the consent of the owner, to safeguard the site, to specify conditions of use and development, and to regulate the admission of the public, including fees.

(20) Any branch of the State or supported body which is the owner of a heritage site [in the case of Mapungubwe this would be SANParks] must maintain it according to a minimum standard and according to a procedure prescribed by SAHRA after consultation with the relevant Department of Works.

(21) SAHRA may, by agreement with the owner, conserve or improve any national heritage site, construct fences, walls or gates around it, acquire or construct and maintain an access road to a national heritage site, and erect signs on or near it.

(22) No person other than the owner of a national heritage site may make reproductions in two or three dimensions of the site for profit without a permit issued by SAHRA and the agreement of the owner. SAHRA may prescribe the fees payable for these reproduction rights and must deposit such fees in a trust fund dedicated to the conservation of the site or of heritage resources in general.

Section 38 of the National Heritage Resources Act allows SAHRA to call for a heritage impact assessment report if certain activities, such as road or bridge building, subdivision or consolidation of erven, or re-zoning are likely to impact on heritage resources. This is done only if an impact assessment is not required under any other law, such as the Environment Conservation Act (No. 73 of 1989) or the National Environmental Management Act (Act No. 107 of 1998).

Section 44(2) of the National Heritage Resources Act states that when any person plans to present a national heritage site to the public, or erect a plaque or other permanent display or structure associated with the presentation, the contents of the interpretive material or programmes must be submitted to SAHRA at least 60 days in advance so that SAHRA may comment as part of the consultative process,

In terms of Section 47(2), SAHRA is responsible for adopting a plan for the management of each national heritage site in accordance with the best principles that can be applied. In addition, sub-section (3) states that a conservation management plan may at the discretion of SAHRA and for a period not exceeding 10 years, be operated solely by SAHRA or in conjunction with an environmental or tourism authority on such terms as SAHRA may determine. In terms of Section 42, the responsibility for implementing such a management plan can be delegated to the owner of the property, or to another authority or conservation body, if a formal heritage agreement is drawn up between SAHRA and that body with the agreement of the

owner. SANParks must therefore enter into a formal heritage agreement with SAHRA and with the Minister of Environmental Affairs and Tourism when drawing up a management plan for the park.

In addition, all heritage resources in the country are legally protected by the general provisions for archaeology and palaeontology under Section 35. No person may destroy, damage, excavate, alter, deface or otherwise disturb any archaeological or palaeontological site, and no person may remove from its original position, collect, own or export, any archaeological or palaeontological material or object that has come from a site that is more than 100 years old, without a permit issued by SAHRA.

UNESCO WHS CHARTER

On 9 June 1995 an agreement was signed between SANParks and what was then the Northern Province Government committing them to the development of a new national park in the Shashe / Limpopo River border area of South Africa. In terms of the agreement the Northern Province (re-named the Limpopo Province in 2002) would make the farm Greefswald, then part of the Vhembe nature reserve, available to SANParks, to be declared a national park in terms of the National Parks Act (Act No 57 of 1976 as amended). The Vhembe / Dongola National Park was declared in 1998. The park name was changed to Mapungubwe National Park (MPNP) on 30 July 2004 (GN 900 in GG 26602). The park also forms the core of the Vhembe Biosphere Reserve. The MCL was gazetted as a National Heritage Site by the South African Heritage Resources Agency (SAHRA) in December 2001. The MCL which covers the boundary of the national park was subsequently inscribed on the United Nations Education, Scientific and Cultural Organization's (UNESCO) World Heritage List in 2003. In Government Notice No. 71 Government Gazette 31832 of 30 January 2009 the then Minister of Environmental Affairs and Tourism, Marthinus van Schalkwyk announced the MCL as a World Heritage Site in terms of the World Heritage Convention Act (Act No. 49 of 1999), and delegated specified powers of management to SANParks.

The status of the MPNP and MCL in terms of its National and World Heritage designation makes up an important component of international context, with the Department of Environmental Affairs and the SAHRA ensuring that the values supported by national legislation are met (*Mapungubwe Park Integrated Management Plan*).

Based on a strong appeal from national and local stakeholders, the 2030 Agenda adopted by the UN General Assembly integrates, for the first time, the role of culture, through cultural heritage and creativity, as an enabler of sustainable development across the Sustainable Development Goals. World Heritage may provide a platform to develop and test new approaches that demonstrate the relevance of heritage for sustainable development.

On 19 November 2015, the 20th General Assembly of the States Parties to the World Heritage Convention adopted a Policy on the integration of a sustainable development perspective into the processes of the World Heritage Convention. The overall goal of the policy is to assist States Parties, practitioners, institutions, communities and networks, through appropriate guidance, to harness the potential of World Heritage properties and heritage in general, to contribute to sustainable development and therefore increase the effectiveness and relevance of the Convention whilst respecting its primary purpose and mandate of protecting the Outstanding Universal value of World Heritage properties. Its adoption represents a significant shift in the implementation of the Convention and an important step in its history.

The management of developments within the Mapungubwe WHS is dictated to some extent by the commitments made in the 2002 Mapungubwe Cultural Landscape, World Heritage Nomination Dossier submitted to the World Heritage Committee by the South African Department of Environmental Affairs and Tourism. Since 2002, there has however been several updated documents that reflects the changing views and priorities of UNESCO and ICOMOS. Central to these changing ideological approaches are the following two key documents;

- **Policy Document for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention**, as adopted by the General Assembly of State Parties to the World Heritage Convention at its 20th session (UNESCO, 2015).
- **Document 37 C/4 (2014-2021) Medium-Term Strategy** (As approved by the General Conference at its 37th session (General Conference resolution 37 C/Res. 1) and validated by the Executive Board at its 194th session (194 EX/Decision 18).

Both these documents indicate a shift towards promoting the sustainable development of cultural resources within WHS.

The integration of a sustainable development perspective into the World Heritage Convention will enable all stakeholders involved in its implementation, in particular at national level, to act with social responsibility. This process will enhance World Heritage as a global leader and standard-setter for best practice, also by helping to promote – through the over 1000 listed properties worldwide – innovative models of sustainable development. Furthermore, the introduction of this policy appears necessary since, ultimately, if the heritage sector does not fully embrace sustainable development and harness the reciprocal benefits for heritage and society, it will find itself a victim of, rather than a catalyst for, wider change.

As an integral part of the 37 C/4 document specific attention is given to Africa in terms of sustainable development and this principal is one of two main objectives for UNESCO in the 2014-2021 period.

The notion of sustainability entered the Operational Guidelines in 1994, with reference to the “sustainable use” of cultural landscapes, then introduced for the first time as a new category of heritage properties. At its 26th Session (Budapest, 2002), the World Heritage Committee adopted the so-called “Budapest Declaration”, which stressed the need to “ensure an appropriate and equitable balance between conservation, sustainability and development, so that World Heritage properties can be protected through appropriate activities contributing to the social and economic development and the quality of life of our communities”.

In 2005, furthermore, the notion of sustainable development was taken into account in the introductory part of the Operational Guidelines, which notes that “The protection and conservation of the natural and cultural heritage are a significant contribution to sustainable development” (paragraph 6). The Operational Guidelines further recognize (paragraph 119) that World Heritage properties “may support a variety of on-going and proposed uses that are ecologically and culturally sustainable”.

At its 31st Session (Christchurch 2007), the World Heritage Committee decided to add “Communities” to the previous four strategic objectives, “to enhance the role of communities in the implementation of the World Heritage Convention” (Decision 31 COM 13B).

At its 35th Session (Paris, 2011), the World Heritage Committee made several additions to the Operational Guidelines which refer to sustainable development, notably in paragraphs 112, 119, 132, as well as in Annex 5, points 4.b and 5.e. These amendments are aimed on one hand at ensuring that any use of World Heritage properties be sustainable with respect to the imperative of maintaining their Outstanding Universal Value (OUV), and on the other hand to affirm the idea that management systems of World Heritage properties “should integrate sustainable development principles”. Various paragraphs of the Operational Guidelines, moreover, call for a full participatory approach in the identification, protection and management of World Heritage properties (e.g. paragraphs 64, 111 and 123).

The recent “Strategic Action Plan for the Implementation of the Convention, 2012-2022”, adopted by the 18th General Assembly (Paris, 2011), also integrates a concern for sustainable development, notably in its “Vision for 2022”, which calls for the World Heritage Convention to “contribute to the sustainable development of the world’s communities and cultures”, as well as through its Goal N.3 which reads:

“Heritage protection and conservation considers present and future environmental, societal and economic needs”, which is to be achieved particularly through “connecting conservation to communities”.

All these developments should be seen in the larger context of UNESCO’s initiative to integrate culture within the international sustainable development agenda (see: <https://en.unesco.org/themes/culture-sustainable-development>). In this context, World Heritage sites could provide the testing ground where innovative approaches could be applied.




Figure 2. Medium-Term Strategy 2014-2021 (UNESCO 37 C/4)

World Heritage Convention Act

The World Heritage Convention Act (Act No. 49 of 1999) provides for the enforcement and implementation of the World Heritage Convention in South Africa. It allows, inter alia, for the establishment of Authorities to safeguard the integrity of World Heritage Sites and for integrated management plans and other controls.

The Minister of Environmental Affairs and Tourism is responsible for implementing the Act, but must consult with the Minister of Arts, Culture, Science and Technology and with interested parties [such as SANParks and SAHRA] when establishing an Authority. An Authority is a juristic person with a Board that may be appointed by the Minister to manage a world heritage site if the Minister deems it necessary. An existing organ of state that is already managing the site may be declared an Authority. The Act specifies the powers and duties that may be given to such an Authority and its executive staff component.

Every Authority must prepare and implement an integrated management plan for the world heritage site under its control (Section 21). The plan must be submitted to the Minister for approval within six months of the establishment of an Authority. Approval of the plan must also be sought from the Minister of Arts, Culture, Science and Technology and the Council of SAHRA. The plan must be reviewed and amended as and when necessary. Provision is made for the Minister to prepare model integrated management plans and norms and standards in consultation with the Minister of Arts, Culture, Science and Technology.

An Authority is required to submit an annual report to the Minister that includes an assessment of the implementation of the management plan and information about the extent to which the Authority succeeded or failed to meet its obligations in terms of the World Heritage Convention, the Operational Guidelines and the World Heritage Convention Act.

Principles of Cultural Resource Management (Nomination Document)

The principles of Cultural Resource Management in the Vhembe-Dongola National Park are to:

1. Maintain the significance, values and integrity of the physical and intangible remains of the rich and diverse cultural heritage of the park;
2. Accept responsibility for safeguarding, conserving and managing this heritage as an integral part of sustainable environmental management in the Park;
3. Incorporate and honour the needs and values of local and neighbouring communities in development programmes; and
4. Promote the MCL as a place of symbolic pilgrimage to instil national and international pride in the achievements of indigenous African people in pre-colonial times.

Objectives of cultural resource management (Nomination Document)

1. Develop a cultural resource management policy for the Vhembe-Dongola National Park as an extension of the newly developed national cultural resource management system.
2. Establish and manage a cultural resource management system which should incorporate as a matter of priority in its database:
 - An inventory of cultural resources in all parts of the Mapungubwe World Heritage Site Nomination 54
 - Relevant documentation
 - Status reports, and
 - Management priorities.
3. Formulate and implement a cultural resource management plan for the park as soon as inventorisation is completed. This process should again be participatory and consultative, involving internal and external stakeholders.
4. Include cultural resource management strategies, procedures, codes of practice, guidelines, norms and standards and mitigation techniques and methods.

5. Design and implement a suitable and practical monitoring system for cultural resources in the national park in order to determine the state or condition of resources and enable decision-making in terms of conservation measures or improved management to be made.
6. Identify research needs and priorities as well as recommendations with regard to research contracts, partnerships or concessions to individuals or institutions.
7. Direct and co-ordinate research projects and ensure adherence to standards of practice and operational efficiency. Interpret and disseminate reports and results.
8. Manage an impact assessment system to aid developmental work in the national park with regard to the evaluation of heritage sites or structures.
9. Channel adequate funding to cultural resource management, to manage the cultural resource management budget according to appropriate standards, and to provide support and motivation for research and development.
10. Co-operate with other departments to register Mapungubwe as a World Heritage Site and develop it as a tourist destination and educational resources in the park in order to further enrich tourist experience and to promote cultural resources as an integral part of tourism.
11. Optimise the role and value of cultural resources in further improving relationships and stakeholderhip with neighbouring communities.

The various proposed developments will be measured against the above principals, guidelines and priorities.

Mapungubwe National Park and World Heritage Site, Integrated Management Plan

SANParks is the management authority tasked with the responsibility to manage the Mapungubwe National Park and World Heritage Site.

In compliance with the NEM: PAA, SANParks is required to develop a management plan for each of its protected areas. The object of a management plan is to ensure the protection, conservation and management of the protected area concerned in a manner which is consistent with the objectives of the NEM: PAA and for the purpose for which it was declared. During the revision of the current management plan for the Mapungubwe National Park and World Heritage Site, SANParks has reviewed the biodiversity conservation, Responsible Tourism and socio-economic components that make up its core business, whilst ensuring increased emphasis on strengthening stakeholder relationships and communication, continual learning, adaptive management and good governance.

An important objective for SANParks is to promote responsible experiential opportunities and products for visitors to appreciate and value national parks. Whilst the primary mandate of SANParks is that of the conservation of biodiversity, it also recognises that Responsible Tourism also offers SANParks the best possible opportunity to supplement much needed funding for operational needs but also provides South Africa with an internationally recognised nature-based tourism destination of choice, further constituting an economically and culturally valuable asset to the region in which it occurs (Mapungubwe NP and WHS, IMP, 2018).

The Integrated Management Plan (IMP) identifies the following needs and development principals for the implementation period (2018-2021);

Long term development

Development is not considered lightly and is only embarked on in order to fulfil a real operational need or tourism opportunity. Although the park is not financially sustainable, it has the potential to improve its occupancy and to offer additional products to visitors. The current development plan focuses on ways to attract additional visitors to the park. The focus will be to develop and implement cultural heritage-based activities and orientation around different cultural sites including rock art trails. Entrance gates, tourism facilities and roads will be expanded to improve the flow of visitors and address visitor needs in and around the park. Transfrontier events including the annual Tour de Tuli and Wildrun will be expanded on, as well as other events such as cross-border camping opportunities will have a definite impact on the range of local products that SANParks can offer.

Caution will be exercised when considering any development. All development and activities in the park will be guided by the World Heritage Operational Guidelines and SANParks Guideline for development and maintenance of heritage sites in national parks. The zonation of the park will dictate the location of any development and the implementation of identified projects is dependent on the availability of funds.

Although the IMP addresses several development nodes this report is mainly concerned with the section on Cultural Heritage Sites.

Cultural heritage sites

There is a need to enhance the interpretation of the cultural heritage sites in the park. Additional sites have been identified for possible interpretation and orientation in Table 14 below.

Infrastructure	Status	Zone	Priority	Probability
Eastern section				
Guided trail linking major cultural sites	New	Primitive	High	High
K8 excavation pit profile upgrade			High	High
Orientation centre at Mapungubwe Hill			High	High
Orientation centre and pathways at Schroda / Zhizo		LIL	High	High
Possible guided tour to rock art sites		Various	High	High
Western section				
Guided tour to rock art sites	New	Various	High	High
Possible activities / interpretation at Leokwe Hill		Primitive	High	High

Figure 3. Proposed Cultural Heritage Product Development in the Park

Other International conventions and legal instruments

Several documents that assist in the management of cultural sites within the framework of developments have been formulated by National and International Institutions such as the International Finance Corporation and the Development Bank of South Africa. These guidelines are particularly well developed for projects of this nature (Mapungubwe Developments) and it would be unwise not to incorporate them in the current study seeing as they are particularly well designed to manage exactly the challenges that can be expected for this project. These principals have been applied globally on numerous projects and have been proven effective.

The following international best practice conventions and charters form part of the evaluation criteria and guides this HIA;

- UNESCO Charter on Cultural Tourism (1976)
- Tlaxcala Declaration on the Revitalisation of Small Settlements (1982)
- Burra Charter, The Australian ICOMOS Charter for the Conservation of Places of Cultural Significance (1982)
- The Appleton Charter for the Protection and Enhancement of the Built Environment (ICOMOS Canada, 1983)
- Charter for the Conservation of Places of Cultural Heritage Value (ICOMOS, New Zealand, 1992)
- Convention for the Protection of the World Culture and Natural Heritage (1972)
- Charter for the Protection and Management of Archaeological Heritage (ICOMOS, 1990)
- Charter on the Built Vernacular Heritage (ICOMOS, 1999)
- The Paris Declaration on Heritage as Driver of Development (2011)
- IFLA Principles Concerning Rural Landscapes as Heritage (ICOMOS, 2017)
- Salalah Guidelines for the Management of Public Archaeological Sites (2017)

IFC Performance Standards

WHS management can be strongly aligned with the IFC Performance Standards. Although this is not an IFC funded project, these standards will be a valuable tool to evaluate the effectiveness of impact management upon the cultural landscape of The Park.

International Finance Corporation (IFC) Performance Standard 8

Performance Standard (PS) 8 recognises the importance of cultural heritage for current and future generations. Consistent with the Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage, this PS aims to ensure that clients protect cultural heritage in the course of their project activities. In addition, the requirements of this PS on a project's use of cultural heritage are based in part on standards set by the Convention on Biological Diversity.

Objectives

- To protect cultural heritage from the adverse impacts of project activities and support its preservation.
- To promote the equitable sharing of benefits from the use of cultural heritage.

Scope of Application

The applicability of this PS is established during the environmental and social risks and impacts identification process. The implementation of the actions necessary to meet the requirements of this PS is managed through the client's Environmental and Social Management System (ESMS), the elements of which are outlined in this PS. During the project life-cycle, the client will consider potential project impacts to cultural heritage and will apply the provisions of this PS.

For the purposes of this PS, cultural heritage refers to

- (i) tangible forms of cultural heritage, such as tangible moveable or immovable objects, property, sites, structures, or groups of structures, having archaeological (prehistoric), paleontological, historical, cultural, artistic, and religious values;
- (ii) unique natural features or tangible objects that embody cultural values, such as sacred graves, rocks, lakes, and waterfalls; and
- (iii) certain instances of intangible forms of culture that are proposed to be used for commercial purposes, such as cultural knowledge, innovations, and practices of communities embodying traditional lifestyles.

Requirements with respect to tangible forms of cultural heritage are contained in paragraphs 6–16. For requirements with respect to specific instances of intangible forms of cultural heritage described in paragraph 3 (iii) see paragraph 16.

The requirements of PS 8 apply to cultural heritage regardless of whether or not it has been legally protected or previously disturbed. The requirements of this PS do not apply to cultural heritage of Indigenous Peoples. PS 7 describes those requirements.

Requirements

Protection of Cultural Heritage in Project Design and Execution

In addition to complying with applicable law on the protection of cultural heritage, including national law implementing the host country's obligations under the Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage, the client will identify and protect cultural heritage by ensuring that internationally recognized practices for the protection, field-based study, and documentation of cultural heritage are implemented.

Where the risk and identification process determine that there is a chance of impacts to cultural heritage, the client will retain competent professionals to assist in the identification and protection of cultural heritage. The removal of nonreplicable cultural heritage is subject to the additional requirements of paragraph 10 below. In the case of critical cultural heritage, the requirements of paragraphs 13–15 will apply.

Chance Find Procedures

The client is responsible for siting and designing a project to avoid significant adverse impacts to cultural heritage. The environmental and social risks and impacts identification process should determine whether the proposed location of a project is in areas where cultural heritage is expected to be found, either during construction or operations. In such cases, as part of the client's ESMS, the client will develop provisions for managing chance finds through a chance find procedure which will be applied in case cultural heritage is subsequently discovered. The client will not disturb any chance find further until an assessment by competent professionals is made and actions consistent with the requirements of this PS are identified.

Consultation

Where a project may affect cultural heritage, the client will consult with affected communities within the host country who use, or have used within living memory, the cultural heritage for long-standing cultural purposes. The client will consult with the affected communities to identify cultural heritage of importance, and to

incorporate into the client's decision-making process the views of the affected communities on such cultural heritage. Consultation will also involve the relevant national or local regulatory agencies that are entrusted with the protection of cultural heritage.

Community Access

Where the client's project site contains cultural heritage or prevents access to previously accessible cultural heritage sites being used by, or that have been used by, Affected Communities within living memory for long-standing cultural purposes, the client will, based on consultations under paragraph 9, allow continued access to the cultural site or will provide an alternative access route, subject to overriding health, safety, and security considerations.

Removal of Replicable Cultural Heritage

Where the client has encountered tangible cultural heritage that is replicable and not critical, the client will apply mitigation measures that favour avoidance. Where avoidance is not feasible, the client will apply a mitigation hierarchy as follows:

- Minimize adverse impacts and implement restoration measures, in situ, that ensure maintenance of the value and functionality of the cultural heritage, including maintaining or restoring any ecosystem processes needed to support it.
- Where restoration in situ is not possible, restore the functionality of the cultural heritage, in a different location, including the ecosystem processes needed to support it.
- The permanent removal of historical and archaeological artefacts and structures is carried out according to the principles of paragraphs 6 and 7 above.
- Only where minimization of adverse impacts and restoration to ensure maintenance of the value and functionality of the cultural heritage are demonstrably not feasible, and where the affected communities are using the tangible cultural heritage for long-standing cultural purposes, compensate for loss of that tangible cultural heritage.

Removal of Non-Replicable Cultural Heritage

Most cultural heritage is best protected by preservation in its place, since removal is likely to result in irreparable damage or destruction of the cultural heritage. The client will not remove any non-replicable cultural heritage, unless all of the following conditions are met:

- There are no technically or financially feasible alternatives to removal.
- The overall benefits of the project conclusively outweigh the anticipated cultural heritage loss from removal.
- Any removal of cultural heritage is conducted using the best available technique.

Critical Cultural Heritage

Critical cultural heritage consists of one or both of the following types of cultural heritage:

- (i) the internationally recognized heritage of communities who use or have used within living memory the cultural heritage for long-standing cultural purposes; or
- (ii) legally protected cultural heritage areas, including those proposed by host governments for such designation.

The client should not remove, significantly alter, or damage critical cultural heritage. In exceptional circumstances when impacts on critical cultural heritage are unavoidable, the client will use a process of Informed Consultation and Participation (ICP) of the affected communities as described in PS 1 and which uses a good faith negotiation process that results in a documented outcome. The client will retain external experts to assist in the assessment and protection of critical cultural heritage.

Legally protected cultural heritage areas are important for the protection and conservation of cultural heritage, and additional measures are needed for any projects that would be permitted under the applicable national law in these areas. In circumstances where a proposed project is located within a legally protected

area or a legally defined buffer zone, the client, in addition to the requirements for critical cultural heritage mentioned above, will meet the following requirements:

- Comply with defined national or local cultural heritage regulations or the protected area management plans;
- Consult the protected area sponsors and managers, local communities and other key stakeholders on the proposed project; and
- Implement additional programs, as appropriate, to promote and enhance the conservation aims of the protected area.

Cultural Heritage Project's Use of Cultural Heritage

Where a project proposes to use the cultural heritage, including knowledge, innovations, or practices of local communities for commercial purposes, the client will inform these communities of:

- (i) their rights under national law
- (ii) the scope and nature of the proposed commercial development
- (iii) the potential consequences of such development

The client will not proceed with such commercialization unless it:

- (i) enters into a process of ICP as described in PS 1 and which uses a good faith negotiation process that results in a documented outcome
- (ii) provides for fair and equitable sharing of benefits from commercialization of such knowledge, innovation, or practice, consistent with their customs and tradition.

Development Bank of South Africa (DBSA) Environmental and Social Safeguard Standards

DBSA Environmental and Social Safeguard Standard 8

The DBSA's ESSS8 sets out measures to protect cultural heritage throughout the project life cycle. This ESSS applies to cultural heritage regardless of whether it has been legally protected or previously identified or disturbed. ESSS 8 was prepared in compliance with the legal requirements as set out in the National Heritage Resources Act (NHRA) and the NEMA of South Africa.

This ESSS applies if a project:

- Involves excavations, demolition, movement of earth, flooding or other changes in the physical environment.
- Is located within a legally protected area or a legally defined buffer zone.
- Is located in, or in the vicinity of, a recognised cultural heritage site.
- Is designed to support cultural heritage conservation, management and use.
- Impacts materially on intangible cultural heritage or if a project intends to use such intangible cultural heritage for commercial purposes.
- Impacts on or depends on cultural heritage including manmade, natural capital or institutional capital.

Objectives

- To protect cultural heritage from the adverse impacts of project activities and support its preservation.
- To address cultural heritage as an integral aspect of sustainable development.
- To promote meaningful consultation with stakeholders regarding cultural heritage.
- To promote the equitable sharing of benefits from the use of cultural heritage.

Client responsibility to implement ESSS8

The Environmental and Social Assessment, as set out in ESS1, requires that the client considers direct, indirect and cumulative project-specific risks and impacts on cultural heritage.

The client, in consultation with the DBSA, project affected parties and cultural heritage experts, will:

- Implement globally recognised practices to conduct field-based study, documentation and protection of cultural heritage related to the project.
- If the Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) identifies potential significant cultural heritage risks and impacts during any project life cycle stage, the client will engage cultural heritage experts to identify, value, assess and protect cultural heritage.
- Where appropriate, develop a Cultural Heritage Management Plan to mitigate any risks to cultural heritage.
- Provide an implementation timeline, budget and costing of resource needs to implement each mitigation measure. This information may be provided in a stand-alone document or, depending on the project nature, scale, risks and impacts, incorporated into the ESMP.
- Where appropriate, develop a project-specific procedure to be followed if previously unknown cultural heritage is encountered during project activities. Include a “Chance Finds Procedure” in all project construction contracts, including excavations, demolition, earth movement, flooding or other potential changes in the physical environment. The “Chance Find Procedure” will:
 - Set out how chance finds associated with the project will be managed.
 - Commit to notify relevant authorities of found objects or sites by cultural heritage experts.
 - Fence off the area of finds or sites to avoid further disturbance.
 - Conduct an assessment of found objects or sites by cultural heritage experts.
 - Identify and implement actions consistent with the requirements of this Standard and national legislation.
 - Train project personnel and project workers on chance find procedures.
- Identify stakeholders that are relevant for the cultural heritage that are known to exist or is likely to be encountered during the project life cycle. Stakeholders will include, as relevant:
 - Project affected parties, including individuals and communities within the country who use or have used the cultural heritage within living memory.
 - Other interested parties, including national or local regulatory authorities entrusted with cultural heritage, nongovernmental organisations and cultural heritage experts, including national and international cultural heritage organisations.
- Carry out meaningful consultations with stakeholders to identify cultural heritage that may be affected by the potential project.
- Consider cultural heritage significance affected by the project.
- Determine whether information disclosure regarding cultural heritage would compromise or jeopardise cultural heritage safety or integrity or would endanger information sources. In such cases, sensitive information may be omitted from public disclosure.
- Treat with confidentiality the location, characteristics or traditional use of cultural sites that are considered confidential by the relevant affected stakeholders.
- Where the project site contains cultural heritage or prevents access to previously accessible cultural heritage sites, allow continued access to the cultural site, or provide an alternative access route, subject to overriding health, safety and security considerations, based on consultations with site users.
- Assess potential risks and impacts.
- Explore, avoid and mitigate options.
- A person or company that does not comply with the provisions of the National Heritage Resources Act may be liable to a fine or imprisonment.

Legally Protected Cultural Heritage Areas

The Client will, as part of the Environmental and Social Assessment, determine the presence of all project affected listed legally protected cultural heritage areas. If the proposed project will be located within a legally protected area or a legally defined buffer zone, the Client will:

- Comply with local, national, regional or international cultural heritage regulations and the applicable protected area management plans.
- Consult with protected area sponsors and managers, project affected parties and other interested parties regarding the proposed project.
- Implement additional programmes, to promote and enhance the protected areas conservation aims.

Provisions for Cultural Heritage Types

Archaeological sites comprise any combination of structural remains, artefacts, human or ecological elements and may be located entirely beneath, partially above, or entirely above the land or water surface. Archaeological material may be found anywhere on the earth's surface, singly or scattered over large areas. Such material includes burial areas, human remains, artefacts and fossils.

Built Heritage refers to single or groups of architectural works found in urban or rural settings providing evidence of a particular civilisation, a significant development or a historic event. It includes groups of buildings, structures and open spaces constituting past or contemporary human settlements that are recognised as cohesive and valuable from an architectural, aesthetic, spiritual or socio-cultural perspective.

Natural Features with Cultural Significance include sacred hills, mountains, landscapes, streams, rivers, waterfalls, caves and rocks; sacred trees or plants, groves and forests; carvings or paintings on exposed rock faces or in caves; and paleontological deposits of early human, animal or fossilised remains. This heritage may have significance to local community groups or minority populations.

Movable Cultural Heritage includes objects such as historic or rare books and manuscripts, paintings, drawings, sculptures, statuettes and carvings; modern or historic religious items; historic costumes, jewellery and textiles; fragments of monuments or historic buildings; archaeological material; and natural history collections such as shells, flora, or minerals. Discoveries and access resulting from a project may increase the vulnerability of cultural objects to theft, trafficking or abuse.

Where there is evidence or high probability of any form of cultural heritage in the project area, the client, in consultation with cultural heritage experts, will:

- Conduct desk-based research and field surveys to document, map and investigate cultural heritage.
- Document the location and characteristics of cultural heritage discovered during the project life-cycle and provide relevant documents to the national or subnational cultural heritage authorities.
- Determine how cultural heritage discovered during the project life-cycle should be managed and whether it should be documented, excavated and documented, or conserved on site.
- Determine, in accordance with national and subnational law, who owns and assumes custodial responsibility for cultural heritage.
- Until custody of cultural heritage is transferred, arrange to identify, conserve, label, store securely and enable accessibility for future study and analysis.
- Identify appropriate mitigation measures to address cultural heritage impacts, including documentation, conservation or rehabilitation on site, or relocation and conservation or rehabilitation.
- Maintain the authenticity of form, construction materials and techniques of cultural heritage structures when they are rehabilitated or restored.
- Preserve the physical and visual context of individual or groups of historic structures when planning project infrastructure.
- Identify natural features with cultural heritage significance affected by the project.
- Where necessary, protect and preserve natural features with cultural heritage significance on site.
- Where natural heritage artefacts must be transferred to another location, the client should consult project-affected parties, ensure that traditional cultural heritage practices are respected and that affected parties are able to continue performing cultural heritage practises.
- Take measures to guard against theft and illegal trafficking of movable cultural heritage items affected by the project and notify relevant authorities of any such activity.

- Identify movable cultural heritage objects that the project may endanger and provide for their protection throughout the project life-cycle.
- Inform any relevant authorities responsible for overseeing and protecting movable cultural heritage objects of the project activity schedule and alert them to the potential vulnerability of such items.

Commercial Use of Cultural Heritage

Where a project intends to use the cultural heritage of affected parties (including individuals and communities) for commercial purposes, the client will:

- Inform affected parties of their rights, and the proposed project scope, nature, potential impacts and consequences.
- Not proceed with commercial use of cultural heritage unless meaningful consultation with stakeholders has been carried out.
- Provide project affected parties with fair and equitable benefit sharing from commercial use of cultural heritage and implement appropriate mitigation measures consistent with customs and traditions.

8.4 Documentation Required from the Client

For high risk and medium risk projects the following documents are required, where applicable to the project:

- Cultural Heritage Management Plan.
- Chance Find Procedure.

Methodology

Heritage Management

This study defines the heritage component of the EIA process being undertaken for the proposed Mapungubwe Developments within The Park.

It is described as a first phase (HIA). This report attempts to evaluate both the accumulated heritage knowledge of the area as well as information derived from direct physical observations.

Site Visit / Fieldwork Details

Fieldwork was conducted in the week of the 5th of January 2020. The proposed development areas were indicated to investigators from G&A Heritage Properties (Pty) Ltd (hereafter referred to as G&A Heritage) by the Project Manager at The Park, Mr Louw de Bruin. Each site was located and demarcated where after the team returned to each for further detailed investigations. The study is limited to the areas indicated to the team by members of SANParks and The Park. Any changes to the footprints of the proposed projects will result in further fieldwork.

Field investigations were performed on foot. Where possible eroded trenches and animal burrows were investigated for deposits of heritage materials. Small scale trowel tests were done in some areas.

Consultations

Consultation was done with The Park management.

An Environmental Management Consultancy has been appointed by SANParks to perform a comprehensive Public Participation and Stakeholder Engagement process. G&A Heritage provided heritage related inputs for this process.

Assumptions

It is assumed that the footprints as indicated to investigators by Park management are correct and comprehensive.

Gaps / Limitations / Uncertainty

This study resulted in comments published by SAHRA regarding a previous HIA performed for these developments. There are some variations between the project descriptions found in this original document and those provided to the current study.

The presence of large and dangerous animals restricted the movement of investigators to some extent; however, this did not impact on the comprehensiveness of the investigations.

Specialist-specific Methodology

The scope of work includes the identification and assessment of archaeological, cultural, historic and built sites within the study area; interrogation of project-specific aerial imagery; archival study of existing data

and information for the study area as well as site inspection and fieldwork. This site work includes communicating with local inhabitants to confirm possible locations of heritage and cultural sites.

Background to Current Study

The current HIA was commissioned by SANParks in response to various weaknesses identified with a previous study regarding the same developments. This study was titled.

- HIA STUDY FOR THE PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION OF VISITOR ORIENTATION INFRASTRUCTURE AND CONSERVATION AND ACCOMMODATION FACILITIES, MAPUNGUBWE CULTURAL LANDSCAPE WORLD HERITAGE SITE USING ICOMOS GUIDELINES (2011) – Siyathemba Trading cc. 2017. Chirikure, S.

The deficiencies of the previous report surfaced after evaluation by SAHRA (South African Heritage Resources Agency) APM (Archaeological Paleontological and Meteorite) Unit, as well as two professional peer reviews. The current study is not limited to rectifying these deficiencies but will address them as per the peer reviews and SAHRA comments to ensure that all the controlling body concerns are addressed sufficiently to ensure that the developments carry the approval of SAHRA.

Concerns Raised by SAHRA

SAHRA raised their concerns regarding the above study in a letter on the 21/02/2017 and in Final Comments on 20/10/2017 (CaseID: 10622). The comments, and how they are to be addressed by this report are as follow;

- **Consultation with the Department of Environmental Affairs (DEA) regarding a possible Environmental Authorisation Application for the project in terms of NEMA;**
 - o The Appointed Environmental Consultancy tasked with this process will supply SAHRA with the EIA document when completed.
- **A Palaeontological Impact Assessment (PIA) inclusive of a field assessment must be conducted for the proposed development.**
 - o Dr John Almond has completed a field-based PIA for the developments, and this will be submitted as a stand-alone report with this HIA report.
- **A Visual Impact Assessment (VIA) must be conducted on the proposed development, inclusive of photo montages showing the viewshed of the proposed developments within the park;**
 - o A professional VIA company has been retained by The Park to complete a comprehensive VIA and this will be supplied to SAHRA when finished. Some preliminary viewsheds will form part of this study, however these are in no way comprehensive and merely functions as guides to what to expect from the different development types.
- **Detailed designs and layouts of the proposed dormitories, office complex/conservation facility, camping areas and visitor interpretation centre must be included in the submission and discussed in the HIA.**
 - o Detailed representations of the proposed developments will be included in the relevant sections. In regard to the ablution facilities at the Mazhou Camp Site, the report will provide pictures of an existing structure that is identical to the proposed one.
- **Motivation by means of a tourism study for the proposed dormitories, camping areas and visitor orientation centres must be supplied and discussed in the context of the HIA;**

- On acceptance of this HIA report by the client it will be provided to the environmental service provider responsible for the Tourism Study in order that issues raised by the study may be incorporated and addressed in the Tourism Study. This study will be made available to SAHRA by SANParks once completed.
- **A motivation for the need for the construction of the office complex/conservation facilities and the role they are intended for must be supplied;**
 - The motivations for each construction will be given and discussed in the relevant sections on each proposed development.
- **Proof of consultation with stakeholders such as affected communities, the World Heritage Unit at DEA, land claimants and other identified Interested and Affected Parties (I & APs); and**
 - The appointed Environmental Assessment Practitioner (EAP) tasked with the Public Participation and Stakeholder Engagement consultation has been extensively briefed on the requirements from a heritage point of view for this process. Once completed and approved this study will be submitted to SAHRA by SANParks.
- **There must be a discussion regarding the existing Site Management Plans and Park Management Plan in the context of this development.**
 - This will be provided within each separate development discussion. It should be noted that no stand-alone Site Management Plans exists, however the guidelines given by the overarching Integrated Management Plan will be utilised.

The main point of concern that will therefore be addressed in this report (as opposed to those that will be addressed by separate specialists reports) are;

- Design layouts
- Motivations for the development (need for development)
- Discussions in terms of the current Integrated Park Management Plan

All other issues will be addressed by their specific specialists' reports.

Peer Reviews

The peer reviews found significant gaps in information and at the least found the report to be severely flawed. It is not within the scope of this report to address these issues, however cognisance is made of the comments provided and the current report will endeavour not to reproduce these.

There seems to be an argumentative tone to the response letters by the previous heritage practitioner in terms of the request by SAHRA and as a result the gaps in information seems to be rather circumvented than filled.

On reviewing the original HIA, the findings of the Peer Reviews are wholeheartedly supported by this author.

Individual Project Descriptions

Rhodes Drift Staff Housing

Six new staff housing units are proposed for the area directly north of the current staff housing at Rhodes Drift and south of the Limpopo Riverbank. The whole footprint area of the proposed development was surveyed on foot and trowel-tests were done at intervals. As a result, any changes to design will have no compounding effects.



Figure 4. Staff housing at Rhodes Drift

Location Map




Figure 5. Staff housing location

Design layouts

Although the exact layout and design have not been finalised it is expected to closely resemble the existing two-bedroom units.

Motivations for the development

Growing numbers of staff required to fulfil the new posts and vacant posts, requires new housing. Employees are reluctant to commute from Musina due to the current degraded state of the Pontdrift/Musina road and as a result on-site accommodation is preferred.

Discussions in terms of the current Integrated Park Management Plan (IPMP)

The IPMP requires that: "Staff villages and administrative centres must be restricted to core staff. Nonessential staff housing, administration and industrial infrastructure must be positioned outside of or close to the periphery of the park were possible."

Infrastructure	Status	Zone	Priority	Probability
Eastern section				
New staff housing and upgrades at various sites	New	Various	High	Medium
Technical workshop at Hamilton		LIL	High	Low
Youth Centre dormitories / budget accommodation		HIL	High	High

Figure 6. Administrative and Staff Development Planning in the IPMP

The proposed development will fulfil these requirements.

Mazhou Campsite Ablution

One further ablution block is proposed for the Mazhou Campsite. The building will contain four male and four female shower cubicles with four further toilets and washbasin space. It will be identical to the current ablution block as per the picture below; no specific design plans exist at this time. It is not expected that the footprint will be greater than 50m².



Figure 7. Existing ablution block



Figure 8. Proposed location for the new ablution block

Location




Figure 9. Location of proposed Mazhou campsite ablation block

Design layouts

Currently no design layouts are available, however it will be similar to the existing ablation blocks.

Motivations for the development

Response from campers and calculated carrying loads for the existing ablations indicated that it is not sufficient for peak visitor numbers. Easier access to ablations will also increase the attractiveness of the site for tourists.

Discussions in terms of the current Integrated Park Management Plan (IPMP)

The current IPMP identifies the need for the upgrading and expansion of the Mazhou camp site as a high priority within the planned Accommodation Development Framework. This will necessitate the construction of another ablation facility to accommodate increased visitor numbers. The Mazhou camp experienced a unit occupancy of 70,1% in 2018/19 and 71,8% in 2017/18 making it the accommodation type with the highest occupation rate in The Park. There is therefore an obvious need to improve this site by the addition of another ablation block.

Hamilton Youth Dormitories

As part of the planned education component of The Park development and integral action will be the hosting of student and school groups for environmental education purposes.



Figure 10. Proposed location for student dormitories

Location




Figure 11. Proposed dormitories

Design layout

No specific design layout has yet been provided. It is expected that the impacted footprint of the development will not exceed 500m².

Motivation for Development

Due to the isolated location of The Park it was decided that overnight facilities for such groups would support this activity and make it more practical. Since it would not be cost-effective to use the current tourist facilities for this purpose the construction of a dedicated student dormitory complex is proposed.

Discussions in terms of the current Integrated Park Management Plan (IPMP)

The need for this development is identified within the IPMP as part of infrastructure and educational facilities developments.

Infrastructure	Status	Zone	Priority	Probability
Eastern section				
New staff housing and upgrades at various sites	New	Various	High	Medium
Technical workshop at Hamilton		LIL	High	Low
Youth Centre dormitories / budget accommodation		HIL	High	High

Figure 12. Infrastructure development needs within the IPMP

The IPMP defines the need for this development as follows: “A major disadvantage is the distance from major town centres, making extended EE (environmental education) programmes for larger groups (10+) impossible. Low-cost accommodation for research purposes is also lacking. The proposed plans to construct an overnight facility for learners and other interest groups will greatly facilitate knowledge-exchange possibilities.”

Office Block

The proposed Office Block is currently undergoing an S24G Environmental Application due to it having been initiated before environmental authorisation was received. The heritage study will be part of this application.

The total development footprint of the office complex and associated infrastructure will be approx. 4 550m² (0,45ha) (including office buildings, parking area, internal roads, central courtyard, landscaping and associated services provision infrastructure).

The Mapungubwe National Park Management Offices development consist of:

Development Component	Completed
Clearing of approximately 4 550m ² of indigenous vegetation.	Vegetation clearing completed.
Office block comprising of 2 buildings: 1. Office Block = 360m ² 2. Support Block = 275m ² Total m ² = 635m ²	Top structures and roofing completed. Finishes and exterior works to be completed.
Formalised undercover parking area with 16 demarcated bays.	Undercover parking structures completed. Road and paving to be completed.
Central courtyard.	Landscaping and exterior works to be completed.
Associated infrastructure, i.e. water, electricity and sewerage services. Access – The development will be served from the north via the existing access point from Road R572. An existing gravel road provides access to the new office development as well as to the existing Hamilton Staff Village. Civil works – New water installation will consist of a new booster pump set in the existing pump room where pressurised	Services to be completed.

portable water will be pumped through a new 63mm diameter uPVC main line to the new Office Block.

New sewerage and grey water effluent generated by the new Office Block will be diverted to the Hamilton Staff Village (Existing Manhole C4) by means of a gravity sewer system with pipe size of 110mm and slopes of 1:80.

Electrical works – Bulk electricity for the Office Block is obtained at the Hamilton Staff Village and cables are installed in a trench together with the bulk sewerage and water services.

The total development footprint of the office complex and associated infrastructure will be approx. 4 550m² (0,45ha) (including office buildings, parking area, internal roads, central courtyard, landscaping and associated services provision infrastructure).

An area of approximately 4 550m² (0,45ha) has been cleared of indigenous vegetation for the office complex and associated infrastructure. No additional vegetation clearance is required to complete the project; therefore this application will seek heritage authorisation for the already cleared area.

Location




Figure 13. Proposed Location of Office Block

Proposed Design Layout

The total development footprint of the office complex and associated infrastructure will be approx. 4 550m² (0,45ha) (including office buildings, parking area, internal roads, central courtyard, landscaping and associated services provision infrastructure).




Figure 14. Proposed layout

Motivation for Development

The need for the project is to improve park management infrastructure. Infrastructure in the park entails facilities in support of conservation (such as management roads and tracks, office facilities, staff housing, fences, bulk services, workshops and stores). These facilities enable staff to execute their respective duties towards achieving the park's objectives and providing a tourism product at the highest possible standard.

Existing offices at the entrance gate and Mapungubwe Interpretation Centre are not sufficient to accommodate park management and all other operational staff and therefore the need for appropriate administrative structures housed in adequate facilities in the form of an Office complex.

Discussions in terms of the current Integrated Park Management Plan (IPMP)

The IPMP identifies the need for increased office space as a primary development objective for the effective functioning of The Park. It is also necessary to fulfil the legal mandate of The Park.

Infrastructure	Status	Zone	Priority	Probability
Eastern section				
Boundary fence upgrade	In existence	Various	Medium	Low
Communication network at various sites	New		High	Medium
Hamilton office complex	In existence	LIL	High	High
Realignment of elephant exclosures		Various	High	Medium
Reception area / entrance gate infrastructure upgrade		HIL	High	Medium

Figure 15. Primary Development Objectives for IPMP

Mapungubwe Interpretive Centre

The proposed Mapungubwe Interpretive Centre is in fact several proposed buildings. The concept behind the project is to make the archaeological sites of Bambanyanalo and Mapungubwe Hill more accessible both in terms of logistics and understanding. It will consist of a main orientation centre where visitors will be given an overview of the area and in particular the archaeological sites. A walkway will then connect them to two boma/picnic sites, and this will then in turn connect to an interpretive centre built around the current K8 excavation on the Southern Terrace at Mapungubwe Hill.




Figure 16. Focus Area for Mapungubwe Orientation Centre

Each of these proposed structures will be discussed in turn;

Main Interpretive Centre

This will be an elevated structure standing on pylons driven into to the ground. It will be constructed using the light steel frame method and will incorporate structural insulated panels and glass doors and walls. The current concept drawings place the footprint at approximately 250m². It will incorporate the following areas;

- A covered Viewing Deck
- Mapungubwe Exhibit
- Resting Area
- Overall Site Model
- Bambanyanalo Exhibit
- Serving Area with Vending Machines
- Toilets with Wash Basins
- Covered Entrance




Figure 17. Proposed Orientation Centre (Detailed design plans are appended in Appendix A)




Figure 18. Proposed locations and View Sheds



Figure 19. Design renderings in location



Figure 20. 1:50 Side elevations

Motivation for Development

The main motivation for this development is to make the heritage sites of Mapungubwe, K2 and Bambandanyalo more accessible to tourists and the improve the transfer of knowledge to both tourists and education groups. There is currently a lack of visual stimulus and accessible information on the importance of these sites making them less accessible interpretively. The structure will also provide a safe and comfortable location for the dissemination of information.

Picnic/Boma Sites

These will be smaller structures with a removable footprint. They will function as rest stops between the Orientation Centre and the Interpretive Centre on the Southern Terrace. They will consist of a pole wall of wood with a covered roof. Inside will be seating, a fireplace and a refreshment table.




Figure 21. Boma Floorplan

This figure presents a comprehensive design for the proposed Boma structures. It includes:

- 1 BOMA - FLOOR PLAN 1:50**: A detailed circular floor plan showing interior layout.
- 2 BOMA - ROOF PLAN 1:50**: A technical drawing of the roof structure, labeled 'POLYCARBONATE INSULATED COMPOSITE ROOF ON GUNPOLE STRUCTURE'.
- 3 GUIDES FIRST DISCUSSION AREA 1:100**: A site plan showing the structure's location relative to a 'SHADED (SANDY PATCH) SANDY TREE' and a 'BIG TREE'.
- 4 3D PERSPECTIVE 1:100**: A perspective view of the structure showing its 'POLY AND LATH ENCLOSURE APPROX. 1.2M HIGH'.
- 5 BOMA - 3D PERSPECTIVE 1:100**: A perspective view of the structure showing a 'PERGOLA' and 'POLYCARBONATE INSULATED COMPOSITE ROOF ON GUNPOLE STRUCTURE'.

The technical drawing block on the right includes a 'DRAWING REVISION BLOCK' table:

NO.	DATE	BY	REVISION
1	2020-05-20	J.M.	Issue for Review
2	2020-06-01	J.M.	Issue for Review
3	2020-06-15	J.M.	Issue for Review
4	2020-06-25	J.M.	Issue for Review
5	2020-07-05	J.M.	Issue for Review
6	2020-07-15	J.M.	Issue for Review
7	2020-07-25	J.M.	Issue for Review
8	2020-08-05	J.M.	Issue for Review
9	2020-08-15	J.M.	Issue for Review
10	2020-08-25	J.M.	Issue for Review

Additional elements include a 'DRAWING REVISION BLOCK' with columns for 'NO.', 'DATE', 'BY', and 'REVISION'. A 'DRAWING REVISION BLOCK' table is also present. The South African National Parks logo is visible.

Figure 22. Proposed Boma Structures

Motivation for Development

This site will supply a safe halfway point for visiting groups. It will be in a position that will enable guides to explain the connection between various sites in the area. It can also be used as a safe picnic spot for groups not involved in a guided tour.

K8 Interpretive Centre

This smaller Interpretive Centre will be built over and around the existing covered K8 excavation on the southern terrace of the Mapungubwe Complex. It will consist of an exhibition area and covered access to the exposed archaeological deposits as found in the K8 excavation.




Figure 23. Proposed K8 exhibit

Motivation for the Development

The current structure at K8 (exposed excavation block) is not user friendly or safe and does not facilitate the easy dissemination of information by guides. The proposed development will also assist in the management of erosion and the possibility of endangering large animals such as elephant with a lightweight covered excavation.

Schroda Orientation Centre

Another orientation centre very similar in design and purpose to the Mapungubwe Orientation Centre is being proposed for the Schroda Archaeological Site in the north-eastern part of The Park.

Layout and Location




Figure 24. Proposed Location of the Schroda Orientation Centre




Figure 25. Proposed Design Layout of Schroda Orientation Centre



Figure 26. Artist Rendering of View-Sheds at Schroda Orientation Centre



Figure 27. Elevation Models of Orientation Centre at Schroda

Motivation for Development

The Schroda Site, although extremely important for the cultural landscape of Mapungubwe Park, has not featured in the available access to tourists to The Park. This is a vital part of the history of the area and needs to be accessible to tourists, albeit in a responsible and sustainable way. The proposed Orientation Centre will facilitate this process in a responsible way assisting in the dissemination of information and providing a safe and comfortable environment for visitors to learn about the importance of the Schroda archaeological site.

Description of Affected Environment

(A previous study for this project was completed by Kruger, N in 2018 and the following information is taken from this report – with some edits- in an effort to avoid unnecessary duplication. Both that report and this current report is the property of the client, SANParks)

The Limpopo Heritage Landscape: Specific Themes.

The landscape north of the Soutpansberg has always played an important ecological and cultural role in the history of South Africa. This section of the Limpopo valley, presenting the most important time periods in the history of South Africa, have been utilised and cultivated from the beginning of mankind, the signs of which are still visible today in the hundreds of archaeological sites scattered across the landscape. These signs range from 300 000 year old handaxes from the Earlier Stone Age, microlithic tools from the Later Stone Age, pot sherds, grinding stones and walling of previous Venda inhabitants to rock paintings and engravings.

Previous research

The Limpopo Valley was first formally documented by early travellers, explorer and missionaries that moved through areas surrounding the Limpopo River. Possibly the most valuable historical sources of information on the 19th century Limpopo Valley are maps of the Soutpansberg and surrounding, such as those compiled by Bertoud in 1903 (see Figure 28), Merensky in 1880 (see Figure 29), Raddatz in 1870 (see Figure 30), and Troye in 1892.




Figure 28. “Map of the Zoutpansberg”, compiled by the Swiss Missionary Henri Bertou c.1903.




Figure 29. "Map of the Transvaal", compiled by Alexander Merensky c.1880.




Figure 30. "Map of the Transvaal Goldfields, Zoutpansberg District", compiled by H. Raddatz c.1870.

Later research in the area includes important work by Government Ethnologist N.J van Warmelo in the first part of the 20th century. It is also during this period that the first academic research commenced at Mapungubwe and other Iron Age sites in the Limpopo valley. Central to these studies were Guy Gardner, Neville Jones and Leo Fouché who not only conducted systematic archaeological excavations at Mapungubwe, but also recorded Iron Age sites along the Limpopo River Basin. In recent years, the Limpopo Valley has been the subject of frequent archaeological and historical studies. Dr Cathy Kuman (University of the Witwatersrand) is currently conducting seminal research on the Earlier Stone Age of the Limpopo Valley. However, the Middle Stone Age has not been studied in detail and research by Francis Thakeray (Transvaal Museum) proves to be unique in terms of the Limpopo Valley MSA. In contrast to the MSA, Later Stone Age occurrences dating to the last two millennia, particularly Rock Art and stone implements have been extensively investigated. In past years, Ed Eastwood, Sven Ouzman and Ben Smith, amongst others addressed the rock art of the Limpopo Basin and Bronwyn van Doornum and Lynn Wadley looked at LSA assemblages. John Calabrese, Simon Hall, Ben Smith, Karim Sadr, Alex Schoeman and Tom Huffman informed on the interaction between Hunter-gatherers and farming communities during the first and early second millennia AD in their research. Central to the Iron Age cultural landscape of the Limpopo Valley is the Mapungubwe Iron Age Horizon, an area which has been intensively studied by researchers such as Guy Gardner, Leo Fouché, Andrie Meyer, John Calabrese, Tom

Huffman, Alex Schoeman, Edwin Hanisch and MacEdward Murumbika have contributed significantly to our understanding of the Mapungubwe Cultural landscape.




Figure 31. Map of archaeological sites around Mapungubwe, compiled by Neville Jones in 1935.

The Earlier, Middle and Later Stone Ages

The Earlier Stone Age of the Limpopo Valley has been extensively researched. Results from these research projects show that earlier Stone Age areas, dating back to 2.5 million years ago occur in areas around Musina and sites have been identified in riverbank deposits at many of the larger rivers and tributaries in the area. Specifically, areas around Mapungubwe, Tshipise and the Sand River are known to hold rich Early Stone Age deposits where formal stone tools such as specialized hand axes typical of the Acheulian industry of the early Stone Age was found. Similar to the distribution of ESA material, middle Stone Age sites occur widely in the Limpopo Valley near streams or other sources of water in the vicinity of source material used for the manufacture of stone tools. Artefacts such as stone points, blades and scrapers which date to more or less 125 000 years before present occur in large scatters around Musina and the Limpopo Valley. In the last two millennia the valley was occupied by the San hunter gatherers and Khoe herders/hunter gatherers and the later Stone Age is abundantly represented in the Limpopo River horizon in the form of rock shelters containing microlithic stone tools such as bladelets, scrapers, points and cores as well as rock markings and art. In addition, a rich Hunter-Gathered legacy, LSA groups such as the San displayed intricate relationships with herders and farming communities in the area in the past centuries LSA sites occur across the Limpopo Valley in hills and around farmer-period settlements. Material from the earlier, middle and later Stone Age occur in areas around Musina on the farms Skirbeek, Framton, Dawn, Bosbokpoort and Njelele's Drift as well as to the west of the town on the farm Newmark (Roodt 2008). Rock Art sites also occur in areas on these farms.




Figure 32. Map detailing the occurrence of Stone Age sites in the Limpopo Basin

Rock Markings

Rock paintings are mainly known from the mountainous areas of Botswana, Namibia, Zimbabwe and South Africa, while rock engravings are mainly confined to the Kalahari-fringe areas of Namibia, Botswana, Zimbabwe and the central and northern interior of South Africa. In the Limpopo Valley and Soutpansberg areas alone over 800 sites with paintings and engravings are known, and more are still being re-discovered.

Most engravings were made by pecking, a technique that made use of a hammer stone and stone punch, or by direct percussion. Three painting traditions are present in the Limpopo Valley and Vhembe District; Hunter-Gatherer, Khoenkhoen and Bantu-speaker art.

- Hunter-Gatherer rock paintings

The delicate and frequently detailed San fine-line paintings were made using brushes made from twigs, quills, sticks or feathers. Red and yellow pigments applied in this way were made from various shades of ferric oxides or ochres; black pigments were prepared from charcoal and minerals like specularite, and white pigments from silicas and various riverine clays. The paintings of Vhembe-Dongola area are dominated by images of men and women. The most painted animal is the kudu, followed by giraffe, tsessebe, impala and elephant. There are also images of San loincloths and aprons. In contrast, in Eastern Vhembe, human images are rare, and the main animals depicted are the giraffe and the zebra.

The Kaoxa Shelter situated west of Mapungubwe on the farm Machete is regarded as one of the most significant Rock Art sites in the Limpopo Valley. Paintings of at least 16 animal species are found in this shelter. This diversity suggests that many species of animals were important in the belief system of the Limpopo-Shashi San hunter-gatherers. There are 13 images of locusts painted - an unusual and unique subject for the San artists. These are the only known rock paintings of locusts in southern Africa. At least 5 San painting 'styles' occur here. In addition, there are geometric finger paintings. There are 4 complex panels in this site, an unusual feature in the LSCA. Explanatory lecterns have been set up below each set of paintings.




Figure 33. Tracing of a complex painted panel at Koaxa Shelter.

Khoekhoe rock paintings

Khoekhoe rock art mainly comprises red and white finger paintings of dots, strokes, geometric forms, handprints and a component of representational motifs. This painting tradition extends from Central Africa to the southern parts of South Africa. In the Limpopo River Valley and its environs, Khoekhoe art comprises handprints, finger dots and strokes, variations of the circle motif, and images of fringed and unfringed women's aprons. The accompanying chart illustrates the image classes found in the Limpopo region. The paintings are large and bold, and were painted in red or white, applied by human fingers, unlike the more familiar San paintings which are fine and delicate, painted with sticks and bristles in a variety of colours, and depict things we can recognise: animals and people. Like the San paintings, however, Geometric Tradition pigments were carefully applied, albeit by finger, as evidenced by the crisp clear outlines and with no sign of splashing — images clearly made without haste and without a mess. Again, like the San paintings, Khoekhoe paintings are made with colourants like red ochres and white minerals that were finely ground and mixed with binders, judging from the way the paints penetrate and adhere to the rock and are not easily washed off by water seepage. Although the art is sometimes found in the same rock shelters as engravings, San paintings, or Northern Sotho paintings, or various combinations of these techniques and traditions the Khoekhoe paintings are often found in small low-ceilinged shelters high up on the sides of hills or between tumbled rocks on the summits of hills — one has to bend down or even crawl in order to view the art where it is frequently placed on the ceiling. They are also frequently found in huge shelters with sharply sloping floors. All these locations are in stark contrast to San preferences for painting sites. The San generally used comfortable rock shelters at ground level, with horizontal, usually sandy floors — and preferred to paint on vertical rock faces.

The rock paintings of Bantu-speakers

Another tradition of painting known as “Late Whites” is found in the Soutpansberg and the Limpopo Valley. These finger-paintings consist of anthropomorphic, zoomorphic and geometric designs. These paintings were often daubed in several colours, but generally speaking the imagery is predominantly white. Recent research in south-central Africa suggests that the Late White tradition is at least partially explicable. Because the art is fairly recent; and the people who live near the sites are only a few generations removed from the painters, it has been possible to relate the symbolism depicted in the art to modern forms of ritual and the use of symbolism. In the Limpopo Province, at least some of the Late White tradition paintings can be linked to Sotho-speakers. It is likely that the imagery was linked to rites of passage.

Rock engravings: Utilitarian hollows, Mafuvha and Cupules

Utilitarian hollows are small pecked depressions usually about the size of a bottle cap and roughly 20 millimetres deep. These hollows are typically found on horizontal surfaces: pavements in the open, or on stone floors and on loose rocks within shelters. They may have been used as anvils for cracking open the seeds of the Marula or Sour Plum, for example, which both contain edible nuts, or as receptacles for holding ostrich-eggshell ‘blanks’ or ‘roughouts’ whilst the central hole was being drilled. Although the San may have made some of the hollows that were used as work surfaces, others were possibly also made and/or used by Khoekhoen and Bantu-speakers. Another type of hollow is that of the mafuvha board game. Used mainly as a form of recreation, the game also has a ritual function and is linked to rain and fertility throughout Africa. Although mainly associated with Khoekhoen and Bantu-speakers, this game, generally known as *mankala*, is also played by San people so it is quite possible that at least some of the game boards on stone pavements in the Limpopo River Valley were also made by San hunter-gatherers.

A final category of small hollows, called ‘cupules’, comprises groups of apparently randomly distributed depressions situated on sloping or vertical rock faces or on large boulders within rock shelters. In some shelters up to 1000 cupules are found on rounded free-standing boulders, and to a lesser extent, on vertical rock faces. Some of these rows or random arrangements of cupules are situated up to 3,5 metres above ground level, suggesting that the engravers built some sort of scaffold to laboriously peck some of these

marks into the relatively hard and durable sandstone rock faces. Their situation on the rock also suggests that they were made for a specific ritual rather than a mundane purpose. Their position and planar orientation on big boulders similarly suggest a ritual and symbolic function. Some of the cupules, in contrast to the utilitarian hollows, have a silica skin over them, the result of a process of salt deposition that must have occurred over a very long period of time. The apparent age of these cupules alone suggests that were probably made by hunter-gatherers.

Rock engravings: Grooves

Grooves are elongated, usually parallel, marks incised or abraded into the rock face. They generally range from the length of a matchstick to the length of an outstretched hand. Some have rounded profiles, while others are V-shaped. Grooves, like cupules discussed in the previous section, are divided into the utilitarian: those found on open, horizontal pavements or on loose rocks within shelters and the symbolic, those occurring on vertical or sloping rock faces in shelters. The utilitarian grooves may have been used for sharpening iron, bone or wooden points. They are situated in places in which it would have been comfortable to sit at ease while executing such a task. These grooves might have been made by anyone, however, not necessarily the San. Symbolic grooves are situated on rock faces up to four metres above ground level. Their great height suggests that they also served some symbolic function. Like the symbolic cupules, some of the grooves are covered in a silica skin, a phenomenon that suggests some antiquity. More often than not, cupules and grooves are associated — their co-occurrence hints at a related, symbolic function.

Rock engravings: Engraved animals




Figure 34. Map detailing the occurrence of Rock Art sites in the Limpopo Basin.

San peoples or their ancestors undoubtedly made the engravings of animals, because similar engravings all over southern Africa have been shown to have San authorship. Like San paintings, these engravings have been shown to have their roots in a shamanistic cosmology. In most areas of the subcontinent engravings were associated with ideas about rainmaking or depict elements of the medicine dance and the supernaturally potent animals.

The Iron Age / Farmer Period

The Iron Age of the Limpopo Province is dominated by the Mapungubwe Cultural Landscape around the Shashe-Limpopo confluence. At the core of this horizon lay the Middle Iron Age sites of Mapungubwe and K2. However, early Iron Age farmers moved into the Limpopo valley centuries before the advent of the Mapungubwe Kingdom. These early Iron Age farmers, which formed part of the Kalundu Ceramic Tradition (the western stream of migration into South Africa); regionally known as Happy Rest, settled on the southern foot of the Soutpansberg between in the 5th century AD. Later, at around AD 900 the Zhizo capital at Schroda near the Limpopo Shashe confluence came into existence. Through this group, the Limpopo Valley interior was first integrated directly with the Indian Ocean trade network. According to the archaeological record, Schroda lost control of the interior portion of the trade network at about AD 1000 to a new group of people known as Leopard's Kopje. They established their capital at K2 on the present day farm Greefswald, while commoner K2 sites were established throughout the Basin. Large amounts of trade goods from K2 show that trade had enhanced the leader of K2's status which added to the intensification of social ranking. In turn, this contributed to the development of a bureaucratic class which materialized during the onset of the Mapungubwe period. At AD 1220 the K2 leader shifted the capital to the flat hill called Mapungubwe about 2 km from K2. Here the king moved to the hilltop while the majority of his people lived below. It is now known that the Zimbabwe culture evolved in the Shashe-Limpopo basin and that Mapungubwe was the first Zimbabwe capital. Consequently, archaeologists divide the culture into three chronological periods named after the important capitals:

- Mapungubwe (AD 1220-1290)
- Great Zimbabwe (AD 1290-1450)
- Khami (AD 1450-1820)

At the end of the 13th century the climate throughout Southern Africa appears to have been affected by the spread of the Little Ice Age and it became colder and drier in the interior. In some areas it was no longer possible to cultivate traditional grain crops. As a consequence, Mapungubwe was abandoned; the entire basin depopulated which resulted in the disintegration of the Mapungubwe State. Great Zimbabwe became Mapungubwe's economic, cultural and political successor. Shortly after the demise of Mapungubwe, the first Sotho/Tswana people moved into this part of the interior from East Africa. Khami, a later expression of the Great Zimbabwe culture occurred after AD 1450. Khami sites, during the Middle Iron Age followed the elite Zimbabwe pattern which incorporated stone walling within the settlement organisation. A large portion of early stone walled sites in the Limpopo Valley area dates to this period. Other identifiable features are ceramic scatters on the surface and visible kraals.

Venda-speaking communities belong to the most prevalent cultural entity in the Limpopo Valley and the Soutpansberg areas today. According to oral tradition, Venda history occurred in three layers of occupation. The first was Ngoni, followed by Lembethu, Mbedzi, Thavhastindi and others, and lastly Singo. The Lembethu, Mbedzi and Thanhatsindi groups comprises various chiefdoms from Zimbabwe, each ruling Zimbabwe type settlements with typical stone walled palaces (Huffman 2008). We know that Shona-speaking chiefdoms (identified by the Khami facies) moved south of the Limpopo between AD 1400 and 1450, incorporating earlier Sotho-Tswana people. After approximately 100 years of cohabitation, these two independent groups created the Venda language, which is known to be associated with Letaba pottery (Huffman 2005). At present, the ruling Singo, are the descendants of the final occupation. Oral tradition indicate that the Singo moved south across the Limpopo river around AD 1690. They conquered the independent chiefdoms and united the Venda nation for the first time. Dzata, in the Nzhelele Valley, was the capital of Singo but was later abandoned during the reign of the legendary Thoho-ya-Ndou. As a result the

Venda nation fragmented, and the present day three competing dynasties were established (Stayt 1968; Loubser 1991; Huffman 2008). A number of Iron Age (Farmer period) sites occur in the larger Musina area. During a survey of the Limpopo Valley 1935, Leo Fouché identified a number of K2/Mapungubwe type sites at Mapungubwe, Bambambandyalo and the farm Sibsey. He further documented Khami (or “Dhlo-Dhlo” as he terms it) stone-walled structures on the farms Maryland, Haddon, and Schroda. Finally he noted Venda (or “Dzata”) type sites on the farms Verdun, Shirbeek, Beitbridge, Weipe, Haddon, Sibsey, Islet, Singalele, Ipidi, Kanjili, Armenia, and Verdun (Fouche 1935). Huffman and Hanisch also identified Later Iron Age stone walled sites on the farms Evelyn, Toynton, Verulam, Prinzenhagen, Machedema and again at Verdun (Huffman & Hanish).

The Mapungubwe Cultural Landscape

The Mapungubwe Cultural Landscape demonstrates the rise and fall of the first indigenous kingdom in Southern Africa between 900 and 1,300 AD. The core area covers nearly 30,000 ha and is supported by a suggested buffer zone of around 100,000 ha. Within the collectively known Zhizo sites are the remains of three capitals - Schroda; Leopard’s Kopje; and the final one located around Mapungubwe hill - and their satellite settlements and lands around the confluence of the Limpopo and the Shashe rivers whose fertility supported a large population within the kingdom. Mapungubwe’s position at the crossing of the north/south and east/west routes in southern Africa also enabled it to control trade, through the East African ports to India and China, and throughout southern Africa. From its hinterland it harvested gold and ivory – commodities in scarce supply elsewhere – and this brought it great wealth as displayed through imports such as Chinese porcelain and Persian glass beads. This international trade also created a society that was closely linked to ideological adjustments, and changes in architecture and settlement planning. Until its demise at the end of the 13th century AD, Mapungubwe was the most important inland settlement in the African subcontinent and the cultural landscape contains a wealth of information in archaeological sites that records its development. The evidence reveals how trade increased and developed in a pattern influenced by an elite class with a sacred leadership where the king was secluded from the commoners located in the surrounding settlements.



Figure 35. View of Mapungubwe Hill.



Figure 36. Examples of typical K2 type ceramics (Huffman 2007:238).

Mapungubwe's demise was brought about by climatic change. During its final two millennia, periods of warmer and wetter conditions suitable for agriculture in the Limpopo/Shashe valley were interspersed with cooler and drier pulses. When rainfall decreased after 1300 AD, the land could no longer sustain a high population using traditional farming methods, and the inhabitants were obliged to disperse. Mapungubwe's position as a power base shifted north to Great Zimbabwe and, later, Khami.

After the discovery of Mapungubwe in 1932, the University of Pretoria established an Archaeological Committee, which from 1933 to 1947 oversaw research and excavations. Rev. Neville Jones from Zimbabwe and J.F. Schofield were appointed to undertake the first fieldwork in 1934 and 1935 and they were advised by Professor C. van Riet Lowe, Director of the Bureau of Archaeology. Their work focused on Mapungubwe Hill, the southern terrace and the midden there. They briefly surveyed other similar sites in the vicinity. From 1935-1940 six excavation seasons at K2 and Mapungubwe Hill were directed by Guy A. Gardner. The results of his work were published nearly 25 years later. Meyer (1998) describes the excavations on Greefswald between 1933 and 1940 as 'rapid, large scale excavations resulting in the recovery of valuable artefacts'. Research was hampered by 'the lack of professional archaeologists in South Africa, the lack of full-time supervision of the excavations by efficient, trained staff, the fact that adequate scientific methods for Iron Age research had not yet been developed and that the Iron Age in South Africa was virtually unknown

to archaeologists. Consequently, many of the deposits on the sites were removed without the meticulous excavation and recording required. These problems inevitably resulted in a loss of irreplaceable deposits and eventually also of excavated materials and a lack of scientific data.



Figure 37. A plated golden rhino, one of many gold objects excavated from Mapungubwe Hill.

The next phase of archaeological investigation, in 1953-1954 and in 1968-1970, under the direction initially of the Department of Anthropology, and then of Professor J. F. Eloff who was appointed as Head of the newly-formed Department of Archaeology at the University of Pretoria in 1970, was more systematic and focused mainly on the southern terrace. Over the next 25 years from 1970 to 1995, the Department of Archaeology at the University of Pretoria recognised that their first priority was to establish a firm database by testing, correcting and supplementing the earlier research, and concentrating on reconstructing the way of life of the site inhabitants. Between 1979 and 2002 reports have been published on the human and faunal remains, Chinese porcelain, gold objects, glass beads and radiocarbon dating. In addition, sites on neighbouring farms have been investigated by students of the University of Pretoria during the 1970s and 1980s. Griefswald has remained the property of the State since the 1930s. Management of the farm was taken over by the provincial Department of Nature Conservation in 1992, and control was transferred to SANParks in 1999. Since the 1990s, Wits archaeologists have worked in the Mapungubwe landscape investigating Stone Age, Rock Art and Iron Age sites. They concentrated on the last 2000 years. The systematic survey of the National Park and buffer zone, including Little Muck, Schroda and Venetia, has now recorded some 1000 Iron Age sites. Using this data, various graduate students have investigated ethnic stratification (Calabrese PhD 2005), glass beads and international trade (Wood MA 2005), the ethno-archaeology (Murimbika PhD 2006) and archaeology (Schoeman PhD 2006) of rainmaking, the relationship of settlements to the landscape (du Piesanie MSc 2008), faunal remains (Fatherley MSc 2009), agricultural production (Chandler Honours 2009) and spherulites in cattle dung. Current research includes settlements during the Khami Period (du Piesanie PhD) and herding strategies.




Figure 38. Map detailing the occurrence of Iron Age sites in the Limpopo Basin



Figure 39. Venda-type stone walled site east of Musina at Maremani.

Later History: Trade, Exploration and Colonial Times

The historic timeframe sometimes intermingles with the later parts of the Stone and Iron Age, and can loosely be regarded as times when written and oral recounts of incidents became available. The first Europeans to trek through the interior of South Africa north of the Vaal River were the expedition party of Dr. Andrew Cowan who travelled from the Cape to the border of Botswana and from there eastwards to Delagoa Bay. The party however disappeared and was never heard of after a final report written by Cowan in 1808. The Voortrekkers crossed the Vaal River in 1836, and within a few years, began to spread north. Much of the Limpopo Province contained tsetse fly, and so early Boer farmers didn't settle immediately in the area. Rather the area was used primarily for hunting. The first contact between Venda-speaking groups and white pioneers occurred during 1836 when the trek of Louis Trichardt entered the Soutpansberg.

In 1850 the town of Schoemansdal was founded, which led to increased contact between the two groups. At this time European traders also entered the area, which led to the circulation of western goods in the Limpopo Valley. After the establishment of the Zuid-Afrikaansche Republiek (ZAR) in 1857, White farmers settled throughout the Soutpansberg area. Missionary activity also increased during this period, which affected and changed many indigenous customs. The town of Messina was only founded in the beginning of the 20th century where after mines and mainly cattle farms emerged around the town. The town soon became a bustling copper mining and agricultural centre in the Limpopo Valley. The magisterial district known as Messina was proclaimed in 1963 and after recent name changes the town is now also known as Musina, a name given to the area by pre-historic copper miners.

Archaeo-metallurgy

Musina owes its origin and development to the presence of rich copper deposits in the area. The largest copper deposits in South African can be found here, as well as at Phalaborwa and in the Dwarsberge. According to archaeological and ethnographical indications large amounts of copper were mined around the Musina area in previous centuries. During the late Iron Age copper was a valuable and relatively easily workable commodity. After it was mined and worked it was distributed via intercontinental trade routes and also used as local article of trade for buying, bartering and lobola (compensation to a family for marrying a female member of that family). Venda ethnography suggests that the Lemba, a Venda-speaking group with clear Semitic associations although no clear cultural affiliation, were in many cases responsible for iron and copper working.




Figure 40. Map detailing archaeo- metallurgy sites in the Limpopo Basin.

Fieldwork Findings

Fieldwork performed during January 2020 provided the following information. Each proposed development will be discussed separately. A sensitivity map based on the fieldwork findings will also be provided for each of the individual proposed developments.

Rhodes Drift Staff Housing

Finds

No finds of heritage value could be made within the proposed study area as indicated by SANParks personnel. Some recent agricultural structures such as a shed and outbuildings were noted. None of these were of heritage value and none of them will be affected by the proposed development. No archaeological deposits could be identified within the proposed development footprint.



Figure 41. Recent brick shed on site



Figure 42. Existing staff housing on site

Heritage Sensitivity Map



Figure 43. Rhodes Drift Staff Housing Heritage Sensitivity Map

Mazhou Campsite Ablution Facilities

Finds

No finds of heritage value could be made within the proposed study area as indicated by SANParks personnel. There are existing SANParks campsite structures such as ablution blocks, an electricity box and braai facilities. None of these were of heritage value and none of them will be affected by the proposed development. No archaeological deposits could be identified within the proposed development footprint.



Figure 44. Proposed location for the new ablution facilities

Heritage Sensitivity Map

No heritage related finds or deposits were visible; therefore, a sensitivity map would be redundant.

Office Complex

Finds

No finds of heritage value could be made within the proposed study area as indicated by SANParks personnel. This development should be seen in the light of the fact that most of the groundworks and construction activities have already been completed. As such any possible impacts have already occurred. The areas were investigated for any sites of heritage importance that might be secondarily affected by the development. No archaeological deposits could be identified within the proposed development footprint.



Figure 45. Largely finished Office Complex structures



Figure 46. Foundations of structures still to be finished



Figure 47. Sub-surface inspections for deposits of heritage significance



Figure 48. Finished foundation excavations

Heritage Sensitivity Map

No heritage related finds or deposits were visible; therefore, a sensitivity map would be redundant.

Student Dormitories

Finds

The proposed Student Dormitories are located on the Hamilton section of The Park. The proposed site is to the east and north of the existing agricultural shed. A wide buffer zone of around 200m was investigated since previous archaeological deposits have been noted close to this location. The area produced some out of context isolated potsherds as well as some LSA stone tools. This seems to indicate that a deposit area is most likely located close enough to this site to facilitate the deposit of out of context artefacts through earth relocation activities such as wind and erosion. The origin of these artefacts could also be deeper lying sub surface deposits. For this reason, it is important that any alteration activities do not expand outside of the indicated footprint. It is also important that any excavations be monitored. Some historic ash deposits with more recent farming related artefacts was also noted. These are not thought to be of historic importance and will most likely relate to the adjacent Hamilton Farm Structures.



Figure 49. Proposed Development Area with Shed



Figure 50. LSA Stone Tools noted on Site



Figure 51. Banded Ironstone LSA tool in situ



Figure 52. Non-diagnostic Potsherds in situ



Figure 53. Dispersed recent ash deposits

Heritage Sensitivity Map




Figure 54. Heritage Sensitivity Mapp for Proposed Dormitories

Mapungubwe Orientation Centre

Finds

The area proposed for the development of the Mapungubwe Orientation Centre, Boma and K8 Orientation Centre Complex lies within one of the most sensitive archaeological deposit areas within the Mapungubwe Park. All the proposed footprints are located on sensitive deposits and it is imperative that these be managed and preserved. The impact should however be seen against the socio-economic and educational benefits that it will have as well as the resultant educational awareness. The structures have been designed to have a minimal footprint impact and in the case of the K8 orientation centre could in fact contribute to the enhanced preservation of the site through erosion control.

The sites contained mainly Middle Iron Age (K2, Mapungubwe) deposits as well as deposits associated with the later Bambandanyalo Phase and some LSA stone tools.



Figure 55. Pottery with hatched Mapungubwe type decoration



Figure 56. One proposed location for the Mapungubwe Orientation Centre



Figure 57. Non-diagnostic pottery with burnishing



Figure 58. Typical Mapungubwe type decorated sherd with triangular design and cross-hatching
Mapungubwe National Park and WHS Heritage Impact Assessment



Figure 59. Pottery with shoulder and earlier K2 decoration



Figure 60. Combination of LSA flakes and non-diagnostic pottery



Figure 61. LSA Stone Tool



Figure 62. *Achatina achatina* (Land Snail) shell fragments



Figure 63. Ash deposits with pottery and LSA flakes

Heritage Sensitivity Maps




Figure 64. Larger Mapungubwe Area Deposits




Figure 65. Development area deposits




Figure 66. Deposits around K8

Schroda Orientation Centre

Finds

The proposed Schroda Orientation Centre proposed location is on top of the undisturbed Schroda Archaeological Site deposits. Even though the area is on this eastern section of the site has a thinner layer of deposit that other areas on the Schroda site, it is still virgin deposit and of great heritage significance. Extensive research has been done on this site and it would be redundant to repeat the available information here. Finds consisted of undisturbed ash deposits with in-situ potsherds and stone features as well as some LSA microliths and flakes.



Figure 67. The Schroda Site



Figure 68. View towards the west



Figure 69. LSA Microliths and Flakes



Figure 70. In situ Pottery and LSA Flakes



Figure 71. Ash Deposits



Figure 72. Secondary erosion as a result of the original 2004 anti-erosion measures

Heritage Sensitivity Map



Figure 73. Extent of Schroda Deposits

Potential Heritage Impacts and Proposed Mitigation

Each site will be discussed individually. Attention will be given to the Development (Construction) and Operational Phases. No specific decommissioning phase has yet been identified for any of the developments and will therefore not be discussed. Where impacts are anticipated relevant mitigation measures are given. A short discussion will also be given as to how the specific development relates to the given legislative measures and the overall IPMP.

Assessment Matrix (Determining Archaeological Significance)

In addition to guidelines provided by the National Heritage Resources Act (Act No. 25 of 1999), a set of criteria based on Deacon (J) and Whitelaw (1997) for assessing archaeological significance has been developed for Eastern Cape settings (Morris 2007a). These criteria include estimation of landform potential (in terms of its capacity to contain archaeological traces) and assessing the value to any archaeological traces (in terms of their attributes or their capacity to be construed as evidence, given that evidence is not given but constructed by the investigator).

Estimating site potential

Table 1 (below) is a classification of landforms and visible archaeological traces used for estimating the potential of archaeological sites (after J. Deacon and, National Monuments Council). Type 3 sites tend to be those with higher archaeological potential, but there are notable exceptions to this rule, for example the renowned rock engravings site Driekopseiland near Kimberley which is on landform L1 Type 1 – normally a setting of lowest expected potential. It should also be noted that, generally, the older a site the poorer the preservation, so that sometimes any trace, even of only Type 1 quality, could be of exceptional significance. In light of this, estimation of potential will always be a matter for archaeological observation and interpretation.

Table 1: Classification of landforms and visible archaeological traces for estimating the potential for archaeological sites (after J. Deacon, NMC as used in Morris)

Class	Landform	Type 1	Type 2	Type 3
L1	Rocky Surface	Bedrock exposed	Some soil patches	Sandy/grassy patches
L2	Ploughed land	Far from water	In floodplain	On old river terrace
L3	Sandy ground, inland	Far from water	In floodplain or near features such as hill/dune	On old river terrace
L4	Sandy ground, coastal	>1 km from sea	Inland of dune cordon	Near rocky shore
L5	Water-logged deposit	Heavily vegetated	Running water	Sedimentary basin
L6	Developed urban	Heavily built-up with no known record of early settlement	Known early settlement, but buildings have basements	Buildings without extensive basements over known historical sites
L7	Lime/dolomite	>5 myrs	<5000 yrs	Between 5000 yrs and 5 myrs
L8	Rock shelter	Rocky floor	Loping floor or small area	Flat floor, high ceiling
Class	Archaeological traces	Type 1	Type 2	Type 3
A1	Area previously	Little deposit	More than half	High profile site



	excavated	remaining	deposit remaining	
A2	Shell of bones visible	Dispersed scatter	Deposit <0.5 m thick	Deposit >0.5 m thick; shell and bone dense
A3	Stone artefacts or stone walling or other feature visible	Dispersed scatter	Deposit <0.5m thick	Deposit >0.5 m thick

Table 2: Site attributes and value assessment (adopted from Whitelaw 1997 as used in Morris)

Class	Landforms	Type 1	Type 2	Type 3
1	Length of sequence /context	No sequence Poor context Dispersed distribution	Limited sequence	Long sequence Favourable context High density of arte / ecofacts
2	Presence of exceptional items (incl. regional rarity)	Absent	Present	Major element
3	Organic preservation	Absent	Present	Major element
4	Potential for future archaeological investigation	Low	Medium	High
5	Potential for public display	Low	Medium	High
6	Aesthetic appeal	Low	Medium	High
7	Potential for implementation of a long-term management plan	Low	Medium	High

Assessing site value by attribute

Table 2 is adapted from Whitelaw (1997), who developed an approach for selecting sites meriting heritage recognition status in KwaZulu Natal. It is a means of judging a site's archaeological value by ranking the relative strengths of a range of attributes (given in the second column of the table). While aspects of this matrix remain qualitative, attribute assessment is a good indicator of the general archaeological significance of a site, with Type 3 attributes being those of highest significance.

Impact Statement (Assessment of Impacts)

A heritage resource impact may be broadly defined as the net change between the integrity of a heritage site with and without the proposed development. This change may be either beneficial or adverse.

Beneficial impacts occur wherever a proposed development actively protects, preserves or enhances a heritage resource. For example, development may have a beneficial effect by preventing or lessening natural site erosion. Similarly, an action may serve to preserve a site for future investigation by covering it with a protective layer of fill. In other cases, the public or economic significance of an archaeological site may be enhanced by actions, which facilitate non-destructive public use. Although beneficial impacts are unlikely to occur frequently, they should be included in the assessment.

More commonly, the effects of a project on heritage sites are of an adverse nature. Adverse impacts occur under conditions that include:

- (a) destruction or alteration of all or part of a heritage site;
- (b) isolation of a site from its natural setting; and
- (c) introduction of physical, chemical or visual elements that are out-of-character with the heritage resource and its setting.

Adverse effects can be more specifically defined as direct or indirect impacts. Direct impacts are the immediately demonstrable effects of a project which can be attributed to particular land modifying actions. They are directly caused by a project or its ancillary facilities and occur at the same time and place. The immediate consequences of a project action, such as slope failure following reservoir inundation, are also considered direct impacts.

Indirect impacts result from activities other than actual project actions. Nevertheless, they are clearly induced by a project and would not occur without it. For example, project development may induce changes in land use or population density, such as increased urban and recreational development, which may indirectly impact upon heritage sites. Increased vandalism of heritage sites, resulting from improved or newly introduced access, is also considered an indirect impact. Indirect impacts are much more difficult to assess and quantify than impacts of a direct nature.

Once all project related impacts are identified, it is necessary to determine their individual level-of-effect on heritage resources. This assessment is aimed at determining the extent or degree to which future opportunities for scientific research, preservation, or public appreciation are foreclosed or otherwise adversely affected by a proposed action. Therefore, the assessment provides a reasonable indication of the relative significance or importance of a particular impact. Normally, the assessment should follow site evaluation since it is important to know what heritage values may be adversely affected.

The assessment should include careful consideration of the following level-of-effect indicators, which are defined below:

- magnitude
- severity
- duration
- range
- frequency
- diversity
- cumulative effect
- rate of change

Indicators of Impact Severity

Magnitude

The amount of physical alteration or destruction, which can be expected. The resultant loss of heritage value is measured either in amount or degree of disturbance.

Severity

The irreversibility of an impact. Adverse impacts, which result in a totally irreversible and irretrievable loss of heritage value, are of the highest severity.

Duration

The length of time an adverse impact persists. Impacts may have short-term or temporary effects, or conversely, more persistent, long-term effects on heritage sites.

Range

The spatial distribution, whether widespread or site-specific, of an adverse impact.

Frequency

The number of times an impact can be expected. For example, an adverse impact of variable magnitude

and severity may occur only once. An impact such as that resulting from cultivation may be of recurring or on-going nature.

Diversity

The number of different kinds of project-related actions expected to affect a heritage site.

Cumulative Effect

A progressive alteration or destruction of a site owing to the repetitive nature of one or more impacts.

Rate of Change

The rate at which an impact will effectively alter the integrity or physical condition of a heritage site. Although an important level-of-effect indicator, it is often difficult to estimate. Rate of change is normally assessed during or following project construction.

The level-of-effect assessment should be conducted and reported in a quantitative and objective fashion. The methodological approach, particularly the system of ranking level-of-effect indicators, must be rigorously documented and recommendations should be made with respect to managing uncertainties in the assessment. (*Zubrow, Ezra B.A., 1984*).

Impact Evaluation

This HIA Methodology assists in evaluating the overall effect of a proposed activity on the heritage environment. The determination of the effect of a heritage impact on a heritage parameter is determined through a systematic analysis of the various components of the impact. This is undertaken using information that is available to the heritage practitioner through the process of heritage impact assessment. The impact evaluation of predicted impacts was undertaken through an assessment of the significance of the impacts.

Determination of Significance of Impacts

Significance is determined through a synthesis of impact characteristics, which include context and intensity of an impact. Context refers to the geographical scale i.e. site, local, national or global whereas intensity is defined by the severity of the impact e.g. the magnitude of deviation from background conditions, the size of the area affected, the duration of the impact and the overall probability of occurrence.

Significance is an indication of the importance of the impact in terms of both physical extent and time scale, and therefore indicates the level of mitigation required. The total number of points scored for each impact indicates the level of significance of the impact.

Impact Rating System

Impact assessment must take account of the nature, scale and duration of effects on the heritage environment whether such effects are positive (beneficial) or negative (detrimental). Each issue / impact is also assessed according to the project stages:

- planning
- construction
- operation
- decommissioning

Where necessary, the proposal for mitigation or optimisation of an impact will be detailed. A brief discussion of the impact and the rationale behind the assessment of its significance has also been included.

Rating System Used to Classify Impacts

The rating system is applied to the potential impact on the receiving environment and includes an objective evaluation of the mitigation of the impact. Impacts have been consolidated into one rating. In assessing the significance of each issue, the following criteria (including an allocated point system) is used:

NATURE
Including a brief description of the impact of the heritage parameter being assessed in the context of the project. This criterion includes a brief written statement of the heritage aspect being impacted upon by a particular action or activity.
GEOGRAPHICAL EXTENT
This is defined as the area over which the impact will be expressed. Typically, the severity and significance of an impact have different scales and as such bracketing ranges are often required. This is often useful during the detailed assessment of a project in terms of further defining the determined.

1	Site	The impact will only affect the site.
2	Local/district	Will affect the local area or district.
3	Province/region	Will affect the entire province or region.
4	International and National	Will affect the entire country.
PROBABILITY		
This describes the chance of occurrence of an impact		
1	Unlikely	The chance of the impact occurring is extremely low (Less than a 25% chance of occurrence).
2	Possible	The impact may occur (Between a 25% to 50% chance of occurrence).
3	Probable	The impact will likely occur (Between a 50% to 75% chance of occurrence).
4	Definite	Impact will certainly occur (Greater than a 75% chance of occurrence).
REVERSIBILITY		
This describes the degree to which an impact on a heritage parameter can be successfully reversed upon completion of the proposed activity.		
1	Completely reversible	The impact is reversible with implementation of minor mitigation measures.
2	Partly reversible	The impact is partly reversible but more intense mitigation measures are required.
3	Barely reversible	The impact is unlikely to be reversed even with intense mitigation measures.
4	Irreversible	The impact is irreversible and no mitigation measures exist.
IRREPLACEABLE LOSS OF RESOURCES		
This describes the degree to which heritage resources will be irreplaceably lost as a result of a proposed activity.		
1	No loss of resource.	The impact will not result in the loss of any resources.
2	Marginal loss of resource	The impact will result in marginal loss of resources.
3	Significant loss of resources	The impact will result in significant loss of resources.
4	Complete loss of resources	The impact is result in a complete loss of all resources.
DURATION		
This describes the duration of the impacts on the heritage parameter. Duration indicates the lifetime of the impact as a result of the proposed activity.		
1	Short term	The impact and its effects will either disappear with mitigation or will be mitigated through natural process in a span shorter than the construction phase (0 – 1 years), or the impact and its effects will last for the period of a relatively short construction period and a limited recovery time after construction, thereafter it will be entirely negated (0 – 2 years).
2	Medium term	The impact and its effects will continue or last for some time after the construction phase but will be mitigated by direct

		human action or by natural processes thereafter (2 – 10 years).
3	Long term	The impact and its effects will continue or last for the entire operational life of the development, but will be mitigated by direct human action or by natural processes thereafter (10 – 50 years).
4	Permanent	The only class of impact that will be non-transitory. Mitigation either by man or natural process will not occur in such a way or such a time span that the impact can be considered transient (Indefinite).
CUMULATIVE EFFECT		
This describes the cumulative effect of the impacts on the heritage parameter. A cumulative effect/impact is an effect, which in itself may not be significant but may become significant if added to other existing or potential impacts emanating from other similar or diverse activities as a result of the project activity in question.		
1	Negligible Cumulative Impact	The impact would result in negligible to no cumulative effects.
2	Low Cumulative Impact	The impact would result in insignificant cumulative effects.
3	Medium Cumulative impact	The impact would result in minor cumulative effects.
4	High Cumulative Impact	The impact would result in significant cumulative effects.
INTENSITY / MAGNITUDE		
Describes the severity of an impact.		
1	Low	Impact affects the quality, use and integrity of the system/component in a way that is barely perceptible.
2	Medium	Impact alters the quality, use and integrity of the system/component but system/ component still continues to function in a moderately modified way and maintains general integrity (some impact on integrity).
3	High	Impact affects the continued viability of the system/component and the quality, use, integrity and functionality of the system or component is severely impaired and may temporarily cease. High costs of rehabilitation and remediation.
4	Very high	Impact affects the continued viability of the system/component and the quality, use, integrity and functionality of the system or component permanently ceases and is irreversibly impaired (system collapse). Rehabilitation and remediation often impossible. If possible rehabilitation and remediation often unfeasible due to extremely high costs of rehabilitation and remediation.
SIGNIFICANCE		
Significance is determined through a synthesis of impact characteristics. Significance is an indication of the importance of the impact in terms of both physical extent and time scale, and therefore indicates the		

level of mitigation required. This describes the significance of the impact on the heritage parameter. The calculation of the significance of an impact uses the following formula:

(Extent + probability + reversibility + irreplaceability + duration + cumulative effect) x magnitude/intensity.

The summation of the different criteria will produce a non-weighted value. By multiplying this value with the magnitude/intensity, the resultant value acquires a weighted characteristic which can be measured and assigned a significance rating.

Points	Impact Significance Rating	Description
6 to 28	Negative Low impact	The anticipated impact will have negligible negative effects and will require little to no mitigation.
6 to 28	Positive Low impact	The anticipated impact will have minor positive effects.
29 to 50	Negative Medium impact	The anticipated impact will have moderate negative effects and will require moderate mitigation measures.
29 to 50	Positive Medium impact	The anticipated impact will have moderate positive effects.
51 to 73	Negative High impact	The anticipated impact will have significant effects and will require significant mitigation measures to achieve an acceptable level of impact.
51 to 73	Positive High impact	The anticipated impact will have significant positive effects.
74 to 96	Negative Very high impact	The anticipated impact will have highly significant effects and are unlikely to be able to be mitigated adequately. These impacts could be considered "fatal flaws".
74 to 96	Positive Very high impact	The anticipated impact will have highly significant positive effects.

Anticipated Impact of the Developments

Rhodes Drift Staff Housing

No deposits, sites or features of heritage significance could be identified within the indicated study area. The Rhodes Drift farmhouse and associated structures are of local historical importance; however, they will not be impacted upon by the proposed development.

Although all due care was taken to determine if the heavy local alluvial deposits might be obscuring lower lying sub-surface deposits (trowel tests) there is still a slight possibility (due to the overall rich heritage of the area) that these might still be encountered during earthmoving activities. It is therefore recommended that a suitably qualified heritage practitioner monitors any such activity.

Subterranean or Unmarked Sites

IMPACT TABLE FORMAT		
Issue/Impact/Heritage Impact/Nature	<i>Unmarked or buried heritage sites.</i>	
<i>Extent</i>	<i>Provincial (3)</i>	
<i>Probability</i>	<i>Possible (2)</i>	
<i>Reversibility</i>	<i>Partly Reversible (2)</i>	
<i>Irreplaceable loss of resources</i>	<i>Significant loss of resources (3)</i>	
<i>Duration</i>	<i>Medium term (2)</i>	
<i>Cumulative effect</i>	<i>Medium cumulative effect (3)</i>	
<i>Intensity/magnitude</i>	<i>Medium (2)</i>	
<i>Significance Rating of Potential Impact</i>	<i>30 points. The impact will have a medium negative impact rating.</i>	
	Pre-mitigation impact rating	Post mitigation impact rating
<i>Extent</i>	3	2
<i>Probability</i>	2	1
<i>Reversibility</i>	2	2
<i>Irreplaceable loss</i>	3	1
<i>Duration</i>	2	2
<i>Cumulative effect</i>	3	1
<i>Intensity/magnitude</i>	2	1
<i>Significance rating</i>	30 (medium negative)	8 (low negative)
<i>Mitigation measure</i>	<i>A suitably qualified heritage practitioner should monitor any earthmoving activities. Should any unmarked sites or subterranean sites be encountered, the chance finds protocol contained in this report should be followed.</i>	

Adherence to Legislation and Management Reports

NHRA 25 of 1999

The current report and management guidelines will fulfil the requirements of the NHRA no 25 of 1999. This is in terms of the Construction Phase of the proposed development.

UNESCO WHS Charter

It is not expected that this development will have any impact on the Outstanding Universal Value (OUV) of the Mapungubwe Cultural Landscape (MCL) since it lies outside of the core conservation area.

Integrated Park Management Plan

This development will fulfil the development requirements as stated in the IPMP analysis of needs for administration. This will be fulfilled during the Operational Phase of this project.

Administrative Office

No deposits, sites or features of heritage significance could be identified within the indicated study area. The fact that the largest extent of the planned alterations has already been performed should also be taken into consideration.

Although all due care was taken to determine if the heavy local alluvial deposits might be obscuring lower lying sub-surface deposits (trowel tests) there is still a slight possibility (due to the overall rich heritage of the area) that these might still be encountered during any further earthmoving activities. It is therefore recommended that a suitably qualified heritage practitioner monitors any such activity.

Subterranean or Unmarked Sites

IMPACT TABLE FORMAT		
Issue/Impact/Heritage Impact/Nature	<i>Unmarked or buried heritage sites.</i>	
<i>Extent</i>	<i>Provincial (3)</i>	
<i>Probability</i>	<i>Possible (2)</i>	
<i>Reversibility</i>	<i>Partly Reversible (2)</i>	
<i>Irreplaceable loss of resources</i>	<i>Significant loss of resources (3)</i>	
<i>Duration</i>	<i>Medium term (2)</i>	
<i>Cumulative effect</i>	<i>Medium cumulative effect (3)</i>	
<i>Intensity/magnitude</i>	<i>Medium (2)</i>	
<i>Significance Rating of Potential Impact</i>	<i>30 points. The impact will have a medium negative impact rating.</i>	
	Pre-mitigation impact rating	Post mitigation impact rating
<i>Extent</i>	3	2
<i>Probability</i>	2	1
<i>Reversibility</i>	2	2
<i>Irreplaceable loss</i>	3	1
<i>Duration</i>	2	2
<i>Cumulative effect</i>	3	1
<i>Intensity/magnitude</i>	2	1
<i>Significance rating</i>	30 (medium negative)	8 (low negative)
<i>Mitigation measure</i>	<i>A suitably qualified heritage practitioner should monitor any earthmoving activities. Should any unmarked sites or subterranean sites be encountered, the chance finds protocol contained in this report should be followed.</i>	

Adherence to Legislation and Management Reports

NHRA 25 of 1999

The current report and management guidelines will fulfil the requirements of the NHRA no 25 of 1999. This is in terms of the Construction Phase of the proposed development.

UNESCO WHS Charter

It is not expected that this development will have any impact on the Outstanding Universal Value (OUV) of the Mapungubwe Cultural Landscape (MCL).

Integrated Park Management Plan

This development will fulfil the development requirements as stated in the IPMP analysis of needs for administration. This will be fulfilled during the Operational Phase of this project.

Student Dormitories

No deposits, sites or features of heritage significance could be identified; however, there were indicators of such deposits being present nearby or sub-surface.

Although all due care was taken to determine if the local alluvial deposits might be obscuring lower lying sub-surface deposits (trowel tests) there is still a possibility (due to the overall rich heritage of the area and the identification of out of context artefacts) that these might still be encountered during earthmoving activities. It is therefore strongly recommended that a suitably qualified heritage practitioner monitors any such activity.

Subterranean or Unmarked Sites

IMPACT TABLE FORMAT		
Issue/Impact/Heritage Impact/Nature	<i>Unmarked or buried heritage sites.</i>	
<i>Extent</i>	<i>Provincial (3)</i>	
<i>Probability</i>	<i>Possible (2)</i>	
<i>Reversibility</i>	<i>Partly Reversible (2)</i>	
<i>Irreplaceable loss of resources</i>	<i>Significant loss of resources (3)</i>	
<i>Duration</i>	<i>Medium term (2)</i>	
<i>Cumulative effect</i>	<i>Medium cumulative effect (3)</i>	
<i>Intensity/magnitude</i>	<i>Medium (2)</i>	
<i>Significance Rating of Potential Impact</i>	<i>30 points. The impact will have a medium negative impact rating.</i>	
	Pre-mitigation impact rating	Post mitigation impact rating
Extent	3	2
Probability	2	1
Reversibility	2	2
Irreplaceable loss	3	1
Duration	2	2
Cumulative effect	3	1
Intensity/magnitude	2	1
Significance rating	30 (medium negative)	8 (low negative)

Mitigation measure	<i>A suitably qualified heritage practitioner should monitor any earthmoving activities. Should any unmarked sites or subterranean sites be encountered, the chance finds protocol contained in this report should be followed.</i>
--------------------	---

Adherence to Legislation and Management Reports

NHRA 25 of 1999

The current report and management guidelines will fulfil the requirements of the NHRA no 25 of 1999. This is in terms of the Construction Phase of the proposed development.

UNESCO WHS Charter

It is not expected that this development will have any impact on the Outstanding Universal Value (OUV) of the Mapungubwe Cultural Landscape (MCL) since it lies outside of the core conservation area.

Integrated Park Management Plan

This development will fulfil the development requirements as stated in the IPMP analysis of needs for administration. This will be fulfilled during the Operational Phase of this project.

Mazhou Campsite Ablution Block

No deposits, sites or features of heritage significance could be identified within the indicated study area.

Although all due care was taken to determine if the local alluvial deposits might be obscuring lower lying sub-surface deposits (trowel tests) there is still a slight possibility (due to the overall rich heritage of the area) that these might still be encountered during earthmoving activities. It is therefore recommended that a suitably qualified heritage practitioner monitors any such activity.

Subterranean or Unmarked Sites

IMPACT TABLE FORMAT		
Issue/Impact/Heritage Impact/Nature	<i>Unmarked or buried heritage sites.</i>	
<i>Extent</i>	<i>Provincial (3)</i>	
<i>Probability</i>	<i>Possible (2)</i>	
<i>Reversibility</i>	<i>Partly Reversible (2)</i>	
<i>Irreplaceable loss of resources</i>	<i>Significant loss of resources (3)</i>	
<i>Duration</i>	<i>Medium term (2)</i>	
<i>Cumulative effect</i>	<i>Medium cumulative effect (3)</i>	
<i>Intensity/magnitude</i>	<i>Medium (2)</i>	
<i>Significance Rating of Potential Impact</i>	<i>30 points. The impact will have a medium negative impact rating.</i>	
	Pre-mitigation impact rating	Post mitigation impact rating
Extent	3	2
Probability	2	1
Reversibility	2	2
Irreplaceable loss	3	1
Duration	2	2

Cumulative effect	3	1
Intensity/magnitude	2	1
Significance rating	30 (medium negative)	8 (low negative)
Mitigation measure	<i>A suitably qualified heritage practitioner should monitor any earthmoving activities. Should any unmarked sites or subterranean sites be encountered, the chance finds protocol contained in this report should be followed.</i>	

Adherence to Legislation and Management Reports

NHRA 25 of 1999

The current report and management guidelines will fulfil the requirements of the NHRA no 25 of 1999. This is in terms of the Construction Phase of the proposed development.

UNESCO WHS Charter

It is not expected that this development will have any impact on the Outstanding Universal Value (OUV) of the Mapungubwe Cultural Landscape (MCL) since it lies outside of the core conservation area.

Integrated Park Management Plan

This development will fulfil the development requirements as stated in the IPMP analysis of needs for administration. This will be fulfilled during the Operational Phase of this project.

Mapungubwe Orientation Complex

All areas investigated showed significant deposits with the exception of the wetland areas around the windpump. Most of the deposit seems to be in-situ and is of high value. The occurrence of the deposits and sites are however the motivation for the development and the limited physical impacts on these will have to be measured against the socio-economic and educational benefits of the proposed development.

Impacts During the Construction Phase

The design of the proposed structures is such that the footprint impact is to be kept to a minimum. The design has been formulated specifically to facilitate a minimal physical impact.

Mapungubwe Orientation Centre

This structure will be suspended on concrete pillars making foundation work less intrusive and extensive. It is anticipated that around 10m² of deposit carrying substrate will need to be moved to facilitate the placement of the concrete pillars. The construction of secondary features such as septic tanks and water and electrical supplies will however also have further impacts on the local deposits. Furthermore, temporary construction camps, storage and equipment can also impact on the site. There is also a danger of chemical contamination from sources such as diesel spillage and mobile latrine chemicals.

IMPACT TABLE FORMAT	
Issue/Impact/Heritage Impact/Nature	<i>Mapungubwe/K2 and Bambandanyalo deposits impacted upon during the Construction Phase of the Mapungubwe Orientation Centre.</i>
<i>Extent</i>	<i>Site (1)</i>
<i>Probability</i>	<i>Definite (4)</i>
<i>Reversibility</i>	<i>Irreversible (4)</i>

<i>Irreplaceable loss of resources</i>	<i>Significant loss of resources (3)</i>	
<i>Duration</i>	<i>Permanent (4)</i>	
<i>Cumulative effect</i>	<i>Medium cumulative effect (3)</i>	
<i>Intensity/magnitude</i>	<i>Very High (4)</i>	
<i>Significance Rating of Potential Impact</i>	<i>76 points. The impact will have a Very High Negative impact rating.</i>	
	Pre-mitigation impact rating	Post mitigation impact rating
Extent	1	1
Probability	4	4
Reversibility	4	1
Irreplaceable loss	3	2
Duration	4	4
Cumulative effect	3	1
Intensity/magnitude	4	2
Significance rating	76 (very high negative)	26 (medium negative)
Mitigation measure	<i>The area with the least sensitive deposit should be chosen for the final placement of the centre. All areas that are to be excavated should undergo a comprehensive archaeological excavation and documentation upheld by a permit issued by SAHRA before any construction can commence. A monitoring plan should be put in place to monitor the effects of unforeseen secondary impacts during the construction phase of the project.</i>	

Boma/Picnic Spot

This structure will not have any permanent concrete features; however, it will still impact on the local archaeological deposits in terms of compaction, pollution and excavations.

IMPACT TABLE FORMAT		
Issue/Impact/Heritage Impact/Nature	<i>Mapungubwe/K2 and Bambandanyalo deposits impacted upon during the Construction Phase of the Mapungubwe Boma/Picnic site.</i>	
<i>Extent</i>	<i>Site (1)</i>	
<i>Probability</i>	<i>Definite (4)</i>	
<i>Reversibility</i>	<i>Irreversible (4)</i>	
<i>Irreplaceable loss of resources</i>	<i>Significant loss of resources (3)</i>	
<i>Duration</i>	<i>Permanent (4)</i>	
<i>Cumulative effect</i>	<i>Medium cumulative effect (3)</i>	
<i>Intensity/magnitude</i>	<i>Very High (4)</i>	
<i>Significance Rating of Potential Impact</i>	<i>76 points. The impact will have a Very High Negative impact rating.</i>	
	Pre-mitigation impact rating	Post mitigation impact rating
Extent	1	1
Probability	4	4

Reversibility	4	1
Irreplaceable loss	3	2
Duration	4	4
Cumulative effect	3	1
Intensity/magnitude	4	2
Significance rating	76 (very high negative)	26 (medium negative)
Mitigation measure	<i>The area with the least sensitive deposit should be chosen for the final placement of the centre. All areas that are to be excavated should undergo a comprehensive archaeological excavation and documentation upheld by a permit issued by SAHRA before any construction can commence. A monitoring plan should be put in place to monitor the effects of unforeseen secondary impacts during the construction phase of the project.</i>	

K8 Interpretive Centre

This proposed structure will have by far the heaviest impact on archaeological deposits since it is proposed to be built directly on top of the Mapungubwe deposits of the Southern Terrace. It should however be taken into consideration that this area has been disturbed in the past by archaeological excavation activities as well as the later site restoration. The current exposed excavation pit (K8) is also experiencing elevated levels of erosion since the basic steel sliding structure currently protecting the deposits was only meant to be a temporary solution.

As in the case of the previous two proposed structures it is anticipated that the Construction Phase will have the heaviest impact. Impacts will be expected in terms of construction activities, access, possible spillage and ground works.

A possible benefit of the proposed development during the Construction Phase would be offering the opportunity for academic research coupled with the mitigation excavations.

IMPACT TABLE FORMAT		
Issue/Impact/Heritage Impact/Nature	<i>Mapungubwe deposits impacted upon during the Construction Phase of the K8 Orientation Centre.</i>	
<i>Extent</i>	<i>Site (1)</i>	
<i>Probability</i>	<i>Definite (4)</i>	
<i>Reversibility</i>	<i>Irreversible (4)</i>	
<i>Irreplaceable loss of resources</i>	<i>Significant loss of resources (3)</i>	
<i>Duration</i>	<i>Permanent (4)</i>	
<i>Cumulative effect</i>	<i>Medium cumulative effect (3)</i>	
<i>Intensity/magnitude</i>	<i>Very High (4)</i>	
<i>Significance Rating of Potential Impact</i>	<i>76 points. The impact will have a Very High Negative impact rating.</i>	
	Pre-mitigation impact rating	Post mitigation impact rating
Extent	1	1
Probability	4	4
Reversibility	4	1
Irreplaceable loss	3	2

Duration	4	4
Cumulative effect	3	1
Intensity/magnitude	4	2
Significance rating	76 (very high negative)	26 (medium negative)
Mitigation measure	<i>The area with the least sensitive deposit should be chosen for the final placement of the centre although this would be limited due to the need to incorporate the existing K8 pit. All areas that are to be excavated should undergo a comprehensive archaeological excavation and documentation upheld by a permit issued by SAHRA before any construction can commence. A monitoring plan should be put in place to monitor the effects of unforeseen secondary impacts during the construction phase of the project.</i>	

Impacts During the Operational Phase

Increase in visitor numbers, compaction by foot and vehicle, increased erosion and possible theft and vandalism and pollution are but a few of the possible impacts that could be the result of the development and use of the proposed structures. These impacts will need to be managed and monitored throughout the Operational Phase of these developments.

Mapungubwe Orientation Centre

This structure is expected to be the largest. Increased visitor numbers will influence vehicular compaction of the surrounding deposits. There is an increased likelihood of littering as well as theft of unprotected artefacts such as potsherds and stone tools. Impacts on local plant cover can also lead to increased soil erosion.

IMPACT TABLE FORMAT		
Issue/Impact/Heritage Impact/Nature	<i>Mapungubwe/K2 and Bambandanyalo deposits impacted upon during the Operational Phase of the Mapungubwe Orientation Centre.</i>	
<i>Extent</i>	<i>Site (1)</i>	
<i>Probability</i>	<i>Definite (4)</i>	
<i>Reversibility</i>	<i>Irreversible (4)</i>	
<i>Irreplaceable loss of resources</i>	<i>Significant loss of resources (3)</i>	
<i>Duration</i>	<i>Permanent (4)</i>	
<i>Cumulative effect</i>	<i>Medium cumulative effect (3)</i>	
<i>Intensity/magnitude</i>	<i>Very High (4)</i>	
<i>Significance Rating of Potential Impact</i>	<i>76 points. The impact will have a Very High Negative impact rating.</i>	
	Pre-mitigation impact rating	Post mitigation impact rating
Extent	1	1
Probability	4	4
Reversibility	4	1
Irreplaceable loss	3	2
Duration	4	4

Cumulative effect	3	1
Intensity/magnitude	4	2
Significance rating	76 (very high negative)	26 (medium negative)
Mitigation measure	<i>Strict rules should be formulated and applied in terms of visitor movements and actions in an effort to protect the surrounding deposits. Vehicular access should be limited. A monthly monitoring program should be started to determine any increases in site deterioration by means of fixed-point photography and ground compaction tests. A dedicated management plan that includes comprehensive monitoring should be put in place.</i>	

Boma/Picnic Spot

This structure will result in increased visitor numbers with its associated problems. Littering, theft and increases in deposit and soil compaction can result.

IMPACT TABLE FORMAT		
Issue/Impact/Heritage Impact/Nature	<i>Mapungubwe/K2 and Bambandanyalo deposits impacted upon during the Construction Phase of the Mapungubwe Boma/Picnic site.</i>	
<i>Extent</i>	<i>Site (1)</i>	
<i>Probability</i>	<i>Definite (4)</i>	
<i>Reversibility</i>	<i>Irreversible (4)</i>	
<i>Irreplaceable loss of resources</i>	<i>Significant loss of resources (3)</i>	
<i>Duration</i>	<i>Permanent (4)</i>	
<i>Cumulative effect</i>	<i>Medium cumulative effect (3)</i>	
<i>Intensity/magnitude</i>	<i>Very High (4)</i>	
<i>Significance Rating of Potential Impact</i>	<i>76 points. The impact will have a Very High Negative impact rating.</i>	
	Pre-mitigation impact rating	Post mitigation impact rating
<i>Extent</i>	1	1
<i>Probability</i>	4	4
<i>Reversibility</i>	4	1
<i>Irreplaceable loss</i>	3	2
<i>Duration</i>	4	4
<i>Cumulative effect</i>	3	1
<i>Intensity/magnitude</i>	4	2
<i>Significance rating</i>	76 (very high negative)	26 (medium negative)
<i>Mitigation measure</i>	<i>Strict rules should be formulated and applied in terms of visitor movements and actions to protect the surrounding deposits. Vehicular access should be limited. A monthly monitoring program should be started to determine any increases in site deterioration by means of fixed-point photography and ground compaction tests. A dedicated</i>	

	<i>management plan that includes comprehensive monitoring should be put in place.</i>
--	---

K8 Interpretive Centre

Since this structure will provide direct access to undisturbed archaeological deposits within the Mapungubwe archaeological site, the monitoring of impacts should be comprehensive. The same impacts are expected as with the previous sites; however, the impact is anticipated to be compounded at this site. Monitoring of impacts here is vital. The effects of total enclosure on the exposed K8 pit should also be monitored since the effects at this stage is unknown.

IMPACT TABLE FORMAT		
Issue/Impact/Heritage Impact/Nature	<i>Mapungubwe deposits impacted upon during the Construction Phase of the K8 Orientation Centre.</i>	
<i>Extent</i>	<i>Site (1)</i>	
<i>Probability</i>	<i>Definite (4)</i>	
<i>Reversibility</i>	<i>Irreversible (4)</i>	
<i>Irreplaceable loss of resources</i>	<i>Significant loss of resources (3)</i>	
<i>Duration</i>	<i>Permanent (4)</i>	
<i>Cumulative effect</i>	<i>Medium cumulative effect (3)</i>	
<i>Intensity/magnitude</i>	<i>Very High (4)</i>	
<i>Significance Rating of Potential Impact</i>	<i>76 points. The impact will have a Very High Negative impact rating.</i>	
	Pre-mitigation impact rating	Post mitigation impact rating
<i>Extent</i>	1	1
<i>Probability</i>	4	4
<i>Reversibility</i>	4	1
<i>Irreplaceable loss</i>	3	2
<i>Duration</i>	4	4
<i>Cumulative effect</i>	3	1
<i>Intensity/magnitude</i>	4	2
<i>Significance rating</i>	76 (very high negative)	26 (medium negative)
<i>Mitigation measure</i>	<i>Strict rules should be formulated and applied in terms of visitor movements and actions in an effort to protect the surrounding deposits. Vehicular access should be limited. A monthly monitoring program should be started to determine any increases in site deterioration by means of fixed-point photography and ground compaction tests. Monitoring of the exposed K8 pit should be put in place. A dedicated management plan that includes comprehensive monitoring should be put in place.</i>	

Schroda Orientation Centre

All areas investigated showed significant deposits associated with the middle iron age Schroda site. Most of the deposit seems to be in-situ and is of high value. The occurrence of the deposits and sites are however the motivation for the development and the limited physical impacts on these will have to be measured against the socio-economic and educational benefits of the proposed development.

Impacts During the Construction Phase

The design of the proposed structures is such that the footprint impact is to be kept to a minimum. The design has been formulated specifically to facilitate a minimal physical impact.

Schroda Orientation Centre

This structure will be suspended on concrete pillars making foundation work less intrusive and extensive. It is anticipated that around 10m² of deposit carrying substrate will need to be moved to facilitate the placement of the concrete pillars. The construction of secondary features such as septic tanks and water and electrical supplies will however also have further impacts on the local deposits. Furthermore, temporary construction camps, storage and equipment can also impact on the site. There is also a danger of chemical contamination from sources such as diesel spillage and mobile latrine chemicals.

IMPACT TABLE FORMAT		
Issue/Impact/Heritage Impact/Nature	<i>Schroda archaeological deposits impacted upon during the Construction Phase of the Schroda Orientation Centre.</i>	
<i>Extent</i>	<i>Site (1)</i>	
<i>Probability</i>	<i>Definite (4)</i>	
<i>Reversibility</i>	<i>Irreversible (4)</i>	
<i>Irreplaceable loss of resources</i>	<i>Significant loss of resources (3)</i>	
<i>Duration</i>	<i>Permanent (4)</i>	
<i>Cumulative effect</i>	<i>Medium cumulative effect (3)</i>	
<i>Intensity/magnitude</i>	<i>Very High (4)</i>	
<i>Significance Rating of Potential Impact</i>	<i>76 points. The impact will have a Very High Negative impact rating.</i>	
	Pre-mitigation impact rating	Post mitigation impact rating
Extent	1	1
Probability	4	4
Reversibility	4	1
Irreplaceable loss	3	2
Duration	4	4
Cumulative effect	3	1
Intensity/magnitude	4	2
Significance rating	76 (very high negative)	26 (medium negative)
Mitigation measure	<i>The area with the least sensitive deposit should be chosen for the final placement of the centre. All areas that are to be excavated should undergo a comprehensive archaeological excavation and documentation upheld by a permit issued by SAHRA before any construction can commence. A monitoring plan should be put in place to monitor the effects of</i>	



	<i>unforeseen secondary impacts during the construction phase of the project.</i>
--	---

Impacts During the Operational Phase

Increase in visitor numbers, compaction by foot and vehicle, increased erosion and possible theft and vandalism and pollution are but a few of the possible impacts that could be the result of the development and use of the proposed structures. These impacts will need to be managed and monitored throughout the Operational Phase of these developments.

Schroda Orientation Centre

Increased visitor numbers will influence vehicular compaction of the surrounding deposits. There is an increased likelihood of littering as well as theft of unprotected artefacts such as potsherds and stone tools. Impacts on local plant cover can also lead to increased soil erosion.

IMPACT TABLE FORMAT		
Issue/Impact/Heritage Impact/Nature	<i>Schroda deposits impacted upon during the Operational Phase of the Mapungubwe Orientation Centre.</i>	
<i>Extent</i>	<i>Site (1)</i>	
<i>Probability</i>	<i>Definite (4)</i>	
<i>Reversibility</i>	<i>Irreversible (4)</i>	
<i>Irreplaceable loss of resources</i>	<i>Significant loss of resources (3)</i>	
<i>Duration</i>	<i>Permanent (4)</i>	
<i>Cumulative effect</i>	<i>Medium cumulative effect (3)</i>	
<i>Intensity/magnitude</i>	<i>Very High (4)</i>	
<i>Significance Rating of Potential Impact</i>	<i>76 points. The impact will have a Very High Negative impact rating.</i>	
	Pre-mitigation impact rating	Post mitigation impact rating
Extent	1	1
Probability	4	4
Reversibility	4	1
Irreplaceable loss	3	2
Duration	4	4
Cumulative effect	3	1
Intensity/magnitude	4	2
Significance rating	76 (very high negative)	26 (medium negative)
Mitigation measure	<i>Strict rules should be formulated and applied in terms of visitor movements and actions in an effort to protect the surrounding deposits. Vehicular access should be limited. A monthly monitoring program should be started to determine any increases in site deterioration by means of fixed-point photography and ground compaction tests. A dedicated management plan that includes comprehensive monitoring should be put in place.</i>	

Adherence to Legislation and Management Reports

NHRA 25 of 1999

The required archaeological excavations will need a permit for excavation issued by the APM of the SAHRA. The construction activities will also need permission as per Section 38 of the NHRA.

UNESCO WHS Charter

The WHS Charter requires several factors to be taken into account when developments are proposed within WHS. Integral to the current proposal are the following (*Policy Document for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention as adopted by the General Assembly of States Parties to the World Heritage Convention at its 20th session (UNESCO, 2015)*);

Inclusive Economic Development

World Heritage properties, as cultural and natural heritage in general, offer great potential to alleviate poverty and enhance sustainable livelihoods of local communities, including those of marginalized populations. Recognising that poverty eradication is one of the greatest challenges facing the world today and an indispensable requirement for sustainable development and the well-being of present and future generations, the Convention should therefore contribute to promoting sustainable forms of inclusive and equitable economic development, productive and decent employment and income-generating activities for all, while fully respecting the OUV of The Park. To this effect it is recommended that guides and employees be sourced from local impoverished communities.

Ensuring growth, employment, income and livelihoods

The management and conservation of World Heritage properties should contribute to fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihoods, compatibly with the protection of their OUV. To this end, States Parties should, where appropriate, develop policies and mechanisms for the conservation and management of World Heritage properties that:

- i. Enable enduring, inclusive, equitable and sustainable economic development, as well as full, productive and decent employment for local communities, including marginalised populations;
- ii. Generate decent income and sustainable livelihoods for local communities, including marginalised populations;
- iii. Balance efficient market mechanisms and public policies, drawing on public-private partnership, economic incentives and intersectoral cooperation to ensure benefit sharing between all stakeholders in and around World Heritage properties.

Promoting economic investment and quality tourism

The Park is an important travel destinations that, if managed properly, has great potential for inclusive local economic development, sustainability and strengthening social resilience. Sustainable forms of tourism development, including community-based initiatives, should be accompanied by inclusive and equitable economic investment to ensure benefit sharing in and around The Park. For this reason, The Park Management should, where appropriate:

- i. Develop and promote inclusive and equitable economic investments in and around The Park that make use of local resources and skills, preserve local knowledge systems and infrastructures, and make local communities and individuals, including marginalised populations, the primary beneficiaries of these investments; Article 5 of the Convention explains that tourism should be a beneficial activity for local populations.
- ii. Encourage locally-driven responsible and sustainable tourism management in and around The Park, to complement other sources of growth, so as to promote economic diversification between tourism and non-

tourism activities. This will strengthen social and economic resilience in a way that also helps protect the OUV of The Park;

iii. Reinvest part of the revenues from tourism activities in the conservation and management of heritage resources in and around The Park;

iv. Adopt adequate visitor management planning that also encourages local tourism and implement socio-economic impact assessment prior to the approval of tourism projects associated with The Park and its archaeological sites;

v. Promote the development of sustainable economic activities related to craftsmanship associated with heritage conservation.

Strengthening capacity-building, innovation and local entrepreneurship

States Parties should recognise that inclusive economic development is a long-term commitment based on a holistic approach to The Park and their associated cultural and creative industries and intangible heritage. In view of this, The Park Management should:

i. Develop educational and capacity-building programmes based on innovation and local entrepreneurship, aimed in particular at small/medium/micro scale levels, to promote sustainable economic benefits for local communities.

ii. Identify and promote opportunities for public and private investment in sustainable development projects that foster local cultural and creative industries and safeguard intangible heritage associated with The Park.

Integrated Park Management Plan

This development will fulfil the development requirements as stated in the IPMP analysis of needs for increased access to the archaeological sites as well as education. This will be fulfilled during the Operational Phase of this project.

Chance Finds Protocol

(for Rhodes Drift Staff Housing, Mazhou Campsite Ablutions, Administrative Office Block and Student Dormitories)

Although unlikely, sub-surface remains of heritage sites could still be encountered during the construction activities associated with the project. Such sites would offer no surface indication of their presence due to the high state of alterations in some areas as well as heavy plant cover in other areas. The following indicators of unmarked sub-surface sites could be encountered:

- Ash deposits (unnaturally grey appearance of soil compared to the surrounding substrate);
- Bone concentrations, either animal or human;
- Ceramic fragments such as pottery shards either historic or pre-contact;
- Stone concentrations of any formal nature.

The following recommendations are given should any sub-surface remains of heritage sites be identified as indicated above:

- All operators of excavation equipment should be made aware of the possibility of the occurrence of sub-surface heritage features and the following procedures should they be encountered.
- All construction in the immediate vicinity (50m radius of the site) should cease.
- The heritage practitioner should be informed as soon as possible.
- In the event of obvious human remains the South African Police Services (SAPS) should be notified.
- Mitigation measures (such as refilling etc.) should not be attempted.
- The area in a 50m radius of the find should be cordoned off with hazard tape.
- Public access should be limited.
- The area should be placed under guard.
- No media statements should be released until such time as the heritage practitioner has had sufficient time to analyse the finds.

Conclusions and Recommendations

This study looked at the development of several new structures within the Mapungubwe National Park and World Heritage Site and surrounds. Two of the proposed developments – the Staff Houses at Rhodes Drift and the Ablution Block at Mazhou Campsite – will have minimal impacts and small footprints. No archaeological deposits were noted here and are also not likely to occur sub-surface. Due to the heritage importance of The Park, monitoring during the construction phase is however recommended.

The third proposed development – the Administrative Office Block – has already been completed and is subject to a S24G Correction Process as per the National Environmental Management Act (NEMA). As a result, the impact to the locality has already been suffered. No further impacts are expected although any further groundworks will need to be monitored.

The fourth site – Student Dormitories – could possibly have an impact on heritage related deposits although no virgin deposits could be observed directly or through trowel test. There were some displaced potsherds and stone tools suggesting that there might be deposits close by or sub-surface. Monitoring of alteration activities are recommended.

The fifth and sixth projects – Mapungubwe and Schroda Orientation Centres – will have the greatest impacts in terms of heritage resources as they are located on very important archaeological deposits. It is imperative that the recommendations in this report be followed strictly should these developments continue. Overall the socio-economic benefits to be gained through these developments seems to outweigh the localised impacts they might have on the archaeological deposits. This is however provided the mitigation is followed strictly and long-term monitoring is put in place and kept up. It is further recommended that a comprehensive and clear Development Management Plan be compiled for each of these sites that should include specifications for the necessary mitigation work and controlled activities as well as monitoring actions.

References

- Bergh, J.S. 1999. Geskiedenisatlas van Suid-Afrika: die vier noordelike provinsies. Pretoria: J.L. van Schaik.
- Deacon, J. 1996. Archaeology for Planners, Developers and Local Authorities. National Monuments Council. Publication no. P021E.
- Deacon, J. 1997. Report: Workshop on Standards for the Assessment of Significance and Research Priorities for Contract Archaeology. In: Newsletter No 49, Sept 1998. Association for Southern African Archaeologists.
- Evers, T.M. 1988. The recognition of Groups in the Iron Age of Southern Africa. PhD thesis. Johannesburg: University of the Witwatersrand.
- Eloff, J. F. n.d. Die Gevolge van die aanraking met die Blankes op die politieke organisasie en die gesagsbeginsel by die Venda : 'n verslag. Pretoria: University of Pretoria.
- Fouché, L. 1937. Mapungubwe, Ancient Bantu civilization on the Limpopo. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Hall, M. 1987. The Changing Past: Farmers, Kings & Traders in Southern Africa 200 – 1860 Cape Town, Johannesburg: David Philip
- Huffman, T.N. 1987. Symbols in Stone. Johannesburg: Witwatersrand University Press.
- Huffman, T.N. 2007. Handbook to the Iron Age. Pietermaritzburg: University of Kwazulu-Natal Press
- Kruger, N. 2010. Interpretations of Conflict; Conflict of Interpretations: The archaeology of Ha-Tshirundu, Limpopo Province. MA, Anthropology and Archaeology, University of Pretoria, Pretoria. Forthcoming.
- Loubser, J. H. N. 1988. Archaeological contributions to Venda ethnohistory / Johannes Henoch Neethling Loubser. Johannesburg.
- Maggs, T.M.O. 1976. Iron Age Communities of the Southern Highveld. Pietermaritzburg: University of Natal Press.
- Mason, R.J. 1962. The Prehistory of the Transvaal. Johannesburg: University of the Witwatersrand Press.
- Mitchell, P. 2002. The Archaeology of Southern Africa. Cambridge Africa Collection. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Schlüter, T. 2005. Geological Atlas of Africa, with Notes on Stratigraphy, Tectonics, Economic Geology, Geohazards and Geosites of Each Country. London: Springer
- Stayt, H. A. 1968. The Bavenda. London: Cass.
- Summers, R. 1971. Ancient ruins and vanished civilizations of Southern Africa Cape Town : Bulpin.
- Swanepoel, N. et al (Eds.) 2008. Five hundred years rediscovered. Johannesburg: Wits University Press
- Tiley-Nel, S. 2014. A Technological study and manufacture of ceramic vessels from K2 and Mapungubwe Hill, South Africa. Thesis Submitted in fulfilment of the degree MA: University of Pretoria.
- Van Warmelo, N. J. 1935. A Preliminary survey of the Bantu tribes of South Africa Pretoria: Government Printer

Unpublished CRM Reports and other Sources

Gaigher, S. 2000. Preliminary Archaeological Impact Assessment: Proposed Shopping Centre in Urban Messina Archaeo-Info

Kruger, N Antonites, X. 2006 . Preliminary Archaeological Impact Assessmen Nancefield Extensions 11, 12 & 13, Messina.

Munyai, R. & Roodt, F. Phase 1 Heritage Impact Assessment: The Proposed Township Establishment on Portion 5 of the Farm Uitenpas 2 MT, near Musina, Limpopo Province.

Munyai, R. & Roodt, F. 2007. Phase 1 Heritage Resources Impact Assessment: An Archaeological Investigation of Proposed Irrigation Dam at Farm Overylagte 125 MS, Musina Local Municipality, Vhembe District. Vhufa Hashu Heritage Consultants

Murimbika, M. 2006. Archaeological Impact Assessment Study for the Proposed Construction of Electricity Distribution Powerlines Within, Limpopo Province

Murimbika, M. 2006. Heritage Impact Assessment Study for Proposed Sand River Valley Development at Farms Jooste 511 MS and Dorothy 254 MS in Musina Local Municipality, Limpopo Province. Nzumbululo Heritage Solutions

Nel, J. 2009. Proposed conversion of prospecting rights to mining rights on remainder of the farm Uitenpas 2 Mt and Portion 40 of the farm Musina 4 Mt, Musina, Vhembe district, Limpopo province. Archaic Heritage Project Management,

Pistorius, J.C.C. 2008. A Phase 1 Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) Study for Eskom's Proposed New 132 kV Power Line Running Between the Paradise-T and Musina Substations in the Limpopo Province of South Africa Archaeologist and Cultural Heritage Management Consultants

Human Tissue Act and Ordinance 7 of 1925, Government Gazette, Cape Town National Heritage Resources Act No.25 of 1999, Government Gazette, Cape Town

SAHRA, 2005. Minimum Standards for the Archaeological and the Palaeontological Components of Impact Assessment Reports, Draft version 1.4.

www.sahra.org.za/sahris Accessed 2019-01-25

<http://csg.dla.gov.za/index.html>

Accessed 2020-01-05

Appendix A – Detailed Designs



VIEW OF VIEWING DECK, LOOKING FROM THE SOUTH EAST



INTERIOR VIEW OF ENTRANCE AND EXHIBITION SPACES



INTERIOR VIEW OF SERVER AND EXHIBITION SPACES



INTERIOR VIEW OF RESTING AREA AND VIEWS



VIEW FROM SOUTH

GENERAL NOTES:
 ALL WORK SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SANS 10400 SERIES OF STANDARDS UNLESS SPECIFIED OTHERWISE.
 ALL MATERIALS AND METHODS OF CONSTRUCTION TO BE APPROVED BY THE ARCHITECT.
 ALL WORK TO BE COMPLETED WITHIN THE SPECIFIED TIME FRAME AND BUDGET.
 THE ARCHITECT SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL ASPECTS OF THE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF THE BUILDING.
 THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL ASPECTS OF THE CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE OF THE BUILDING.
 THE ARCHITECT SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL ASPECTS OF THE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF THE BUILDING.
 THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL ASPECTS OF THE CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE OF THE BUILDING.

REVISION SCHEDULE			
NO.	DATE	BY	DESCRIPTION

INFORMATION ONLY
SANPARKS - INTERPRETATION CENTRE

 J. E. M. S. N. ARCHITECTS
 201 Tenthredin Street, Johannesburg, 2001
 201 Tenthredin Street, Johannesburg, 2001
 Tel: (011) 434 1234
 Fax: (011) 434 5678
 Email: info@jemnsa.co.za
 Web: www.jemnsa.co.za
 License No. 2008/2010/2012/2014/2016/2018/2020/2022

SKETCH PLANS
3D PERSPECTIVES -
MAPUNGUBWE-SAMBANDYANALO (KZ)

DATE	BY	REVISION

DRAWING		REVISION	
NO.	DATE	NO.	DATE

BAMBANDYANALO/K2 & MAPUNGUBWE CONTEXTUAL SUMMARY

BAMBANDYANALO ARTIST'S RECONSTRUCTION



BAMBANDYANALO

Between AD 1010 and 1200, the most powerful chief in the region lived at K2 about 2 km from Schwab. The significantly increased wealth of his community derived from not only participating in, but also controlling, international trade. These ancestors of present-day Shona speakers abandoned the Central Cattle Pattern village layout in the course of their stay at K2 and began to separate activities associated with cattle from the rest of society. They developed a unique method of making their own glass beads – called garden rollers by archaeologists because of their shape – by melting glass from smaller imported beads that derived from the Indo-Pacific region.



MAPUNGUBWE

As a result of the expanded trade network, a new indigenous social order based on class distinction developed and the move away from the long-established Central Cattle Pattern was completed. The social order became stratified into an upper and lower class that reconstructed the kinship pattern and gave the upper class economic and political power. The capital moved to Mapungubwe Hill where, between AD 1200 and 1300, the elite lived on the hilltop and the commoners lived below on the flat lands around the hill, now generally referred to as the southern terrace and the northern plateau.

MAPUNGUBWE HILL ARTIST'S RECONSTRUCTION




BAMBANDYANALO SPATIAL DIAGRAMS



BAMBANDYANALO EXCAVATION SITE



MAPUNGUBWE SPATIAL DIAGRAM



MAPUNGUBWE HILL SITE PLAN




Figure 10 Schematic of hilltop plan of Mapungubwe (Dowd, 2008)


ILLUSTRATION OF MIDDEN LAYERS & TIMELINE



PHOTO OF EXCAVATED MIDDEN



DIFFERENT STONEMASONRY AT MAPUNGUBWE



EXCAVATIONS AT MAPUNGUBWE



ARCHITECTURAL FEATURES IN THE OCCUPATION AREAS



GAME BOARDS ENGRAVED IN ROCK



GRINDING SCOOPS



IRON ASSOCIATED WITH MEN



COPPER ASSOCIATED WITH WOMEN

GARDEN ROLLERS BEADWORK



POTTERY PATTERNS




GOLD OBJECTS AT MAPUNGUBWE





CURRENT NOTES:
 ALL WORK SHALL BE DONE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SOUTH AFRICAN NATIONAL STANDARDS (SANS) 10400-1:2012 AND SANS 10400-2:2012.
 ALL WORK SHALL BE DONE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SOUTH AFRICAN NATIONAL STANDARDS (SANS) 10400-1:2012 AND SANS 10400-2:2012.
 ALL WORK SHALL BE DONE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SOUTH AFRICAN NATIONAL STANDARDS (SANS) 10400-1:2012 AND SANS 10400-2:2012.
 ALL WORK SHALL BE DONE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SOUTH AFRICAN NATIONAL STANDARDS (SANS) 10400-1:2012 AND SANS 10400-2:2012.

DRAWING REVISION BLOCK	
DATE/VERSION	COMMENTS
24-07-2021	ISSUED FOR RFP
A	CP
19-02-2021	ISSUED FOR RFP
B	CP



1 MACRO SITE PLAN - MAPUNGUBWE-BAMBANDYANALO (K2)
 1:10000



2 SITE PLAN - MAPUNGUBWE-BAMBANDYANALO (K2)
 1:1000



INFORMATION ONLY
 SANPARKS - ORIENTATION CENTRE



J. K. J. ARCHITECTS
 2757 WILSON ROAD, MOUNTAIN VIEW, JOHANNESBURG
 TEL: 011 461 1111
 FAX: 011 461 1111
 WWW.JKJARCHITECTS.CO.ZA

DISCIPLINE:
 ARCHITECTURE


PROJECT:
 SANPARKS MAPUNGUBWE HERITAGE SITE - SCHRODA / ZWIZO ORIENTATION CENTRE

SKETCH PLANS

SITE AND BUILDING PLAN - MAPUNGUBWE / BAMBANDYANALO / K2

REV NO	REVISION	DATE
021	JM	
022	CP	
023	CP	





DRAWING REVISION BLOCK		
DATE	PERSON	COMMENTS
26-02-2018	PREPARED BY: JH	
A	CP	
B	CP	Revised and updated 'Provision Code' to include sanitary code requirements for disabled access and sanitary code requirements for disabled access and sanitary code requirements for disabled access

INFORMATION ONLY	
SANPARKS - ORIENTATION CENTRE	
<p>South African NATIONAL PARKS</p>	
<p>J.R.H. & ASSOCIATES ARCHITECTURE</p>	
<p>279 The Strand, Durbanville, Western Cape 021 959 5000 021 959 5001 www.jrh.co.za www.architect.co.za</p>	
<p>DATE: 26 FEB 2018 PROJECT: SANPARKS MAPUNGUBWE HERITAGE SITE - MAPUNGUBWE K2 ORIENTATION CENTRE</p>	
<p>ISSUE: ARCHITECTURE</p>	
<p>SCALE: SKETCH PLANS</p>	
<p>PLAN ANALYSIS - MAPUNGUBWE / BAUBANYANALO (K2)</p>	
DATE:	DESIGNED:
5/21	JH
SCALE:	DRAWN:
1:100	CP
DATE:	CHECKED:
20/02/2018 (1:100)	JH
APPROVED FOR:	APPROVED FOR:
SPT, USA	SPT, USA





VIEW OF VIEWING DECK, LOOKING FROM THE SOUTH EAST



INTERIOR VIEW OF ENTRANCE AND EXHIBITION SPACES



VIEW OF ENTRANCE



INTERIOR VIEW OF SERVER AND EXHIBITION SPACES



INTERIOR VIEW OF RESTING AREA AND VIEWS

DISCLAIMER
 ALL RIGHTS RESERVED AND FORWARDED TO BE REVIEWED AND APPROVED BEFORE
 ANY OTHER DOCUMENTS OR PROJECTS. THESE RIGHTS BELONG TO THE ARCHITECT
 AND NOT TO BE REPRODUCED, ALTERED OR USED FOR ANY OTHER
 PURPOSES.
 ALL RIGHTS RESERVED TO THE ARCHITECT AND NOT TO BE REPRODUCED
 OR ALTERED IN ANY MANNER WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF THE ARCHITECT.
 THESE RIGHTS BELONG TO THE ARCHITECT AND NOT TO BE REPRODUCED
 OR ALTERED IN ANY MANNER WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF THE ARCHITECT.
 ALL RIGHTS RESERVED TO THE ARCHITECT AND NOT TO BE REPRODUCED
 OR ALTERED IN ANY MANNER WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF THE ARCHITECT.
 THE ARCHITECT'S LIABILITY IS LIMITED TO THE PROPERTY OF THE ARCHITECT AND NOT TO BE
 REPRODUCED OR ALTERED IN ANY MANNER WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF THE ARCHITECT.

DRAWING REVISION BLOCK

DATE	PERSON	COMMENTS



INFORMATION ONLY
SANPARKS - INTERPRETATION CENTRE



JEREMIE MAJAN
 ARCHITECT
 275 TROMPSBURG AVENUE, SANDTON, JOHANNESBURG
 P.O. BOX 2019
 2001 SANDTON, SOUTH AFRICA
 TEL: 011 534 88 538
 FAX: 011 534 88 537
 email: info@jeremie.co.za
 www.jeremie.co.za


DISCIPLINE
ARCHITECTURE

PROJECT
SANPARKS MAPUNGUBWE
HERITAGE SITE
INTERPRETATION CENTRE

DRAWING TITLE
SKETCH PLANS

3D PERSPECTIVES -
MAPUNGUBWE-GAMBANCYANALO (x2)

REV. NO.	ISSUED
S21	JM
S02	DMR
1 : 1	GPR
DATE	08/2018
PROJECT NO.	18-001
ARCHITECTURAL FIRM	DISCIPLINARY FIRM
SK1_005	WOLFGANG



A-A SECTION A-A
1:50



1 SOUTH EAST ELEVATION
1:50



2 SOUTH WEST ELEVATION
1:50



3 NORTH EAST ELEVATION
1:50

GENERAL NOTES
 ALL DIMENSIONS UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED ARE IN METERS AND DECIMALS THEREOF.
 ALL WORK TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SANS 10400 SERIES STANDARDS.
 ALL WORK TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SANS 10400 SERIES STANDARDS.
 ALL WORK TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SANS 10400 SERIES STANDARDS.
 ALL WORK TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SANS 10400 SERIES STANDARDS.
 ALL WORK TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SANS 10400 SERIES STANDARDS.
 ALL WORK TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SANS 10400 SERIES STANDARDS.
 ALL WORK TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SANS 10400 SERIES STANDARDS.

DRAWING REVISION BLOCK

DATE/PERSON	COMMENTS
24-02-2015	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
05-03-2015	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
19-03-2015	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
05-04-2015	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
19-04-2015	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
05-05-2015	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
19-05-2015	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
05-06-2015	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
19-06-2015	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
05-07-2015	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
19-07-2015	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
05-08-2015	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
19-08-2015	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
05-09-2015	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
19-09-2015	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
05-10-2015	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
19-10-2015	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
05-11-2015	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
19-11-2015	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
05-12-2015	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
19-12-2015	ISSUED FOR PERMIT



INFORMATION ONLY




ARCHITECTURE
 SANPARKS MAPUNGUBWE HERITAGE SITE - MAPUNGUBWE | K2 ORIENTATION CENTRE

SKETCH PLANS

NO.	DATE	BY	CHKD BY
001	2015-02-24	JM	JM
002	2015-03-05	JM	JM
003	2015-03-19	JM	JM
004	2015-04-05	JM	JM
005	2015-04-19	JM	JM
006	2015-05-05	JM	JM
007	2015-05-19	JM	JM
008	2015-06-05	JM	JM
009	2015-06-19	JM	JM
010	2015-07-05	JM	JM
011	2015-07-19	JM	JM
012	2015-08-05	JM	JM
013	2015-08-19	JM	JM
014	2015-09-05	JM	JM
015	2015-09-19	JM	JM
016	2015-10-05	JM	JM
017	2015-10-19	JM	JM
018	2015-11-05	JM	JM
019	2015-11-19	JM	JM
020	2015-12-05	JM	JM
021	2015-12-19	JM	JM





5 BOMA - 3D PERSPECTIVE
1:100

PANI MAKING HILL
PERGOLA
POLYCARBONATE INSULATED GIAMP-POLE ROOF ON GIAMP-POLE STRUCTURE
POLE AND LATH ENCLOSURE, APPROX. 1.2m HIGH

GENERAL NOTES
ALL DIMENSIONS UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED ARE IN METERS AND ROUNDED UP TO THE NEAREST MILLIMETER.
CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SOUTH AFRICAN NATIONAL STANDARD (SANS) 10400-1:2012.
ALL WORK SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SOUTH AFRICAN NATIONAL STANDARD (SANS) 10400-1:2012.
THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE LOCAL AUTHORITY.
THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE LOCAL AUTHORITY.

DRAWING REVISION BLOCK

DATE	PERSON	COMMENTS
24-02-2018	JM	ISSUED FOR PERMITS
09-02-2017	GP	ISSUED FOR PERMITS
	GP	ISSUED FOR PERMITS



INFORMATION ONLY

SANPARKS - ORIENTATION CENTRE




DISCIPLINE
ARCHITECTURE

PROJECT
SANPARKS MAPUNGUBWE HERITAGE SITE - SCHROOKA / ZNIZO ORIENTATION CENTRE

SKETCH PLANS

DETAIL SITE INTERVENTIONS - MAPUNGUBWE

REV. NO.	REVISION
02/1	JM
03/1	OFFER
04/1	OFFER
05/1	OFFER
06/1	OFFER
07/1	OFFER
08/1	OFFER
09/1	OFFER
10/1	OFFER
11/1	OFFER
12/1	OFFER
13/1	OFFER
14/1	OFFER
15/1	OFFER
16/1	OFFER
17/1	OFFER
18/1	OFFER
19/1	OFFER
20/1	OFFER
21/1	OFFER
22/1	OFFER
23/1	OFFER
24/1	OFFER
25/1	OFFER
26/1	OFFER
27/1	OFFER
28/1	OFFER
29/1	OFFER
30/1	OFFER
31/1	OFFER
32/1	OFFER
33/1	OFFER
34/1	OFFER
35/1	OFFER
36/1	OFFER
37/1	OFFER
38/1	OFFER
39/1	OFFER
40/1	OFFER
41/1	OFFER
42/1	OFFER
43/1	OFFER
44/1	OFFER
45/1	OFFER
46/1	OFFER
47/1	OFFER
48/1	OFFER
49/1	OFFER
50/1	OFFER
51/1	OFFER
52/1	OFFER
53/1	OFFER
54/1	OFFER
55/1	OFFER
56/1	OFFER
57/1	OFFER
58/1	OFFER
59/1	OFFER
60/1	OFFER
61/1	OFFER
62/1	OFFER
63/1	OFFER
64/1	OFFER
65/1	OFFER
66/1	OFFER
67/1	OFFER
68/1	OFFER
69/1	OFFER
70/1	OFFER
71/1	OFFER
72/1	OFFER
73/1	OFFER
74/1	OFFER
75/1	OFFER
76/1	OFFER
77/1	OFFER
78/1	OFFER
79/1	OFFER
80/1	OFFER
81/1	OFFER
82/1	OFFER
83/1	OFFER
84/1	OFFER
85/1	OFFER
86/1	OFFER
87/1	OFFER
88/1	OFFER
89/1	OFFER
90/1	OFFER
91/1	OFFER
92/1	OFFER
93/1	OFFER
94/1	OFFER
95/1	OFFER
96/1	OFFER
97/1	OFFER
98/1	OFFER
99/1	OFFER
100/1	OFFER



1

CLIENTS REQUIREMENTS TO INCLUDE DISPLAY CASES
SO CHAIRS ARE BUILT INTO ALLOW FOR CIRCULATION AROUND
THEM

2

FEATURE APPROXIMATE
REPLICATE SCALE OF THE
BUSHMAN ROCK MOUND
SCALE

REPLICATE RECONSTRUCT THE
QUARTERS WAITING OUTSIDE

3

SCALED TERRAIN MODEL DEPICTING THE SITE INDICATING
KEY FEATURES AND SURROUNDING AREA
- GUIDES ON USE MODEL TO EXPLAIN SITE

ARTIST'S SKETCH OF MAPUNGUBWE HILL - 1200 - 1250 AD

4

MAPUNGUBWE AND BAMBANGWALO RE-EXHIBITION
INFORMATION CARDS ABOUT THE SURROUNDING AREAS
AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL POTENTIAL THROUGH DISPLAY

VIEW FROM PERSPECTIVE FROM THE NEW ROOF PLATFORM
LOOKING AT THE DISCUSSION AREA

7

VIEW
SOUTH EAST TOWARDS
MAPUNGUBWE HILL

8

VIEW
TOWARDS MAPUNGUBWE HILL INDICATING LITTLE
MAPUNGUBWE HILL IN THE FOREGROUND

GENERAL NOTES:
ALL CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE NATIONAL BUILDING REGULATIONS AND THE NATIONAL ELECTRICAL REGULATIONS.
ALL WORK SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE NATIONAL BUILDING REGULATIONS AND THE NATIONAL ELECTRICAL REGULATIONS.
THE CLIENT SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE LOCAL AUTHORITY.
THE CLIENT SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE LOCAL AUTHORITY.
THE CLIENT SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE LOCAL AUTHORITY.

DRAWING REVISION BLOCK

DATE	REVISION	COMMENTS
06-02-2011	PRELIMINARY	
19-02-2017	GP	Client and user to 'Project Close' and to provide feedback on the design and to provide feedback on the design and to provide feedback on the design.
	GP	Client and user to 'Project Close' and to provide feedback on the design and to provide feedback on the design and to provide feedback on the design.





INFORMATION ONLY

SANPARKS - ORIENTATION CENTRE

J R E M A I E A A A N

ARCHITECTURE

237 WILSON STREET, SANDTON, JOHANNESBURG, SOUTH AFRICA
011 793 1212
011 793 1213
011 793 1214
011 793 1215
011 793 1216
011 793 1217
011 793 1218
011 793 1219
011 793 1220



DESCRIPTION

ARCHITECTURE

SANPARKS MAPUNGUBWE HERITAGE SITE - MAPUNGUBWE I & 2 ORIENTATION CENTRE

SKETCH PLANS

SECOND DISCUSSION AREA - MAPUNGUBWE

NO.	REVISION	DATE
01	ISSUED FOR PERMIT	19-02-2017
02	ISSUED FOR PERMIT	19-02-2017
03	ISSUED FOR PERMIT	19-02-2017
04	ISSUED FOR PERMIT	19-02-2017
05	ISSUED FOR PERMIT	19-02-2017
06	ISSUED FOR PERMIT	19-02-2017
07	ISSUED FOR PERMIT	19-02-2017
08	ISSUED FOR PERMIT	19-02-2017
09	ISSUED FOR PERMIT	19-02-2017
10	ISSUED FOR PERMIT	19-02-2017




SCHRODA / ZHIZO CONTEXTUAL SUMMARY




POPULATION: ZHIZO PEOPLE, IRON AGE FARMING COMMUNITY
PERIOD: 900AD - 1020AD

Schroda is significant for the history of the MCL because of its size and its midden contents, because it is the earliest site in the Limpopo Valley with exotic glass beads and a large amount of worked ivory, and because it yielded an extraordinary cache of ceramic (baked clay) figurines.

Schroda is estimated to have housed between 300 and 500 people. This in turn implies a level of political power that is not evident at any other sites in the MCL at this time. The system of political hierarchy in place at that time implies that a chief would have been resident at the Schroda capital.



SPATIAL DIAGRAMS OF THE CENTRAL CATTLE PATTERN



Figurines of larger and mythical wild animals associated with female initiation rituals.



Figurines of domesticated animals associated with male initiation rituals.



GAME BOARDS ENGRAVED IN ROCK



GRINDING SCOOPS




IRON ASSOCIATED WITH MEN




COPPER ASSOCIATED WITH WOMEN

POTTERY PATTERNS



BEADWORK FROM SCHRODA







VIEW LOOKING SOUTH TOWARDS BUILDINGS FROM LIMPOPO VALLEY



INTERIOR VIEW OF RESTING AREA AND VIEWS



INTERIOR VIEW OF SERVER AND EXHIBITION SPACES



VIEW OF ENTRANCE LOOKING TOWARDS LIMPOPO - SHASHE VALLEY

REVISIONS:
 ALL CHANGES TO THE DRAWING SHALL BE MADE BY THE ARCHITECT.
 ALL CHANGES TO THE DRAWING SHALL BE MADE BY THE ARCHITECT.
 ALL CHANGES TO THE DRAWING SHALL BE MADE BY THE ARCHITECT.
 ALL CHANGES TO THE DRAWING SHALL BE MADE BY THE ARCHITECT.
 ALL CHANGES TO THE DRAWING SHALL BE MADE BY THE ARCHITECT.
 ALL CHANGES TO THE DRAWING SHALL BE MADE BY THE ARCHITECT.
 ALL CHANGES TO THE DRAWING SHALL BE MADE BY THE ARCHITECT.
 ALL CHANGES TO THE DRAWING SHALL BE MADE BY THE ARCHITECT.

DRAWING REVISION BLOCK

DATE	PERSON	COMMENTS



INFORMATION ONLY

SANPARKS - INTERPRETATION CENTRE



JEREMIE MALAN ARCHITECTS
 223 The Strand, Cape Town, South Africa
 Tel: +27 21 462 1111
 Fax: +27 21 462 1112
 www.jeremiemalan.co.za

DISCIPLINE: ARCHITECTURE


ADDRESS: SANPARKS MAPUNGUBWE HERITAGE SITE - SCHRODA ZHIZO INTERPRETATION CENTRE

SKETCH PLANS

3D PERSPECTIVES - SCHRODA ZHIZO

NO.	DATE	BY	CHECKED BY
001	2023-08-21	JM	JM
002	2023-08-21	GP	GP
003	2023-08-21	GP	GP





A-A SECTION A-A
1:50



1 SOUTH EAST ELEVATION
1:50



SOUTH WEST ELEVATION
1:50




NORTH EAST ELEVATION
1:50

REVISIONS:
ALL CHANGES MUST BE APPROVED BY THE ARCHITECT AND APPROVED BY THE CLIENT.
ANY CHANGES TO THE DRAWINGS MUST BE APPROVED BY THE ARCHITECT AND APPROVED BY THE CLIENT.
ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN METERS UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED.
ALL DIMENSIONS ARE TO FACE UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED.
ALL DIMENSIONS ARE TO FACE UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED.
ALL DIMENSIONS ARE TO FACE UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED.

DRAWING REVISION BLOCK

NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1	10/10/2019	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
2	10/10/2019	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
3	10/10/2019	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
4	10/10/2019	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
5	10/10/2019	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
6	10/10/2019	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
7	10/10/2019	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
8	10/10/2019	ISSUED FOR PERMIT
9	10/10/2019	ISSUED FOR PERMIT



INFORMATION ONLY



DISCIPLINE	ARCHITECTURE
ADDRESS	SANPARKS MAPUNGBUWE HERITAGE SITE - MAPUNGBUWE KZ ORIENTATION CENTRE
DISCIPLINE	SKETCH PLANS
SECTION AND ELEVATIONS	
DATE	10/10/2019
DESIGNER	DESIGNER
ARCHITECT	ARCHITECT
CHECKED	CHECKED
DATE	10/10/2019
SKETCH PLAN	SKETCH PLAN

