Palaeontological Impact Assessment for the proposed PR on Portions 16, 20 and 22 of Boekhoutkloof 315 by SABrix, Pretoria, Gauteng Province

Desktop Study (Phase 1)

For

Elemental Sustainability

11 June 2023

Prof Marion Bamford

Palaeobotanist P Bag 652, WITS 2050 Johannesburg, South Africa <u>Marion.bamford@wits.ac.za</u>

Expertise of Specialist

The Palaeontologist Consultant: Prof Marion Bamford Qualifications: PhD (Wits Univ, 1990); FRSSAf, mASSAf, PSSA Experience: 34 years research and lecturing in Palaeontology 26 years PIA studies and over 350 projects completed

Declaration of Independence

This report has been compiled by Professor Marion Bamford, of the University of the Witwatersrand, sub-contracted by Elemental Sustainability, South Africa. The views expressed in this report are entirely those of the author and no other interest was displayed during the decision making process for the Project.

Specialist: Prof Marion Bamford

MKBamford

Signature:

Executive Summary

A Palaeontological Impact Assessment was requested for the prospecting right application for clays by Klei Minerale (Pty) Ltd on Portions 16, 20 and 22 of Farm Boekenhoutkloof 315 JR, northwest of Pretoria, Gauteng Province.

To comply with the regulations of the South African Heritage Resources Agency (SAHRA) in terms of Section 38(8) of the National Heritage Resources Act, 1999 (Act No. 25 of 1999) (NHRA), a desktop Palaeontological Impact Assessment (PIA) was completed for the proposed development.

The proposed site lies on the Silverton Formation (Pretoria Group, Transvaal Supergroup) that might preserve trace fossils such as stromatolites or microbialites, although none has been confirmed from this stratum. Nonetheless, a Fossil Chance Find Protocol should be added to the EMPr. Based on this information it is recommended that no further palaeontological impact assessment is required unless fossils are found by the contractor, environmental officer or other designated responsible person once excavations, drilling or mining activities have commenced. Since the impact will be low, as far as the palaeontology is concerned, the project should be authorised.

Table of Contents

Expertise of Specialist	1
Declaration of Independence	1
Background	4
Methods and Terms of Reference	
Geology and Palaeontology	9
Project location and geological context	9
Palaeontological context	
Impact assessment	
Assumptions and uncertainties	15
Recommendation	15
References	15
Chance Find Protocol	
Appendix A – Examples of fossils	
Appendix B – Details of specialist	
	Expertise of Specialist Declaration of Independence

Figure 1: Google Earth map of the general area to show the relative land marks	7
Figure 2: Google Earth Map of the proposed development	8
Figure 3: Geological map of the area around the project site	9
Figure 4: SAHRIS palaeosensitivity map for the site	11

1. Background

Elemental Sustainability (Pty) Ltd. is assisting Klei Minerale (Pty) Ltd in applying for a Prospecting Right to prospect for clay and related minerals. Klei Minerale currently operates an existing opencast clay mine and manufactures clay bricks in tunnel kilns on Plot 95, Kenneth Street, Boekenhoutkloof, and lies within the boundaries of the City of Tshwane Metropolitan Municipality.

Background

Klei Minerale (Pty) Ltd is applying for a Prospecting Right to prospect for clay and related minerals. The area demarcated for the prospecting is approximately 47.5ha. It is envisaged that 10 excavation trenches that are 2 x 5 m in size will be excavated.

Property	Portion	District	Lat (y)	Lon (x)	Extent (ha)
Boekenhoutkloof 315 JR	16	Pretoria North	25°42'17.09"S	28° 4'43.01"E	20.5
Boekenhoutkloof 315 JR	20	Pretoria North	25°42'14.65"S	28° 4'23.30"E	13.7
Boekenhoutkloof 315 JR	22	Pretoria North	25°42'11.32"S	28° 4'32.10"E	13.3

Table 1: Property name & coordinates

Prospecting Method

Prospecting work will initially entail a high-level desktop study and potential desktop resource evaluation. This will include a data search of any previous drilling, sampling activities, exploration activities, existing maps, and relevant historical data. On successful completion of this desktop study, further possible exploration and resource estimations will be performed if the results warrant it. The prospecting activity provides the economic value of the ore bodies reserves in the underground and also provides the information on the required earth work for stripping the surface for exposure of the ore bodies. From prospecting activities estimation can be made of the ore tonnages, ore grade.

Prospecting at the Klei Minerale site will include:

- Phase 1: Non-Invasive Prospecting:- Desktop Study Analysis of Existing Data GIS & analytical desktop studies Surveys.
- Phase 2: Non-Invasive Prospecting: Multi-Spectral and Aerial Surveys.
- Phase 3: Invasive Prospecting: Reconnaissance trenching, Sampling and Analysis.
- Phase 4: Invasive Prospecting: Resource trenching, Sampling and Analysis, Resource Estimation and Pre-Feasibility Study.
- Phase 5- Feasibility Studies and Mining Right Application: Since exploration is temporary in nature no permanent structures will be constructed, negotiations and agreements may be made with the farm owners to use any existing infrastructure like access roads and other things like workshops. No accommodation is permitted on site.

Planned non-invasive activities

Desktop studies will be undertaken over the area. Examples include studying geological reports, prospecting data, plans/maps, aerial photographs, topography maps and any other related geological information about this area. (These activities do not disturb the land where prospecting will take place.

Planned invasive activities

Trenching will involve the digging of 10 excavation trenches that are 2 x 5 m in size. Mapping of the trench walls will then be performed. (These activities result in land disturbances without bulk sampling). Topsoil will be stripped and afterwards stored within the prospecting area. Rehabilitation of the area will be undertaken after completion of the prospecting trenching. The trenching area will be rehabilitated and the area where vegetation clearing has taken place will be re-vegetated.

Pre-feasibility studies

Geological modelling of gathered existing geological data and prospecting data will be performed if the results warrant it.

Closure

The closure activity will be in line with the closure and rehabilitation activities as presented in the closure plan. Each of the prospecting sites will be rehabilitated before the next prospecting area will be cleared. Generally, the Closure phase will entail the following activities:

- Removal of all equipment from the prospecting area.
- Rehabilitation of the area where vegetation clearing has taken place.
- Sealing of the prospecting trenches to prevent water ingress. The depth below surface at which the prospecting trenches will be sealed will be in line with the land use and the requirements of the landowner.

The area will be re-vegetated by seeding. The seeding will be done to establish the natural vegetation as before clearance has taken place. The re-vegetation will be in line with the land use or requirements of the landowner.

A Palaeontological Impact Assessment was requested for the Boekenhoutkloof PRA project. To comply with the regulations of the South African Heritage Resources Agency (SAHRA) in terms of Section 38(8) of the National Heritage Resources Act, 1999 (Act No. 25 of 1999) (NHRA), a desktop Palaeontological Impact Assessment (PIA) was completed for the proposed development and is reported herein.

Table 2: National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998) (NEMA) and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations, 2014 (as amended) -Requirements for Specialist Reports (Appendix 6).

	A specialist report prepared in terms of the Environmental Impact Regulations of 2017 must contain:	Relevant section in report
ai	Details of the specialist who prepared the report,	Appendix B
aii	The expertise of that person to compile a specialist report including a curriculum vitae	Appendix B
b	A declaration that the person is independent in a form as may be specified by the competent authority	Page 1
с	An indication of the scope of, and the purpose for which, the report was prepared	Section 1
ci	An indication of the quality and age of the base data used for the specialist report: SAHRIS palaeosensitivity map accessed – date of this report	Yes
cii	A description of existing impacts on the site, cumulative impacts of the proposed development and levels of acceptable change	Section 5
d	The date and season of the site investigation and the relevance of the season to the outcome of the assessment	N/A
e	A description of the methodology adopted in preparing the report or carrying out the specialised process	Section 2
f	The specific identified sensitivity of the site related to the activity and its associated structures and infrastructure	Section 4
g	An identification of any areas to be avoided, including buffers	N/A
h	A map superimposing the activity including the associated structures and infrastructure on the environmental sensitivities of the site including areas to be avoided, including buffers;	N/A
i	A description of any assumptions made and any uncertainties or gaps in knowledge;	Section 5
j	A description of the findings and potential implications of such findings on the impact of the proposed activity, including identified alternatives, on the environment	Section 4
k	Any mitigation measures for inclusion in the EMPr	Section 8, Appendix A
1	Any conditions for inclusion in the environmental authorisation	N/A
m	Any monitoring requirements for inclusion in the EMPr or environmental authorisation	Section 8, Appendix A
ni	A reasoned opinion as to whether the proposed activity or portions thereof should be authorised	Section 6

	A specialist report prepared in terms of the Environmental Impact Regulations of 2017 must contain:	Relevant section in report
nii	If the opinion is that the proposed activity or portions thereof should be authorised, any avoidance, management and mitigation measures that should be included in the EMPr, and where applicable, the closure plan	Sections 6, 8
0	A description of any consultation process that was undertaken during the course of carrying out the study	N/A
р	A summary and copies of any comments that were received during any consultation process	N/A
q	Any other information requested by the competent authority.	N/A
2	Where a government notice gazetted by the Minister provides for any protocol or minimum information requirement to be applied to a specialist report, the requirements as indicated in such notice will apply.	N/A



Figure 1: Google Earth map of the general area to show the relative landmarks. The PRA on Boekenhoutkloof 315 Jr is shown by the blue, green and orange polygons.



Figure 2: Google Earth Map of the proposed prospecting area on portions of the Farm Boekenhoutkloof 315 JR as indicated. Map supplied by Klei Minerale (Pty) Ltd.

2. Methods and Terms of Reference

The Terms of Reference (ToR) for this study were to undertake a PIA and provide feasible management measures to comply with the requirements of SAHRA.

The methods employed to address the ToR included:

- 1. Consultation of geological maps, literature, palaeontological databases, published and unpublished records to determine the likelihood of fossils occurring in the affected areas. Sources include records housed at the Evolutionary Studies Institute at the University of the Witwatersrand and SAHRA databases;
- 2. Where necessary, site visits by a qualified palaeontologist to locate any fossils and assess their importance (*not applicable to this assessment*);
- 3. Where appropriate, collection of unique or rare fossils with the necessary permits for storage and curation at an appropriate facility (*not applicable to this assessment*); and
- 4. Determination of fossils' representivity or scientific importance to decide if the fossils can be destroyed or a representative sample collected (*not applicable to this assessment*).

3. Geology and Palaeontology

i. Project location and geological context



Figure 3: Geological map of the area around the Farm Boekenhoutkloof 315 with the PRA area indicated within the yellow rectangle. Abbreviations of the rock types are explained in Table 3. Map enlarged from the Geological Survey 1: 250 000 map 2528 Pretoria.

Table 3: Explanation of symbols for the geological map and approximate ages (Eriksson et al., 2006. Johnson et al., 2006; Zeh et al., 2020). SG = Supergroup; Fm = Formation; Ma = million years; grey shading = formations impacted by the project.

Symbol	Group/Formation	Lithology	Approximate Age
Q	Quaternary	Alluvium, sand, calcrete	Quaternary, ca 1.0 Ma to present
Vdi	Diabase	Intrusive volcanic dykes and sills	Post Transvaal SG
Vm	Magaliesberg Fm, Pretoria Group, Transvaal SG	Quartzite, minor hornfels	<2080 Ma
Vsi	Silverton Fm, Pretoria Group, Transvaal SG	Shale, carbonaceous in places, hornfels, chert	Ca 2202 Ma
Vdq	Daspoort Fm, Pretoria Group, Transvaal SG	Quartzite	<2240 Ma
Vha	Hekpoort Fm, Pretoria Group, Transvaal SG	Volcanic rocks	Ca 2224 Ma

The project lies in the Transvaal Basin where the lower Pretoria Group formations are exposed of the Transvaal Supergroup.

The Late Archaean to early Proterozoic Transvaal Supergroup is preserved in three structural basins on the Kaapvaal Craton (Eriksson et al., 2006). In South Africa are the Transvaal and Griqualand West Basins, and the Kanye Basin is in southern Botswana. The Griqualand West Basin is divided into the Ghaap Plateau sub-basin and the Prieska subbasin. Sediments in the lower parts of the basins are very similar but they differ somewhat higher up the sequences. Several tectonic events have greatly deformed the south western portion of the Griqualand West Basin between the two sub-basins

The Transvaal Supergroup comprises one of world's earliest carbonate platform successions (Beukes, 1987; Eriksson et al., 2006; Zeh et al., 2020). In some areas there are well preserved stromatolites that are evidence of the photosynthetic activity of blue green bacteria and green algae. These microbes formed colonies in warm, shallow seas.

In the Transvaal Basin the Transvaal Supergroup is divided into two Groups, the lower Chuniespoort Group and the upper Pretoria Group (with ten formations; Eriksson et al., 2006). The Chuniespoort Group is divided into the basal Malmani Subgroup that comprises dolomites and limestones and is divided into five formations based on chert content, stromatolitic morphology, intercalated shales and erosion surfaces. The top of the Chuniespoort Group has the Penge Formation and the Duitschland Formation.

Making up the lower Pretoria Group are the Timeball Hill Formation and the Boshoek Formation. The **Hekpoort**, Dwaalheuwel, Strubenkop and **Daspoort** Formations form a sequence as the middle part of the Pretoria Group, Transvaal Supergroup, and represent rocks that are over 2060 million years old. The Hekpoort Formation is a massive lava deposit and is overlain by the Dwaalheuwel conglomerates, siltstone and sandstone (not present here). A hiatus separates the Strubenkop Formation slates and shales from the overlying quartzites of the Daspoort Formation. Upper Pretoria Group formations are the **Silverton**, **Magaliesberg**, Vermont, Lakenvalei, Nederhorst, Steenkampsberg and Houtenbek Formations

The Transvaal sequence has been interpreted as three major cycles of basin infill and tectonic activity with the first deep basin sediments forming the Chuniespoort Group, the second cycle deposited the lower Pretoria Group, and the sediments in this area are from the interim lowstand that preceded the third cycle. These sediments were deposited in shallow lacustrine, alluvial fan and braided stream environments (Eriksson et al., 2012).

Transvaal Supergroup rocks in the Transvaal Basin were intruded by the Bushveld Complex at around 2060 million year ago (Eriksson et al. 2006; 2055 Ma in Zeh et al., 2020), with the Magaliesberg Formation of the Pretoria Group forming the floor rocks in most areas (Eriksson et al., 2006). In other areas of the basin the lavas and other subordinate sedimentary rocks of the Rooiberg Group form the floor instead (ibid).

Pretoria Group

The Pretoria Group is approximately 6-7km thick and is composed mostly of mudrocks alternating with quartzitic sandstones, significant interbedded basaltic-andesitic lavas

and subordinate conglomerates, diamictites and carbonate rocks. These have been subjected to low grade metamorphism (Eriksson et al., 2006). The Bushveld Complex intrusion has affected the layering of the formations.

The **Hekpoort Formation** is composed of subaerial lavas that intruded into the Boshoek sandstones. These basaltic-andesitic lavas are thickest in the south of the Transvaal basin, thinning to the west and thinnest in the northeast (Eriksson et al., 2006).

Within the **Silverton Formation** are the lower Boven Shale Member, Machadorp Volcanic Member and upper Lydenburg Shale Member. The lower shales are alumina-rich and best represented in the eastern part of the Transvaal Basin. Shallow subaqueous eruptives formed the tholiitic basalts and then the tuffaceous shales that are high in CaO-MnO-MgO formed the Lydenburg Member (Eriksson et al., 2006). The Silverton Formation has been interpreted as a high-stand facies tract that reflected the advance of an epeiric sea onto the Kaapvaal Craton from the east, so the Daspoort Formation would represent a lowstand facies tract or a transgressive systems tract (ibid).

ii. Palaeontological context

The palaeontological sensitivity of the area under consideration is presented in Figure 4. The site for development is in the Silverton Formation.



Figure 4: SAHRIS palaeosensitivity map for the site for the proposed PRA on Boekenhoutkloof 315 shown within the yellow rectangle. Background colours indicate the following degrees of sensitivity: red = very highly sensitive; orange/yellow = high; green = moderate; blue = low; grey = insignificant/zero.

The Transvaal Supergroup sequence of sedimentary and volcanic rocks has been interpreted as having undergone three cycles of tectonically controlled basin subsidence and infilling with clastic deposits from the west and northwest. The first cycle (Chuniespoort Group) was a shallow seaway in a marine environment where the carbonate platform (Malmani Subgroup) was deposited and has a variety of limestones and dolomite (Erikson et al., 2012). The different lithofacies represent different depths of formation of carbonates, for example, intertidal zone, high energy zone and shallow subtidal deposits are limestone and dolomite, with flat domes and columnar stromatolites being formed in the intertidal zone. In the high energy zone oolites, oncolites and ripples were formed, while in the deep tidal zone elongated stromatolitic mounds were formed (Truswell and Eriksson, 1973; Eriksson and Altermann, 1998).

The third cycle after a brief hiatus, represented by the rest of the Pretoria Group, was deposited in a shallow embayment. Carbonates (not necessarily stromatolites) are reported from the upper Silverton Formation, the Houtenbeck and Vermont Formations. From the Magaliesberg Formation there have been several reports of microbial features. No fossils are recorded from the Rayton Formation, and the upper Pretoria Group rocks are not listed in the Palaeotechnical report for Gauteng (Groenewald et al., 2014), however the rocks are quartzites and shales like the underlying members of the Pretoria Group. Since Parizot et al., (2005) first recorded microbial mat features from the Magaliesberg Formation north of Pretoria, a number of other occurrences have been reported in this formation (Bosch and Eriksson, 2008; Eriksson et al., 2012).

Bosch and Eriksson (2008) described crack-like features, vermiform structures and circular imprints resembling concretions or, possibly oncolites, that occur on sand sheet surfaces within the uppermost beds of the **Magaliesberg Formation**. They indicated two localities, one north of Pretoria, on the farm Baviaanspoort 330 JR and the other on the farm Rietvlei 518 JR, east of Pretoria. Leeuwpoort is northeast of Pretoria. The presence of such microbial mat-like features are found in epeiric marine tidally dominated coastline. The rhythmic alternation of water levels inherent in such settings can explain desiccation of microbial mats growing on the sandy substrates formed within the palaeoenvironment. In addition, the shifting loci of deposition were probably also related to braided fluvial inputs, through the medium of braid deltas (Bosch and Eriksson, 2008).

Stromatolites are the trace fossils that were formed by colonies of green algae and bluegreen algae (Cyanobacteria) that grew in warm, shallow marine settings. These algae were responsible for releasing oxygen via the photosynthetic process where atmospheric carbon dioxide and water, using energy from the sun, are converted into carbon chains and compounds that are the building blocks of all living organisms. The released carbon dioxide initially was taken up by the abundant reducing minerals to form oxides, e.g. iron oxide. Eventually free oxygen was released into the atmosphere and some was converted into ozone by the bombardment of cosmic rays. The ozone is critical for the filtering out of harmful ultraviolet rays.

Stromatolites are the layers upon layers of inorganic materials that were deposited during photosynthesis, namely calcium carbonate, magnesium carbonate, calcium sulphate and magnesium sulphate. These layers can be in the form of flat layers, domes or columns depending on the environment where they grew (Beukes, 1987). Some environments did not form stromatolites, just layers of limestone that later was converted to dolomite. The algae that formed the stromatolites are very rarely preserved, and they are microscopic so they can only be seen from thin sections studies under a petrographic microscope.

Microbialites (sensu Burne and Moore, 1987) are organo-sedimentary deposits formed from interaction between benthic microbial communities (BMCs) and detrital or chemical sediments. In addition, microbialites contrast with other biological sediments in that they are generally not composed of skeletal remains. Archean carbonates mostly consist of stromatolites. These platforms could have been the site of early O2 production on our planet. Stromatolites are the laminated, organo-sedimentary, non-skeletal products of microbial communities, which may have included cyanobacteria, the first photosynthetic organisms to produce oxygen. Another type of trace fossil has been termed Microbially-induced sedimentary structures (MISS sensu Noffke et al., 2001) or simply 'fossil mats' (sensu Tice et al., 2011). These include swirls, rip-ups, crinkled surfaces and wrinkles that were formed by the mucus extruded by littoral algae or microbes and bound together sand particles. Davies et al. (2016) caution against the assumption that all such structures are microbially induced unless there is additional evidence for microbes in the palaeoenvironment.

Nonetheless, stromatolites and microbialites are accepted as trace fossils of algal colonies. MISS could be microbially or abiotically formed. The oldest stromatolites have been recorded from the Barberton Supergroup that was deposited between 3.55 to ca. 3.20 Ga, and stromatolites still form today in warm, shallow seas (Homan, 2019).

4. Impact assessment

An assessment of the potential impacts to possible palaeontological resources considers the criteria encapsulated in Table :

PART A: DEFINITION AND CRITERIA				
	Н	Substantial deterioration (death, illness or injury). Recommended level will often be violated. Vigorous community action.		
Criteria for ranking	Μ	Moderate/ measurable deterioration (discomfort). Recommended level will occasionally be violated. Widespread complaints.		
of the SEVERITY/NATURE of environmental impacts	L	Minor deterioration (nuisance or minor deterioration). Change not measurable/ will remain in the current range. Recommended level will never be violated. Sporadic complaints.		
inipacts	L+	Minor improvement. Change not measurable/ will remain in the current range. Recommended level will never be violated. Sporadic complaints.		
	M+	Moderate improvement. Will be within or better than the recommended level. No observed reaction.		

Table 4a: Criteria for assessing impacts

	H+	Substantial improvement. Will be within or better than the recommended level. Favourable publicity.
Criteria for ranking	L	Quickly reversible. Less than the project life. Short term
the DURATION of	Μ	Reversible over time. Life of the project. Medium term
impacts	Н	Permanent. Beyond closure. Long term.
Criteria for ranking	L	Localised - Within the site boundary.
the SPATIAL SCALE	Μ	Fairly widespread – Beyond the site boundary. Local
of impacts	Н	Widespread – Far beyond site boundary. Regional/ national
PROBABILITY	Н	Definite/ Continuous
(of exposure to	Μ	Possible/ frequent
impacts)	L	Unlikely/ seldom

Table 4b: Impact Assessment

PART B: Assessment		
	Н	-
	Μ	-
SEVERITY/NATURE	L	Soils do not preserve fossils; so far there are no records from the Silverton Fm of trace fossils, plant or animal fossils in this region so it is very unlikely that fossils occur on the site. The impact would be negligible
	L+	-
	M+	-
	H+	-
	L	-
DURATION	Μ	-
	Н	Where manifest, the impact will be permanent.
SPATIAL SCALE	L	Since the only possible fossils within the area would be trace fossils such as stromatolites or microbialites in the shales or dolomites, the spatial scale will be localised within the site boundary.
	Μ	-
	Н	-
	Н	-
	Μ	-
PROBABILITY	L	It is extremely unlikely that any fossils would be found in the loose soils and sands that cover the area or in the clays that will be studied. Nonetheless, a Fossil Chance Find Protocol should be added to the eventual EMPr.

Based on the nature of the project, surface activities may impact upon the fossil heritage if preserved in the development footprint. The geological structures suggest that the rocks are much too old to contain body fossils but some might have micro-fossil traces. Furthermore, the material to be targeted is ancient clays and these do not preserve fossils. Since there is an extremely small chance that trace fossils from the Silverton Formation may be disturbed a Fossil Chance Find Protocol has been added to this report. Taking account of the defined criteria, the potential impact to fossil heritage resources is extremely low.

5. Assumptions and uncertainties

Based on the geology of the area and the palaeontological record as we know it, it can be assumed that the formation and layout of the dolomites, sandstones, shales and sands are typical for the country and only some contain trace fossils such as stromatolites or microbialites. The soils and sands of the Quaternary period would not preserve fossils.

6. Recommendation

Based on experience and the lack of any previously recorded fossils from the area, it is extremely unlikely that any fossils would be preserved in the overlying soils and sands of the Quaternary. There is a very small chance that trace fossils may occur below ground in the Silverton Formation or in the neo-formed clays so a Fossil Chance Find Protocol should be added to the EMPr. If fossils are found by the environmental officer, or other responsible person once trenching and excavations have commenced then they should be rescued and a palaeontologist called to assess and collect a representative sample. The impact on the palaeontological heritage would be low, so as far as the palaeontological heritage is concerned, the prospecting fight should be authorised.

7. References

Beukes, N.J., 1987. Facies relations, depositional environments and diagenesis in a major early Proterozoic stromatolitic carbonate platform to basinal sequence, Campbellrand Subgroup, Transvaal Supergroup, southern Africa. Sedimentary Geology 54, 1-46.

Beukes, N.J., 1980. Stratigrafie en lithofacies van die Campbellrand-Subgroep van die Proterofitiese Ghaap-Groep, Noordkaapland. Transactions of the Geological Society of South Africa 83, 141-170.

Bekker, A., Holland, H.D., Wang, P.-L., Rumble, D., Stein, H.J., Hannah, J.L., Coetzee, L.L., and Beukes, N.J. (2004). Dating the rise of atmospheric oxygen. Nature, 427, 117-120.

Benton, M.J. 2005. Vertebrate Palaeontology. Oxford: Blackwell Science, 2005. 3rd edn.

Bosch, P., Eriksson, P., 2008. A note on two occurrences of inferred microbial mat features preserved in the c. 2.1 Ga Magaliesberg Formation (Pretoria Group, Transvaal Supergroup) sandstones, near Pretoria, South Africa. South African Journal of Geology 111, 251-262.

Button, A., 1976. Iron formation as an end member in carbonate sedimentary cycles in the Transvaal Supergroup, South Africa. Economic Geology 71, 193-201.

Burne, R.V., Moore, L.S., 1987. Microbialites; organosedimentary deposits of benthic microbial communities. Palaios 2(3), 241-254.

Cowan, R., 1995. History of Life. 2nd Edition. Blackwell Scientific Publications, Boston. 462pp.

Davies, N.S., Liu, A.G., Gibling, M.R., Miller, R.F., 2016. Resolving MISS conceptions and misconceptions: A geological approach to sedimentary surface textures generated by microbial and abiotic processes Earth-Science Reviews 154, 210–246.

Eriksson, P.G., Altermann, W., 1998. Eriksson, An overview of the geology of the Transvaal Supergroup dolomites (South Africa). Environmental Geology 36, 178-188.

Eriksson, P.G., Altermann, W., Hartzer, F.J., 2006. The Transvaal Supergroup and its precursors. In: Johnson, M.R., Anhaeusser, C.R. and Thomas, R.J., (Eds). The Geology of South Africa. Geological Society of South Africa, Johannesburg / Council for Geoscience, Pretoria. pp 237-260.

Eriksson, P.G., Bartman, R., Catuneanu, O., Mazumder, R., Lenhardt, N., 2012. A case study of microbial mats-related features in coastal epeiric sandstones from the Palaeoproterozoic Pretoria Group, Transvaal Supergroup, Kaapvaal craton, South Africa; the effect of preservation (reflecting sequence stratigraphic models) on the relationship between mat features and inferred palaeoenvironment. Sedimentary Geology 263, 67-75.

Groenewald, G., Groenewald, D., Groenewald, S., 2014. SAHRA Palaeotechnical Report. Palaeontological Heritage of Gauteng. 23 pages.

Hannah, J.L., Bekker, A., Stein, H.J., Markey, R.J., Holland, H.D., 2004. Primitive Os and 2316 Ma age for marine shale: implications for Paleoproterozoic glacial events and the rise of atmospheric oxygen. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 225, 43–52.

Homann. M., 2019. Earliest life on Earth: Evidence from the Barberton Greenstone Belt, South Africa. Earth Science Reviews 196, 102888.

Noffke, N., Gerdes, G., Klenke, T. and Krumbein, W.E. (2001). Microbially induced sedimentary structures – a new category within the classification of primary sedimentary structures. Journal of Sedimentary Research, A71, 649-656.

Parizot, M., Eriksson, P.G., Aifa, T., Sarkar, S., Banerjee, S., Catuneanu, O., Altermann, W., Bumby, A.J., Bordy, E.M., Rooy, J.L. and Boshoff, A.J. (2005). Suspected microbial matrelated crack-like sedimentary structures in the Palaeoproterozoic Magaliesberg Formation sandstones, South Africa. Precambrian Research, 138, 274-296. Plumstead, E.P., 1969. Three thousand million years of plant life in Africa. Geological Society of southern Africa, Annexure to Volume LXXII. 72pp + 25 plates.

Schröder, S., Beukes, N.J., Armstrong, R.A., 2016. Detrital zircon constraints on the tectonostratigraphy of the Paleoproterozoic Pretoria Group, South Africa. Precambrian Research 278, 362 – 393.

Sumner, D.Y., Beukes, N.J., 2006. Sequence stratigraphic development of the Neoarchean Transvaal carbonate platform, Kaapvaal Craton, South Africa. South African Journal of Geology 109, 11–22.

Tice, M.M., Thornton, D.C.O., Pope, M.C., Olszewski, T.D., Gong, J., 2011. Archean microbial mat communities. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences 39, 297–319.

Truswell, J.F., Eriksson, K.A., 1973. Stromatolitic associations and their palaeoenvironmental significance: a reappraisal of a lower Proterozoic locality from the northern Cape Province, South Africa. Sedimentary Geology 10, 1–23.

Zeh, A., Wilson, A.H., Gerdes, A., 2020. Zircon U-Pb-Hf isotope systematics of Transvaal Supergroup – Constraints for the geodynamic evolution of the Kaapvaal Craton and its hinterland between 2.65 and 2.06 Ga. Precambrian Research 345, 105760. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.precamres.2020.105760

8. Chance Find Protocol

Monitoring Programme for Palaeontology – to commence once the excavations / drilling / mining activities begin.

- 1. The following procedure is only required if fossils are seen on the surface and when drilling/excavations/mining commence.
- 2. When excavations begin the rocks must be given a cursory inspection by the environmental officer or designated person. Any fossiliferous material (plants, insects, bone or coal) should be put aside in a suitably protected place. This way the project activities will not be interrupted.
- 3. Photographs of similar fossils must be provided to the developer to assist in recognizing the trace fossils such as stromatolites or microbially features (trails, curls, rip-ups, mudcracks) trace fossils in the dolomites, limestones, shales and mudstones (for example see Figures 5-6). This information will be built into the EMP's training and awareness plan and procedures.
- 4. Photographs of the putative fossils can be sent to the palaeontologist for a preliminary assessment.
- 5. If there is any possible fossil material found by the contractor, developer or environmental officer then the qualified palaeontologist sub-contracted for this project, should visit the site to inspect the selected material and check the dumps where feasible.

- 6. Trace fossils, fossil plants or vertebrates that are considered to be of good quality or scientific interest by the palaeontologist must be removed, catalogued and housed in a suitable institution where they can be made available for further study. Before the fossils are removed from the site a SAHRA permit must be obtained. Annual reports must be submitted to SAHRA as required by the relevant permits.
- 7. If no good fossil material is recovered then no site inspections by the palaeontologist will be necessary. A final report by the palaeontologist must be sent to SAHRA once the project has been completed and only if there are fossils.
- 8. If no fossils are found and the excavations have finished then no further monitoring is required.
- 9. Appendix A Examples of fossils from the Pretoria Group



Weathering of dolomite

Small domal stromatolites



Side view of a stromatolite

Surface view of domal stromatolites

Figure 5: Photographs from the Malmani Subgroup of different types of stromatolites in dolomite.



Magaliesberg Fm trace fossils, near Pretoria (all from Bosch & Eriksson, 2008): A – cracks,. B – sinuous structure, C – *Manchuriphycus*, D – circular structures. R1 coin for scale.

Figure 6: Photographs of microbial features from the Magaliesberg Formation (in Bosch and Eriksson, 2008).

10. Appendix B – Details of specialist

Curriculum vitae (short) - Marion Bamford PhD January 2023

Present employme	nt:	Professor; Director of the Evolutionary Studies Institute. Member Management Committee of the NRF/DSI Centre of Excellence Palaeosciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Jahannesburg, South Africa
		Johannesburg, South Annca
Telephone	:	+27 11 717 6690
Cell	:	082 555 6937
E-mail	:	<u>marion.bamford@wits.ac.za ;</u>
marionbamford12	<u>@gma</u>	<u>il.com</u>

ii) Academic qualifications

Tertiary Education: All at the University of the Witwatersrand: 1980-1982: BSc, majors in Botany and Microbiology. Graduated April 1983. 1983: BSc Honours, Botany and Palaeobotany. Graduated April 1984. 1984-1986: MSc in Palaeobotany. Graduated with Distinction, November 1986. 1986-1989: PhD in Palaeobotany. Graduated in June 1990.

iii) Professional qualifications

Wood Anatomy Training (overseas as nothing was available in South Africa): 1994 - Service d'Anatomie des Bois, Musée Royal de l'Afrique Centrale, Tervuren, Belgium, by Roger Dechamps

1997 - Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris, France, by Dr Jean-Claude Koeniguer 1997 - Université Claude Bernard, Lyon, France by Prof Georges Barale, Dr Jean-Pierre Gros, and Dr Marc Philippe

iv) Membership of professional bodies/associations

Palaeontological Society of Southern Africa Royal Society of Southern Africa - Fellow: 2006 onwards Academy of Sciences of South Africa - Member: Oct 2014 onwards International Association of Wood Anatomists - First enrolled: January 1991 International Organization of Palaeobotany – 1993+ Botanical Society of South Africa South African Committee on Stratigraphy – Biostratigraphy - 1997 - 2016 SASQUA (South African Society for Quaternary Research) – 1997+ PAGES - 2008 – onwards: South African representative ROCEEH / WAVE – 2008+ INQUA – PALCOMM – 2011+onwards

v) Supervision of Higher Degrees

All at Wits University	All	sity	Wits
------------------------	-----	------	------

Degree	Graduated/completed	Current
Honours	13	0
Masters	13	3
PhD	13	7
Postdoctoral fellows	14	4

vi) Undergraduate teaching

Geology II – Palaeobotany GEOL2008 – average 65 students per year Biology III – Palaeobotany APES3029 – average 25 students per year Honours – Evolution of Terrestrial Ecosystems; African Plio-Pleistocene Palaeoecology; Micropalaeontology – average 12 - 20 students per year.

vii) Editing and reviewing

Editor: Palaeontologia africana: 2003 to 2013; 2014 – Assistant editor Guest Editor: Quaternary International: 2005 volume Member of Board of Review: Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology: 2010 – Associate Editor: Cretaceous Research: 2018-2020 Associate Editor: Royal Society Open: 2021 -Review of manuscripts for ISI-listed journals: 30 local and international journals

viii) Palaeontological Impact Assessments

25 years' experience in PIA site and desktop projects

- Selected from recent projects only list not complete:
- Skeerpoort Farm Mast 2020 for HCAC
- Vulindlela Eco village 2020 for 1World
- KwaZamakhule Township 2020 for Kudzala
- Sunset Copper 2020 for Digby Wells
- McCarthy-Salene 2020 for Prescali
- VLNR Lodge 2020 for HCAC
- Madadeni mixed use 2020 for Enviropro
- Frankfort-Windfield Eskom Powerline 2020 for 1World
- Beaufort West PV Facility 2021 for ACO Associates
- Copper Sunset MR 2021 for Digby Wells
- Sannaspos PV facility 2021 for CTS Heritage
- Smithfield-Rouxville-Zastron PL 2021 for TheroServe
- Glosam Mine 2022 for AHSA
- Wolf-Skilpad-Grassridge OHPL 2022 for Zutari
- Iziduli and Msenge WEFs 2022 for CTS Heritage
- Hendrina North and South WEFs & SEFs 2022 for Cabanga
- Dealesville-Springhaas SEFs 2022 for GIBB Environmental
- Vhuvhili and Mukondeleli SEFs 2022 for CSIR
- Chemwes & Stilfontein SEFs 2022 for CTS Heritage
- Equestria Exts housing 2022 for Beyond Heritage
- Zeerust Salene boreholes 2022 for Prescali
- Tsakane Sewer upgrade 2022 for Tsimba
- Transnet MPP inland and coastal 2022 for ENVASS
- Ruighoek PRA 2022 for SLR Consulting (Africa)
- Namli MRA Steinkopf 2022 for Beyond Heritage

ix) Research Output

Publications by M K Bamford up to January 2022 peer-reviewed journals or scholarly books: over 170 articles published; 5 submitted/in press; 14 book chapters. Scopus h-index = 31; Google Scholar h-index = 39; -i10-index = 116 based on 6568 citations.

Conferences: numerous presentations at local and international conferences.