Palaeontological Impact Assessment for the proposed lattice mast on Varkenskraal, Ventersdorp, North West Province

Desktop Study (Phase 1)

For

Heritage Contracts and Archaeological Consulting

29 August 2021

Prof Marion Bamford
Palaeobotanist
P Bag 652, WITS 2050
Johannesburg, South Africa
Marion.bamford@wits.ac.za

Expertise of Specialist

The Palaeontologist Consultant: Prof Marion Bamford
Qualifications: PhD (Wits Univ, 1990); FRSSAf, ASSAf
Experience: 32 years research; 24 years PIA studies

Declaration of Independence

This report has been compiled by Professor Marion Bamford, of the University of the Witwatersrand, sub-contracted by Heritage Contracts and Archaeological Consulting, Modimolle, South Africa. The views expressed in this report are entirely those of the author and no other interest was displayed during the decision making process for the Project.

Specialist: Prof Marion Bamford

Signature: 

Executive Summary

A Palaeontological Impact Assessment was requested for the proposed 55m high lattice mast on Portion 20 of the farm Varkenskraal 93 IQ, on Road D331, Ventersdorp Area, Dr Kenneth Kaunda District, North West Province.

In order to comply with the regulations of the South African Heritage Resources Agency (SAHRA) in terms of Section 38(8) of the National Heritage Resources Act, 1999 (Act No. 25 of 1999) (NHRA), a desktop Palaeontological Impact Assessment (PIA) was completed for the proposed project.

The proposed site lies on the sandstones of the Black Reef formation (basal-most Transvaal Supergroup) that might preserve trace fossils of microbial activity such has been recorded from other formations, but not this one. The chances of finding such fossils is extremely low, nonetheless a Fossil Chance Find Protocol should be added to the EMPr. Based on this information it is recommended that no further palaeontological assessment is required unless the responsible person on site finds fossils once excavations for foundations have commenced. As far as the palaeontology is concerned, the project may be authorised.

Table of Contents

1. Background

A Palaeontological Impact Assessment was requested for the proposed 55m high lattice mast on Portion 20 of the farm Varkenskraal 93 IQ, on Road D331, Ventersdorp Area, Dr Kenneth Kaunda District, North West Province. The mast will have a footprint of 10 x 10m and include a container for controls and backup. Direct access to the mast for construction and maintenance is from Road D331 and the electricity supply is in place.

A Palaeontological Impact Assessment was requested for the Varkenskraal lattice mast project. To comply with the regulations of the South African Heritage Resources Agency (SAHRA) in terms of Section 38(8) of the National Heritage Resources Act, 1999 (Act No. 25 of 1999) (NHRA), a desktop Palaeontological Impact Assessment (PIA) was completed for the proposed development and is reported herein.

Table 1: Specialist report requirements in terms of Appendix 6 of the EIA Regulations (amended 2017)

	A specialist report prepared in terms of the Environmental Impact Regulations of 2017 must contain:	**Relevant section in report**
ai	Details of the specialist who prepared the report	Appendix B
aii	The expertise of that person to compile a specialist report including a curriculum vitae	Appendix B
b	A declaration that the person is independent in a form as may be specified by the competent authority	Page 1
c	An indication of the scope of, and the purpose for which, the report was prepared	Section 1
ci	An indication of the quality and age of the base data used for the specialist report: SAHRIS palaeosensitivity map accessed – date of this report	Yes
cii	A description of existing impacts on the site, cumulative impacts of the proposed development and levels of acceptable change	Section 5
d	The date and season of the site investigation and the relevance of the season to the outcome of the assessment	N/A
e	A description of the methodology adopted in preparing the report or carrying out the specialised process	Section 2
f	The specific identified sensitivity of the site related to the activity and its associated structures and infrastructure	Section 4
g	An identification of any areas to be avoided, including buffers	N/A
h	A map superimposing the activity including the associated structures and infrastructure on the environmental sensitivities of the site including areas to be avoided, including buffers;	N/A
i	A description of any assumptions made and any uncertainties or gaps in knowledge;	Section 5

j	A description of the findings and potential implications of such findings on the impact of the proposed activity, including identified alternatives, on the environment	Section 4
k	Any mitigation measures for inclusion in the EMPr	Section 8, Appendix A
l	Any conditions for inclusion in the environmental authorisation	N/A
m	Any monitoring requirements for inclusion in the EMPr or environmental authorisation	Section 8, Appendix A
ni	A reasoned opinion as to whether the proposed activity or portions thereof should be authorised	Section 6
nii	If the opinion is that the proposed activity or portions thereof should be authorised, any avoidance, management and mitigation measures that should be included in the EMPr, and where applicable, the closure plan	Sections 6, 8
o	A description of any consultation process that was undertaken during the course of carrying out the study	N/A
p	A summary and copies if any comments that were received during any consultation process	N/A
q	Any other information requested by the competent authority.	N/A

Figure 1: Google Earth map general view of the propose Varkenskraal mast, just south of Klerkskraal Dam, Ventersdorp.

Figure 2: Google Earth map of the proposed site for the Varkenskraal mast, near Ventersdorp with the site shown by the yellow pin. Map supplied by HCAC.

2. Methods and Terms of Reference

The Terms of Reference (ToR) for this study were to undertake a PIA and provide feasible management measures to comply with the requirements of SAHRA.
The methods employed to address the ToR included:

1. Consultation of geological maps, literature, palaeontological databases, published and unpublished records to determine the likelihood of fossils occurring in the affected areas. Sources included records housed at the Evolutionary Studies Institute at the University of the Witwatersrand and SAHRA databases;
2. Where necessary, site visits by a qualified palaeontologist to locate any fossils and assess their importance (*not applicable to this assessment*);
3. Where appropriate, collection of unique or rare fossils with the necessary permits for storage and curation at an appropriate facility (*not applicable to this assessment*); and
4. Determination of fossils' representivity or scientific importance to decide if the fossils can be destroyed or a representative sample collected (*not applicable to this assessment*).

3. Geology and Palaeontology

i. Project location and geological context



Figure 2: Geological map of the area around the proposed mast site on Farm Varkenskraal 93. The location of the proposed project is indicated within the yellow circle. Abbreviations of the rock types are explained in Table 2. Map enlarged from the Geological Survey 1: 250 000 map 2626 West Rand.

Table 2: Explanation of symbols for the geological map and approximate ages (Eriksson et al., 2006. Johnson et al., 2006; van der Westhuizen et al., 2006). SG = Supergroup; Fm = Formation; Ma = million years; grey shading = formations impacted by the project.

Symbol	Group/Formation	Lithology	Approximate Age
Q	Quaternary	Alluvium, sand, calcrete	Neogene, ca 2.5 Ma to present
Vo	Oaktree Fm, Malmani Subgroup, Chuniespoort Group, Transvaal SG	Dark chert-poor dolomite	Ca 2750 – 2650 Ma
Vmd	Malmani Subgroup, Chuniespoort Group, Transvaal SG	Dolomite, chert	Ca 2750 – 2650 Ma
Vbr	Black Reef Fm, Transvaal SG	Diamictites, sandstone, conglomerate, shale, basalt	Ca 2650 – 2640 Ma

Symbol	Group/Formation	Lithology	Approximate Age
R-Vk	Kameeldoorn Fm, Platberg Group, Ventersdorp SG	Shale, siltstone, quartzite, greywacke, conglomerate, breccia	Ca 2700 Ma
Rk	Klipriviersberg Group, Ventersdorp SG	Mafic lava, tuff, amygdaloidal or porphyritic in places	Ca 2700 Ma
Zg	Granite, gneiss, unnamed	Granite, gneiss	Ca 3100 Ma

The proposed site for the mast lies in the central Transvaal Basin which is in the Kaapvaal Craton. The oldest rocks in the are the undifferentiated basement granites and gneisses and they are unconformably overlain by the predominantly volcanic rocks of the Ventersdorp Supergroup, namely the Klipriviersberg Group (Figure 3, Table 2). There is also a small outcrop of the Kameeldoorn Formation.

Only the basal members of the Transvaal Supergroup rocks are exposed in this area. At the base is the Black Reef Formation that is composed of diamictites, sandstone, conglomerate, shale, basalt that were deposited in a tectonically stable sag basin. Then a marine incursion began the formation of a carbonate platform (the Malmani Subgroup, Chuniespoort Group))

The Malmani Subgroup is up to 2000m thick and has been divided into five formations based on the composition of cherts, stromatolites, limestones and shales. At the base, overlying the Black Reef Formation, is the base is the Oaktree Formation that represents a transition from siliciclastic sedimentation to platform carbonates (Eriksson et al., 2006). It is composed of carbonaceous shales, stromatolitic dolomites and locally developed quartzites. Next is the Monte Christo Formation that has an erosive breccia base and continues with stromatolitic and oolitic platformal dolomites. Above that is the Lyttleton Formation that is composed of shales, quartzites and stromatolitic and dolomites. The overlying Eccles Formation includes a series of erosion breccias that locally contain gold deposits. This mineralisation has been attributed to hydrothermal remobilisation of fluids by the Bushveld complex (Eriksson et al., 2006). The topmost formation is the Frisco Formation that is composed mainly of stromatolitic dolomites but these become more shale rich towards the top of the sequence because of the deepening depositional environment.

Much more recently, during the Late Quaternary, the rocks have eroded and the sands, gravels and eventually soils been deposited by rivers and wind activity.

ii. Palaeontological context

The palaeontological sensitivity of the area under consideration is presented in Figure 4. The site for development is on the Black Reef Formation. According to Eriksson et al. (2006), the formation forms a very widespread thin sheet sandstone, varying in thickness from a few to about 30m. In some places it is thicker where is infills the uneven topography below. The sandstones were laid down in fluvial to deltaic to shallow marine conditions in an epeiric sea so there is possibility that shoreline facies are present, and even some microbially induced structures such as have been found in the younger rocks of the Magaliesberg Formation

(Eriksson et al., 2021). No larger life forms were present at this time (ca 2700 Ma; Plumstead, 1969; Cohen, 1995), only unicellular or filamentous algae and bacteria. No fossils have been recorded from the Black Reef Formation to date.



Figure 3: SAHRIS palaeosensitivity map for the site for the proposed Varkenskraal mast shown within the yellow rectangle. Background colours indicate the following degrees of sensitivity: red = very highly sensitive; orange/yellow = high; green = moderate; blue = low; grey = insignificant/zero.

From the SAHRIS map above the project site is indicated as moderately sensitive (green) for the Black Reef Formation, so a desktop study is required. However, there do not appear to be any records of fossils occurring in the Black Reef Formation.

4. Impact assessment

An assessment of the potential impacts to possible palaeontological resources considers the criteria encapsulated in Table 3:

TABLE 3A: CRITERIA FOR ASSESSING IMPACTS

PART A: DEFINITION AND CRITERIA		
Criteria for ranking of the SEVERITY/NATURE of environmental impacts	**H**	Substantial deterioration (death, illness or injury). Recommended level will often be violated. Vigorous community action.
	M	Moderate/ measurable deterioration (discomfort). Recommended level will occasionally be violated. Widespread complaints.

	L	Minor deterioration (nuisance or minor deterioration). Change not measurable/ will remain in the current range. Recommended level will never be violated. Sporadic complaints.
	L+	Minor improvement. Change not measurable/ will remain in the current range. Recommended level will never be violated. Sporadic complaints.
	M+	Moderate improvement. Will be within or better than the recommended level. No observed reaction.
	H+	Substantial improvement. Will be within or better than the recommended level. Favourable publicity.
Criteria for ranking the DURATION of impacts	**L**	Quickly reversible. Less than the project life. Short term
	M	Reversible over time. Life of the project. Medium term
	H	Permanent. Beyond closure. Long term.
Criteria for ranking the SPATIAL SCALE of impacts	**L**	Localised - Within the site boundary.
	M	Fairly widespread – Beyond the site boundary. Local
	H	Widespread – Far beyond site boundary. Regional/ national
PROBABILITY (of exposure to impacts)	**H**	Definite/ Continuous
	M	Possible/ frequent
	L	Unlikely/ seldom

TABLE 3B: IMPACT ASSESSMENT

PART B: ASSESSMENT		
SEVERITY/NATURE	**H**	-
	M	-
	L	The rocks are too old for body fossils but microbes were present at the time of deposition; so far, there are no records from the Black Reef Fm of trace fossils so it is very unlikely that fossils occur on the site. The impact would be very unlikely.
	L+	-
	M+	-
	H+	-
DURATION	**L**	-
	M	-
	H	Where manifest, the impact will be permanent.
SPATIAL SCALE	**L**	Since the only possible fossils within the area would be trace fossils of microbes in the sandstones of the Black Reef Fm, the spatial scale will be localised within the site boundary.
	M	-
	H	-
PROBABILITY	**H**	**-**
	M	**-**
	L	It is extremely unlikely that any fossils would be found in the loose sands or sandstones that will be excavate for foundations. Nonetheless, a Fossil Chance Find Protocol should be added to the eventual EMPr.

Based on the nature of the project, surface activities may impact upon the fossil heritage if preserved in the development footprint. The geological structures suggest that the rocks are much too old to contain boy fossils, but microbes were around at that time. Furthermore, the material to be excavated is the overlying soils or sands and these do not preserve fossils. Since there is an extremely small chance that trace fossils of microbial activity in the Black Reef Formation may be disturbed a Fossil Chance Find Protocol has been added to this report.

Taking account of the defined criteria, the potential impact to fossil heritage resources is extremely low.

5. Assumptions and uncertainties

Based on the geology of the area and the palaeontological record as we know it, it can be assumed that the formation and layout of the dolomites, sandstones, shales and sands are typical for the country and do not contain fossil plant, insect, invertebrate and vertebrate material. Although the depositional setting of the Black Reef Formation is fluvial, deltaic or shallow marine, and in other formations, there is some evidence of microbial activity, none has been reported for the Black Reef Formation. The sands of the Quaternary period would not preserve fossils.

6. Recommendation

Based on experience and the lack of any previously recorded fossils from the area, it is extremely unlikely that any fossils would be preserved in the overlying soils and sands of the Quaternary. There is a very small chance that trace fossils or traces of microbial activity may occur in sandstones of the Black Reef Formation (Transvaal Supergroup) so a Fossil Chance Find Protocol should be added to the EMP. If trace fossils of microbial activity are found by the environmental officer, or other responsible person, once excavations for the foundations have commenced, then they should be rescued and a palaeontologist called to assess and collect a representative sample (See section 8 and Appendix A).

7. References

Cowan, R., 1995. History of Life. 2nd Edition. Blackwell Scientific Publications, Boston. 462pp.

Eriksson, P.G., Altermann, W., Hartzer, F.J., 2006. The Transvaal Supergroup and its pre-cursors. In: Johnson, M.R., Anhaeusser, C.R. and Thomas, R.J., (Eds). The Geology of South Africa. Geological Society of South Africa, Johannesburg / Council for Geoscience, Pretoria. pp 237-260.

Eriksson, P.G., Bartman, R., Catuneanu, O., Mazumder, R., Lenhardt, N., 2012. A case study of microbial mats-related features in coastal epeiric sandstones from the Palaeoproterozoic Pretoria Group, Transvaal Supergroup, Kaapvaal craton, South Africa; the effect of preservation (reflecting sequence stratigraphic models) on the relationship between mat features and inferred palaeoenvironment. Sedimentary Geology 263, 67-75.

Plumstead, E.P., 1969. Three thousand million years of plant life in Africa. Geological Society of southern Africa, Annexure to Volume LXXII. 72pp + 25 plates.

Van der Westhuizen, W.A., de Bruiyn, H., Meintjes, P.G., 2006. The Ventersdorp Supergroup. In: Johnson, M.R., Anhaeusser, C.R. and Thomas, R.J., (Eds). The Geology of South Africa. Geological Society of South Africa, Johannesburg / Council for Geoscience, Pretoria. pp 187-208.

8. Chance Find Protocol

Monitoring Programme for Palaeontology – to commence once the excavations / drilling activities begin.

1. The following procedure is only required if fossils are seen on the surface and when drilling/excavations commence.
2. When excavations begin the rocks and must be given a cursory inspection by the environmental officer or designated person. Any fossiliferous material (trace fossils, plants, insects, bone, coal) should be put aside in a suitably protected place. This way the project activities will not be interrupted.
3. Photographs of similar fossils must be provided to the developer to assist in recognizing the fossil plants, vertebrates, invertebrates or trace fossils in the shales and mudstones (for example see Figure 5, 6). This information will be built into the EMP's training and awareness plan and procedures.
4. Photographs of the putative fossils can be sent to the palaeontologist for a preliminary assessment.
5. If there is any possible fossil material found by the developer/environmental officer then the qualified palaeontologist sub-contracted for this project, should visit the site to inspect the selected material and check the dumps where feasible.
6. Fossil plants or vertebrates that are considered to be of good quality or scientific interest by the palaeontologist must be removed, catalogued and housed in a suitable institution where they can be made available for further study. Before the fossils are removed from the site a SAHRA permit must be obtained. Annual reports must be submitted to SAHRA as required by the relevant permits.
7. If no good fossil material is recovered then no site inspections by the palaeontologist will be necessary. A final report by the palaeontologist must be sent to SAHRA once the project has been completed and only if there are fossils.
8. If no fossils are found and the excavations have finished then no further monitoring is required.

Appendix A – Examples of fossils from the younger Magaliesberg Formation (Pretoria Group, Transvaal Supergroup).



Figure 5: Examples of trace fossils from the Magaliesberg Formation (from Eriksson et al., 2012:

Fig. 6. Mat-related structures (MRS) from the Magaliesberg Formation collected from two study sites and typical of the MRS to be found associated with the ripple fields (Fig. 5) at most outcrops. (A) Polygonal petee ridges, showing two orders; (B) *Manchuriophycus*, sinuous sand cracks formed within ripple troughs (photo: Pieter Bosch); (C) reticulate crack pattern of "elephant skin texture", preserved as negative features on the sole of a sandstone bed; (D) ripple crest sand cracks; note secondary cracks cutting across ripple troughs, and main crack bifurcation; (E) sand cracks, localized within ripple troughs; in contrast to those shown in B (*Manchuriophycus*), these cracks are relatively straight and approximately parallel to ripple crests; and (F)

wrinkle structures (top left part of block near pen) passing into wedge-shaped petee ridges (bottom right of drilled quarry block). Modified from Eriksson et al. (2010).



Microbially induced sedimentary structures (MISS), Moodies Group. A: Wrinkle structure and subsequently formed syneresis cracks on fine-grained sandstone bedding plane; scale: 10 cm. B: Wrinkle structure and desiccation cracks on sandstone bedding plane; scale: 2 cm. C: Rollup structure; scale: 1 cm; for comparison, modern roll-up structure from tidal flats of Fishermans Island, Virginia, USA, is shown on right; scale: 1 cm.

Noffke, N., Eriksson, K.A., Hazen, R.M., Simpson, E.L. 2006. A new window into Early Archean life: Microbial mats in Earth's oldest siliciclastic tidal deposits (3.2 Ga Moodies Group, South Africa). Geology 34, 253–256.

Figure 6: Examples of microbially induced sedimentary structures found from various sites in ancient shoreline facies.

Appendix B – Details of specialist

Curriculum vitae (short) - Marion Bamford PhD
July 2021

I) Personal details

Surname	:	**Bamford**
First names	:	**Marion Kathleen**
Present employment	:	Professor; Director of the Evolutionary Studies Institute. Member Management Committee of the NRF/DST Centre of Excellence Palaeosciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa-
Telephone	:	+27 11 717 6690
Fax	:	+27 11 717 6694
Cell	:	082 555 6937
E-mail	:	marion.bamford@wits.ac.za ; marionbamford12@gmail.com

ii) Academic qualifications

Tertiary Education: All at the University of the Witwatersrand:
1980-1982: BSc, majors in Botany and Microbiology. Graduated April 1983.
1983: BSc Honours, Botany and Palaeobotany. Graduated April 1984.
1984-1986: MSc in Palaeobotany. Graduated with Distinction, November 1986.
1986-1989: PhD in Palaeobotany. Graduated in June 1990.

iii) Professional qualifications

Wood Anatomy Training (overseas as nothing was available in South Africa):
1994 - Service d'Anatomie des Bois, Musée Royal de l'Afrique Centrale, Tervuren, Belgium, by Roger Dechamps
1997 - Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris, France, by Dr Jean-Claude Koeniguer
1997 - Université Claude Bernard, Lyon, France by Prof Georges Barale, Dr Jean-Pierre Gros, and Dr Marc Philippe

iv) Membership of professional bodies/associations

Palaeontological Society of Southern Africa
Royal Society of Southern Africa - Fellow: 2006 onwards
Academy of Sciences of South Africa - Member: Oct 2014 onwards
International Association of Wood Anatomists - First enrolled: January 1991
International Organization of Palaeobotany – 1993+
Botanical Society of South Africa
South African Committee on Stratigraphy – Biostratigraphy - 1997 - 2016

SASQUA (South African Society for Quaternary Research) – 1997+
PAGES - 2008 –onwards: South African representative
ROCEEH / WAVE – 2008+
INQUA – PALCOMM – 2011+onwards

vii) Supervision of Higher Degrees

All at Wits University

Degree	Graduated/completed	Current
Honours	11	0
Masters	10	4
PhD	11	4
Postdoctoral fellows	10	5

viii) Undergraduate teaching

Geology II – Palaeobotany GEOL2008 – average 65 students per year
Biology III – Palaeobotany APES3029 – average 25 students per year
Honours – Evolution of Terrestrial Ecosystems; African Plio-Pleistocene Palaeoecology; Micropalaeontology – average 2-8 students per year.

ix) Editing and reviewing

Editor: Palaeontologia africana: 2003 to 2013; 2014 – Assistant editor
Guest Editor: Quaternary International: 2005 volume
Member of Board of Review: Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology: 2010 –

Review of manuscripts for ISI-listed journals: 25 local and international journals

x) Palaeontological Impact Assessments

Selected – list not complete:

- Thukela Biosphere Conservancy 1996; 2002 for DWAF
- Vioolsdrift 2007 for Xibula Exploration
- Rietfontein 2009 for Zitholele Consulting
- Bloeddrift-Baken 2010 for TransHex
- New Kleinfontein Gold Mine 2012 for Prime Resources (Pty) Ltd.
- Thabazimbi Iron Cave 2012 for Professional Grave Solutions (Pty) Ltd
- Delmas 2013 for Jones and Wagener
- Klipfontein 2013 for Jones and Wagener
- Platinum mine 2013 for Lonmin
- Syferfontein 2014 for Digby Wells
- Canyon Springs 2014 for Prime Resources
- Kimberley Eskom 2014 for Landscape Dynamics
- Yzermyne 2014 for Digby Wells
- Matimba 2015 for Royal HaskoningDV
- Commissiekraal 2015 for SLR
- Harmony PV 2015 for Savannah Environmental

- Glencore-Tweefontein 2015 for Digby Wells
- Umkomazi 2015 for JLB Consulting
- Ixia coal 2016 for Digby Wells
- Lambda Eskom for Digby Wells
- Alexander Scoping for SLR
- Perseus-Kronos-Aries Eskom 2016 for NGT
- Mala Mala 2017 for Henwood
- Modimolle 2017 for Green Vision
- Klipoortjie and Finaalspan 2017 for Delta BEC
- Ledjadja borrow pits 2018 for Digby Wells
- Lungile poultry farm 2018 for CTS
- Olienhout Dam 2018 for JP Celliers
- Isondlo and Kwasobabili 2018 for GCS
- Kanakies Gypsum 2018 for Cabanga
- Nababeep Copper mine 2018
- Glencore-Mbali pipeline 2018 for Digby Wells
- Remhoogte PR 2019 for A&HAS
- Bospoort Agriculture 2019 for Kudzala
- Overlooked Quarry 2019 for Cabanga
- Richards Bay Powerline 2019 for NGT
- Eilandia dam 2019 for ACO
- Eastlands Residential 2019 for HCAC
- Fairview MR 2019 for Cabanga
- Graspan project 2019 for HCAC
- Lieliefontein N&D 2019 for EnviroPro
- Skeerpoort Farm Mast 2020 for HCAC
- Vulindlela Eco village 2020 for 1World
- KwaZamakhule Township 2020 for Kudzala
- Sunset Copper 2020 for Digby Wells
- McCarthy-Salene 2020 for Prescali
- VLNR Lodge 2020 for HCAC
- Madadeni mixed use 2020 for EnviroPro
- Frankfort-Windfield Eskom Powerline 2020 for 1World
- Beaufort West PV Facility 2021 for ACO Associates
- Copper Sunset MR 2021 for Digby Wells
- Sannaspos PV facility 2021 for CTS Heritage
- Smithfield-Rouxville-Zastron PL 2021 for TheroServe

xi) Research Output

Publications by M K Bamford up to July 2021 peer-reviewed journals or scholarly books: over 150 articles published; 5 submitted/in press; 10 book chapters.
Scopus h-index = 29; Google scholar h-index = 35; -i10-index = 92
Conferences: numerous presentations at local and international conferences.

xii) NRF Rating

NRF Rating: B-2 (2016-2020)
NRF Rating: B-3 (2010-2015)
NRF Rating: B-3 (2005-2009)
NRF Rating: C-2 (1999-2004)