

WETLAND DELINEATION REPORT FOR

The proposed mining development for Diamond kimberlite (DK) and Diamond General (DG) on Farm Viljoenhof 1655 in Boshof, Free State, South Africa.

Report compiled by: Mboyi Divhani

Report No: 2023/WET/04

Date: June 2023

Reg: SACNASP

Tel: +27 (0)83 4541 617

Report compiled for: Biomental Services

10 jenny street

De Aar

7000

Cell: 060 5702 461/068 321 4288

Tel: 053 004 0204

Web: www.biomental.co.za

Email: info@biomental.co.za

Table of Contents

DECLARATION OF CONSULTANT'S INDEPENDENCE	3
1. INTRODUCTION	4
1.1 Wetland functions and values	4
1.2 Types of wetlands	6
1.3 Hydrological Zones.....	7
2. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY.....	10
3. STUDY AREA	11
3.1. LOCALITY	11
3.2. SITE DESCRIPTION.....	11
4. DESCRIPTION OF THE RECEIVING ENVIRONMENT	16
4.1 Geology	16
4.2 Topography	16
4.3 Climate	16
5. METHODS	19
5.1 Wetland delineation	19
5.2 Desktop survey	23
5.3 Wetland assessment.....	23
5.3.1 Present Ecological Status.....	23
5.3.2 Ecological Importance and Sensitivity	24
5.3.2 Wetland Eco services.....	24
6.RESULTS	25
6.1 Wetland delineation	25
6.2 Site Hydrology.....	25
6.3 Wetland assessment.....	25
6.3.1 Present ecological status (PES).....	25
6.3.2 Ecological Importance and Sensitivity (EIS)	28
6.3.2 Wetland ecoservices	30
7. RECOMMENDATIONS.....	31
8. DISCUSSION.....	32
10. REFERENCES	34

List of Tables

Table 1: Direct and indirect wetland benefits (Kotze et al., 2005).....	5
Table 2: The three landscape locations and their associated hydrological subtypes.....	8
Table 3: Relationship between degree of wetness (wetland zone) and vegetation (adapted from Kotze et al., 1994)	22
Table 4: Present Ecological status categories of wetlands (adapted from kleynhans, 1996 & 1999)	23
Table 5: Ecological Importance & Sensitivity Categories of Wetlands.....	24
Table 6: PES classes (from Kleynhans 1999) indicating the interpretation of the mean scores to rate the PES category	26
Table 7: PES calculation for the riverine wetland.....	27
Table 8: EIS calculation of the river.....	29

DECLARATION OF CONSULTANT'S INDEPENDENCE

I Mboyi D, as the appointed specialist hereby declare that I:

- act/ed as the independent specialist in this application;
- regard the information contained in this report as it relates to my specialist input/study to be true and correct, and
- do not have and will not have any financial interest in the undertaking of the activity, other than remuneration for work performed in terms of the NEMA, the Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations, 2014 and any specific environmental management Act;
- will not have any vested interest in the proposed activity proceeding;
- have disclosed, to the applicant, EAP and competent authority, any material information that have or may have the potential to influence the decision of the competent authority or the objectivity of any report, plan or document required in terms of the NEMA, the Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations, 2014 and any specific environmental management Act;
- am fully aware of and meet the responsibilities in terms of NEMA, the Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations, 2014 (specifically in terms of regulation 13 of GN No. R. 543) and any specific environmental management Act, and that failure to comply with these requirements may constitute and result in disqualification;
- have provided the competent authority with access to all information at my disposal regarding the application, whether such information is favourable to the applicant or not; and
- am aware that a false declaration is an offence in terms of regulation 48 of GN No. R. 982.

Mboyi D,

Pr.Sci.Nat :118763

1. INTRODUCTION

Mboyi D as an independent specialist has been appointed to conduct a wetland delineation for the proposed mining development for Diamond kimberlite (DK) and Diamond General (DG) on Farm Viljoenhof 1655 in Boshof, Free State, South Africa. The investigation has been undertaken to form part of the Environmental Impact assessment (EIA), associated management plan (EMP) as well as the Integrated Water Use License Application (IWULA), to be submitted to the Department of Water and Sanitation (DWS).

1.1 Wetland functions and values

The term "wetland" is a generic term for all the different kinds of habitats where the land is wet for some period of time each year, but not necessarily permanently wet. Wetlands are found where the landform (topography) or geology slows down or obstructs the movement of water through the catchment, or where the groundwater surfaces causing the soil layers in the area to be temporarily, seasonally or permanently wet. This provides an environment where particular plants (hydrophytes) that are adapted to wet conditions tend to grow in abundance.

The plants in turn affect the soil and hydrology by further slowing down the movement of water (e.g. reed beds) or by producing organic matter that may accumulate in the soil.

Wetlands are important because of the functions and values that they provide which benefit mankind. These benefits can be either direct or indirect benefits (Table 1). Until very recently the benefits of wetlands to society were often not recognized, and many wetlands have been destroyed, or poorly managed. Wetland benefits refer to: "those functions, products, attributes and services provided by the ecosystem that have values to humans in terms of worth, merit, quality or importance. These benefits may derive from outputs that can be consumed directly; indirect uses which arise from the functions or attributes occurring within the ecosystem; or possible future direct outputs or indirect uses" (Howe et al., 1991 in *Kotze et al.*, 2005).

The functioning of a wetland is also affected by other factors, many of which result from the activities of people. These include "off-site" factors which take place in the surrounding catchment (e.g. a change in land cover from natural grassland to a gum tree plantation which would decrease the amount of water reaching the wetland) and "on-site" factors which take place at the wetland (e.g. fire, draining, damming, etc.).

Table 1: Direct and indirect wetland benefits (*Kotze et al., 2005*)

INDIRECT BENEFITS	DIRECT BENEFITS
<p>Hydrological Benefits include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Water Purification • Sustained stream flow • Flood reduction • Ground water recharge/discharge • Erosion control <p>Biodiversity Conservation- Integrity and irreplaceability</p> <p>Chemical Cycling</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Water Supply • Provision of harvestable resources (reeds etc.) • Socio-cultural significance • Tourism and recreation • Education and research

Their flat and wide nature assists in reducing the velocity of the flow, because of reduced gravitation and spreading of the concentrated channel flow over a wider area. This causes sediment to settle down, thereby purifying the water from sediment, but also of other pollutants adsorbed to the sediments, e.g. bacteria and viruses. The flatness of the surface area also promotes contact between water and sediments because of the shallow nature of the water column, leading to high levels of sediment/soil-water exchanges. The shallow nature also promotes exposure of bacteria and viruses to solar radiation, which assists in the elimination of these from wetland waters (Seidel, 1970; Rogers, 1983 in *Kotze et al., 1994*).

The shallow oxygenated surface water promotes the occurrence of aerobic/anaerobic processes by maximising the aerobic/anaerobic interface where denitrification can occur (Hemond and Benoit, 1988; Hammer, 1992 in *Kotze et al., 1994*). The flat and wide nature of palustrine floodplain-type wetlands is responsible for the greater retention time of these systems relative to the river or channel flow. One of the most important mechanisms for bacterial removal by wetlands is simply detention while natural die-back occurs. Pathogenic micro-organisms found in sewage effluent generally cannot survive for long periods of time outside the host organisms (Hemond and Benoit, 1988 in *Kotze et al., 1994*).

1.2 Types of wetlands


There are many different types of wetlands; and a number of classification systems have been developed to try to describe these different types. One system which has been developed for inland wetland systems is based on the hydrogeomorphic (HGM) characteristics of wetlands (Marneweck and Batchelor, 2002; Kotze *et al.*, 2005) (Figure 1). This approach follows that used by the US Environmental Protection Agency, and this classification system has been included as part of a proposed wetland classification system for South African wetlands by Ewart-Smith *et al.* (2006).

Wetlands can be classified by methods that range from the use of commonly recognized vegetation or cover types to systems based on hydrology, geomorphology, or some combination of the two. The classification presented here is based on the hydrogeomorphic functions of wetlands. There are three basic properties that are used to provide insight into wetland functions.

This hydrogeomorphic classification system classifies wetlands according to their form (geomorph- characteristics) and the way in which water moves in, through and out of the wetland system (hydro- characteristics). The classification system recognises 5 generic palustrine wetland types:

- Pans and depressions (incl. lakes);
- Seepage wetlands;
- Un-channelled valley bottoms;
- Channelled valley bottoms; and
- Floodplains

Different wetlands perform different functions in the landscape.



*Figure 1: This hydrogeomorphic (HGM) classification is an easy classification system for wetlands which can be applied at desktop level. Additionally it has strong links to the hydrological functions, since wetlands are grouped by hydrological characteristics; and it therefore provides insight into the likely functional importance (role in the landscape) that the wetland type is likely to play (Marnewick and Batchelor, 2002; Kotze *et al.*, 2005).*

1.3 Hydrological Zones

As mentioned previously, because of the landscape in which wetlands usually occur, the hydrological regime is not constant throughout the entire wetland. Consider a typical floodplain wetland located within a valley bottom. Depressions could be present within this valley bottom wetland of which the soil surface is below the water table; they are therefore permanently saturated (wet even in the dry winter months). As one moves from these depressions towards the surrounding upland, the general trend will be to move along an incline from the valley bottom towards the foot slopes of the catchment.

Flooding of the perimeter areas of the floodplain will therefore be dependent on the extent of flooding (volume of water per unit of time). During high runoff events, these areas will be flooded, and conversely, during low runoff events they will not be flooded. Consequently the period of flooding, which affects the development of reduced soil conditions, will also be shorter than for the lower lying areas. It can be concluded that within this wetland there exists a hydrological gradient ranging from permanent saturation at its deepest end, to periodic saturation at its shallowest end. At some point within this hydrological gradient the average period of saturation of the soil will be insufficient for this area to develop reduced soil conditions, and to therefore be classified as a wetland.

There are thus regions which range from those which remain permanently flooded and/or saturated for the entire year (permanently saturated) to those which are flooded and/or saturated for 5-11 months of the year (seasonally saturated), or saturated at or close to the soil surface for 1-5 months (temporarily saturated) in the year, but still long enough to develop reduced soil conditions. These areas of different duration of reduced soil conditions are referred to as hydrological zones. Depending on the hydrology, a wetland can possess all three hydrological zones (permanent, seasonal and temporary), any two of them, or only one.

The hydrological zones are recognised by the presence of redoximorphic features within the soil matrix but are distinguished from one another by the relationships in which they occur. The redox concentrations (mottles: the red, yellow and black spots) are close to the soil surface in the seasonal and temporary wet zones of the wetland, while they are much deeper in the soil profile in the non-wetland area. These mottles are absent or far fewer in the permanent wet area due to these areas being mostly, void of oxygen (because of the relatively permanent presence of water) so that oxidation of the colourless Fe^{2+} to Fe^{3+} does not occur as readily as in the temporarily and seasonally wet soils.

The significance of reduction and the resulting redox concentrations and redox depletions in defining a wetland is that it is only once the soil of a piece of land displays these redoximorphic features within the upper 500mm, that it is classified as being a wetland.

In practice it is not easy to identify the subtype as the contribution of groundwater to wetlands is difficult to determine. However, it is important to recognize the existence of the different water transfer mechanisms as they affect various aspects of the wetland ecology (Table 2).

Table 2: The three landscape locations and their associated hydrological subtypes.

Landscape location	Subtype based on water transfer Mechanism
Hillslope wetlands	Surface water-fed Surface and groundwater-fed Groundwater-fed
Valley bottom wetlands	Surface water-fed Surface and groundwater-fed Groundwater-fed
Depression wetlands	Surface water-fed Surface and groundwater-fed Groundwater-fed

(a) Permanent Wet Zone

Of any of the zones, the permanent wet areas have the greatest potential to decrease the velocity of flow due to the high friction value of the vegetation typically associated with this zone (also, due to the flat nature of palustrine type wetlands, they naturally decrease the velocity of flow, even in the absence of vegetation). Due to the permanent wet nature of the soils, it is mostly anaerobic. Although the wetland plants provide substantial surface area for the attachment of microbes, both above-ground and below-ground, due to the aerobic rhizosphere around roots, this process is not as significant in this zone as it is in the seasonal wet zone which is marked

by dry (aerobic) and wet (anaerobic) cycles. Because of the longer prevalence of anaerobic conditions, water purification functions associated with organic matter are more efficient in the permanent wet zone than in the other zones. The prolonged anaerobic conditions of the permanent wet zone promoted flood attenuation and regulation more so than the seasonal wet zone due to conditions (anaerobic) promoting the aggregations of organic material.

(b) Seasonal Wet Zone

Due to the seasonal nature of flooding, aerobic and anaerobic conditions are more favourable for performing water purification functions than in the permanent zone. The seasonal zone is therefore the most important location for water purifying processes dependant on an aerobic/anaerobic environment. Although the frictional value of the seasonal wet zone is not as high as that of the permanent wet zone, it is still sufficient in most cases, depending on the ratio of inflow and surface area, to decrease the velocity sufficiently enough for all water purifying processes to take place (due to the flat nature of palustrine type wetlands, they naturally decrease the velocity of flow, even in the absence of vegetation).

The seasonal wet zone is usually characterised by having a lower organic content than the permanent wet zone due to more oxygen, which promoted the decay of organic material. Processes associated with organic matter are therefore not as efficient in the seasonal wet zone as in the permanent wet zone, but still contribute significantly towards water purification through these processes. The contribution of organic soils in withholding water, thereby performing a flood attenuation and regulation function, is less so than in the case of the permanent wet zones where there is usually a thicker layer of organic material due to the anaerobic conditions which is more characteristic of the permanent wet zone than of the seasonal wet zone.

(c) Temporary Wet Zone

The temporary wet zone is the transitional zone between the wetland and the surrounding dry land. Because of the limited surface area, the limited water volumes they receive, absence of significant aerobic/anaerobic conditions, limited organic material (due to relative short periods of anaerobic conditions) and average plant productivity, temporary wet areas do not contribute significantly to the hydrological functions, e.g. water purification, typically associated with palustrine floodplain type wetlands. Temporary wet areas are, however, more capable of performing hydrological functions than the surrounding dry land and could represent important sites for these functions where no other, or very little of the other, more capable hydrological units occur.

2. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

Mboyi D., an independent Specialist was requested to undertake an assessment of wetlands. The activities for this assessment include the following:

- Desktop review of local, regional and national databases and plans.
- Document the legislative and regulatory context, including limits and standards.
- Delineation of the watercourses (including wetlands) within the site and within 500m of boundary of the site, based on methodologies accepted by the DWS, using aspects such as soil morphological characteristics, vegetation types and wetness.
- Mapping of watercourses (including wetlands).
- Watercourse (and wetland) classification.
- Assessment of the integrated habitat integrity (IHA).
- Assess watercourse services provided by the resources within the site.
- Identification of potential species of conservation concern.
- Surrounding assessment of biota-specific water quality, for parameters including pH, conductivity, dissolved oxygen and temperature.
- Assess the Ecological Importance and Sensitivity (EIS) and Present Ecological State (PES).
- Determine watercourse drivers (hydrology, water quality, sediment balance and the geomorphological regime) and watercourse receptors (habitat and biota).
- Delineation of buffer zones / regulation zones around the watercourses.
- Identify potential impact issues and risks to be assessed during the impact assessment and reporting phase and matters that could affect the project layout and design and selection of project alternatives.

3. STUDY AREA

3.1. LOCALITY

The proposed development site (here after referred as “the site”) is situated 13 km east of Boshof town, within the Boshof district municipality, Free State Province. With relation to major cities, the site is located 27.9 km northeast of Kimberly and 120km west of Bloemfontein. The site covers an area approximately 3.389 ha. The R64 road can be used to access the site. Figure 1 shows the show the locality map and Figure 2 the site satellite map. The central co-ordinates that can be used to locate the site are: 28° 35' 40" S, 25° 03' 43" E

The study area falls within water management area number 05– Vaal. WMA 05 includes the following major rivers Wilge, Liebenbergvlei, Mooi, Renoster, Vals, Sand, Vet, Harts, Molopo, and Vaal Rivers. Water management area 05 primarily drains in region C. Figure 3 shows water management area No. 05.

The study site development has human disturbances history, and thus past activities have completely transformed the natural habitat of the area and created a present-day environment characterised by alien invasive species, weeds and a patchy distribution of secondary grassland vegetation elements.

3.2. SITE DESCRIPTION

The land coverage in the vicinity and within the proposed site is mixed between game farming, hunting and ecotourism.

Locality Plan




Figure 2: Locality map

The study site is located within the Lower Vaal Water Management Area (WMA: No: 05) (Figure 3).



Figure 3: Wetland catchment area

Catchment Analyses

The existing river systems in relation to the proposed site are categorized in 3 Tiers as follows:

- Tier 1- Water Management Area No: 05.
- Tier 2- Quaternary Catchment: C91D.
- Tier 3- Site Specific Catchment Areas

Water management area management

The study area falls within water management area number 05–Vaal. WMA 05 includes the following major rivers Leeu and Vaal Rivers. Water management area 05 primarily drains in region C. Figure 6 shows water management area No. 05.

Quaternary Catchment

A catchment, in relation to a watercourse means the area from which any rainfall will drain into the watercourse or part of the water course through surface flow to a common point, or points (National Water Act, 1998, Act 36 of 1998). The study area is in Quaternary Catchment C91D (Figure 5) which covers an area of approximately 2693.91 km².




Figure 4: Wetland catchment area




Figure 5: Hydrology Map

4. DESCRIPTION OF THE RECEIVING ENVIRONMENT

4.1 Geology

The project area is located within the Loxtonsdal kimberlite cluster which hosts two historical diamond mines. All known kimberlites in this cluster are of the Group II variety. The geology of the area belongs to Kalahari group, with red and grey Aeolian sand. The area is well known to be underlined by dolerite dyke, shale, siltstone and sandstone in isolated areas. Thirty percent of the area has calcrete as part of the underlying geology. The area is mostly covered by Karoo and doleritic intrusions as well as younger Tertiary and Quaternary surficial deposits. Historical unnamed small scale kimberlite diamond mine, 3 formally mapped kimberlite pipes and 3 more confirmed kimberlite bodies. Kimberlites protruded Eccca shales of Karoo sequence (Permian) and Jurassic dolerites.

4.2 Topography

The surrounding land is mostly natural veld. The slope of the area is relatively flat 0.2% with the occurrence of plateau. The site is situated on the Highveld of the inland plateau at an altitude OF 1200m-1400m above sea level.

4.3 Climate

This climatic zone is characterised by hot summers and cold dry winters. Rainfall In the area is unpredictable. The majority of rain (88.2%) falls between October and April in the form of thunderstorms. During this period rain can be expected every 5 days.

The winters are very dry and rainfall is rare. Any precipitation that does take place tends to be brought about by cyclones penetrating the interior of the country. The prevailing winds in this area are from a north-westerly direction. The strongest winds tend to blow from a west-south-westerly direction to a north-north westerly direction and occur from August to December. October and November are the windiest months.

The various climatic parameters such as rainfall data, temperature data, evaporation rates, wind speed and direction have been obtained from the weather station at Kimberley.

Rainfall intensity:

Most of the rainfalls occur during thunderstorms in the summer months as well as during cloud bursts where maximum rainfalls were measured of up to 88mm at a downpour of approximately 24 hours.

Average minimum and maximum temperature:

The average maximum temperature measured during the Summer is 26.57s°C and the minimum during the Winter months is -9.675°C

Average monthly wind direction and speed: -

The prevailing wind direction in the area is mainly from the north to north-westerly with the strongest winds from the west-southwest to north-northwest that occurs between August and December. October and November months are common for high wind speeds of up to 4.85 meter per second.

Average monthly evaporation: -

It is estimated that the average annual evaporation rate is approximately 2365mm which indicates the dry climate conditions in this area. Thus, with an annual rainfall of 380,8mm the net evaporation may be calculated to be 1984mm.

Presence of extreme climate conditions

Hail: This is a very rare occurrence in the region. Hail does however occur at an average of 1,2 days pe year in the area.

Frost: This can occur from April to October and temperatures during this period can be extremely low. The lowest recorded temperature in this area is -7. °C.

Strong winds: Occasional strong winds occur but not often.

Droughts: Temperatures during the summer months frequently exceed 30°C and can reach up to 40°C at times. These high temperatures coupled with low rainfall make the region susceptible to very dry conditions.

4.4 Hydrology

Drainage

The proposed site is in the Lower Vaal Management Area. The site is drained by means of run-off, with storm water collection towards the northwest and north of the site. No prominent surface drainage features are developed within the proposed site boundaries. See below Figure 6.




Figure 6: Lower Vaal Management Area

5. METHODS

5.1 Wetland delineation

The assessment was initiated with a desktop study. Maps and Google Earth™ images were studied in order to determine the position of possible wetlands and/or riparian zones in the study area. All possible wetlands and water courses were subsequently surveyed in order to determine the delineation thereof. The method described by (DWAF, 2005 and 2008). The DWAF guidelines contain a number of stipulations relating to the protection of wetlands and the undertaking of wetland assessments. The guidelines state that a wetland delineation procedure must identify the outer edge of the temporary zone of the wetland, which marks the boundary between the wetland and adjacent terrestrial areas and is that part of the wetland that remains flooded or saturated close to the soil surface for only a few weeks in the year, but long enough to develop anaerobic conditions and determine the nature of the plants growing in the soil.

The guidelines also state that locating the outer edge of the temporary zone must make use of four specific indicators including the terrain unit indicator, the soil form indicator, the soil wetness indicator and the vegetative indicator. In addition, the wetland and a protective buffer zone, beginning from the outer edge of the wetland temporary zone, must be designated as sensitive in a sensitivity map.

The guidelines stipulate buffers to be delineated around the boundary of a wetland; the wetland and a protective buffer zone, beginning from the outer edge of the wetland temporary zone, must be designated as sensitive and a 30m buffer delineated around the edge of the wetland in which no development must be allowed to occur.

For the purposes of this investigation a wetland was defined according to the definition in the National Water Act (1998) as: *“land which is transitional between terrestrial and aquatic systems where the water table is usually at or near the surface, or the land is periodically covered with shallow water, and which in normal circumstances supports or would support vegetation typically adapted to life in saturated soil.”*

Wetland delineation took place according to the method presented in the final draft of “A practical field procedure for identification and delineation of wetlands and riparian areas” published by the department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF, 2005). The method for identification and delineation uses four indicators to indicate/flag the presence of riparian areas and wetlands. These four indicators are:

- Terrain Unit (Location in the landscape)
- Soil form (typical wetland soil forms)
- Vegetation (indicator species or hydrophytes) and
- Soil wetness (evidence of hydric conditions)

For delineation purposes only the wetland boundary is defined as the edge where the hydric indicators are encountered within the top 50cm or 500 mm of the surface, but from a wetland management perspective consideration should extend beyond the boundaries to include the wetland catchment as a whole.

(a) Terrain Unit Indicator:

Identifies those parts of the landscape where wetlands are likely to occur: Pans are usually concentrated in areas with an average slope of less than one degree and are characterised by a lack of integrated drainage. Inundation is usually seasonal or ephemeral. This indicator cannot be used for mapping, but is useful for screening (e.g., desktop screening assessment of where development is proposed in or alongside a valley bottom wetland or river). 1:50 000 topographic maps were used to generate digital base maps onto which the boundaries of the wetland can be delineated using Arcview 9.2. The terrain unit indicator is used for indicating the likely presence of wetlands, but not for delineation purposes.

(b) Soil Form Indicator:

Particular forms of soil are associated with wetlands and display hydromorphic characteristics, and their presence at a site indicates that permanent or periodic (temporary or seasonal) saturation of the soil near the surface occurs. Soils forms are also only indicators of possible wetland presence: i.e. on its own it is not sufficient information to rely on for wetland verification. The exceptions are the Katspruit, Champagne, Willowbrook and Rensburg soil forms which are mostly associated with permanent wetlands. No comprehensive soil survey has been undertaken for the site.

(c) **Vegetation Indicator**

The presence of indicator plant species or hydrophytes can be used to denote the presence of wetlands. This indicator is very useful as verification of the boundaries in undisturbed sites. Soil condition is the primary criterion that signifies waterlogged conditions. These conditions manifest itself through plant communities that can tolerate hydromorphic soils. These plants are hydrophytes that are adapted to stresses imposed on plants through temporary or permanent waterlogged conditions.

(d) **Soil wetness Indicator**

This indicator refers to the colour of soil component is often the most diagnostic indicator of hydromorphic soils. Iron is what gives soil its red-brown colour; the reddish colour originates from iron-oxide (rust) – iron and oxygen. Wetland soils can be permanently, seasonally or temporarily saturated. This normally results in anoxic (low oxygen) conditions in the saturated zone. Soil colour is markedly influenced by the oxidation statues of manganese and iron. Yellow, red and reddish-brown soil form under well-oxidised conditions and greyish colours when aeration is poorer. Under anoxic conditions, iron becomes soluble and can be leached out of the soil.

Where the soil is permanently wet; the iron can all be dissolved out of the soil; resulting in a greyish or blueish colour. This is termed gleying. Prolonged periods of water saturation producing gleysation, where grey and blue mottles are form and are a condition in which hydrophilic plants flourish. Soil that are gleyed or organic soils indicate permanently saturated zones. Where the soil is only saturated on a seasonal basis (at least 3 months per year); the gleying may not be extensive. Instead, due to alternating periods of iron being dissolved and then oxidised, a mottled appearance develops in the soil. Consequently it is possible to identify wetland areas on the basis of soil colour, while mottle hue and chroma initially increase and then decrease the more saturated the soils become (Table 3 & Figure 7).

Table 3: Relationship between degree of wetness (wetland zone) and vegetation (*adapted from Kotze et al., 1994*)

Degree wetness				
	Temporary		Seasonal	Permanent/ Semi-permanent
Soil Depth (0cm-50cm)	Few/no mottles Non-sulphuric		Many mottles Seldom sulphuric	Few / no mottles Often sulphuric
Vegetation	Predominantly sedges and grasses		Predominantly sedges and grasses	Predominantly reeds and sedges

By observing the evidence of these features, in the form of indicators, wetlands can be delineated and identified. If the use of these indicators and the interpretation of the findings are applied correctly, then the resulting delineation can be considered accurate (DWAf 2005).




Figure 7: Cross section through a valley bottom wetland indicating how soil wetness and vegetation indicators change as one moves along a gradient of decreasing wetness, from the permanent wet hydrological zone to the temporarily wet hydrological zone and eventually into the non-wetland or terrestrial zone (Department of Water Affairs and Forestry, 2003 as adapted by Kotze, 1996).

5.2 Desktop survey

The site is disturbed to different degrees, which include permanent and reversible damage to the wetland area within the site. Historic impacts include the human disturbance. The result has been low vegetation surface areas with little to no biodiversity cover or topsoil in many areas. As a result the identification of typical wetland indicators in the form of hydrophytes and hydromorphic soils were restricted on the site.

5.3 Wetland assessment

5.3.1 Present Ecological Status

The Present Ecological State (PES) refers to the current state or condition of a watercourse in terms of all its characteristics and reflects the change to the watercourse from its reference condition. The results from such an assessment are compared to the standard DWAF A-F ecological categories (Table 4) from where the PES/Habitat integrity of the wetland can be determined. The values give an indication of the alterations that have occurred in the wetland system.

Table 4: Present Ecological status categories of wetlands (adapted from kleynhans,1996 & 1999)

Ecological category	Score	Description
A	90-100%	Unmodified, natural.
B	80-90%	Largely natural with few modifications. A small change in natural habitats and biota may have taken place but the ecosystem functions are essentially unchanged
C	60-80%	Moderately modified. Loss and change of natural habitat and biota have occurred, but the basic ecosystem functions are stillpredominantly unchanged.
D	40-60%	Largely modified. A large loss of natural habitat, biota and basic ecosystem functions has occurred
E	20-40%	Seriously modified. The loss of natural habitat, biota and basic ecosystem functions is extensive

F	20-40%	Critically / Extremely modified. Modifications have reached a critical level and the system has been modified completely with an almost complete loss of natural habitat and biota. In the worst instances the basic ecosystem functions have been destroyed and the changes are irreversible.
---	--------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

5.3.2 Ecological Importance and Sensitivity

The Ecological Importance and Sensitivity (EIS) of a watercourse is an expression of its importance to the maintenance of ecological diversity and functioning on local and wider scales, and both abiotic and biotic components of the system are taken into consideration. Sensitivity refers to the system's ability to resist disturbance and its capability to recover from disturbance once it has occurred. The ecological importance and sensitivity categories are indicated in Table 5.

Table 5: Ecological Importance & Sensitivity Categories of Wetlands

EIS Categories	Description
Low/marginal	Not ecologically important and sensitive at any scale. Biodiversity ubiquitous and not sensitive to flow and habitat modifications (Wetlands: play an insignificant role in moderating water quality & quantity)
Moderate	Ecologically important & sensitive on provincial/local scale. Biodiversity not usually sensitive to flow & habitat modifications. (Wetlands: play a small role in moderating water quantity & quality)
High	Ecologically important & sensitive and important. Biodiversity may be sensitive to flow & habitat modifications. (Wetlands: Play a role in moderating water quantity & quality)
Very high	Ecologically important & sensitive on a national (or even international) level. Biodiversity usually very sensitive to flow & habitat modifications. (Wetlands: play a major role in moderating water quantity & quality)

5.3.2 Wetland Eco services

WET-EcoServices (Kotze *et al.* 2004) was used to assess the goods and services that the floodplain provides. This tool provides guidelines for scoring the importance of different ecosystem services delivered by a wetland. The different services are then assessed based on existing knowledge and/or field assessment data. Each of fifteen different categories are assessed based on various characteristics (e.g. size of the wetland, pattern of flow through the wetland, social value and uses, etc.) that are relevant to the particular benefit.

6.RESULTS

6.1 Wetland delineation

The proposed project is located adjacent to the Leeu River a tributary of Vaal River, the river is regarded as a National Freshwater Priority Area by the SANBI. It can be classified in terms of its hydro- geomorphic characteristics, as a **river (FEPA)** that receives both surface and subsurface water input , the delineated river displays a gradient of wetness across its width. Hydrophytes species and terrestrial species dominate the drier portion of the riverbank, while obligated hydrophytes occur in the wetter areas.

The Wetland is surrounded by the Kimberly Thornveld vegetation type, which is characterised by Plains often slightly irregular with well-developed tree layer with *Acacia erioloba*, *A. tortilis*, *A. karroo* and *Boscia albitrunca* and well-developed shrub layer with occasional dense stands of *Tarchonanthus camphoratus* and *A. mellifera*. Grass layer open with much uncovered soil. The vegetation of the central wet to moist portion of the valley bottom wetland (permanently wet area) is dominated by a homogeneous stand of the obligate hydrophytes.

The edge and adjacent areas of the wetland remains largely bare, however in some cases isolated patches of *Cynodon dactylon* (Couch Grass), *Nidorella hottentotica* and *Conyza podocephala* are present. A large number of declared alien invasive species are also present along the edge and around the wetland and pose a huge risk to the larger environment.

6.2 Site Hydrology

The study area's water balance was not greatly influenced by climate imbalance between average evaporation and rainfall as the Vaal River receives high return flows from factories and irrigated areas upstream of the study area. However, caution should be exercised to prevent flooding of infrastructure during the opening of weirs upstream and also during heavy rainfall on the Vaal River system.

6.3 Wetland assessment

6.3.1 Present ecological status (PES)

Table 6 (Kleynhans 1999). It should however be noted that if a score of less than 2 is attributed to any impact, the lowest rating is used to attribute PES class and not the mean.

Table 6: PES classes (from Kleynhans 1999) indicating the interpretation of the mean scores to rate the PES category.

WITHIN GENERALLY ACCEPTABLE RANGE		
Category	Score	Description
A	>4	Unmodified, or approximates natural condition and/or represents a natural condition due to successful rehabilitation process/program(s) which has occurred and/or are in the process of occurring.
B	>3 and 4	Largely natural with few modifications. A small change in natural habitats and biota may have taken place but the ecosystem functions are essentially unchanged
C	>2 and 3	Moderately modified. Loss and change of natural habitat and biota have occurred, but the basic ecosystem functions are still predominantly unchanged.
D	2	Largely modified. A large loss of natural habitats and basic Ecosystem functions has occurred.
OUTSIDE GENERAL ACCEPTABLE RANGE		
E	>0 and 2	Seriously modified. The losses of natural habitats and basic ecosystem functions are extensive
F	0	Critically modified. Modifications have reached a critical level and the system has been modified completely with an almost complete loss of natural habitat

The results from the PES analysis indicate the river to be in PES class E (Table 7) indicating that it is seriously modified with losses of natural habitats and ecosystem functions. This can be ascribed to the effect of the polluted water flowing through the study site and the disturbance next to the study site, the destruction of the natural vegetation and the introduction of alien vegetation along the edge and the rest of the study area, especially along across the river.

Table 7: PES calculation for the riverine wetland.

Criteria and attributes	Relevance	Score	Confidence
Hydrological			
Flow modification	Consequence of abstraction, regulation by impoundments or increased runoff from human settlements or agricultural land. Changes in flow regime (timing, duration, frequency), volumes, velocity which affect inundation of wetland habitats resulting in floristic changes or incorrect cues to biota. Abstraction of groundwater flows to the wetland.	2	4
Permanent Inundation	Consequence of impoundment resulting in destruction of natural wetland habitat and cues for wetland biota.	2	4
Water Quality			
Water Quality Modification	From point or diffuse sources. Measure directly by laboratory analysis or assessed indirectly from human settlements and industrial activities. Aggravated by volumetric decrease in flow delivered to the wetland.	1	4
Sediment load modification	Consequence of reduction due to entrapment by impoundments or increase due to land use practices such as overgrazing. Cause of unnatural rates of erosion, accretion or infilling of wetlands and change in habitats.	2	4
Hydraulic/Geomorphic			
Canalisation	Results in desiccation or changes to inundation patterns of wetland and thus changes in habitats. River diversions	3	4

	ordrainage.		
Topographic Alteration	Consequence of infilling, ploughing, dykes, trampling, bridges, roads, railway lines and other substrate disruptive activities which reduce or changes wetland habitat directly or through changes in inundation patterns.	1	4
Biota			
Terrestrial Encroachment	Consequence of desiccation of wetland and encroachment of terrestrial plant species due to changes in hydrology or geomorphology. Change from wetland to terrestrial habitat and loss of wetland Functions	2	5
Indigenous Vegetation Removal	Direct destruction of habitat through any human activities affecting wildlife habitat and flow attenuation functions, organic matter inputs and increases potential for erosion.	1	5
Invasive plant encroachment	Affect habitat characteristics through changes in community structure and water quality changes (oxygen reduction and shading).	1	5
Alien fauna	Presence of alien fauna affecting faunal community structure.	2	4
Overutilisation of biota	Overgrazing, Over-fishing, etc	4	4
<i>Mean</i>		1.91	4.3
<i>Class</i>		<i>E</i>	

6.3.2 Ecological Importance and Sensitivity (EIS)

The EIS and functions were calculated using the new draft DWA guidelines and model, as developed by M. Rountree, but not yet published. Information was used from the SIBIS and VEGMAP products. A mean score between 0 and 4 is obtained, with 0 as the lowest and 4 as the highest score. No classification of the scores is given.

The Vaal River has an Ecological Importance and Sensitivity (EIS) score of 3 (Table 8). This is a value between 0 and 4, with 0 being very low and 4 very high. The river therefore has a medium EIS score. It is regarded as being ecologically important or sensitive with a low biodiversity though it plays a role in moderating water quality and quantity.

Table 8: EIS calculation of the river

ECOLOGICAL IMPORTANCE AND SENSITIVITY	Score (0-4)	Confidence (1-5)	Motivation
Biodiversity support	0.66	4	
<i>Presence of Red Data species</i>	0.00	<i>4.00</i>	No known red data or protected species recorded on site.
<i>Populations of unique species</i>	0.00	<i>4.00</i>	No unique plant or animal populations.
<i>Migration/breeding/feeding sites</i>	2.00	<i>4.00</i>	Few bird species are recorded on site.
Landscape scale	1.00	4.80	
<i>Protection status of the wetland</i>	1.00	<i>5.00</i>	The wetland and surrounding area has been exposed to various industrial and housing developments surrounding the area.
<i>Protection status of the vegetation type</i>	1.00	<i>5.00</i>	The wetland is not located in an endangered vegetation type. Although dominated by Phragmites it is very homogeneous because of the polluted state of the water. The vegetation surrounding the wetland is mostly pioneer and alien invasive species with few indigenous species present.
<i>Regional context of the ecological integrity</i>	1.00	<i>5.00</i>	The wetland is in PES class E due to the large scale degradation of the surrounding areas as well as the wetland area itself.
<i>Size and rarity of the wetland type/s present</i>	4.00	<i>4.00</i>	The wetland is not particularly rare and has no vulnerable ecosystem present.
<i>Diversity of habitat types</i>	1.00	<i>5.00</i>	The wetland has a low species diversity as well as habitat diversity. The wetland is dominated by a homogeneous stand of Phragmites australis.

6.3.2 Wetland ecoservices

WET-EcoServices (Kotze et al. 2004) was used to assess the goods and services that individual wetlands provide,

thereby aiding informed planning and decision-making. Overall, the riverine wetland has a low-moderate ecosystem services (spider diagram right). It has a low species richness and biodiversity due to the destruction of the natural vegetation and resultant loss of habitat for insects and




Figure 8: Eco services

amphibians. However, the wetland plays a role in terms of sediment trapping, toxicant and phosphate removal, and erosion control.

7. RECOMMENDATIONS

- Limit all activities within the demarcated areas.
- Include environmental awareness aspects into the site induction program to ensure all construction staff are aware of the location and importance of wetland habitats.
- Establish emergency response measures and a clearly defined chain of communication to rapidly deal with any unforeseen impacts to wetlands, e.g. spills.
- No stockpiling of material may take place within the wetland areas and temporary construction camps and infrastructure should also be located outside the wetland footprint.
- Regular cleaning up of the wetland areas should be undertaken to remove litter.
- Design and implement a construction stormwater management plan that aims to minimise the concentration of flow and increase in flow velocity, as well as minimising sediment transport off site.
- Where practically possible, the major earthworks should be undertaken during the dry season (roughly from April to August) to limit erosion due to rainfall runoff.
- Store and handle potentially polluting substances and waste in designated, bunded facilities.
- Waste should be regularly removed from the construction site by suitably equipped and qualified operators and disposed of in approved facilities.
- Locate temporary waste and hazardous substance storage facilities a minimum of 100m from any wetland edge.
- Keep sufficient quantities of spill clean-up materials on site.

8. DISCUSSION

The study area falls within the Lower Vaal water management area (WMA :05). The proposed project is located along the Leeu River a tributary to Vaal River, the local catchment is characterised by game farm and agricultural activities. The areas around the river on the study site have been highly disturbed by anthropogenic activities, with the result that little topsoil and organic material remain. Sedimentation has been taking place. Consequently, topsoil with signs of wetness and organic material are present in along the riverbanks.

A catchment, in relation to a watercourse means the area from which any rainfall will drain into the watercourse or part of the water course through surface flow to a common point, or points (National Water Act, 1998, Act 36 of 1998). The study area is in Quaternary Catchment C19D (Figure 7) which covers an area of approximately 2693.91 km².

The vegetation is homogeneous comprising grass species and patches of Acacia species with a few other species present in the river. The biodiversity and species composition of the total area is low with a **low-moderate ecosystem functioning**. The degradation that has taken place is of such a scale that the damage is considered to be reversible.

9. CONCLUSION

The ecological significance of the river should be viewed in the context of the overall level of functionality of the river, which is thought to be medium, and in the context of the hydrological 'connectivity' of the river, which has resulted from significant historical modification and thus transformation of the ecosystem. It is important to note that the Vaal River catchment contains South Africa's economic heartland, the Pretoria-Witwatersrand- Vereeniging complex. Although the catchment only produces eight per cent of the mean annual runoff of the country it has the highest concentration of urban, industrial, mining and power generation development in South Africa, and thus it important to protect this river.

It must also be remembered that wetlands are protected under the National Water Act, and that the Act does not discriminate between degraded and non-degraded wetlands in terms of their importance. It is therefore recommended that extra caution be taken when prospecting. All alien invasive vegetation along the river banks must be removed and eradicated from the property boundary.

10. REFERENCES

ACOCKS, J.P.H. 1988. Third Edition. Veld Types of South Africa. Memoirs of the Botanical Survey of South Africa No. 57, Botanical Research Institute, RSA

BREEN, C.M. (ED.). 1997. How Wet is a Wetland? – An Introduction to Understanding Wetland Hydrology, Soils and Landforms, Wetland-Use Booklet 2, Share-Net, Wildlife Society of South Africa

BROMILOW, C. 2001. Problem plants of South Africa. Briza Publications, Pretoria.

COLLINS, N.B. 2005. Wetlands: The basics and some more. Free State Department of Tourism, Environmental and Economic Affairs.

(DWAF) DEPARTMENT OF WATER AFFAIRS AND FORESTRY. 2003. A Practical field procedure for identification and delineation of wetlands and riparian areas, Final Draft.

DEPARTMENT OF WATER AFFAIRS AND FORESTRY (2003). National Water resource Strategy (Final Draft). DWAF. Pretoria. South Africa.

DEPARTMENT OF WATER AFFAIRS AND FORESTRY (1998). National Water Act; Act No.36 of 1998. South Africa.

ENVIROGUARD ECOLOGICAL SERVICES. 2010. Wetland delineation for remaining extent of Portion 7 of the Farm Mooifontein 141 IR Gauteng Province. Unpublished report.

(DWAF) DEPARTMENT OF WATER AFFAIRS AND FORESTRY. (2005). A practical field procedure for the identification and delineation of wetlands and riparian areas. DWAF, Pretoria, South Africa.

HENDERSON, L. (2001). Alien weeds and invasive plants – A complete guide to declared weeds and invaders in South Africa. Plant Protection Research Institute, Agricultural Research Council Handbook No 12. Pretoria.

LOW, A. B. AND REBELO, A. G. (EDS) (1998). Vegetation of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland. Department of Environmental Affairs & Tourism, Pretoria.

KOTZE, D.C., KLUG, J.R., HUGHES, J.C. AND BREEN, C.M., 1996. Improved criteria for classifying hydromorphic soils in South Africa. S.Afr. J. Plant and Soil, 13(3)

KOTZE, D.C., MARNEWECK, G.C. Draft document 1999: Guidelines for delineating the wetland boundary and zones within a wetland under the South African Water Act.

KOTZE, D.C., MARNEWECK, G.C., BATCHELOR, A.L., LINDLEY, D.S., AND COLLINS, N.B., 2005. WET-EcoServices - A technique for rapidly assessing ecosystem services supplied by wetlands, Draft unpublished report.

MUCINA, L AND RUTHERFORD, M.C. (eds) 2006. The vegetation of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland. Strelitzia 19. SANBI, Pretoria.

SOIL CLASSIFICATION WORKING GROUP. 1991. Soil Classification: a taxonomic system for South Africa. Memoirs of the Agricultural Natural Resources of South Africa, No 15,

VAN OUDTSHOORN, F. (1999). Guide to grasses of southern Africa. Briza Publications, Pretoria.