

111 HARRINGTON STREET, CAPE TOWN, 8001 PO BOX 4637, CAPE TOWN, 8000 TEL: 021 462 4502 FAX: 021 462 4509

FOR ATTENTION: SAHRA: Northern Cape OR PHRA Northern Cape

FOR OFFICIAL	USE ONLY:
SAHRA File No:	9/2/008/0001

Date Received:

29 August 2006 .....

Date of Comment: 28 September 2006.

Sent to Peer Review:

Date to Peer Review:

SAHRA Contact Person: e.g. Mrs Portia Ramalamula

DME Ref No: NC 30/5/1/3/3/2/1/412 EM

## REVIEW COMMENT ON

## ARCHAEOLOGICAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

BY ARCHAEOLOGIST OF HERITAGE RESOURCES AGENCY

South Africa has a unique and non-renewable archaeological heritage, Archaeological sites are protected in terms of the National Heritage Resources Act (Act No 25 of 1999) and may not be disturbed without a permit. Archaeological Impact Assessments (AIAs) identify and assess the significance of the sites, assess the potential impact of developments upon such sites, and make recommendations concerning mitigation and management of these sites. On the basis of satisfactory specialist reports SAHRA or the relevant heritage resources agency can assess whether or not it has objection to a development and indicate the conditions upon which such development might proceed and assess whether or not to issue permission to destroy such sites.

AIAs often form part of the heritage component of an Environmental Impact Assessment or Environmental Management Plan. They may also form part of a Heritage Impact Assessment called for in terms of section 38 of the National Heritage Resources Act, Act No. 25, 1999. They may have other origins. In any event they should comply with basic minimum standards of reporting as indicated in SAHRA Regulations and Guidelines.

This form provides review comment from the Archaeologist of the relevant heritage resources authority for use by Heritage Managers, for example, when informing authorities that have applied to SAHRA for comment and for inclusion in documentation sent to environmental authorities. It may be used in conjunction with Form B, which provides relevant peer review comment.

PROVINCIAL HERITAGE RESOURCES AUTHORITY: NORTHERN CAPE PROVINCE/ Mr Joas Α. SAHRA PROVINCIAL MANAGER: NORTHERN CAPE PROVINCE: Ms Manong Molebiemang... B. C. AUTHOR(S) OF REPORT: Mr D Morris, McGregor Museum ARCHAEOLOGY CONTRACT GROUP: McGregor Museum D. CONTACT DETAILS: P.O. Box 316, Kimberley, 8300, dmorris@inext.co.za E. F. DATE OF REPORT: August 2006 G. TITLE OF REPORT: Archaeological Impact Assessment at the claim of Mr Setlhabi at Waldeck's Plant, Pniel, near Barkly West, Northern Cape..... H. Please circle as relevant: Archaeological component of EIA / EMP / HIA / CMP Other (Specify)....... I. REPORT COMMISSIONED BY (CONSULTANT OR DEVELOPER): F Setlhabi J. CONTACT DETAILS: F Setlhabi, P.O. Box 1911, Barkly West K. COMMENTS:

Please see comment on next page......

## REVIEW COMMENT ON ARCHAEOLOGICAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

D Morris August 2006, Received 29 August 2006

## ARCHAEOLOGICAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT AT THE CLAIM OF MR SETLHABI AT WALDECK'S PLANT, PNIEL, NEAR BARKLY WEST, NORTHERN CAPE.

This report assesses Waldeck's Plant near Barkly West with respect to proposed mining. Mr Setlhabi's claim is just one of 15 claims within an area not clearly marked on the ground (see report for co-ordinates of the area investigated). Mr Morris notes in his report that Mr Setlhabi was the only one of the fifteen prospective claim-holders who requested an AIA.

Over much of the area there is a low density (mostly less than 1 artefact/ 10m²) of quartzite Middle Stone Age flakes. The more prominent heritage features by far are the traces of twentieth century diamond diggings in the area, including small settlements, including the bases of dwellings, which evidently also reflect the history of forced resettlement. One particular cluster, at the top of the ridge may be worth more detailed documentation, and could be used in the longer term as heritage/tourism resources, but there may be better examples. A Later Stone Age upper grindstone was found near the settlement.

The report recommends that archaeologists of the McGregor Museum should be allowed to carry out inspections from time to time in order to assess the nature of the sedimentation and possible cultural stratigraphy in sections opened up by mining.

If the recommendations made in the specialist are adhered to, the SAHRA Archaeology, Palaeontology and Meteorite Unit has no objection to the development (in terms of the archaeological and palaeontological components of the heritage resources). If any new evidence of sites, graves or other features is found during development, construction or mining, an archaeologist must be alerted immediately.

Decisions on Built Environment (e.g. structures over 60 years) and Cultural Landscapes must be made by the Northern Cape Provincial Heritage Authority (Mr Joas Sinthumule jsinthumule@bp.ncape.gov.za) to whom this Archaeological Review Comment will be copied.

NAME OF ARCHAEOLOGIST PROCESSING RE	PORT: Porta Ramalamula
SIGNATURE OF SAHRA HEAD ARCHAEOLOG	IST: // Wuf
	$\mathcal{A}$
	mleslie@sahra.org.za
NAME OF HERITAGE RESOURCES AGENCY:	SAHRA

PLEASE NOTE THAT THE COMMENT (ABOVE OR APPENDED) CONSTITUTES THE COMMENT OF THE HERITAGE RESOURCES AGENCY ARCHAEOLOGIST AND THAT ANY DEVELOPMENT THAT INVOLVES DESTRUCTION OF ANY ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE IS STILL SUBJECT TO A PERMIT/PERMISSION FOR DESTRUCTION OF SUCH SITE GIVEN TO THE DEVELOPER BY THE RELEVANT HERITAGE RESOURCES AGENCY ARCHAEOLOGICAL PERMIT COMMITTEE (THIS WILL BE SUBJECT TO APPROVAL OF THE PHASE 2 OR ARCHAEOLOGICAL MITIGATION AS NECESSARY). THIS REPORT MAY BE TAKEN ONLY AS APPROVAL, IN PRINCIPLE, IN TERMS OF SECTION 35 OF THE NATIONAL HERITAGE RESOURCES ACT. THE PROVINCIAL MANAGER OF THE HERITAGE RESOURCES AUTHORITY MUST ADVISE AS TO APPROVAL IN TERMS OF HERITAGE ISSUES ENCOMPASSED BY OTHER ASPECTS OF THE LEGISLATION, SUCH AS ISSUES OF THE BUILT ENVIRONMENT (STRUCTURES (E.G. FARM HOUSES), OVER 60 YEARS), INDIGENOUS KNOWLEDGE SYSTEMS OR OF CULTURAL LANDSCAPES AS THIS IS NOT WITHIN THE SCOPE OF THE ARCHAEOLOGIST.

PLEASE NOTE THAT SAHRA IS NOW RESPONSIBLE FOR GRADE I HERITAGE RESOURCES (AND EXPORT) AND THE PROVINCIAL HERITAGE RESOURCES ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR GRADE II AND GRADE III HERITAGE RESOURCES, EXCEPT WHERE THERE IS AN AGENCY ARRANGEMENT WITH THE PROVINCIAL HERITAGE RESOURCES AUTHORITY.