

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT AT ERASMUS PARK (WATERKLOOF 378 JR), PRETORIA, GAUTENG

DESKTOP STUDY PALAEOLOGY

Compiled by: Dr JF Durand (Sci.Nat.)

For:

EXIGO3

Exigo Sustainability (Pty) Ltd
The Village Office Park
309 Glenwood Road
Faerie Glen
Pretoria
0043

27 April 2017

Table of Contents:

1. Introduction.....	3
2. Terms of reference for the report.....	4
3. Details of study area and the type of assessment.....	7
4. Geological setting	8
5. Palaeontological assessment.....	10
6. Conclusion and Recommendations.....	11
7. Declaration of Independence.....	11

List of Figures:

Figure 1: Google Earth photo indicating the study site.....	7
Figure 2: Geological Map of the study area and surroundings (adapted from the Pretoria 2528 1: 250 000 Geology Map, Geological Survey, 1978) The study site is indicated by the red rectangle.....	8
Figure 3: Palaeontological sensitivity map of the study area and surroundings (SAHRA, 2017). The blue rectangle indicates the study site.....	9

1. Introduction

The palaeontological heritage of South Africa is unsurpassed and can only be described in superlatives. The South African palaeontological record gives us insight in i.a. the origin of dinosaurs and mammals. Fossils are also used to identify rock strata and determine the geological context of the subregion with other continents and played a crucial role in the discovery of Gondwanaland and the formulation of the theory of plate tectonics. South Africa is probably best known palaeontologically for having more than half of all the hominin specimens in the world, the greatest variety of hominins in a country and the longest record of continuous hominin occupation in the world.

The Heritage Act of South Africa stipulates that fossils and fossil sites may not be altered or destroyed. The purpose of this document is to detail the probability of finding fossils in the study area which may be impacted by the proposed development.

2. Terms of reference for the report

According to the South African Heritage Resources Act (Act 25 of 1999) (Republic of South Africa, 1999), certain clauses are relevant to palaeontological aspects for a terrain suitability assessment.

- **Subsection 35(4)** No person may, without a permit issued by the responsible heritage resources authority-
 - (a) destroy, damage, excavate, alter, deface or otherwise disturb any archaeological or palaeontological site or any meteorite;
 - (b) destroy, damage, excavate, remove from its original position, collect or own any archaeological or palaeontological material or object or any meteorite;
 - (c) trade in, sell for private gain, export or attempt to export from the republic any category of archaeological or palaeontological material or object, or any meteorite; or
 - (d) bring onto or use at an archaeological or palaeontological site any excavation equipment or any equipment which assist with the detection or recovery of metals or archaeological material or objects, or use such equipment for the recovery of meteorites.
- **Subsection 35(5)** When the responsible heritage resources authority has reasonable cause to believe that any activity or development which will destroy, damage or alter any archaeological or palaeontological site is under way, and where no application for a permit has been submitted and no heritage resources management procedures in terms of section 38 has been followed, it may-
 - (a) serve on the owner or occupier of the site or on the person undertaking such development an order for the development to cease immediately for such period as is specified in the order;
 - (b) carry out an investigation for the purpose of obtaining information on whether or not an archaeological or palaeontological site exists and whether mitigation is necessary;
 - (c) if mitigation is deemed by the heritage resources authority to be necessary, assist the person on whom the order has been served under paragraph (a) to apply for a permit as required in subsection (4); and
 - (d) recover the costs of such investigation from the owner or occupier of the land on which it is believed an archaeological or palaeontological site is located or from the person proposing to undertake the development if no application for a permit is received within two weeks of the order being served.

South Africa's unique and non-renewable palaeontological heritage is protected in terms of the NHRA. According to this act, heritage resources may not be excavated, damaged, destroyed or otherwise impacted by any development without prior assessment and without a permit from the relevant heritage resources authority.

As areas are developed and landscapes are modified, heritage resources, including palaeontological resources, are threatened. As such, both the environmental and heritage legislation require that development activities must be preceded by an assessment of the impact undertaken by qualified professionals. Palaeontological

Impact Assessments (PIAs) are specialist reports that form part of the wider heritage component of:

- Heritage Impact Assessments (HIAs) called for in terms of Section 38 of the National Heritage Resources Act, Act No. 25, 1999 by a heritage resources authority.
- Environmental Impact Assessment process as required in terms of other legislation listed in s. 38(8) of NHRA;
- Environmental Management Plans (EMPs) required by the Department of Mineral Resources.

HIAs are intended to ensure that all heritage resources are protected, and where it is not possible to preserve them in situ, appropriate mitigation measures are applied. An HIA is a comprehensive study that comprises a palaeontological, archaeological, built environment, living heritage, etc specialist studies. Palaeontologists must acknowledge this and ensure that they collaborate with other heritage practitioners. Where palaeontologists are engaged for the entire HIA, they must refer heritage components for which they do not have expertise on to appropriate specialists. Where they are engaged specifically for the palaeontology, they must draw the attention of environmental consultants and developers to the need for assessment of other aspects of heritage. In this sense, Palaeontological Impact Assessments that are part of Heritage Impact Assessments are similar to specialist reports that form part of the EIA reports.

The standards and procedures discussed here are therefore meant to guide the conduct of PIAs and specialists undertaking such studies must adhere to them. The process of assessment for the palaeontological (PIA) specialist components of heritage impact assessments, involves:

Scoping stage in line with regulation 28 of the National Environmental Management Act (No. 107 of 1998) Regulations on Environmental Impact Assessment. This involves an **initial assessment** where the specialist evaluates the scope of the project (based, for example, on NID/BIDs) and advises on the form and extent of the assessment process. At this stage the palaeontologist may also decide to compile a **Letter of Recommendation for Exemption from further Palaeontological Studies**. This letter will state that there is little or no likelihood that any significant fossil resources will be impacted by the development. This letter should present a reasoned case for exemption, supported by consultation of the relevant geological maps and key literature.

A **Palaeontological Desktop Study** – the palaeontologist will investigate available resources (geological maps, scientific literature, previous impact assessment reports, institutional fossil collections, satellite images or aerial photos , etc) to inform an assessment of fossil heritage and/or exposure of potentially fossiliferous rocks within the study area. A Desktop studies will conclude whether a further field assessment is warranted or not. Where further studies are required, the desktop study would normally be an integral part of a field assessment of relevant palaeontological resources.

A **Phase 1 Palaeontological Impact Assessment** is generally warranted where rock units of high palaeontological sensitivity are concerned, levels of bedrock exposure within the study area are adequate; large-scale projects with high potential heritage impact are planned; and where the distribution and nature of fossil remains in the proposed project area is unknown. In the recommendations of Phase 1, the specialist will inform whether further monitoring and mitigation are necessary. The Phase 1 should identify the rock units and significant fossil heritage resources present, or by inference likely to be present, within the study area, assess the palaeontological significance of these rock units, fossil sites or other fossil heritage, comment on the impact of the development on palaeontological heritage resources and make recommendations for their mitigation or conservation, or for any further specialist studies that are required in order to adequately assess the nature, distribution and conservation value of palaeontological resources within the study area.

A **Phase 2 Palaeontological Mitigation** involves planning the protection of significant fossil sites, rock units or other palaeontological resources and/or the recording and sampling of fossil heritage that might be lost during development, together with pertinent geological data. The mitigation may take place before and / or during the construction phase of development. The specialist will require a Phase 2 mitigation permit from the relevant Heritage Resources Authority before Phase 2 may be implemented.

A **'Phase 3' Palaeontological Site Conservation and Management Plan** may be required in cases where the site is so important that development will not be allowed, or where development is to co-exist with the resource. Developers may be required to enhance the value of the sites retained on their properties with appropriate interpretive material or displays as a way of promoting access of such resources to the public.

The assessment reports will be assessed by the relevant heritage resources authority, and depending on which piece of legislation triggered the study, a response will be given in the form of a Review Comment or Record of Decision (ROD). In the case of PIAs that are part of EIAs or EMPs, the heritage resources authority will issue a comment or a record of decision that may be forwarded to the consultant or developer, relevant government department or heritage practitioner and where feasible to all three.

3. Details of study area and the type of assessment:



Figure 1: Google Earth photo indicating the study site

The study site to the south of Pretoria is situated on a grassy flat area that slopes gently towards the southwest. The area was selected for a proposed mixed use development which will also involve the upgrading of the Solomon Mahlangu Drive to allow for an access road into the project area (see Fig. 1). The relevant literature and geological maps have been studied for a Desktop Study.

4. Geological setting




Figure 2: Geological Map of the study area and surroundings (adapted from the Pretoria 2528 1: 250 000 Geology Map, Geological Survey, 1978) The study site is indicated by the red rectangle

GEOLOGICAL LEGEND

Geological unit			Age	Lithology
Pe	Ecca Group	Karoo Supergroup	Permian	Shale, shaly sandstone, grit, sandstone, conglomerate, coal in places
Vt	Timeball Hill Formation	Pretoria Group of the Transvaal Supergroup	Vaalian	Shale, siltstone, conglomerate in places
Vt				Shale, siltstone, conglomerate in places, quartzite
Vmd	Malmani Subgroup	Chuniespoort Group of the Transvaal Supergroup		Dolomite
Vmd				Dolomite with chert
di			Vaalian to Post-Mogolian	Diabase

Rocks of the Timeball Hill Formation of the Pretoria Group of the Transvaal Supergroup underlie the study site (see Fig. 2).

The Timeball Hill Formation consists of thick shales and subordinate sandstone that were set down in a fluvio-deltaic basin-fill sedimentation system. A basal black shale facies occurs in association with lavas and pyroclastic rocks. Succeeding rhythmically interbedded mudstones and siltstones and fine-grained sandstones are interpreted as turbidite deposits. These deposits grade upward into the medial Klapperkop Quartzite Member which is interpreted as fluvio-deltaic sandstones which fed the more distal turbidites, tidal reworking of these sands is also inferred. An upper shale member repeats the black shale and rhythmite facies and also includes diamictites and associated wackes (Eriksson *et al.*, 2009).

A small area in the north-eastern corner of the study site is underlain by dolomite with chert. This formation forms part of the Malmani Subgroup of the Chuniespoort Group of the Transvaal Supergroup (Eriksson *et al.*, 2009).

Numerous diabase sills such as the one south of the study site are found in the Transvaal Supergroup.

5. Palaeontological assessment




Figure 3: Palaeontological sensitivity map of the study area and surroundings (SAHRA, 2017). The blue rectangle indicates the study site

Although the study area is situated in an area which is considered to be of high palaeontological sensitivity (see Fig. 3), the Timeball Hill Formation which underlies the study area consists of ferruginous shale, siltstone, conglomerate and tilloid. These rocks are of Vaalian age (2.5 - 2.05 Ga) and are non-fossiliferous.

The only part of the study area which is considered to be of very high palaeontological importance is a limited outcrop of dolomite in the northwestern corner of the study area. This area is not earmarked for development however (see Fig.1).

References:

Eriksson, P.G; Altermann, W. & Hartzler, F.J. (2009) The Transvaal Supergroup and its precursors. *In: Johnson, M.R., Anhaeusser, C.R. & Thomas, R.J. (eds.) The geology of South Africa*, pp. 237-260. Geological Society of South Africa, Marshalltown.

Geological Survey (1978) Pretoria 2528 1: 250 000 Geology Map.

SAHRA (2017) <http://www.sahra.org.za/sahris/map/palaeo> (Accessed on 23 April 2017).

6. Conclusion and recommendations:

The part of the study site which is earmarked for development consists of rocks of the Timeball Hill formation and has no palaeontological importance. The geology underlying the area where the proposed development is to take place consists of non-fossiliferous 2.5-2.05 Ga rocks and it is recommended that the project should be exempted from further palaeontological studies.

7. Declaration of Independence:

I, Jacobus Francois Durand declare that I am an independent consultant and have no business, financial, personal or other interest in the proposed mining project, application or appeal in respect of which I was appointed other than fair remuneration for work performed in connection with the activity, application or appeal. There are no circumstances that compromise the objectivity of my performing such work.



Palaeontological specialist:

Dr JF Durand (Sci. Nat.)

BSc Botany & Zoology (RAU), BSc Zoology (WITS), Museology Dipl. (UP),
Higher Education Diploma (RAU), PhD Palaeontology (WITS)

Experience:

- Urban development in Cradle of Humankind World Heritage Site (Gauteng):
Letamo, Honingklip, Windgat, Sundowners, Ekutheni
- Urban development at Goose Bay, Vereeniging, Gauteng
- Urban development on Portions 98, 99, 179, 236, 284 and 364 of the farm
Waterkloof 306 JQ, Rustenburg, North West Province
- Upgrade of R21 between N12 and Hans Strydom Drive, Gauteng
- Vele Colliery, Limpopo Province
- De Wildt 50 MW Solar Power Station, Gauteng
- 10 MW PV Plant Potchefstroom, North West Province
- Omega 342 50MW Solar Power Station, Viljoenskroon, Free State
- Springfontein wind and solar energy facility, Free State
- Solar power plant, Bethal, Mpumalanga
- Diamond mine on Endora, Limpopo Province
- Development at Tubatse Ext.15, Limpopo Province
- Manganese mine south of Hotazel, Northern Cape
- Wind energy facility at Cookhouse, Eastern Cape
- Energy facility at Noupoot, Northern Cape
- Fluorspar mine near Wallmannsthal, Gauteng
- ESKOM power line, Dumo, KwaZulu-Natal

- ESKOM Gamma-Omega 765KV transmission line, Western Cape
- ESKOM 44KV power line at Elandspruit near Middelburg, Mpumalanga
- ESKOM Makopane Substation, Limpopo Province
- ESKOM Platreef Substation and power lines to Borutho MTS Substation, Limpopo Province
- Solar energy facility at Prieska, Northern Cape.
- Marang B - a 3 x 500MVA 400/132kV Main Transmission Substation east of Rustenburg, North West Province
- Upgrading of storm water infrastructure in Valencia, Addo, Eastern Cape
- Development of a 10 MW Solar Energy facility on the Farm Liverpool 543 KQ Portion 2 at Koedoeskop, Limpopo Province
- Development of a fluorspar mine at Wallmannsthal, North of Pretoria
- Extension of limestone mine on the farms Buffelskraal 554 KQ Portion1 and Krokodilkraal 545 KQ, Limpopo Province
- Lesego Platinum Mine, Sekhukhune Area, Steelpoort, Limpopo Province
- Mine at Hotazel, Northern Cape
- Pollution control dams at Transalloys in Clewer, Emalahleni, Mpumalanga
- Erection of spill points on the Farm Kwikstaart 431 KQ Portion 2, Thabazimbi, Limpopo Province
- Construction of dam at Ethemba, Swaziland
- Construction of bridge at Busingatha, KwaZulu Natal
- Water Reticulation System - Kei Road and Berlin General, Eastern Cape
- Development at Kromdraai, COHWHS (Portion 26 of the Farm Kromdraai, West Rand Municipality)
- Construction of Nhlezi Bridge, KwaZulu Natal
- Erection of spill point and dam on the Farm Faure 72 KQ Portion 8, Makoppa near Thabazimbi, Limpopo Province
- Colliery on the Farm Goedeheop near Piet Retief, Mpumalanga
- Erection of spill points on the Farm Diepwater 302 KQ Portions 4 -8 near Thabazimbi, Limpopo Province
- Construction of 2 MW photovoltaic power plant on the farm De Hoek 32, Pixley ka Seme District Municipality, Northern Cape Province
- Road upgrade near Magogo, KwaZulu/Natal
- Construction of haul road & waste dump: Lylyveld, Sishen, Northern Cape
- Construction of 4 weirs and a road culvert on Portion 3 of the Farm Roodekrans 133JT, Dullstroom Area, Mpumalanga
- Construction of a solar energy facility on Blaubospan near Groblershoop, Northern Cape
- Construction of road from Macengeni to Macijo, KwaZulu/Natal
- Construction of the John Taole Gaetsewe school and hostels in Dithakgong, Northern Cape
- Development at Duduza Township, Gauteng
- Construction of roads near Ndanyana KwaZulu/Natal
- Development of colliery on the farm Goedeheop near Piet Retief, Mpumalanga
- Construction of Tiger Solar power plant near Windsorton, Northern Cape
- Development of Amandelbult Open Cast Mine near Thabazimbi, Limpopo
- Development at The Shed in the Cradle of Humankind World Heritage Site

- Development of 800 ha dry lands on Farm Hoylesdale 163 KQ portion 1, Makoppa, Thabazimbi Local municipality, Limpopo Province
- Construction of solar energy facility on Blauwpospan near Groblershoop, Northern Cape.
- Development of the Doornhoek Fluorspar Mine near Zeerust, Northwest.
- Development on the Farm Haakdoringdrift, 373 KQ Portion 3, Thabazimbi, Limpopo Province.
- Development of bulk sewer line, Motherwell, Eastern Cape.
- Erection of spill points on the Farm De Hoop, Koedoeskop, near Thabazimbi, Limpopo Province.
- Development of orchards on the Farm Kromdraai, near Thabazimbi, Limpopo Province.
- Upgrade of Section 3 and Section 4 of the National Route R75, Eastern Cape.
- Construction of Concentrated Power Plants at Olyvenhout Drift, Upington, Northern Cape.
- Borrow pit at New Payne in Mthatha, Eastern Cape.
- Borrow pit for rural road to Centuli Clinic, Eastern Cape.
- Juno Gromis 400kV power line (West Cape and North Cape).
- Barberton IAPS Waste Water Treatment Works, Barberton, Mpumalanga.
- Development of orchards on the Farm Kromdraai, Thabazimbi, Limpopo Province.
- Erection of spill points on the farm Knoppieskop, Limpopo Province.
- Development at O.R. Tambo International Airport, Gauteng.

Palaeontological research:

- Gauteng: Wonder Cave
- KwaZulu/Natal: Newcastle, Mooi River, Rosetta, Impendle, Himeville Underberg, Polela & Howick Districts, Sani Pass
- Eastern Cape: Cradock District, Algoa Basin
- Western Cape: Clanwilliam District
- Free State: Memel & Warden Districts
- Limpopo Province: Nyalaland (KNP), Vhembe Reserve, Pont Drift
- Zimbabwe: Sentinel Ranch, Nottingham