

**Palaeontological Impact Assessment for the proposed  
construction of the Vodacom mast on Farm  
Rhenosterfontein 390 JP, Kgetlengrivier  
Local Municipality,  
North West Province**

**Desktop Study (Phase 1)**

**For**

**Heritage Contracts and Archaeological Consulting**

**09 May 2021**

**Prof Marion Bamford**

Palaeobotanist

P Bag 652, WITS 2050

Johannesburg, South Africa

[Marion.bamford@wits.ac.za](mailto:Marion.bamford@wits.ac.za)


## **Expertise of Specialist**

The Palaeontologist Consultant: Prof Marion Bamford  
Qualifications: PhD (Wits Univ, 1990); FRSSAf, ASSAf  
Experience: 32 years research; 23 years PIA studies

## **Declaration of Independence**

This report has been compiled by Professor Marion Bamford, of the University of the Witwatersrand, sub-contracted by Heritage Contracts and Archaeological Consulting, Modimolle, South Africa. The views expressed in this report are entirely those of the author and no other interest was displayed during the decision making process for the Project.

Specialist: Prof Marion Bamford

Signature: 

## **Executive Summary**

A palaeontological Impact Assessment was requested for the proposed construction of a Vodacom telecommunications mast on Portion 21 of Farm Rhenosterfontein 390 JP, northwest of Rustenburg, in the Kgetlengrivier Local Municipality, site No: BS 016 0580. To comply with the South African Heritage Resources Agency (SAHRA) in terms of Section 38(8) of the National Heritage Resources Act, 1999 (Act No. 25 of 1999) (NHRA), a desktop Palaeontological Impact Assessment (PIA) was completed for the proposed project.

The proposed site for the Rhenosterfontein mast site lies on the shales and sandstones of the Silverton Formation (Pretoria Group, Transvaal Supergroup). These are ancient deepwater sediments and most unlikely to preserve fossils but in the literature it has been suggested that there are stromatolites present. These are trace fossils of algal colonies but because no organisms are preserved they are of little interest to palaeontologists. Nonetheless, a Fossil Chance Find Protocol should be added to the EMPr. Based on this information it is recommended that no palaeontological site visit is required unless fossils are found by the responsible person and the palaeontologist notified (email, photographs) considers them to be of scientific interest.

## Table of Contents

Expertise of Specialist.....	1
Declaration of Independence.....	1
1. Background.....	4
2. Methods and Terms of Reference .....	6
3i. Project location and geological context.....	6
3ii. Palaeontological context .....	7
4. Impact assessment .....	8
5. Assumptions and uncertainties.....	10
6. Recommendation.....	10
7. References.....	10
8. Chance Find Protocol .....	11
Appendix A (examples of fossils) .....	12
Appendix B (short CV of specialist) .....	12

# 1. Background

Vodacom (Pty) Ltd proposes to construct a telecommunications mast on Portion 21 of the Farm Rhenosterfontein 390 JP, adjacent to the road D 125, Kgetlengrivier Local Municipality, North West Province. The mast will be 25m tall and the footprint (mast base and equipment shelters within a palisade fence) will be 8 x 10 m (Figure 1).

The mast site is to the northwest of Rustenburg, on Rhenosterfontein Farm and will be called the Rhenosterfontein mast (Base Station number 016 0580), GPS co-ordinates: 25° 33' 41.40.20" S and 26° 57' 18.90" E.

A Palaeontological Impact Assessment was requested for the above project. In order to comply with the South African Heritage Resources Agency (SAHRA) in terms of Section 38(8) of the National Heritage Resources Act, 1999 (Act No. 25 of 1999) (NHRA), a desktop Palaeontological Impact Assessment (PIA) was completed for the proposed development and is presented herein.

Table 1: Specialist report requirements in terms of Appendix 6 of the EIA Regulations (amended 2017)

	<b>A specialist report prepared in terms of the Environmental Impact Regulations of 2017 must contain:</b>	<b>Relevant section in report</b>
ai	Details of the specialist who prepared the report	Appendix B
aii	The expertise of that person to compile a specialist report including a curriculum vitae	Appendix B
b	A declaration that the person is independent in a form as may be specified by the competent authority	Page 1
c	An indication of the scope of, and the purpose for which, the report was prepared	Section 1
ci	An indication of the quality and age of the base data used for the specialist report: SAHRIS palaeosensitivity map accessed – date of this report	Yes
cii	A description of existing impacts on the site, cumulative impacts of the proposed development and levels of acceptable change	Section 5
d	The date and season of the site investigation and the relevance of the season to the outcome of the assessment	N/A
e	A description of the methodology adopted in preparing the report or carrying out the specialised process	Section 2
f	The specific identified sensitivity of the site related to the activity and its associated structures and infrastructure	Section 4
g	An identification of any areas to be avoided, including buffers	N/A



## 2. Methods and Terms of Reference

The Terms of Reference (ToR) for this study were to undertake a PIA and provide feasible management measures to comply with the requirements of SAHRA.

The methods employed to address the ToR included:

1. Consultation of geological maps, literature, palaeontological databases, published and unpublished records to determine the likelihood of fossils occurring in the affected areas. Sources included records housed at the Evolutionary Studies Institute at the University of the Witwatersrand and SAHRA databases;
2. Where necessary, site visits by a qualified palaeontologist to locate any fossils and assess their importance (*not applicable to this assessment*);
3. Where appropriate, collection of unique or rare fossils with the necessary permits for storage and curation at an appropriate facility (*not applicable to this assessment*); and
4. Determination of fossils' representivity or scientific importance to decide if the fossils can be destroyed or a representative sample collected (*not applicable to this assessment*).

## 3. Geology and Palaeontology

### i. Project location and geological context




Figure 2: Geological map of the area around the Farm Rhenosterfontein 390 JP with the proposed site for the Rhenosterfontein Vodacom mast shown within the yellow outline on rocks of the

Silverton Formation (symbol Vsi, grey). Abbreviations of the rock types are explained in Table 2. Map enlarged from the Geological Survey 1: 250 000 map 2526 Rustenburg.

Table 2: Explanation of symbols for the geological map and approximate ages (Eriksson et al., 2006, 2012). SG = Supergroup; Fm = Formation; Ma = million years; grey shading = formations impacted by the project.

Symbol	Group/Formation	Lithology	Approximate Age
Q	Quaternary	Alluvium, sand, calcrete	Neogene, ca 25 Ma to present
di	diabase	Dolerite intrusive	Post Transvaal SG
Vm	Magaliesberg Fm, Pretoria Group, Transvaal SG	Sandstones with mudrock lenses	Ca 2200 - 2100
Vsi	Silverton Fm, Pretoria Group, Transvaal SG	Shales, some reworked tuffs	Ca 2200 - 2100
Vda	Daspoort Fm, Pretoria Group, Transvaal SG	Sandstone, mudrock	Ca 2200 - 2100

The site lies in the south central part of the Transvaal Basin and in the upper part of the lower Pretoria Group. Three formations are present, namely the lower Daspoort Formation, the Silverton Formation and the upper Magaliesberg Formation. Comprising various sandstones and mudstones, these formations were deposited as a major marine transgression occurred and caused the infilling of the Transvaal Basin (Eriksson et al., 2006). The Daspoort Formation represents distal fan and fluvial braidplain deposits, the Silverton Formation shales are from a shallow to deep marine environment as the basin sagged and filled. The Magaliesberg Formation shows a regressive shoreline, grading into fluvial deposits.

Much younger Quaternary sands have filled in parts that were eroded much earlier and are not related to the much older Transvaal Supergroup.

## ii. Palaeontological context

Some of the ancient Pretoria Group rocks have preserved trace fossils. In the shallow marine environments ripple marks and mud cracks can be preserved, sometimes associated with “microbially induced sedimentary structures” (MISS of Noffke et al., 2001) where microbes have left traces such as roll-ups, spirals or even worm-like burrows. Eriksson et al. (2012) prefer the term ‘Microbially related structures,’ MRS, as this includes biotic and abiotic traces. Larger scale traces are the stromatolites that are the micro-layered domes or columns of minerals that were deposited by the colonies of green and blue-green algae living in warm shallow seas. Much of the Magaliesberg and surrounding areas is composed of dolomite or carbonaceous platforms, with or without traces of stromatolites (Bosch and Eriksson, 2017). Although stromatolites were formed by the photosynthetic activity of the algae, it is extremely rare for any algal cells to be preserved within the stromatolite.



According to the Palaeotechnical Report (PTR) for the North West Province (Groenewald et al., 2014) the Daspoort and Silvertown Formations have stromatolites, whereas the Magaliesburg formation has MRS (no references provided, only a table of sensitivities). However, in the overview by Eriksson et al. (2002, Silvertown Formation lithology; 2006, general review; 2012, focus on Magaliesburg Formation palaeoenvironment), no stromatolites are mentioned. Thus, there is some doubt about the accuracy of the Palaeotechnical Report and it cannot be checked as no references have been provided.

The proposed site for the Rhenosterfontein telecommunications mast lies on the Silvertown Formation, close to the Daspoort Formation. From the Google Earth maps provided in the DWS report the surface is covered with soil and vegetation.




Figure 3: SAHRIS palaeosensitivity map for the site for the proposed Rhenosterfontein mast shown within the red circle. Background colours indicate the following degrees of sensitivity: red = very highly sensitive; orange/yellow = high; green = moderate; blue = low; grey = insignificant/zero.

From the SAHRIS map above the area is indicated as highly sensitive (orange) because there is a chance that the Silvertown Formation rocks might contain the trace fossils, stromatolites, based on the PTR. It should be noted that not all dolomite preserves stromatolites, and when they do occur they are locally very common.

## 4. Impact assessment

An assessment of the potential impacts to possible palaeontological resources considers the criteria encapsulated in Table 3:

**TABLE 3A: CRITERIA FOR ASSESSING IMPACTS**

PART A: DEFINITION AND CRITERIA		
<b>Criteria for ranking of the SEVERITY/NATURE of environmental impacts</b>	<b>H</b>	Substantial deterioration (death, illness or injury). Recommended level will often be violated. Vigorous community action.
	<b>M</b>	Moderate/ measurable deterioration (discomfort). Recommended level will occasionally be violated. Widespread complaints.
	<b>L</b>	Minor deterioration (nuisance or minor deterioration). Change not measurable/ will remain in the current range. Recommended level will never be violated. Sporadic complaints.
	<b>L+</b>	Minor improvement. Change not measurable/ will remain in the current range. Recommended level will never be violated. Sporadic complaints.
	<b>M+</b>	Moderate improvement. Will be within or better than the recommended level. No observed reaction.
	<b>H+</b>	Substantial improvement. Will be within or better than the recommended level. Favourable publicity.
<b>Criteria for ranking the DURATION of impacts</b>	<b>L</b>	Quickly reversible. Less than the project life. Short term
	<b>M</b>	Reversible over time. Life of the project. Medium term
	<b>H</b>	Permanent. Beyond closure. Long term.
<b>Criteria for ranking the SPATIAL SCALE of impacts</b>	<b>L</b>	Localised - Within the site boundary.
	<b>M</b>	Fairly widespread – Beyond the site boundary. Local
	<b>H</b>	Widespread – Far beyond site boundary. Regional/ national
<b>PROBABILITY (of exposure to impacts)</b>	<b>H</b>	Definite/ Continuous
	<b>M</b>	Possible/ frequent
	<b>L</b>	Unlikely/ seldom

**TABLE 3B: IMPACT ASSESSMENT**

PART B: ASSESSMENT		
<b>SEVERITY/NATURE</b>	<b>H</b>	-
	<b>M</b>	-
	<b>L</b>	The Silverton Fm shales do not preserve fossils but there might be dolomite outcrops with stromatolites. The impact would be very unlikely.
	<b>L+</b>	-
	<b>M+</b>	-
	<b>H+</b>	-
<b>DURATION</b>	<b>L</b>	-
	<b>M</b>	-
	<b>H</b>	Where manifest, the impact will be permanent.
<b>SPATIAL SCALE</b>	<b>L</b>	Since only the possible fossils within the area would be trace fossils: stromatolites, the spatial scale will be localised within the site boundary.
	<b>M</b>	-
	<b>H</b>	-
<b>PROBABILITY</b>	<b>H</b>	-
	<b>M</b>	-
	<b>L</b>	It is extremely unlikely that any stromatolites occur as the Silverton Fm is composed of shales and mudstones, i.e. deep water deposits. Nonetheless, a Fossil Chance Find protocol should be added to the eventual EMPr.

Based on the nature of the project, surface activities may impact upon the fossil heritage if preserved in the development footprint. The geological structures suggest that the rocks are mostly much too old to contain fossils. Furthermore, the Silverton Formation is predominantly shales and sandstones that were deposited in deep water environments, and not shallow water or shoreline where there might have been microbial or algal activity.. Since there is an extremely small chance that trace fossils occur, a Fossil Chance Find Protocol has been added to this report. Taking account of the defined criteria, the potential impact to fossil heritage resources is very low.

## 5. Assumptions and uncertainties

Based on the geology of the area and the palaeontological record as we know it, it can be assumed that the formation and layout of the dolomites, sandstones, shales and sands are typical for the country and do not contain fossil plant, insect, invertebrate and vertebrate material. It is highly unlikely that dolomites (with or without stromatolites) occur in the mast footprint areas, but in the North West PTR it is stated that the Silverton Formation has stromatolites and so this is recorded in the SAHRA database. The soils of the Quaternary period would not preserve fossils.

## 6. Recommendation

Based on experience and the lack of any previously recorded fossils from the area, it is extremely unlikely that any fossils would be preserved in the Silverton Formation or the nearby soils of the Quaternary. There is very small chance that trace fossils may occur in the Silverton Formation so a Fossil Chance Find Protocol should be added to the EMPr: if fossils are found once foundation excavations have commenced then they should be rescued and a palaeontologist called to assess and collect a representative sample. It should noted, however, that stromatolites of little interest to palaeontologists.

## 7. References

Bosch, P., Eriksson, P., 2017. A note on two occurrences of inferred microbial mat features preserved in the c. 2.1 Ga Magaliesberg Formation (Pretoria Group, Transvaal Supergroup) sandstones, near Pretoria, South Africa. *South African Journal of Geology* 111, 251-262.

Eriksson, P.G., Altermann, W., Eberhardt, L., Ahrend-Heidbrinck, S., Bumby, A.J., 2002. Palaeoproterozoic epeiric sea palaeoenvironments: the Silverton Formation (Pretoria Group, Transvaal Supergroup), South Africa. In: Altermann, W., Corcoran, P.L. (Eds.), *Precambrian Sedimentary Environments: A Modern Approach to Ancient Depositional Systems*. : Special Publication, 33. International Association of Sedimentologists, Blackwell, Oxford, pp. 351–367.

Eriksson, P.G., Altermann, W., Hartzler, F.J., 2006. The Transvaal Supergroup and its precursors. In: Johnson, M.R., Anhaeusser, C.R. and Thomas, R.J., (Eds). The Geology of South Africa. Geological Society of South Africa, Johannesburg / Council for Geoscience, Pretoria. pp 237-260.

Eriksson, P.G., Bartman, R., Catuneanu, O., Mazumder, R., Lenhardt, N., 2012. A case study of microbial mats-related features in coastal epeiric sandstones from the Palaeoproterozoic Pretoria Group, Transvaal Supergroup, Kaapvaal craton, South Africa; the effect of preservation (reflecting sequence stratigraphic models) on the relationship between mat features and inferred palaeoenvironment. *Sedimentary Geology* 263, 67-75.

Noffke, N., Gerdes, G., Klenke, T. and Krumbein, W.E. 2001. Microbially induced sedimentary structures – a new category within the classification of primary sedimentary structures. *Journal of Sedimentary Research*, A71, 649-656.

Plumstead, E.P., 1969. Three thousand million years of plant life in Africa. Geological Society of southern Africa, Annexure to Volume LXXII. 72pp + 25 plates.

## 8. Chance Find Protocol

### **Monitoring Programme for Palaeontology – to commence once the excavations for foundations begin.**

1. The following procedure is only required if fossils are seen on the surface and when excavations commence.
2. When excavations begin the rocks and must be given a cursory inspection by the environmental officer or designated person. Any fossiliferous material (trace fossil, MISS, stromatolites) should be put aside in a suitably protected place. This way the project activities will not be interrupted.
3. Photographs of similar fossil plants must be provided to the developer to assist in recognizing the fossil plants in the shales and mudstones (for example see Figure 4). This information will be built into the EMP's training and awareness plan and procedures.
4. Photographs of the putative fossils can be sent to the palaeontologist for a preliminary assessment.
5. If there is any possible fossil material found by the developer/environmental officer/miners then the qualified palaeontologist sub-contracted for this project, should visit the site to inspect the selected material and check the dumps where feasible.
6. Fossil plants or vertebrates that are considered to be of good quality or scientific interest by the palaeontologist must be removed, catalogued and housed in a suitable institution where they can be made available for further study. Before the fossils are removed from the site a SAHRA permit must be obtained. Annual reports must be submitted to SAHRA as required by the relevant permits.
7. If no good fossil material is recovered then no site inspections by the palaeontologist will not be necessary. A final report by the palaeontologist must be sent to SAHRA once the project has been completed and only if there are fossils.

8. If no fossils are found and the excavations have finished then no further monitoring is required.

## Appendix A – Examples of trace fossils from the Magaliesburg Formation



Figure 4: examples of stromatolites, a - in the field in side view; b – surface view in the field; c – side view in section. (Photographs from MacRae, 1999. Life etched in Stone).

## Appendix B – Details of specialist

### Curriculum vitae (short) - Marion Bamford PhD January 2021

#### I) Personal details

Surname : **Bamford**  
First names : **Marion Kathleen**  
Present employment : Professor; Director of the Evolutionary Studies Institute.  
Member Management Committee of the NRF/DST Centre of Excellence Palaeosciences, University of the Witwatersrand,

Johannesburg, South Africa-  
 Telephone : +27 11 717 6690  
 Fax : +27 11 717 6694  
 Cell : 082 555 6937  
 E-mail : [marion.bamford@wits.ac.za](mailto:marion.bamford@wits.ac.za) ; [marionbamford12@gmail.com](mailto:marionbamford12@gmail.com)

## ii) Academic qualifications

Tertiary Education: All at the University of the Witwatersrand:

1980-1982: BSc, majors in Botany and Microbiology. Graduated April 1983.

1983: BSc Honours, Botany and Palaeobotany. Graduated April 1984.

1984-1986: MSc in Palaeobotany. Graduated with Distinction, November 1986.

1986-1989: PhD in Palaeobotany. Graduated in June 1990.

## iii) Professional qualifications

*Wood Anatomy Training (overseas as nothing was available in South Africa):*

1994 - Service d'Anatomie des Bois, Musée Royal de l'Afrique Centrale, Tervuren, Belgium, by Roger Dechamps

1997 - Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris, France, by Dr Jean-Claude Koeniguer

1997 - Université Claude Bernard, Lyon, France by Prof Georges Barale, Dr Jean-Pierre Gros, and Dr Marc Philippe

## iv) Membership of professional bodies/associations

Palaeontological Society of Southern Africa

Royal Society of Southern Africa - Fellow: 2006 onwards

Academy of Sciences of South Africa - Member: Oct 2014 onwards

International Association of Wood Anatomists - First enrolled: January 1991

International Organization of Palaeobotany – 1993+

Botanical Society of South Africa

South African Committee on Stratigraphy – Biostratigraphy - 1997 - 2016

SASQUA (South African Society for Quaternary Research) – 1997+

PAGES - 2008 –onwards: South African representative

ROCEEH / WAVE – 2008+

INQUA – PALCOMM – 2011+onwards

## vii) Supervision of Higher Degrees

All at Wits University

Degree	Graduated/completed	Current
Honours	11	0
Masters	10	4
PhD	11	4
Postdoctoral fellows	10	5

## viii) Undergraduate teaching

Geology II – Palaeobotany GEOL2008 – average 65 students per year  
Biology III – Palaeobotany APES3029 – average 25 students per year  
Honours – Evolution of Terrestrial Ecosystems; African Plio-Pleistocene Palaeoecology;  
Micropalaeontology – average 2-8 students per year.

#### **ix) Editing and reviewing**

Editor: *Palaeontologia africana*: 2003 to 2013; 2014 – Assistant editor  
Guest Editor: *Quaternary International*: 2005 volume  
Member of Board of Review: *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology*: 2010 –

Review of manuscripts for ISI-listed journals: 25 local and international journals

### **x) Palaeontological Impact Assessments**

Selected – list not complete:

- Thukela Biosphere Conservancy 1996; 2002 for DWAF
- Vioolsdrift 2007 for Xibula Exploration
- Rietfontein 2009 for Zitholele Consulting
- Bloeddrift-Baken 2010 for TransHex
- New Kleinfontein Gold Mine 2012 for Prime Resources (Pty) Ltd.
- Thabazimbi Iron Cave 2012 for Professional Grave Solutions (Pty) Ltd
- Delmas 2013 for Jones and Wagener
- Klipfontein 2013 for Jones and Wagener
- Platinum mine 2013 for Lonmin
- Syferfontein 2014 for Digby Wells
- Canyon Springs 2014 for Prime Resources
- Kimberley Eskom 2014 for Landscape Dynamics
- Yzermyne 2014 for Digby Wells
- Matimba 2015 for Royal HaskoningDV
- Commissiekraal 2015 for SLR
- Harmony PV 2015 for Savannah Environmental
- Glencore-Tweefontein 2015 for Digby Wells
- Umkomazi 2015 for JLB Consulting
- Ixia coal 2016 for Digby Wells
- Lambda Eskom for Digby Wells
- Alexander Scoping for SLR
- Perseus-Kronos-Aries Eskom 2016 for NGT
- Mala Mala 2017 for Henwood
- Modimolle 2017 for Green Vision
- Klipoortjie and Finaalspan 2017 for Delta BEC
- Ledjadja borrow pits 2018 for Digby Wells
- Lungile poultry farm 2018 for CTS
- Olienhout Dam 2018 for JP Celliers
- Isondlo and Kwasobabili 2018 for GCS

- Kanakies Gypsum 2018 for Cabanga
- Nababeep Copper mine 2018
- Glencore-Mbali pipeline 2018 for Digby Wells
- Remhoogte PR 2019 for A&HAS
- Bospoort Agriculture 2019 for Kudzala
- Overlooked Quarry 2019 for Cabanga
- Richards Bay Powerline 2019 for NGT
- Eilandia dam 2019 for ACO
- Eastlands Residential 2019 for HCAC
- Fairview MR 2019 for Cabanga
- Graspan project 2019 for HCAC
- Lieliefontein N&D 2019 for EnviroPro
- Skeerpoort Farm Mast 2020 for HCAC
- Vulindlela Eco village 2020 for 1World
- KwaZamakhule Township 2020 for Kudzala
- Sunset Copper 2020 for Digby Wells
- McCarthy-Salene 2020 for Prescali
- VLNR Lodge 2020 for HCAC
- Madadeni mixed use 2020 for EnviroPro

#### **xi) Research Output**

Publications by M K Bamford up to December 2020 peer-reviewed journals or scholarly books: over 150 articles published; 5 submitted/in press; 10 book chapters.

Scopus h-index = 29; Google scholar h-index = 35; i10-index = 92

Conferences: numerous presentations at local and international conferences.

#### **xii) NRF Rating**

NRF Rating: B-2 (2016-2020)

NRF Rating: B-3 (2010-2015)

NRF Rating: B-3 (2005-2009)

NRF Rating: C-2 (1999-2004)