

Minutes of meeting on August 23 at 11:00 regarding the Care memorial

Present:

See attached

1. Welcome

Danie Langner welcomed and thanked everyone for their presence.

2. Purpose of the meeting

Cilliers du Preez has some concerns about the erection of the Care memorial in the Irene Concentration Camp Cemetery.

3. Agenda

- 3.1 Concerns of Cilliers
- 3.2 Progress of process
- 3.3 Information boards
- 3.4 Memorial ownership

4. Discussion

4.1 Concerns of Cilliers

Cilliers du Preez has concerns about where the memorial will be erected. He is afraid the stones will be disturbed. Anton explains to him that it is already a disturbed site as the stone wall and ground were built with stones coming from the graves. It will be required that the stones that are removed be documented and placed exactly back. If so stated in the Heritage Impact Assessment report, the PHRA-G will make it part of the permit requirements.

Cilliers suggests that the statue be erected on the stage or on the opposite side next to the Women's Federation's monument. Jacques explains why this is not practically possible and that it will also take away the aesthetics of the memorial.

4.2 Progress of process

Anton explains where the process is now and what still needs to happen. PHRA-G will have a meeting next week and if there is a quorum, the report will serve. If there is no quorum, the report will have to be postponed until the next meeting. If the meeting does take place, they must answer by law within 14 days; unfortunately it doesn't work that way in practice.

4.3 Information boards

Copper can no longer be used as it is easily stolen.

A granite board will be erected to explain the symbolism of the memorial as well as stating that Mrs. Snow Cruywagen did the reveal.

Years ago, students at the University of Pretoria erected a sign at the stone wall. This plate is now expired. The FAK undertakes to replace this board with a granite board.

The Women's Federation sign, erected in 1958, was stolen. The FAK undertakes to replace this sign with a granite sign. It will be indicated that this plate is a replica of the original one.

4.4 Ownership of memorial

The question is asked where does the ownership of the memorial lie? Is it Tshwane's property or the FAK's? Anton explains that after the creation of the image, the FAK can apply for ownership.

5. Recommendation

The decision is made that if we do not have the permission in the form of a formal letter from PHRA-G to erect the image by October 11, the image will be placed flat on the ground for unveiling and be planted on a later occasion.

Mr Du Preez indicated that, after receiving the explanations, he is satisfied and that he supports the erection as stated.

6. Conclusion

All the parties left the meeting in agreement.