



CITY OF CAPE TOWN
ISIXEKO SASEKAPA
STAD KAAPSTAD

FORM E&HM1(a)
FRM1(A) VERSION13 JANUARY 2016

ENERGY, ENVIRONMENTAL & SPATIAL PLANNING
ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT
Environmental and Heritage Management Branch

CITY HERITAGE COMMENT ON DEVELOPMENT APPLICATIONS POTENTIALLY AFFECTING HERITAGE RESOURCES

PART 1 SITE AND PROPOSAL PARTICULARS		Reference No: 2018-03-20 YYYY MM DD		Applicants initials
Site address: Nos 1, 2, 3 & 4 Sahaba Lane, Bo-Kaap		Erven: 148295, 148291RE, 148293		
Owner: City of Cape Town	Applicant: Dieter Bussow & Co Housing Dpt		Tel: 148292	
Proposal summary: Alts to existing four dwellings.				

OFFICIAL USE ONLY

PART 2 HERITAGE TRIGGERS & SIGNIFICANCE		NHR Act Section: 34	HPOZ <input type="checkbox"/>	Other: Grade 1 Proposed HPOZ		
Declared NHS / PHS <input type="checkbox"/>	CCT Grade (pre-2007)	1 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	3A <input type="checkbox"/>	3B <input type="checkbox"/>	3C <input type="checkbox"/>
ISIS Proposed Grade (2015 audit) or HRS suggested Grade	National I <input type="checkbox"/>	Provincial II <input type="checkbox"/>	Metro IIIA <input type="checkbox"/>	Neighbourhood IIIB <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Street Context IIIC <input type="checkbox"/>	None IV <input type="checkbox"/>
Aesthetic <input type="checkbox"/> Architectural <input type="checkbox"/> Contextual/ In group <input type="checkbox"/> Historical <input type="checkbox"/> History of slavery <input type="checkbox"/> Struggle history <input type="checkbox"/>		Social <input type="checkbox"/> Spiritual <input type="checkbox"/> Community significance <input type="checkbox"/> Associational <input type="checkbox"/> Oral traditions <input type="checkbox"/> Linguistic <input type="checkbox"/>		Creative <input type="checkbox"/> Natural features <input type="checkbox"/> Tree(s)/Avenue <input type="checkbox"/> Landscapes <input type="checkbox"/> Uncommon <input type="checkbox"/> Burial grounds <input type="checkbox"/>		Exemption <input type="checkbox"/>
Archaeological <input type="checkbox"/> Paleontological <input type="checkbox"/> Technological <input type="checkbox"/> Potential for information <input type="checkbox"/>		Scientific <input type="checkbox"/>				

PART 3 PRE-SUBMISSION CONSULTATION (HRS ADVICE TO APPLICANT)

HRS comments on NBR "C" or "D" – See form attached: Yes, attached <input type="checkbox"/>		 RECOMMENDED 20 MAR 2018 [Signature] Name: _____ Signature: _____
Heritage statement requested <input type="checkbox"/>	Consultation requested <input type="checkbox"/> Detail: _____	

PART 4 CITY HRS COMMENT ON PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT TO HWC

This does not in any way constitute approval or refusal of an application

Drawing No(s)	Rev	Date	Has the applicant taken HRS advice into account? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
See HRS comments on "C" or "D" form attached: Yes, attached <input type="checkbox"/>		OR: HRS comment to HWC:	
E&HM is supportive of the proposed work being undertaken to allow for transfer of these city-owned properties to the tenants. This has been an ongoing process going on for many years. The heritage reports all refer to a single mother erf and the sheet numbering is different to what appears on the City Mapviewer. This could potentially lead to confusion. (See attached screenshot)			
Suggested conditions:			
HRS Support <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	HRS Not Supported <input type="checkbox"/>	Official's Name: Tamar Shoen-Tor	Official's Signature: _____ Date: _____