

SPATIAL PLANNING & ENVIRONMENT

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT Environment and Heritage Management Branch

CITY HERITAGE COMMENT ON DEVELOPMENT APPLICATIONS POTENTIALLY AFFECTING HERITAGE RESOURCES

PART 1 SITE	AND PROPOSAL F	PARTICULARS	RTICULARS DAMS Case ID:					
Site address:	130 Sir Lowry Roc		, Woodstock		Erven 8019			
Owner		Errol Family Fall Trust	, I VIC		damemoria Tel			
Proposal summary Redevelopment of site								
Previous HWC submission		HWC case no:			Has the work started? Yes \square No \square			
Conservation b	oody	Woodstock Residents Association						
OFFICIAL USE ONLY								
PART 2: HERITA	AGE TRIGGERS & S	IGNIFICANCE			Detail : Victoria Rd HPOZ		Declared PHS	
2013 – 2018 He database (as c on CityMap vie	lisplayed (Prop)		Metro Nei IIIA	ghbourhod IIIB	od Street Contex IIIC	t Poter		
Summary Statement of Significance: District Six is a grade 1 heritage area considered to possess national heritage significance telling a national history of forced removals. Heritage resources are primarily the local townscape precinct with the remains of District Six between the site and Nelson Mandela Boulevard, the altered landscape of District Six south of the boulevard, and key sites such as school, churches and mosques. From a heritage significance aspect, the site precinct is of high value as the remaining built section of District Six. The site is located in what is considered an immediate context of low heritage significance, with the exception of row housing along Francis Street. While not architecturally outstanding, these groupings are of merit in contributing to the character of the area and as remnants of the fabric of District Six.								
PART 3: PRE-SUBMISSION CONSULTATION (EHM ADVICE TO APPLICANT)								
Summary advice to applicant:								
Additional information requested:								
PART 4: CITY (COMMENT ON PRO	OPOSED DEVELO	OSED DEVELOPMENT TO HWC			This does not in any way constitute approval or refusal of an application		
Drawing No(s) VIA by Square	d 3 Aug 2020;		Rev	Dwg dat	e			
Although E&HM is in principle supportive of the redevelopment of the site, we are of the view that the current proposal can be refined in a number of ways to respond more sensitively to the context and the townscape as a heritage resource. Although we largely agree with the assessment of the HIA, we are therefore not supportive of the plan in its current form without further mitigation. See attached E&HM memo dated 04/09/2020.								
Support \square	Not Support ⊠	Officials Name:	B.Irrgang	Official's Signatur		Date	04/09/2020	