

Palaeontological Impact Assessment for the proposed Birdsong Vodacom Mast, NNE of Modimolle, Limpopo Province

Desktop Study (Phase 1)

For

Beyond Heritage

19 November 2022

Prof Marion Bamford

Palaeobotanist

P Bag 652, WITS 2050

Johannesburg, South Africa

Marion.bamford@wits.ac.za

Expertise of Specialist

The Palaeontologist Consultant: Prof Marion Bamford
Qualifications: PhD (Wits Univ, 1990); FRSSAf, mASSAf
Experience: 33 years research and lecturing in Palaeontology
25 years PIA studies and over 300 projects completed

Declaration of Independence

This report has been compiled by Professor Marion Bamford, of the University of the Witwatersrand, sub-contracted by Beyond Heritage, Modimolle, South Africa. The views expressed in this report are entirely those of the author and no other interest was displayed during the decision making process for the Project.

Specialist: Prof Marion Bamford

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'MKBamford', written over a horizontal line.

Signature:

Executive Summary

A Palaeontological Impact Assessment was requested for the proposed construction of a Vodacom mast north- northeast of Modimolle, in the Modimolle-Mookgopong District Municipality, Limpopo Province. The mast will be on Portion 21 of Farm Rietspruit 412KR.

To comply with the regulations of the South African Heritage Resources Agency (SAHRA) in terms of Section 38(8) of the National Heritage Resources Act, 1999 (Act No. 25 of 1999) (NHRA), a desktop Palaeontological Impact Assessment (PIA) was completed for the proposed development.

The proposed site lies on the Swaershoek Formation sandstones (Nylstroom Group, Waterberg Supergroup) that could preserve trace fossils such as microbialites. These are very difficult to recognise, nonetheless, a Fossil Chance Find Protocol should be added to the EMPr. Based on this information it is recommended that no further palaeontological impact assessment is required unless fossils are found by the contractor, environmental officer or other designated responsible person once excavations or drilling activities have commenced. Since the impact will be low, as far as the palaeontology is concerned, the project should be authorised.

Table of Contents

Expertise of Specialist	1
Declaration of Independence	1
1. Background	4
2. Methods and Terms of Reference	7
3. Geology and Palaeontology	7
i. Project location and geological context	7
ii. Palaeontological context.....	9
4. Impact assessment.....	10
5. Assumptions and uncertainties	11
6. Recommendation.....	12
7. References.....	12
8. Chance Find Protocol	13
9. Appendix A – Examples of fossils	14
10. Appendix B – Details of specialist.....	16
Figure 1: Site map of the general area to show the relative land marks.	6
Figure 2: Google Earth Map of the proposed development	6
Figure 3: Geological map of the area around the project site.....	7
Figure 4: SAHRIS palaeosensitivity map for the site	9

1. Background

Vodacom proposes to construct a lattice-style telecommunications mast on Portion 31 of Farm Rietspruit 412 KR close to Birdsong Cottages. The site is north-northeast of the town of Modimolle and just to the west of the R101 between Modimolle and Mookgopong. The position is at 24° 39' 12.62" S and 28° 26' 30.36" E. The mast will require a 10 x10m footprint with a palisade fence, road access along the D1359. This will be called the Birdsong_Res mast (Figures 1-2).

A Palaeontological Impact Assessment was requested for the Birdsong Mast project. To comply with the regulations of the South African Heritage Resources Agency (SAHRA) in terms of Section 38(8) of the National Heritage Resources Act, 1999 (Act No. 25 of 1999) (NHRA), a desktop Palaeontological Impact Assessment (PIA) was completed for the proposed development and is reported herein.

Table 1: National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998) (NEMA) and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations, 2014 (as amended) - Requirements for Specialist Reports (Appendix 6).

	A specialist report prepared in terms of the Environmental Impact Regulations of 2017 must contain:	Relevant section in report
ai	Details of the specialist who prepared the report,	Appendix B
aii	The expertise of that person to compile a specialist report including a curriculum vitae	Appendix B
b	A declaration that the person is independent in a form as may be specified by the competent authority	Page 1
c	An indication of the scope of, and the purpose for which, the report was prepared	Section 1
ci	An indication of the quality and age of the base data used for the specialist report: SAHRIS palaeosensitivity map accessed – date of this report	Yes
cii	A description of existing impacts on the site, cumulative impacts of the proposed development and levels of acceptable change	Section 5
d	The date and season of the site investigation and the relevance of the season to the outcome of the assessment	N/A
e	A description of the methodology adopted in preparing the report or carrying out the specialised process	Section 2
f	The specific identified sensitivity of the site related to the activity and its associated structures and infrastructure	Section 4
g	An identification of any areas to be avoided, including buffers	N/A

	A specialist report prepared in terms of the Environmental Impact Regulations of 2017 must contain:	Relevant section in report
h	A map superimposing the activity including the associated structures and infrastructure on the environmental sensitivities of the site including areas to be avoided, including buffers;	N/A
i	A description of any assumptions made and any uncertainties or gaps in knowledge;	Section 5
j	A description of the findings and potential implications of such findings on the impact of the proposed activity, including identified alternatives, on the environment	Section 4
k	Any mitigation measures for inclusion in the EMPr	Section 8, Appendix A
l	Any conditions for inclusion in the environmental authorisation	N/A
m	Any monitoring requirements for inclusion in the EMPr or environmental authorisation	Section 8, Appendix A
ni	A reasoned opinion as to whether the proposed activity or portions thereof should be authorised	Section 6
nii	If the opinion is that the proposed activity or portions thereof should be authorised, any avoidance, management and mitigation measures that should be included in the EMPr, and where applicable, the closure plan	Sections 6, 8
o	A description of any consultation process that was undertaken during the course of carrying out the study	N/A
p	A summary and copies of any comments that were received during any consultation process	N/A
q	Any other information requested by the competent authority.	N/A
2	Where a government notice gazetted by the Minister provides for any protocol or minimum information requirement to be applied to a specialist report, the requirements as indicated in such notice will apply.	N/A




Figure 1: Locality map of the general area to show the location of the Birdsong Mast and relative land marks, as indicated.



Figure 2: Google Earth Map of the proposed Birdsong Mast indicated by the red dot. Map supplied by Vodacom.

2. Methods and Terms of Reference

The Terms of Reference (ToR) for this study were to undertake a PIA and provide feasible management measures to comply with the requirements of SAHRA.

The methods employed to address the ToR included:

1. Consultation of geological maps, literature, palaeontological databases, published and unpublished records to determine the likelihood of fossils occurring in the affected areas. Sources include records housed at the Evolutionary Studies Institute at the University of the Witwatersrand and SAHRA databases;
2. Where necessary, site visits by a qualified palaeontologist to locate any fossils and assess their importance (*not applicable to this assessment*);
3. Where appropriate, collection of unique or rare fossils with the necessary permits for storage and curation at an appropriate facility (*not applicable to this assessment*); and
4. Determination of fossils' representivity or scientific importance to decide if the fossils can be destroyed or a representative sample collected (*not applicable to this assessment*).

3. Geology and Palaeontology

i. Project location and geological context




Figure 3: Geological map of the area around the Birdsong Mast on Farm Rietspruit 412 KR. The location of the proposed project is indicated within the yellow circle. Abbreviations of the rock types are explained in Table 2. Map enlarged from the Geological Survey 1: 250 000 map 2428 Nylstroom.

Table 2: Explanation of symbols for the geological map and approximate ages (Barker et al., 2006. Zeh et al., 2020). SG = Supergroup; Fm = Formation; Ma = million years; grey shading = formations impacted by the project.

Symbol	Group/Formation	Lithology	Approximate Age
Q	Quaternary	Alluvium, sand, calcrete	Quaternary, ca 1.0 Ma to present
Mag	Alma Fm, Nylstroom Group, Waterberg SG	Feldspathic and micaceous sandstone	Palaeoproterozoic Ca 2080 – 1880 Ma
Ms	Swaershoek Fm, Nylstroom Group, Waterberg SG	Sandstone, pebble sandstone, tuff, greywacke	Palaeoproterozoic Ca 2080 – 1880 Ma
Vdi	diabase	Diabase volcanic rock	Post-Transvaal SG
Vs	Sckrikkloof Fm, Rooiberg Group, Transvaal SG	Felsic lava	Palaeoproterozoic Ca 2056 Ma

The project lies in the Nylstroom Basin that contains the various types of sandstones of the Waterberg Supergroup. The slightly older Schrikkloof Formation lavas occur to the north and very young allium and sands of Quaternary age occupy the river valleys and watercourses (Figure 3).

The Palaeoproterozoic rocks of southern Africa occur in Limpopo, Mpumalanga and Gauteng Provinces and extend westwards into Botswana, and occur in three basins. Three main strata are recognised, the Soutspansberg Group, the Waterberg Group and the Blouberg Formation. A number of attempts have been made to correlate the strata in the different basins, namely the Waterberg Basin, the Soutspansberg Basin and the Middelburg Basin.

The Soutspansberg Group, in the Soutspansberg Basin, rests unconformably on Archaean granulite-grade gneisses as well as on the Blouberg Formation and Mogalakwena Formation of the Waterberg Group (Barker et al., 2006) and is unconformably overlain by the much younger Karoo Supergroup Rocks.

The Waterberg Group occurs in the Waterberg and Nylstroom Basins (Barker et al., 2006) and rests unconformably on rocks of the Transvaal Supergroup and the Bushveld Complex. It is overlain by Karoo Supergroup rocks. Three subgroups are recognised throughout the main Waterberg Basin but only the oldest subgroup occurs in the Nylstroom Basin. Different formations are noted in the south, southwest and central areas compared to the North, northeast and central areas according to SACS, (1980). The three subgroups are the Nylstroom Subgroup that has been divided in to the lower Swaershoek Formation and upper Alma Formation. The Langkloof Formation or series is an old name. The Matlabas Subgroup is the middle stratum. The upper Kransberg Subgroup completes this sequence.

The Nylstroom Basin has only the basal Nylstroom Subgroup and this is divided into the lower **Swaershoek Formation** and the **Alma Formation**.

The Waterberg Group was deposited between 2000 and 1700 million years ago, well after the Great Oxidation Event (GOE, ca 2.5Ga) so oxygen was available and these shallow water deposits are known as red beds. The Nylstroom Subgroup forms a crude upward-fining sequence with rudites and arenites at the base and grading to lutites and well-sorted arenites at the top. The overlying Kransberg Subgroup forms a second, similar, upward-fining sequence in the Waterberg Basin (Barker et al., 2006).

ii. Palaeontological context




Figure 4: SAHRIS palaeosensitivity map for the site for the proposed Birdsong Mast shown within the yellow rectangle. Background colours indicate the following degrees of sensitivity: red = very highly sensitive; orange/yellow = high; green = moderate; blue = low; grey = insignificant/zero.

The palaeontological sensitivity of the area under consideration is presented in Figure 4. The site for development is in the Swaershoek Formation. The Waterberg Group sandstones represent four phases of sedimentary infilling of the three ancient basins. There is some evidence for periodic arid conditions indicated in the Makgabeng Formation from the dunes and cross-bedding, and the braided streams channel sandstones in the Mogalakwena Formation (Corcoran et al., 2013). In contrast, Simpson et al. (2013) advocate the presence of microbial mats using the terminology of Noffke et al. (2001). Microbial activity is recognised by the very subtle sedimentary structures such as roll-up structures, sand cracks, wrinkle structures, tufted microbial mats, biological soils crusts and gas-escape features. These structures have only been found in the

Makgabeng Formation but the SAHRIS palaeosensitivity map, based on the Palaeotechnical Report for Limpopo (Groenewald et al., 2014), suggests that they may be more widespread.

4. Impact assessment

An assessment of the potential impacts to possible palaeontological resources considers the criteria encapsulated in Table 3:

Table 3a: Criteria for assessing impacts

PART A: DEFINITION AND CRITERIA		
Criteria for ranking of the SEVERITY/NATURE of environmental impacts	H	Substantial deterioration (death, illness or injury). Recommended level will often be violated. Vigorous community action.
	M	Moderate/ measurable deterioration (discomfort). Recommended level will occasionally be violated. Widespread complaints.
	L	Minor deterioration (nuisance or minor deterioration). Change not measurable/ will remain in the current range. Recommended level will never be violated. Sporadic complaints.
	L+	Minor improvement. Change not measurable/ will remain in the current range. Recommended level will never be violated. Sporadic complaints.
	M+	Moderate improvement. Will be within or better than the recommended level. No observed reaction.
	H+	Substantial improvement. Will be within or better than the recommended level. Favourable publicity.
Criteria for ranking the DURATION of impacts	L	Quickly reversible. Less than the project life. Short term
	M	Reversible over time. Life of the project. Medium term
	H	Permanent. Beyond closure. Long term.
Criteria for ranking the SPATIAL SCALE of impacts	L	Localised - Within the site boundary.
	M	Fairly widespread – Beyond the site boundary. Local
	H	Widespread – Far beyond site boundary. Regional/ national
PROBABILITY (of exposure to impacts)	H	Definite/ Continuous
	M	Possible/ frequent
	L	Unlikely/ seldom

Table 3b: Impact Assessment

PART B: Assessment		
SEVERITY/NATURE	H	-
	M	-

PART B: Assessment		
	L	Soils do not preserve fossils; so far there are no records from the Swaershoek Fm of trace fossils in this region so it is very unlikely that fossils occur on the site. The impact would be negligible
	L+	-
	M+	-
	H+	-
DURATION	L	-
	M	-
	H	Where manifest, the impact will be permanent.
SPATIAL SCALE	L	Since the only possible fossils within the area would be trace fossils such as microbialites in the sandstones, the spatial scale will be localised within the site boundary.
	M	-
	H	-
PROBABILITY	H	-
	M	-
	L	It is extremely unlikely that any fossils would be found in the loose soils and sands that cover the area or in the sandstones that will be excavated for foundations. Nonetheless, a Fossil Chance Find Protocol should be added to the eventual EMPr.

Based on the nature of the project, surface activities may impact upon the fossil heritage if preserved in the development footprint. The geological structures suggest that the rocks are either much too old to contain body fossils or rather coarse so trace fossils would be difficult to recognise. Furthermore, the material to be excavated is soil and this does not preserve fossils. Since there is an extremely small chance that fossils from the Swaershoek Formation may be disturbed a Fossil Chance Find Protocol has been added to this report. Taking account of the defined criteria, the potential impact to fossil heritage resources is extremely low.

5. Assumptions and uncertainties

Based on the geology of the area and the palaeontological record as we know it, it can be assumed that the formation and layout of the dolomites, sandstones, shales and sands are typical for the country and only some contain trace fossils such as microbialites (roll-up structures, sand cracks, wrinkle structures, tufted microbial mats, biological soils crusts and gas-escape features). The soils and sands of the Quaternary period would not preserve fossils.

6. Recommendation

Based on experience and the lack of any previously recorded fossils from the area, it is extremely unlikely that any fossils would be preserved in the overlying soils and sands of the Quaternary. There is a very small chance that trace fossils may occur in the sandstones of the Swaershoek Formation although none has been recorded previously. Nonetheless, a Fossil Chance Find Protocol should be added to the EMPr. If fossils are found by the contractor, environmental officer or other responsible person once excavations for foundations and amenities have commenced then they should be rescued and a palaeontologist called to assess and collect a representative sample. The impact on the palaeontological heritage would be low, therefore as far as the palaeontology is concerned, the project should be authorised.

7. References

Barker, O B., Brandl, G., Callaghan, C.C., Erikssen, P.G., van der Neut, M., 2006. The Soutpansberg and Waterberg Groups and the Blouberg Formation. In: Johnson, M.R., Anhaeusser, C.R. and Thomas, R.J., (Eds). *The Geology of South Africa*. Geological Society of South Africa, Johannesburg / Council for Geoscience, Pretoria. Pp 301-318.

Corcoran, P.L., Bumby, A.J. and Davis, D.W., 2013. The Paleoproterozoic Waterberg Group, South Africa: provenance and its relation to the timing of the Limpopo orogeny. *Precambrian Research*, 230, 45-60.

Groenewald, G., Groenewald, D., Groenewald, S., 2014. SAHRA Palaeotechnical Report. Palaeontological Heritage of Limpopo. 22 pages.

Noffke, N., Gerdes, G., Klenke, Th., Krumbein, W.E., 2001. Microbially induced sedimentary structures indicating climatological, hydrologically, and depositional conditions within recent and Pleistocene coastal facies zones (southern Tunisia). *Facies* 44, 23-30.

Simpson, E.L., Heness, E., Bumby, A., Eriksson, P.G., Eriksson, K.A, Hilbert-Wolf, H.L., Linnevelt, S., Malenda, H.F., Modungwa, T., Okaforba, O.J., 2013. Evidence for 2.0 Ga continental microbial mats in a paleodesert setting. *Precambrian Research* 327, 36-50.

South African Committee for Stratigraphy (SACS), 1980. *Stratigraphy of South Africa. Part 1 (Comp. L.E. Kent). Lithostratigraphy of the Republic of South Africa, South West Africa/Namibia, and the republics of Bophuthatswana, Transkei and Venda.* Handbook Geological Survey of South Africa, 8, 689pp.

Zeh, A., Wilson, A.H., Gerdes, A., 2020. Zircon U-Pb-Hf isotope systematics of Transvaal Supergroup – Constraints for the geodynamic evolution of the Kaapvaal Craton and its hinterland between 2.65 and 2.06 Ga. *Precambrian Research* 345, 105760.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.precamres.2020.105760>

8. Chance Find Protocol

Monitoring Programme for Palaeontology – to commence once the excavation activities begin.

1. The following procedure is only required if fossils are seen on the surface and when drilling/excavations commence.
2. When excavations begin the rocks and discard must be given a cursory inspection by the environmental officer or designated person. Any fossiliferous material (trace fossils such as wrinkles, curl-ups, etc.) should be put aside in a suitably protected place. This way the project activities will not be interrupted.
3. Photographs of similar fossils must be provided to the developer to assist in recognizing the fossil plants, vertebrates, invertebrates or trace fossils in the shales and mudstones (for example see Figures 5-6). This information will be built into the EMP's training and awareness plan and procedures.
4. Photographs of the putative fossils can be sent to the palaeontologist for a preliminary assessment.
5. If there is any possible fossil material found by the developer/environmental officer then the qualified palaeontologist sub-contracted for this project, should visit the site to inspect the selected material and check the dumps where feasible.
6. Fossil plants or vertebrates that are considered to be of good quality or scientific interest by the palaeontologist must be removed, catalogued and housed in a suitable institution where they can be made available for further study. Before the fossils are removed from the site a SAHRA permit must be obtained. Annual reports must be submitted to SAHRA as required by the relevant permits.
7. If no good fossil material is recovered then no site inspections by the palaeontologist will be necessary. A final report by the palaeontologist must be sent to SAHRA once the project has been completed and only if there are fossils.
8. If no fossils are found and the excavations have finished then no further monitoring is required.

9. Appendix A – Examples of fossils from the Waterberg Supergroup




Figure 5: Simpson et al., 2013 - Fig. 7. Photographs of sand cracks. (A) Photomosaic of sand cracks in interdune deposit. Asymmetrical ripples cover the bedding plane. Scale card is 6 cm in length. Outlined block is slab in C. (B) Line drawing of crack system in A. (C) Slab photograph of sand cracks. Note the curving nature of the cracks and elevated crack rims. (D) Line drawing of crack system in C.




Figure 6: Simpson et al., 2013 - Fig. 10. Field photographs of the wrinkled mats on bedding planes. (A) Outcropping of wrinkled mats in interdune deposit. Scale is in cm. (B) Enlargement showing complex, wrinkle morphology. Scale is in cm. (C) Wrinkle mat close up. In top portion is an elevated mound with radiating wrinkles. Scale is 5 cm.

10. Appendix B – Details of specialist

Curriculum vitae (short) - Marion Bamford PhD July 2022

i) Personal details

Surname : **Bamford**
First names : **Marion Kathleen**
Present employment: Professor; Director of the Evolutionary Studies Institute.
Member Management Committee of the NRF/DST Centre of Excellence Palaeosciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa
Telephone : +27 11 717 6690
Fax : +27 11 717 6694
Cell : 082 555 6937
E-mail : marion.bamford@wits.ac.za ;
marionbamford12@gmail.com

ii) Academic qualifications

Tertiary Education: All at the University of the Witwatersrand:
1980-1982: BSc, majors in Botany and Microbiology. Graduated April 1983.
1983: BSc Honours, Botany and Palaeobotany. Graduated April 1984.
1984-1986: MSc in Palaeobotany. Graduated with Distinction, November 1986.
1986-1989: PhD in Palaeobotany. Graduated in June 1990.
NRF Rating: C-2 (1999-2004); B-3 (2005-2015); B-2 (2016-2020); B-1 (2021-2026)

iii) Professional qualifications

Wood Anatomy Training (overseas as nothing was available in South Africa):
1994 - Service d'Anatomie des Bois, Musée Royal de l'Afrique Centrale, Tervuren, Belgium, by Roger Dechamps
1997 - Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris, France, by Dr Jean-Claude Koeniguer
1997 - Université Claude Bernard, Lyon, France by Prof Georges Barale, Dr Jean-Pierre Gros, and Dr Marc Philippe

iv) Membership of professional bodies/associations

Palaeontological Society of Southern Africa
Royal Society of Southern Africa - Fellow: 2006 onwards
Academy of Sciences of South Africa - Member: Oct 2014 onwards
International Association of Wood Anatomists - First enrolled: January 1991
International Organization of Palaeobotany – 1993+
Botanical Society of South Africa
South African Committee on Stratigraphy – Biostratigraphy - 1997 - 2016
SASQUA (South African Society for Quaternary Research) – 1997+
PAGES - 2008 –onwards: South African representative

ROCEEH / WAVE – 2008+
INQUA – PALCOMM – 2011+onwards

vii) Supervision of Higher Degrees

All at Wits University

Degree	Graduated/completed	Current
Honours	13	0
Masters	11	3
PhD	11	6
Postdoctoral fellows	15	2

viii) Undergraduate teaching

Geology II – Palaeobotany GEOL2008 – average 65 students per year
Biology III – Palaeobotany APES3029 – average 45 students per year
Honours – Evolution of Terrestrial Ecosystems; African Plio-Pleistocene Palaeoecology;
Micropalaeontology – average 12-20 students per year.

ix) Editing and reviewing

Editor: *Palaeontologia africana*: 2003 to 2013; 2014 – Assistant editor
Guest Editor: *Quaternary International*: 2005 volume
Member of Board of Review: *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology*: 2010 –
Associate Editor *Open Science UK*: 2021 -
Review of manuscripts for ISI-listed journals: 30 local and international journals
Reviewing of funding applications for NRF, PAST, NWO, SIDA, National Geographic,
Leakey Foundation

x) Palaeontological Impact Assessments

Selected from the past five years only – list not complete:

- Mala Mala 2017 for Henwood
- Modimolle 2017 for Green Vision
- Klippoortjie and Finaalspan 2017 for Delta BEC
- Ledjadja borrow pits 2018 for Digby Wells
- Lungile poultry farm 2018 for CTS
- Olienhout Dam 2018 for JP Celliers
- Isondlo and Kwasobabili 2018 for GCS
- Kanakies Gypsum 2018 for Cabanga
- Nababeep Copper mine 2018
- Glencore-Mbali pipeline 2018 for Digby Wells
- Remhoogte PR 2019 for A&HAS
- Bospoort Agriculture 2019 for Kudzala
- Overlooked Quarry 2019 for Cabanga
- Richards Bay Powerline 2019 for NGT
- Eilandia dam 2019 for ACO
- Eastlands Residential 2019 for HCAC
- Fairview MR 2019 for Cabanga
- Graspan project 2019 for HCAC
- Lielifontein N&D 2019 for EnviroPro
- Skeerpoort Farm Mast 2020 for HCAC

- Vulindlela Eco village 2020 for 1World
- KwaZamakhule Township 2020 for Kudzala
- Sunset Copper 2020 for Digby Wells
- McCarthy-Salene 2020 for Prescali
- VLNR Lodge 2020 for HCAC
- Madadeni mixed use 2020 for EnviroPro
- Frankfort-Windfield Eskom Powerline 2020 for 1World
- Beaufort West PV Facility 2021 for ACO Associates
- Copper Sunset MR 2021 for Digby Wells
- Sannaspos PV facility 2021 for CTS Heritage
- Smithfield-Rouxville-Zastron PL 2021 for TheroServe

xi) Research Output

Publications by M K Bamford up to July 2022 peer-reviewed journals or scholarly books: over 165 articles published; 5 submitted/in press; 10 book chapters.

Scopus h-index = 30; Google scholar h-index = 35; -i10-index = 92

Conferences: numerous presentations at local and international conferences.