

SHASA

HERITAGE CONSULTANTS cc

Liesl Stegmann 073 216 9912
shasa-heritage@mtn.blackberry.com

Frans Roodt 072 544 6611
shasa-heritage@mobileemail.vodafonesa.co.za

54 Wildebeestfontein S/H
Polokwane
0699

PO BOX 1600
Polokwane
0700

Polygon Environmental Planning

Premier Plaza Block C
21 Peace Street
PO Box 1935
Tzaneen
0850

Att: Louise Agenbag

28 March 2013

Phase 1 Heritage Resource Impact Assessment (Scoping & Evaluation)
PROPOSED UPGRADING AND EXTENSION TO SISAL PLANTATION
MADIKWE, RUSTENBURG, NORTH WEST PROVINCE
STATEMENT WITH REGARD TO HERITAGE RESOURCES MANAGEMENT

Map reference: South Africa 1:50000 2526 BC
General GPS: 1 S25° 18' 38.2" E26° 30' 55.1"

INTRODUCTION

The statement with regard to heritage resources management addresses the proposed extension to and upgrading of a sisal plantation near the village of Madikwe, near Rustenburg, in the North West Province.

The Project proposal constitutes an activity, which may potentially be harmful to heritage resources that may occur in the demarcated area. The National Heritage Resources Act (NHRA - Act No. 25 of 1999) protects all structures and features older than 60 years (section 34), archaeological sites and material (section 35) and graves and burial sites (section 36). In order to comply with the legislation, the Applicant requires information on the heritage resources, and their significance that occur in the demarcated area. This will enable the Applicant to take pro-active measures to limit the adverse effects that the development could have on such heritage resources. (For pertinent legislation see Appendix A and terminology see Appendix B).

TERMS OF REFERENCE

The author was contracted to undertake a Phase 1 *Heritage Resources Impact Assessment* for the proposed Sisal plantation aimed at community development and upliftment, near Madikwe, Rustenburg. The aim of the assessment was to determine the **probability** of the presence of heritage resources such as archaeological or historical sites and features, graves and places of

religious and cultural significance; to assess the impact of the proposed project on such heritage resources; and to submit appropriate recommendations with regard to the cultural resources management measures that may be required at affected sites / features. This survey forms part of the EIA-scoping process and data regarding the environmental and socio-demographic conditions and issues should be read in the main report. Consequently, a letter containing a qualified statement of the *status quo* with regard to heritage resources, instead of a full report, is deemed sufficient.

DESCRIPTION OF THE TERRAIN

The area can be considered disturbed due to agricultural and human activities in the immediate area. The area has been utilised in the past for sisal production and processing and for animal grazing. This project is proposed to extend and rejuvenate the sisal production over a greater area. Archaeologically and heritage relating- the area can be considered disturbed due to the long period of agricultural activities conducted on the area.

METHODOLOGY

A pedestrian survey of the demarcated area was undertaken, during which standard methods of observation were applied. The area was traversed on foot. As most archaeological material occur in single or multiple stratified layers beneath the soil surface, special attention was given to disturbances, both man-made such as roads and clearings, as well as those made by natural agents such as burrowing animals and erosion. Visibility was fair due to disturbance and reduced vegetation.

DISCUSSION

According to the most recent archaeological cultural distribution sequences by Huffman (2007), this area falls within the distribution area of various cultural groupings originating out of both the Urewe Tradition (eastern stream of migration) and the Kalundu Tradition (western stream of migration). The facies that may be present are:

Urewe Tradition:	Kwale Branch - Moloko Branch –	<i>Mzonjani facies</i> <i>Madikwe facies</i> <i>Buispoort facies</i>	AD 450 to 750. AD 1500 – 1700. AD 1700 to 1840.
Kalundu Tradition:	Happy Rest sub-branch –	<i>Benfica facies</i> <i>Eiland facies</i>	AD 150-650 AD 1000-1300.

No remains from the Stone Age, Iron Age or Historical Period were recorded on site.

No places designated to spiritual or social gatherings or graves were recorded.

MANAGEMENT AND MITIGATION MEASURES

From a heritage resources management point of view, we have no objection with regard to the development.

The discovery of previously undetected subterranean heritage remains on the terrain must be reported to the Heritage Authority or the archaeologist, and may require further mitigation measures.

REFERENCE

Huffman, T.N. 2007. *Handbook to the Iron Age. The archaeology of Pre-colonial Farming Societies in Southern Africa.* University of KwaZulu-Natal Press.

Yours faithfully



LIESL STEGMANN

FRANS E ROODT (*BA Hons Archaeology, UNISA*)



FRANS ROODT (*BA Hons, MA Archaeology, Post Grad. Dip. Museology; UP*)
Principal Investigator for Shasa Heritage Consultants



Fig 1. View of project area



Fig 2. View of existing sisal processing factory



Fig 3. View of existing cultivated sisal



Close-up view



Extended area

Google image used due to outdated nature of the 1:50 000 maps of the region
Locality Map

APPENDIX A

In terms of the National Heritage Resources Act 25 of 1999 the following is of relevance:

Historical remains

Section 34(1) No person may alter or demolish any structure or part of a structure, which is older than 60 years without a permit issued by the relevant provincial heritage resources authority.

Archaeological remains

Section 35(3) Any person who discovers archaeological or palaeontological objects or material or a meteorite in the course of development or agricultural activity must immediately report the find to the responsible heritage resources authority, or to the nearest local authority or museum, which must immediately notify such heritage resources authority.

Section 35(4) No person may, without a permit issued by the responsible heritage resources authority-

- (a) destroy, damage, excavate, alter, deface or otherwise disturb any archaeological or palaeontological site or any meteorite.

Burial grounds and graves

Section 36 (3) No person may, without a permit issued by SAHRA or a provincial heritage resources authority-

- (a) destroy, damage, alter, exhume, remove from its original position or otherwise disturb any grave or burial ground older than 60 years which is situated outside a formal cemetery administered by a local authority; or
- (b) bring onto or use at a burial ground or grave referred to in paragraph (a) or (b) any excavation equipment, or any equipment which assists in detection or recovery of metals.

Section 36 (6) Subject to the provision of any law, any person who in the course of development or any other activity discovers the location of a grave, the existence of which was previously unknown, must immediately cease such activity and report the discovery to the responsible heritage resources authority which must, in co-operation with the South African Police Service and in accordance with regulations of the responsible heritage resources authority-

- (a) carry out an investigation for the purpose of obtaining information on whether or not such grave is protected in terms of this Act or is of significance to any community; and
- (b) if such grave is protected or is of significance, assist any person who or community which is a direct descendant to make arrangements for the exhumation and re-interment of the content of such grave or, in the absence of such person or community, make any such arrangement as it deems fit.

Culture resource management

Section 38(1) Subject to the provisions of subsection (7), (8) and (9), any person who intends to undertake a development* ...must at the very earliest stages of initiating such development notify the responsible heritage resources authority and furnish it with details regarding the location, nature and extent of the proposed development.

development means any physical intervention, excavation, or action, other than those caused by natural forces, which may in the opinion of the heritage authority in any way result in a change to the nature, appearance or physical nature of a place, or influence its stability and future well-being, including-

- (a) construction, alteration, demolition, removal or change of use of a place or a structure at a place;
- (b) carry out any works on or over or under a place*;
- (e) any change to the natural or existing condition or topography of land, and
- (f) any removal or destruction of trees, or removal of vegetation or topsoil;

****place*** means a site, area or region, a building or other structure* ...

****structure*** means any building, works, device or other facility made by people and which is fixed to the ground, ...

Terminology:

Early Stone Age: Predominantly the Acheulean hand axe industry complex dating to + 1Myr yrs – 250 000 yrs before present.

Middle Stone Age: Various lithic industries in SA dating from ± 250 000 yr - 30 000 yrs before present.

Late Stone Age: The period from ± 30 000-yr to contact period with either Iron Age farmers or European colonists.

Early Iron Age: Most of the first millennium AD.

Middle Iron Age: 10th to 13th centuries AD.

Late Iron Age: 14th century to colonial period. *The entire Iron Age represents the spread of Bantu speaking peoples.*

Historical: Mainly cultural remains of western influence and settlement from AD 1652 onwards – mostly structures older than 60 years in terms of Section 34 of the NHRA.

Phase 1 assessments: Scoping surveys to establish the presence of and to evaluate heritage resources in a given area.

Phase 2 assessments: In depth culture resources management studies which could include major archaeological excavations, detailed site surveys and mapping / plans of sites, including historical / architectural structures and features. Alternatively, the sampling of sites by collecting material, small test pit excavations or auger sampling is required.