Palaeontological Impact Assessment for the proposed Bailie Park Ext 64 and Ext 65, residential development, Potchefstroom, North West Province

Desktop Study (Phase 1)

For

Beyond Heritage

22 July 2022

Prof Marion Bamford Palaeobotanist P Bag 652, WITS 2050 Johannesburg, South Africa <u>Marion.bamford@wits.ac.za</u>

Expertise of Specialist

The Palaeontologist Consultant: Prof Marion Bamford Qualifications: PhD (Wits Univ, 1990); FRSSAf, mASSAf Experience: 33 years research and lecturing in Palaeontology 25 years PIA studies and over 300 projects completed

Declaration of Independence

This report has been compiled by Professor Marion Bamford, of the University of the Witwatersrand, sub-contracted by Beyond Heritage, Modimolle, South Africa. The views expressed in this report are entirely those of the author and no other interest was displayed during the decision making process for the Project.

Specialist: Prof Marion Bamford

MKBamford

Signature:

Executive Summary

A Palaeontological Impact Assessment was requested for the proposed residential development, Bailie Park Extension 64 and Extension 65, east of Potchefstroom, North West Province. The total area is just under 15 hectares.

To comply with the regulations of the South African Heritage Resources Agency (SAHRA) in terms of Section 38(8) of the National Heritage Resources Act, 1999 (Act No. 25 of 1999) (NHRA), a desktop Palaeontological Impact Assessment (PIA) was completed for the proposed development.

The proposed site lies on the moderately fossiliferous Quaternary sands and alluvium that might have transported and fragmentary fossils. None has been reported from the area to date. Nonetheless, a Fossil Chance Find Protocol should be added to the EMPr. Based on this information it is recommended that no further palaeontological impact assessment is required unless fossils are found by the contractor, environmental officer or other designated responsible person once excavations, drilling or construction activities have commenced. Since the impact will be low, as far as the palaeontology is concerned, the project should be authorised.

Table of Contents

	Expertise of Specialist	1
	Declaration of Independence	
1.	Background	
2.	Methods and Terms of Reference	
3.	Geology and Palaeontology	
i.	. Project location and geological context	
ii	i. Palaeontological context	
4.	Impact assessment	
5.	Assumptions and uncertainties	
6.	Recommendation	
7.	References	
8.	Chance Find Protocol	14
9.	Appendix A – Examples of fossils	
10.	. Appendix B – Details of specialist	

Figure 1: Google Earth map of the general area to show the relative land marks	.6
Figure 2: Google Earth Map of the proposed development	.6
Figure 3: Locality map of site from Setala	.7
Figure 4: Geological map of the area around the project site	.8
Figure 5: SAHRIS palaeosensitivity map for the site1	1

1. Background

The proposed residential development project is located on Portions 64, 572 & 1171 of the farm Vyfhoek 428 IQ, JB Marks Local Municipality, North West Province. The site is located to the south-east of Potchefstroom, east of the Baillie Park residential neighbourhood and north of the Turfvlei Agricultural Holdings. The project is on the eastern side of the Wynne Street extension, and west of Modderdam road. The Surveyor-general reference numbers for the portions are: T0IQ0000000042800572 and T0IQ000000042801171. Property co-ordinates: 26°43'15.07" South; 27°07'53.64" East.

This application for Environmental Authorisation (EA) is for the construction of a proposed township, Baillie Park Ext 64 & Ext 65. It entails the phased establishment and operation of the following on a total footprint of 14.9952 hectares of Portions 64, 572 and 1171 of the farm Vyfhoek 428 IQ. There will be 12 erven dedicated for Residential 2 developments, one erven for private open space, three erven for two private roads and two erven for public roads.

A Palaeontological Impact Assessment was requested for the Bailie Part Ext 64 and Ext 64 project. To comply with the regulations of the South African Heritage Resources Agency (SAHRA) in terms of Section 38(8) of the National Heritage Resources Act, 1999 (Act No. 25 of 1999) (NHRA), a desktop Palaeontological Impact Assessment (PIA) was completed for the proposed development and is reported herein.

Table 1: National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998) (NEMA) and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations, 2014 (as amended) - Requirements for Specialist Reports (Appendix 6).

	A specialist report prepared in terms of the Environmental Impact Regulations of 2017 must contain:	Relevant section in report
ai	Details of the specialist who prepared the report,	Appendix B
aii	The expertise of that person to compile a specialist report including a curriculum vitae	Appendix B
b	A declaration that the person is independent in a form as may be specified by the competent authority	
с	An indication of the scope of, and the purpose for which, the report was prepared	Section 1
ci	An indication of the quality and age of the base data used for the specialist report: SAHRIS palaeosensitivity map accessed – date of this report	Yes
cii	A description of existing impacts on the site, cumulative impacts of the proposed development and levels of acceptable change	
d	The date and season of the site investigation and the relevance of the season to the outcome of the assessment	N/A

	A specialist report prepared in terms of the Environmental Impact Regulations of 2017 must contain:	Relevant section in report
е	A description of the methodology adopted in preparing the report or carrying out the specialised process	Section 2
f	The specific identified sensitivity of the site related to the activity and its associated structures and infrastructure	Section 4
g	An identification of any areas to be avoided, including buffers	N/A
h	A map superimposing the activity including the associated structures and infrastructure on the environmental sensitivities of the site including areas to be avoided, including buffers;	N/A
i	A description of any assumptions made and any uncertainties or gaps in knowledge;	Section 5
j	A description of the findings and potential implications of such findings on the impact of the proposed activity, including identified alternatives, on the environment	Section 4
k	Any mitigation measures for inclusion in the EMPr	
1	Any conditions for inclusion in the environmental authorisation	N/A
m	Any monitoring requirements for inclusion in the EMPr or environmental authorisation	Section 8, Appendix A
ni	A reasoned opinion as to whether the proposed activity or portions thereof should be authorised	Section 6
nii	If the opinion is that the proposed activity or portions thereof should be authorised, any avoidance, management and mitigation measures that should be included in the EMPr, and where applicable, the closure plan	Sections 6, 8
0	A description of any consultation process that was undertaken during the course of carrying out the study	N/A
р	A summary and copies of any comments that were received during any consultation process	N/A
q	Any other information requested by the competent authority.	N/A
2	Where a government notice gazetted by the Minister provides for any protocol or minimum information requirement to be applied to a specialist report, the requirements as indicated in such notice will apply.	N/A



Figure 1: Google Earth map of the general area to show the relative land marks. The Bailie Park Ext 64 and 64 project is shown by the green polygon.



Figure 2: Google Earth Map of the proposed development of Bailie Park Ext 64 and 65 shown by the green polygon.



Figure 3: Locality map of the proposed development and surrounding infrastructure. Map supplied by Setala Environmental (Pty) Ltd.

2. Methods and Terms of Reference

The Terms of Reference (ToR) for this study were to undertake a PIA and provide feasible management measures to comply with the requirements of SAHRA. The methods employed to address the ToR included:

- 1. Consultation of geological maps, literature, palaeontological databases, published and unpublished records to determine the likelihood of fossils occurring in the affected areas. Sources include records housed at the Evolutionary Studies Institute at the University of the Witwatersrand and SAHRA databases;
- 2. Where necessary, site visits by a qualified palaeontologist to locate any fossils and assess their importance (*not applicable to this assessment*);
- 3. Where appropriate, collection of unique or rare fossils with the necessary permits for storage and curation at an appropriate facility (*not applicable to this assessment*); and
- 4. Determination of fossils' representivity or scientific importance to decide if the fossils can be destroyed or a representative sample collected (*not applicable to this assessment*).
- 3. Geology and Palaeontology
- i. Project location and geological context



Figure 3: Geological map of the area around Bailie Park and Potchefstroom. The location of the proposed project is indicated within the red rectangle. Abbreviations of the rock types are explained in Table 2. Map enlarged from the Geological Survey 1: 250 000 map 2626 West Rand.

Table 2: Explanation of symbols for the geological map and approximate ages (Eriksson et al., 2006. Johnson et al., 2006; Zeh et al., 2020). SG = Supergroup; Fm = Formation; Ma = million years; grey shading = formations impacted by the project.

Symbol	Group/Formation	Lithology	Approximate Age
Q	Quaternary	Alluvium, sand, calcrete	Quaternary, ca 1.0 Ma to present
Vdi	Diabase	Intrusive volcanic dykes and sills	Post Transvaal SG
Vh	Houtenbek Fm, Pretoria Group, Transvaal SG	Quartzite, hornfels, limestone, chert	<2072 Ma
Vsi	Silverton Fm, Pretoria Group, Transvaal SG	Shale, carbonaceous in places, hornfels, chert	Са 2202 Ма
Vt	Timeball Hill Fm Pretoria Group, Transvaal SG	Shale, siltstone, conglomerate in places; dotted = Quartzite	Ca 2316 – 2266 Ma
Vd	Duitschland Fm, Chuniespoort Group, Transvaal SG	Conglomerate	<2343 Ma
Vmd	Malmani SG, Chuniespoort Group, Transvaal SG	Dolomite, chert	Ca 2585 – 2480 Ma
Vbr	Black Reef Fm, Transvaal SG	Quartzite, conglomerate, shale	<2618 Ma

The project lies in the Transvaal Basin of the Transvaal Supergroup where only some of the formations are present. It is unconformably overlain by much younger sands and alluvium of Quaternary age.

The Late Archaean to early Proterozoic Transvaal Supergroup is preserved in three structural basins on the Kaapvaal Craton (Eriksson et al., 2006). In South Africa are the Transvaal and Griqualand West Basins, and the Kanye Basin is in southern Botswana. The Griqualand West Basin is divided into the Ghaap Plateau sub-basin and the Prieska sub-basin. Sediments in the lower parts of the basins are very similar but they differ somewhat higher up the sequences. Several tectonic events have greatly deformed the south western portion of the Griqualand West Basin between the two sub-basins

In the Transvaal Basin the Transvaal Supergroup is divided into two Groups, the lower Chuniespoort Group and the upper Pretoria Group (with ten formations; Eriksson et al., 2006). The Chuniespoort Group is divided into the basal **Malmani Subgroup** that comprises dolomites and limestones and is divided into five formations based on chert content, stromatolitic morphology, intercalated shales and erosion surfaces. The top of the Chuniespoort Group has the Penge Formation and the Duitschland Formation.

Making up the lower Pretoria Group are the **Timeball Hill Formation** and the Boshoek Formation. The Hekpoort, Dwaalheuwel, Strubenkop and Daspoort Formations form a sequence as the middle part of the Pretoria Group, Transvaal Supergroup, and represent rocks that are over 2060 million years old. The Hekpoort Formation is a massive lava deposit and is overlain by the Dwaalheuwel conglomerates, siltstone and sandstone (not present here). A hiatus separates the Strubenkop Formation slates and shales from the overlying quartzites of the Daspoort Formation. Upper Pretoria Group formations are the **Silverton**, Magaliesberg, Vermont, Lakenvalei, Nederhorst, Steenkampsberg and Houtenbek Formations

There were two large basins dominating southern Africa during the Cenozoic, with the Kalahari Basin to the west and the Bushveld basin to the east. Both basins are bounded along their southern extent by the more or less west-east trending Griqualand-Transvaal Axis (Partridge et al., 2006). These sediments are not easy to date but recent attempts are gradually filling in the history of the sands, sand dunes and inter-dunes (Botha, 2021).

Quaternary Kalahari sands cover large parts of the rocks in this region, especially to the west. This is the largest and most extensive palaeo-erg in the world (Partridge et al., 2006) and is composed of extensive aeolian and fluvial sands, sand dunes, calcrete, scree and colluvium. Periods of aridity have overprinted the sands, and calcrete and silcrete are common. Most geological maps indicate these sands simply descriptively (aeolian sand, gravelly sand, calcrete) or they are lumped together as the Gordonia Formation because the detailed regional lithostratigraphic work has not been done, Nonetheless, these sands have eroded from the interior and have been transported by wind or water to fill the basin. Reworking of the sands or stabilisation by vegetation has occurred. Probable ages of dune formation are around 100 kya (thousand years), 60 kya, 27-23 kya and 17-10 kya (in Botha, 2021).

Along many of the rivers and watercourses are fluvially-transported sands and gravels that too are difficult to date. This sand is derived from the meandering channels and terraces and has been reworked in the past from rivers and re-captured rivers as the tectonic uplift has changed drainage patterns (de Wit, 1999; Botha, 2021). Human activities have also impacted the rivers and their sediment source.

ii. Palaeontological context

The palaeontological sensitivity of the area under consideration is presented in Figure 5. The site for development is in the Quaternary sand and alluvium that would have been sourced from upstream in the Highveld. In general these rocks are very old and are from the basement rocks of the Kaapvaal craton, the Witwatersrand Supergroup and the Transvaal Supergroup and the friable siltstones and sandstones of the Karoo Supergroup. A flowing river does not trap sediments or fossils but abandoned channels or oxbow lakes could be sites of deposition. The former are evident in the lower Orange and Vaal Rivers. Oxbows form where there is a low gradient and a nick point that prevents all the sediments being washed downstream, such as in the Klip River (McCarthy and Hancox, 2000). No such conditions prevail in the Potchefstroom area so it is unlikely that any fossils occur in situ and only rare, transported and fragmented fossils might occur in the sands.



Figure 4: SAHRIS palaeosensitivity map for the site for the proposed Bailie Park Ext 64 and 64 shown within the yellow rectangle. Background colours indicate the following degrees of sensitivity: red = very highly sensitive; orange/yellow = high; green = moderate; blue = low; grey = insignificant/zero.

4. Impact assessment

An assessment of the potential impacts to possible palaeontological resources considers the criteria encapsulated in Table 3:

PART A: DEFINITION AND CRITERIA		
	H	Substantial deterioration (death, illness or injury). Recommended level will often be violated. Vigorous community action.
Criteria for ranking of the	Μ	Moderate/ measurable deterioration (discomfort). Recommended level will occasionally be violated. Widespread complaints.
of environmental impacts	L	Minor deterioration (nuisance or minor deterioration). Change not measurable/ will remain in the current range. Recommended level will never be violated. Sporadic complaints.
	L+	Minor improvement. Change not measurable/ will remain in the current range. Recommended level will never be violated. Sporadic complaints.

Table 3a: Criteria for assessing impacts

	M+	Moderate improvement. Will be within or better than the recommended level. No observed reaction.		
	H+	Substantial improvement. Will be within or better than the recommended level. Favourable publicity.		
Criteria for ranking	L	Quickly reversible. Less than the project life. Short term		
the DURATION of	Μ	Reversible over time. Life of the project. Medium term		
impacts	Н	Permanent. Beyond closure. Long term.		
Criteria for ranking	L	Localised - Within the site boundary.		
the SPATIAL SCALE	M Fairly widespread – Beyond the site boundary. Local			
of impacts	Н	Widespread – Far beyond site boundary. Regional/ national		
PROBABILITY	Н	Definite/ Continuous		
(of exposure to	Μ	Possible/ frequent		
impacts)	L	Unlikely/ seldom		

Table 3b: Impact Assessment

PART B: Assessment				
	Н	-		
	Μ	-		
SEVERITY/NATURE	L	Soils and sands do not preserve fossils; so far there are no records from the Quaternary alluvium and sand of plant or animal fossils in this region so it is very unlikely that fossils occur on the site. The impact would be negligible		
	L+	-		
	M+	-		
	H+	-		
	L	-		
DURATION	Μ	-		
	Н	Where manifest, the impact will be permanent.		
SPATIAL SCALE	L	Since the only possible fossils within the area would be fragmented fossils transported in the sands, the spatial scale will be localised within the site boundary.		
	Μ	-		
	Н	-		
	Н	-		
	Μ	-		
PROBABILITY	L	It is extremely unlikely that any fossils would be found in the loose soils and sands that cover the area or in the river terraces or channels that will be excavated. Nonetheless, a Fossil Chance Find Protocol should be added to the eventual EMPr.		

Based on the nature of the project, surface activities may impact upon the fossil heritage if preserved in the development footprint. The geological structures suggest that the rocks are either much too old to contain fossils or are transported sands and alluvium

that do not preserve fossils. Furthermore, the materials to be excavated are these sands and alluvium and they do not preserve fossils. Since there is an extremely small chance that fossils from upstream may have been washed down the ancient river or present watercourse and may be disturbed a Fossil Chance Find Protocol has been added to this report. Taking account of the defined criteria, the potential impact to fossil heritage resources is extremely low.

5. Assumptions and uncertainties

Based on the geology of the area and the palaeontological record as we know it, it can be assumed that the formation and layout of the dolomites, sandstones, shales and sands are typical for the country and do not contain fossil plant, insect, invertebrate and vertebrate material. The sands of the Quaternary period would not preserve fossils.

6. Recommendation

Based on experience and the lack of any previously recorded fossils from the area, it is extremely unlikely that any fossils would be preserved in the soils, sands and alluvium of the Quaternary. There is a very small chance that fossils may occur below the ground surface so a Fossil Chance Find Protocol should be added to the EMPr. If fossils are found by the environmental officer, or other responsible person once excavations for foundations and amenities have commenced then they should be rescued and a palaeontologist called to assess and collect a representative sample. The impact on the palaeontological heritage would be low, therefore as far as the palaeontology is concerned, the project should be authorised.

7. References

Botha, G.A., 2021. Cenozoic stratigraphy of South Africa: current challenges and future possibilities. South African Journal of Geology 124, 817-842.

Briggs, D.E.G., McMahon, S., 2016. The role of experiments in the taphonomy of exceptional preservation. Palaeontology 59, 1-11.

De Wit, M.C.J., 1999. Post-Gondwana drainage and the development of diamond placers in western South Africa. Economic Geology, 94, 721-740.

Eriksson, P.G., Altermann, W., Hartzer, F.J., 2006. The Transvaal Supergroup and its precursors. In: Johnson, M.R., Anhaeusser, C.R. and Thomas, R.J., (Eds). The Geology of South Africa. Geological Society of South Africa, Johannesburg / Council for Geoscience, Pretoria. pp 237-260.

Haddon. I.G., McCarthy, T.S., 2005. The Mesozoic–Cenozoic interior sag basins of Central Africa: The Late-Cretaceous–Cenozoic Kalahari and Okavango basins. Journal of African Earth Sciences 43, 316–333.

McCarthy, T.C., Hancox, P.J., 2000. Wetlands. In: Partridge, T.C. and Maud, R.R., (Eds). The Cenozoic of Southern Africa. Oxford Monographs on Geology and Geophysics, no 40. Pp 218-235.

Partridge, T.C., Botha, G.A., Haddon, I.G., 2006. Cenozoic deposits of the interior. In: Johnson, M.R., Anhaeusser, C.R. and Thomas, R.J., (Eds). The Geology of South Africa. Geological Society of South Africa, Johannesburg / Council for Geoscience, Pretoria. Pp 585-604.

Plumstead, E.P., 1969. Three thousand million years of plant life in Africa. Geological Society of southern Africa, Annexure to Volume LXXII. 72pp + 25 plates.

Zeh, A., Wilson, A.H., Gerdes, A., 2020. Zircon U-Pb-Hf isotope systematics of Transvaal Supergroup – Constraints for the geodynamic evolution of the Kaapvaal Craton and its hinterland between 2.65 and 2.06 Ga. Precambrian Research 345, 105760. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.precamres.2020.105760

8. Chance Find Protocol

Monitoring Programme for Palaeontology – to commence once the excavations / drilling activities begin.

- 1. The following procedure is only required if fossils are seen on the surface and when drilling/excavations commence.
- 2. When excavations begin the rocks and discard must be given a cursory inspection by the environmental officer or designated person. Any fossiliferous material (plants, insects, bone or coal) should be put aside in a suitably protected place. This way the project activities will not be interrupted.
- 3. Photographs of similar fossils must be provided to the developer to assist in recognizing the trace fossils, bone and plant fragments (for example see Figure 6). This information will be built into the EMP's training and awareness plan and procedures.
- 4. Photographs of the putative fossils can be sent to the palaeontologist for a preliminary assessment.
- 5. If there is any possible fossil material found by the developer/environmental officer then the qualified palaeontologist sub-contracted for this project, should visit the site to inspect the selected material and check the dumps where feasible.
- 6. Fossil plants or vertebrates that are considered to be of good quality or scientific interest by the palaeontologist must be removed, catalogued and housed in a suitable institution where they can be made available for further study. Before the fossils are removed from the site a SAHRA permit must be

obtained. Annual reports must be submitted to SAHRA as required by the relevant permits.

- 7. If no good fossil material is recovered then no site inspections by the palaeontologist will be necessary. A final report by the palaeontologist must be sent to SAHRA once the project has been completed and only if there are fossils.
- 8. If no fossils are found and the excavations have finished then no further monitoring is required.
- 9. Appendix A Examples of fossils from the Quaternary



Figure 6: Photographs of fragmented and transported fossils from Quaternary alluvium.

10. Appendix B – Details of specialist

Curriculum vitae (short) - Marion Bamford PhD June 2022

I) Personal details

Surname	:	Bamford
First names	:	Marion Kathleen
Present employment	t:	Professor; Director of the Evolutionary Studies Institute.

		Member Management Committee of the NRF/DST Centre of Excellence Palaeosciences, University of the Witwatersrand,
		Johannesburg, South Africa
Telephone	:	+27 11 717 6690
Fax	:	+27 11 717 6694
Cell	:	082 555 6937
E-mail	:	<u>marion.bamford@wits.ac.za ;</u>
		marionbamford12@gmail.com

ii) Academic qualifications

Tertiary Education: All at the University of the Witwatersrand: 1980-1982: BSc, majors in Botany and Microbiology. Graduated April 1983. 1983: BSc Honours, Botany and Palaeobotany. Graduated April 1984. 1984-1986: MSc in Palaeobotany. Graduated with Distinction, November 1986. 1986-1989: PhD in Palaeobotany. Graduated in June 1990. NRF Rating: C-2 (1999-2004); B-3 (2005-2015); B-2 (2016-2020); B-1 (2021-2026)

iii) Professional qualifications

Wood Anatomy Training (overseas as nothing was available in South Africa): 1994 - Service d'Anatomie des Bois, Musée Royal de l'Afrique Centrale, Tervuren, Belgium, by Roger Dechamps

1997 - Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris, France, by Dr Jean-Claude Koeniguer 1997 - Université Claude Bernard, Lyon, France by Prof Georges Barale, Dr Jean-Pierre Gros, and Dr Marc Philippe

iv) Membership of professional bodies/associations

Palaeontological Society of Southern Africa Royal Society of Southern Africa - Fellow: 2006 onwards Academy of Sciences of South Africa - Member: Oct 2014 onwards International Association of Wood Anatomists - First enrolled: January 1991 International Organization of Palaeobotany - 1993+ **Botanical Society of South Africa** South African Committee on Stratigraphy – Biostratigraphy - 1997 - 2016 SASQUA (South African Society for Quaternary Research) - 1997+ PAGES - 2008 - onwards: South African representative ROCEEH / WAVE - 2008+ INQUA - PALCOMM - 2011+onwards

vii) Supervision of Higher Degrees

All at Wits University				
Degree	Graduated/completed	Current		
Honours	13	0		
Masters	12	2		
PhD	13	4		
Postdoctoral fellows	15	2		

viii) Undergraduate teaching

Geology II – Palaeobotany GEOL2008 – average 65 students per year

Biology III – Palaeobotany APES3029 – average 45 students per year Honours – Evolution of Terrestrial Ecosystems; African Plio-Pleistocene Palaeoecology; Micropalaeontology – average 12-20 students per year.

ix) Editing and reviewing

Editor: Palaeontologia africana: 2003 to 2013; 2014 – Assistant editor Guest Editor: Quaternary International: 2005 volume Member of Board of Review: Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology: 2010 –

Associate Editor Open Science UK: 2021 -

Review of manuscripts for ISI-listed journals: 30 local and international journals Reviewing of funding applications for NRF, PAST, NWO, SIDA, National Geographic, Leakey Foundation

x) Palaeontological Impact Assessments

Selected from the past five years only – list not complete:

- Ledjadja borrow pits 2018 for Digby Wells
- Lungile poultry farm 2018 for CTS
- Olienhout Dam 2018 for JP Celliers
- Isondlo and Kwasobabili 2018 for GCS
- Kanakies Gypsum 2018 for Cabanga
- Nababeep Copper mine 2018
- Glencore-Mbali pipeline 2018 for Digby Wells
- Remhoogte PR 2019 for A&HAS
- Bospoort Agriculture 2019 for Kudzala
- Overlooked Quarry 2019 for Cabanga
- Richards Bay Powerline 2019 for NGT
- Eilandia dam 2019 for ACO
- Eastlands Residential 2019 for HCAC
- Fairview MR 2019 for Cabanga
- Graspan project 2019 for HCAC
- Lieliefontein N&D 2019 for EnviroPro
- Skeerpoort Farm Mast 2020 for HCAC
- Vulindlela Eco village 2020 for 1World
- KwaZamakhule Township 2020 for Kudzala
- Sunset Copper 2020 for Digby Wells
- McCarthy-Salene 2020 for Prescali
- VLNR Lodge 2020 for HCAC
- Madadeni mixed use 2020 for EnviroPro
- Frankfort-Windfield Eskom Powerline 2020 for 1World
- Beaufort West PV Facility 2021 for ACO Associates
- Copper Sunset MR 2021 for Digby Wells
- Sannaspos PV facility 2021 for CTS Heritage
- Smithfield-Rouxville-Zastron PL 2021 for TheroServe
- Wolf-Skilpad-Grassridge Eskom line for Zutari
- Iziduli and Msengi WEFs, Eastern Cape for CTS Heritage
- Dealesville Springhaas SEFs for ASHA

xi) Research Output

Publications by M K Bamford up to June 2022 peer-reviewed journals or scholarly books: over 165 articles published; 5 submitted/in press; 12 book chapters. Scopus h-index = 30; Google scholar h-index = 35; -i10-index = 92 Conferences: numerous presentations at local and international conferences.