

ENVIRONMENTAL & ENGINEERING

NATIONAL TREASURE MINERALS (PTY) LTD

REPORT

BASIC ASSESSMENT REPORT IN SUPPORT of a prospecting right application fs 30/5/1/1/2 (10600) pr draft report for review

REPORT REF: 21-1441-AUTH (NTM RIETFONTEIN 10600 PR)

PROSPECTING RIGHT APPLICATION FOR HEAVY MINERALS, MONAZITE (HEAVY MINERALS), RUTILE (HEAVYMINERALS), RARE EARTHS, ZIRCON. GARNET. ZIRCONIUM ORE, TITANIUM AND LEUCOXENE (HEAVY MINERALS), IN **RESPECT OF PORTIONS 4 AND 5 OF THE FAR RIETFONTEIN** 458 AND PORTION 1 AND REMAINING EXTENT OF THE FARM ENKELDOORN 605. SITUATED IN THE LEJWELEPUTSWA DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY WITHIN THE NALA LOCAL MUNICIPALITY, FREE STATE PROVINCE.

Ver BB

10 July 2021



Updated- 9/7/2021

Document and Quality Control:

Document No:	21-1441-AUTH DRAI	FT BAR		
AA – draft	2021/07/08	Kelebone Sekonyela	KALAN.	First draft for review / comments
BB– draft	2021/07/09	Leoni le Roux	(H	Quality review
CC – draft		Riana Panaino	Paramoto	Technical Review
DD– draft		Kelebone Sekonyela	KARAN	
Approved for Distribution:				
0.0		Vernon Siemelink	S	Final report

Quality Control By:

Nature of Signoff:	Responsible Person:	Role / Responsibility	Qualification
Author	Kelebone Sekonyela	Environmental Assessment Practitioner	MS <mark>c</mark> Environmental Management
Technical Reviewer	Riana Panaino	Senior Environmental Assessment Practitioner	BS <mark>c H</mark> ons Biodiversity and Conservation
Technical Reviewer	Kelebone Sekonyela	Environmental Assessment Practitioner	MSc Environmental Management
Technical Reviewer	Vernon Siemelink	Environmental Assessment Practitioner	M(EnvMan) UP – Senior Environmental Consultant
Quality Reviewer	Leoni le Roux	Project Administration	Professional Secretary and Personal Assistant

DISCLAIMER:

This is a legally binding document and many of the actions and recommendations remain the responsibility of the client (as the owner/lessee of the property).

EAP - was independent and performed the work relating to the application in an objective manner, even if this results in views and findings that are not favourable to the application; have expertise in conducting environmental impact assessments or undertaking specialist work as required, including knowledge of the Act, these Regulations and any guidelines that have relevance to the proposed activity; ensure compliance with these Regulations.

Take into account, to the extent possible, the matters referred to in regulation 18 when preparing the application and any report, plan or document relating to the application; disclose to the proponent or applicant, registered interested and affected parties and the competent authority all material information in the possession of the EAP and, where applicable, the specialist, that reasonably has or may have the potential of influencing.

The findings, results, observations, conclusions and recommendations provided in this report are based solely on the information provided to Eco Elementum (Pty) Ltd by the Client and other external sources (including previous site investigation data and external scientific studies). The opinions expressed herein apply to the site conditions and features which existed at the time of commencement of the investigations and production of this report.

The author has utilised his/her best scientific and professional knowledge in preparing this report and the content herein contained is and remains confidential in nature, save where otherwise ordered by a Court of law.

Whilst Eco Elementum (Pty) Ltd exercises due care and diligence in rendering the services and preparing this report, the accuracy of the content herein contained is reliant on the accuracy, correctness and completeness of information and/or data supplied to it by the Client. In this regard, Eco Elementum (Pty) Ltd accepts no liability for any loss and/or damages arising out of the inaccuracy of this report in instances where the information and/or data provided to it by the Client is found to be inaccurate, incorrect and/or incomplete.



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

National Treasure Minerals (Pty) Ltd (the applicant) applied for a prospecting right for Heavy Minerals, Monazite (Heavy Minerals), Rutile(Heavy Minerals), Rare Earths, Zircon, Garnet, Zirconium Ore, Titanium and Leucoxene (Heavy Minerals) to the Regional Department of Mineral Resources and Energy ("DMRE" Free State Region) in respect of Portions 4 and 5 of the farm Rietfontein 458 and Portion 1 and Remaining Extent of the farm Enkeldoorn 605 situated in the Lejweleputswa District Municipality within the Nala Local Municipality, Free State Province, South Africa. This prospecting right application covers about 1200 hectares (ha). The full extent of the drill site will also be demarcated, and no drilling will be done outside of the boundary. The study area is located roughly 14 km north-northwest of Bothaville, 41 km southwest of Klerksdorp and 33 km east-northeast of Leeudoringstad.

The proposed project aims at determining if economically viable mineral deposits exist within the application area. In order to undertake prospecting activities, National Treasure Minerals, requires a Prospecting Right in terms of the Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act (MPRDA, Act No.28 of 2002). The Applicant is also required to obtain an Environmental Authorisation (EA) in terms of the National Environmental Management Act (NEMA, Act No. 107 of 1998) which involves the submission of a Basic Assessment Report (BAR).

Eco Elementum (Pty) Ltd (Eco Elementum) has been appointed by National Treasure Minerals to compile the Basic Assessment Report (BAR) (this report) in support of the Prospecting Right application.

Date	Basic Assessment timeline
N/A	Prospecting Right Application on SAMRAD.
15/03/2021	Acceptance received from DMR.
10/07/2021	Draft BAR for Public Review
10/08/2021	Final BAR Submission to DMRE

The obtaining of a prospecting right from the Department of Mineral Resources and Energy is governed by the Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act (MPRDA, no 28 of 2002). The MPRDA requires compliance with related legislation, specifically the National Environmental Management Act of 1998. This Basic Assessment Report includes, amongst others, the following information as required in terms of the NEMA:

- A description of the environment likely to be affected by the proposed prospecting activities;
- An assessment of potential impacts on the environment, socio-economic conditions, and cultural and heritage aspects;
- A summary of the potential significance of identified impacts;
- · Proposed mitigation and management measures to minimise adverse impacts and to optimise benefits; and
- Planned monitoring and performance assessment of the EMP and Rehabilitation measures of areas disturbed during prospecting.

PROJECT SCHEDULE

A BA process should be undertaken for project activities that are included under Listing Notices 1 and 3. Impacts of these activities are more generally known and can often be mitigated or easily managed. The BA process is generally shorter and less onerous than the S&EIR process. The BA process must follow the procedure as prescribed in Regulations 19 to 20. Eco Elementum (Pty) Ltd | Office number: 012 807 0383 | Website: www.ecoe.co.za | Email: info@ecoe.co.za



The following diagram outlines the steps that should be followed in undertaking a BA process. Once approved as based on the basic assessment process timeline, the prospecting can take one to five years. Then, after prospecting the mining right application process is another 300 days before the mining right application is approved or not approved.



The following specialist studies were undertaken and findings and recommendations included:

List of Studies Undertaken	Findings and Recommendations of Specialist Reports
Archaeological Desktop study	 Six potential sites were identified on the historical aerial images: One on the Remaining Extent of the Farm Enkeldoorn 605, one on Portion 1 of the Farm Enkeldoorn 605, one on Portion 4 of the Farm Klipfontein 459 and three on Portion 5 of the Farm Klipfontein 459. A total of 4 sites associated with buildings were noted, one indicated as a cemetery and one as a hut. The status of the cemetery is unknown, while three sites are associated with intact buildings as observed on contemporary satellite imagery. The remaining two sites appear to have been demolished as no surface features were noted on contemporary satellite imagery but might be associated with subsurface culturally significant remains. It is also unknown whether the sites associated with intact buildings have been demolished and replaced by modern buildings. The following recommendations are made in order to avoid the destruction of heritage remains within the area demarcated for prospecting: Although the demolished sites appear not to be associated with surface remains, subsurface culturally significant material might be present. Therefore, it is recommended that these sites be avoided by the proposed prospecting activities. Should this not be possible, a qualified archaeologist should be present on-site during prospecting in order to limit potential impact on heritage resources. The intact sites might be of cultural significance as the possibility exists that the associated buildings and structures exceed 60 years of age. It is therefore recommended that these areas be avoided by the proposed prospecting activities. Should this not be possible, a qualified archaeologist should be present on-site during prospecting in order to limit potential impact on heritage resources. The intact sites might be of cultural significance as the possible, a qualified archaeologist should be present on-site during prospecting activities. Should this not be possible, a qualified
ECO Elementum (PtV) Lta	Unice number. 012 007 0303 Website. WWW.ecoe.co.za Email. Inio(@ecoe.co.za



Updated- 9/7/2021

	 Prospecting should not take place in the vicinity of stone cairns, potential burial sites, stone-walling, building ruins or any other heritage material or structures. Should the prospecting outcome result in further development or construction, a full Phase 1 Archaeological Impact Assessment must be conducted on the affected area if triggered. Also, a full Phase 1 AIA must be done should the cumulative impact of the proposed prospecting exceed 0.5 ha. Because archaeological artefacts generally occur below surface, the possibility exists that culturally significant material may be exposed during the prospecting phase, in which case all activities must be suspended pending further archaeological investigations by a qualified archaeologist. Also, should skeletal remains be exposed, all activities must be suspended and the relevant heritage resources authority contacted (See National Heritage Resources Act, 25 of 1999 section 36 (6)).
Ecological Desktop Study	 The study is still being conducted but a conclusion can be made that: The overall ecological state of all areas currently delineated as CBA or ESA, in addition to that of primary vegetation, will need to be verified. Even modified areas, currently seen as ESAs, may be very important as habitat itself or to maintain downstream CBA habitat and species therein.

REGISTERED LANDOWNER

The registered owners of the farms were listed as follows:

Table 1-3: Directly affected landowners

	Landowner	Farm Portion
1.	I G BOTMA TRUST	Portion 4 the farm Rietfontein 458.
2.	I G BOTMA TRUST	Portion 5 of the farm Rietfontein 458.
3.	BYMAN BOERDERY TRUST	Remaining Extent of the farm Enkeldoorn 605.
4.	WILLOWS PARYS EENHEID 25 PTY LTD	Portion 1 of the farm Enkeldoorn 605.



Updated- 9/7/2021

CONTENT	ſ\$	
EXECUTIV	E SUMMARY	3
PROJECT	SCHEDULE	3
REGISTE	RED LANDOWNER	5
1.	IMPORTANT NOTICE	14
2.	OBJECTIVE OF THE BASIC ASSESSMENT PROCESS	15
3.	CONTACT PERSON AND CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS	17
3.1	DETAILS OF	17
3.1.1	Details of the EAP	17
3.1.2	Expertise of the EAP.	17
3.2	LOCATION OF THE OVERALL ACTIVITY	19
3.3	LOCALITY MAP	20
3.4	DESCRIPTION OF THE SCOPE OF THE PROPOSED OVERALL ACTIVITY.	22
3.4.1	Listed and specified activities	22
3.4.2	Description Of How the Mineral Resource And Mineral Distribution Of The Prospecting Area Will Be Determined	24
3.5	DESCRIPTION OF PLANNED NON-INVASIVE ACTIVITIES:	25
3.6	DESCRIPTION OF PLANNED INVASIVE ACTIVITIES:	26
3.7	POLICY AND LEGISLATIVE CONTEXT	29
3.8	NEED AND DESIRABILITY OF THE PROPOSED ACTIVITIES	31
3.9	MOTIVATION FOR THE OVERALL PREFERRED SITE, ACTIVITIES AND TECHNOLOGY ALTERNATIVE	31
3.10 THE SITE	FULL DESCRIPTION OF THE PROCESS FOLLOWED TO REACH THE PROPOSED PREFERRED ALTERNATIVES WITH . 33	IIN
3.10.1	1 Details of the development footprint alternatives considered	33
3.10.2	2Details of the Public Participation Process Followed	35
3.10.3	3Summary of issues raised by I&APs	35
3.10.4	4The Environmental attributes associated with the alternatives. (The environmental attributed described include socio-economic, social, heritage, cultural, geographical, physical and biological aspects)	d must 36
3.11	SURFACE DRAINAGE FEATURES	39
3.11.	1 Flora	39
3.11.2	2Heritage Impact Assessment	40
3.11.3	3Noise Assessment	40
3.11.4	4Social	41
3.11.	5Population and Demographics	41
3.11.0	6Educational Status	42
3.11.	7Employment and Labour	42
Eco Elementum	n (Pty) Ltd Office number: 012 807 0383 Website: www.ecoe.co.za Email: info@ecoe.co.za	



Updated- 9/7/2	2021 ENVIRONMENTAL & I	ENGINE
3.11.	8Description of the current land uses	. 43
3.11.	9Impacts and risks identified including the nature, significance, consequence, extent, duration and probability of the impacts, including the degree to which these impact.	ility . 45
3.11.	10 Methodology used in determining and ranking the nature, significance, consequences, extent, duration and probability of potential environmental impacts and risks.	. 52
3.11.	11 The positive and negative impacts that the proposed activity (in terms of the initial site layout) and alternatives will have on the environment and the community that may be affected.	. 54
3.11.	12 Possible Mitigation Measure that could be applied and the level of risk	. 54
3.11.	13 Motivation where no alternative sites were considered	. 55
3.11.	14 Statement motivating the alternative development location within the overall site	. 56
3.12 ACTIVITY ACTIVITY	FULL DESCRIPTION OF THE PROCESS UNDERTAKEN TO IDENTIFY, ASSESS AND RANK THE IMPACTS AND RISKS THE WILL IMPOSE ON THE PREFERRED SITE (IN RESPECT OF THE FINAL SITE LAYOUT PLAN) THROUGH THE LIFE OF THE 7.57	IE E
3.13	ASSESSMENT OF EACH IDENTIFIED POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT IMPACT AND RISK	. 57
3.14	SUMMARY OF SPECIALIST REPORTS.	. 58
3.15	ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT	. 60
3.15.	1 Summary of the key findings of the environmental impact assessment;	. 60
3.15.	2Final Site Map	. 61
3.15.	3Summary of the positive and negative impacts and risks of the proposed activity and identified alternative 62	IS.
3.16 EMPr;	PROPOSED IMPACT MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVES AND THE IMPACT MANAGEMENT OUTCOMES FOR INCLUSION IN THE 62	ΗE
3.17	ASPECTS FOR INCLUSION AS CONDITIONS OF AUTHORISATION.	. 63
3.18	DESCRIPTION OF ANY ASSUMPTIONS, UNCERTAINTIES AND GAPS IN KNOWLEDGE.	. 63
3.19	REASONED OPINION AS TO WHETHER THE PROPOSED ACTIVITY SHOULD OR SHOULD NOT BE AUTHORISED	. 63
3.19.	1Reasons why the activity should be authorized or not	. 63
3.19.	2Conditions that must be included in the authorisation	. 64
3.20	PERIOD FOR WHICH THE ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORISATION IS REQUIRED.	. 64
3.21	UNDERTAKING	. 64
3.22	FINANCIAL PROVISION	. 65
3.22.	1 Explain how the aforesaid amount was derived	. 66
3.22.	2Confirm that this amount can be provided for from operating expenditure	. 66
3.23	SPECIFIC INFORMATION REQUIRED BY THE COMPETENT AUTHORITY	. 66
3.23.	1 Compliance with the provisions of sections 24(4)(a) and (b) read with section 24 (3) (a) and (7) of the National Environmental Management Act (Act 107 of 1998). The EIA report must include the:	. 66
3.24	OTHER MATTERS REQUIRED IN TERMS OF SECTIONS 24(4)(A) AND (B) OF THE ACT	. 67
4.	DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PROGRAMME	. 69
4.1 Eco Elementur	DETAILS OF THE EAP n (Pty) Ltd Office number: 012 807 0383 Website: www.ecoe.co.za Email: info@ecoe.co.za	. 69



Updated- 9/7/2021				
4.2	DESCRIPTION OF THE ASPECTS OF THE ACTIVITY			
4.3	DESCRIPTION OF PLANNED NON-INVASIVE ACTIVITIES:	69		
4.4	DESCRIPTION OF PLANNED INVASIVE ACTIVITIES:	70		
4.5	COMPOSITE MAP	71		
4.6	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVES INCLUDING MANAGEMENT STATEMENTS	71		
4.6.1	Determination of closure objectives	71		
4.6.2	Volumes and rate of water use required for the operation	72		
4.6.3	Has a water use licence has been applied for?	72		
4.6.4	Impacts to be mitigated in their respective phases	72		
4.6.5	Financial Provision	77		
4.7 ENVIRONI	MECHANISMS FOR MONITORING COMPLIANCE WITH AND PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT AGAINST THE MENTAL MANAGEMENT PROGRAMME AND REPORTING THEREON, INCLUDING	79		
4.7.1	Indicate the frequency of the submission of the performance assessment/ environmental audit report	80		
4.8	ENVIRONMENTAL AWARENESS PLAN	81		
4.8.1	Manner in which applicant intends to inform his / her employees of any environmental risk which may result from their work	ılt 81		
4.8.2	Manner in which risks will be dealt with in order to avoid pollution or the degradation of the environment	82		
4.8.3	Specific information required by the Competent Authority	83		
APPENDIX	A: EAP CV	85		
APPENDIX	B: PUBLIC PARTICIPATION REPORT	86		
APPENDIX	C: CONCEPTUAL LAYOUT MAPS	87		
APPENDIX	D: SPECIALIST STUDIES	88		
APPENDIX	E: SCREENING TOOL REPORT	89		



Updated- 9/7/2021

List of Figures	
Figure 1-1: Basic Assessment Process	4
Figure 3-1: Locality Map	20
Figure 3-2: Farm boundaries for the study area	21
Figure 3-3: Regulation 2 (2) showing the proposed area for prospecting	22
Figure 3-4: Conceptual drilling site layout	25
Figure 3-5: Bothaville Climate Graph/Weather	
Figure 3-6: Bothaville M Temperature	
Figure 3-7: Topographical map for the prospecting right	
Figure 3-8: NFEPA of the study area	
Figure 3-9: Heritage Potential Sites & Sensitive Areas.	40
Figure 3-10: Lejweleputswa District municipalities	41
Figure 3-11: Landcover	
Figure 3-12: Site sensitivities.	61
Figure 4-1: Site Proposed Layout	71







Updated- 9/7/2021

List of Tables	
Table 1-1: Basic Assessment Timeline followed	3
Table 1-2: List of Studies, Findings and Recommendations	4
Table 1-3: Directly affected landowners	5
Table 3-1: Location of the activity	19
Table 3-2: Listed and specific activities	23
Table 3-3: Prospecting Phases	26
Table 3-4: Policy and legislative table	29
Table 3-5: Impact Assessment Table with Mitigation measures	45
Table 3-6: Rating Criteria	53
Table 3-7: Summary of Specialist Reports	58
Table 3-8: Summary of key findings	60
Table 3-9: Positive and Negative Impacts / Risks of Proposed Activity	62
Table 3-10: Financial Provision	65
Table 4-1: Impacts to be mitigated in their respective phases, Impact Management outcomes, Impact Management Action	74
Table 4-2: Closure Quantum	78
Table 4-3: Monitoring compliance	80





Definition of Terms

Audit	a systematic, independent and documented review of operations and practises to ensure that relevant requirements are met. Qualified professionals with relevant auditing experience should conduct audits and, where possible, independent external auditors should also be used.
Borehole	is a narrow <u>shaft bored</u> in the ground, either vertically or horizontally. A borehole may be constructed for many different purposes, including the extraction of water or other liquid (such as <u>petroleum</u>) or gases (such as <u>natural</u> <u>gas</u>), as part of a <u>geotechnical investigation, environmental site assessment, mineral exploration</u> , temperature measurement, as a pilot hole for installing piers or underground utilities, for geothermal installations, or for
Clean Water	clean water is any water that has maintained the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of the waters by preventing point and poppoint pollution sources.
Compliant	a full achievement of the performance requirement of a particular condition of the license or programme
Conservation	in relation to a water resource means the efficient use and saving of water, achieved through measures such as water saving devices, water-efficient processes, water demand management and water rationing;
Construction	the time period that corresponds to any event, process, or activity that occurs during the Construction phase (e.g., building of site, buildings, and processing units) of the proposed project. This phase terminates when the project
Corrective Action Plan	goes into full operation or use.
Confective Action Fian	describes how the contractor or facility owner intends to resolve the non-conforming item. The Corrective Action Plan should be specific, measurable, achievable, realistic, and timely.
Director-General	means the Director-General of the Department;
Effluent	is defined by the <u>United States Environmental Protection Agency</u> as "wastewater - treated or untreated - that flows out of a treatment plant, sewer, or industrial outfall. Generally refers to wastes discharged into surface waters". The Compact Oxford English Dictionary defines effluent as "liquid waste or sewage discharged into a river or the sea".
Environmental Audit Penort	Effluent in the artificial sense is in general considered to be water pollution.
Environmental Audit Report	findings and conclusions.
Environmental Authorisation	is an environmental authorisation issued by a state department.
Environmental Component	an attribute or constituent of the environment (i.e., air quality; marine water; waste management; geology, seismicity, soil, and groundwater; marine ecology; terrestrial ecology, noise, traffic, socio-economic) that may be impacted by
Environmental Impact	the proposed project.
Environmentar impact	facility. This impact can be directly or indirectly caused by the project's different phases (i.e., Construction, Operation and Decommissioning)
Groundwater	is the water located beneath the earth's surface in soil pore spaces and in the fractures of rock formations. A unit
	of rock or an unconsolidated deposit is called an <u>aquifer</u> when it can yield a usable quantity of water. The depth at which soil pore spaces or fractures and voids in rock become completely saturated with water is called the <u>water</u>
	table. Groundwater is recharged from, and eventually flows to, the surface naturally; natural discharge often occurs
Non-conformance	at <u>springs</u> and <u>seeps</u> , and can form <u>oases</u> or <u>wetlands</u>
Non-comormance	conformance may also be associated with activities breaching legislation. Non-Conformance findings therefore have a high priority and mitigation measures are mandatory.
Operation	the time period that corresponds to any event, process, or activity that occurs during the Operation (i.e., fully
	functioning) phase of the proposed project or development. (The Operation phase follows the Construction phase,
Partially Compliant	and then terminates when the project or development goes into the Decommissioning phase.) achievement with shortcomings (such as documented proof and or work in progress) and achievement where there
Pollution	is the introduction of contaminants into the natural environment that cause adverse change. Pollution can take the
	form of <u>chemical substances</u> or <u>energy</u> , such as noise, heat or light. <u>Pollutants</u> , the components of pollution, can be either foreign substances/energies or naturally occurring contaminants. Pollution is often classed as <u>point</u>
Protoction	source or nonpoint source pollution.
FIOLECLIOII	(a) Maintenance of the quality of the water resource to the extent that the water resource may be used in an
	ecologically sustainable way;
	(b) Prevention of the degradation of the water resource; and
Proponent	(c) the rehabilitation of the water resource; the person, company, or agency that is the primary responsible party for a development project and that is the permit
Rehabilitation	applicant/holder for the project.
Responsible Authority	in relation to a specific power or duty in respect of water uses, means -
	(a) if that power or duty has been assigned by the Minister to a catchment management agency, that catchment
	management agency; or
Water Resource	(o) it that power or duty has not been so assigned, the minister; includes a watercourse, surface water, estuary, or aquifer:
	nolados a natorodalos, canado mator, cotadiry, or aquinor,





Updated- 9/7/2021

Wetland

means land which is transitional between terrestrial and aquatic systems where the water table is usually at or near the surface, or the land is periodically covered with shallow water, and which land in normal circumstances supports or would support vegetation typically adapted to life in saturated soil.

Abbreviations

CARA: DEA: DMR: DWA: EA: ECO: EIA: ECO: EIA: ELCA: EMP: EMPPA: EMP: EMPPA: EMP: EMPPA: EMP: EMPPA: EMP: EMPPA: EMP: EMPPA: EMPPA: EMPPA: EMPPA: EMPPA: EMPPA: EMPPA: EMPPA: EMPPA: EMPPA: EMP: EMPPA: EMPPA: EMPPA: EMP: EMPPA: EMPPA: EMPPA: EMPPA: EMPA: EMP: EMPPA: EMP: EMPA: EMP	Conservation of Agricultural Resources Act, 43 of 1983 Department of Environmental Affairs (The former Department of Environmental Affairs and Tourism) The Department of Mineral Resources (The former Department of Minerals and Energy) Department of Water Affairs (Is now referred to the Department of Water and Sanitation – DWS) Environmental Authorisation Environmental Control Officer Environmental Impact Assessment Environmental Impact Assessment Environmental Management Plan Environmental Management Programme Performance Assessment Environmental Management Programme Performance Assessment Environmental Management System General Manager Government Notice Interested & Affected Parties Intergrated Environmental Management Series Intergrated Environmental Management Series Intergrated Water Use Licence Application Integrated Water Use Licence Development Act, 28 of 2002 Mining Right Applicable, but not required at the time of the audit National Environmental Management Act, 10 of 1998 National Environmental Management Act, 00 of 2004 National Environmental Management Wase Act, 59 of 2008 Non-conformance National Environmental Management Wase Act, 59 of 2008 Non-conformance National Environmental Management Wase Act, 59 of 2008 Non-conformance National Heritage Resources Act, 25 of 1999 National Heritage Resources Authority Safety, Heatth, Environment and Quality
SHEQ: SLP: SOP: SWMP: WSA: WUL <i>:</i>	Safety, Health, Environment and Quality Social and Labour Plan Standard Operating Procedure Strategic Water Management Plan Water Services Act, 108 of 1997 Water Use Licence





mineral resources

Department: Mineral Resources REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

DRAFT BASIC ASSESSMENT REPORT

AND

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PROGRAMME REPORT

SUBMITTED FOR ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORIZATIONS IN TERMS OF THE NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT ACT, 1998 AND THE NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT WASTE ACT, 2008 IN RESPECT OF LISTED ACTIVITIES THAT HAVE BEEN TRIGGERED BY APPLICATIONS IN TERMS OF THE MINERAL AND PETROLEUM RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT ACT, 2002 (MPRDA) (AS AMENDED).

NAME OF APPLICANT: PHYSICAL ADDRESS: National Treasure Minerals (Pty) Ltd 213 Waterkloof, Pretoria, 0181

FILE REFERENCE NUMBER SAMRAD: FS 30/5/1/1/2/10600PR





1. IMPORTANT NOTICE

In terms of the Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act (Act 28 of 2002 as amended), the Minister must grant a prospecting or mining right if among others the mining "will not result in unacceptable pollution, ecological degradation or damage to the environment".

Unless an Environmental Authorisation can be granted following the evaluation of an Environmental Impact Assessment and an Environmental Management Programme report in terms of the National Environmental Management Act (Act 107 of 1998) (NEMA), it cannot be concluded that the said activities will not result in unacceptable pollution, ecological degradation or damage to the environment.

In terms of section 16(3)(b) of the EIA Regulations, 2014, any report submitted as part of an application must be prepared in a format that may be determined by the Competent Authority and in terms of section 17 (1) (c) the competent Authority must check whether the application has taken into account any minimum requirements applicable or instructions or guidance provided by the competent authority to the submission of applications.

It is therefore an instruction that the prescribed reports required in respect of applications for an environmental authorisation for listed activities triggered by an application for a right or a permit are submitted in the exact format of, and provide all the information required in terms of, this template. Furthermore, please be advised that failure to submit the information required in the format provided in this template will be regarded as a failure to meet the requirements of the Regulation and will lead to the Environmental Authorisation being refused.

It is furthermore an instruction that the Environmental Assessment Practitioner must process and interpret his/her research and analysis and use the findings thereof to compile the information required herein. (Unprocessed supporting information may be attached as appendices). The EAP must ensure that the information required is placed correctly in the relevant sections of the Report, in the order, and under the provided headings as set out below, and ensure that the report is not cluttered with un-interpreted information and that it unambiguously represents the interpretation of the applicant.



2. OBJECTIVE OF THE BASIC ASSESSMENT PROCESS

The objective of the basic assessment process is to, through a consultative process-

- a. determine the policy and legislative context within which the proposed activity is located and how the activity complies with and responds to the policy and legislative context;
- b. identify the alternatives considered, including the activity, location, and technology alternatives;
- c. describe the need and desirability of the proposed alternatives,
- d. through the undertaking of an impact and risk assessment process inclusive of cumulative impacts which focused on determining the geographical, physical, biological, social, economic, heritage, and cultural sensitivity of the sites and locations within sites and the risk of impact of the proposed activity and technology alternatives on these aspects to determine:
 - i. the nature, significance, consequence, extent, duration, and probability of the impacts occurring to; and
 - ii. the degree to which these impacts
 - aa. can be reversed;
 - bb. may cause irreplaceable loss of resources; and
 - cc. can be managed, avoided or mitigated;
- e. through a ranking of the site sensitivities and possible impacts the activity and technology alternatives will impose on the sites and location identified through the life of the activity to
 - i. identify and motivate a preferred site, activity and technology alternative;
 - ii. identify suitable measures to manage, avoid or mitigate identified impacts; and
 - iii. identify residual risks that need to be managed and monitored.



PART A

SCOPE OF ASSSSMENT AND BASIC ASSESSMENT REPORT





CONTACT PERSON AND CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS

3.1 DETAILS OF

3.1.1 Details of the EAP

Name of The Practitioner:	Ms. Kelebone Sekonyela
Tel No.:	012 807 0383
Fax No. :	086 714 5397
e-mail address:	kele@ecoe.co.za

3.1.2 Expertise of the EAP.

3.1.2.1 The qualifications of the EAP





Name	Kelebone			
Surname	Sekonyela			
Company	Eco Elementum (Pty) Ltd			
Position	Junior Environmental Practitioner (EAP)			
Location	361 Oberon Ave, Glenfield Office Park, Nikka Building, 1st Floor, Faerie Glen, Pretoria, 0081.			
Email	kele@ecoe.co.za			
Telephone Number	072 83 78813 / 012 348 5214			
Education	 MSc(EnvMan) - Masters in Environmental Management Master's Degree at University of Johannesburg in Johannesburg, South Africa (Gauteng) BA. Geography - Honours in Geographical Science Honours Degree at University of Johannesburg in Johannesburg, South Africa (Gauteng) 			
Professional skills	 Kelebone Sekonyela is an EAP at Eco Elementum (Pty) Ltd and has been involve in the field of environmental science and environmental management for 3 years. Kelebone Sekonyela has been an environmental consultant since 2018, focusing the fields of: Environmental Impact Assessments and Authorisations. Water use license application. Waste use license application. Environmental Monitoring and Control. Environmental Compliance and Audits. During this time, she has provided quality, environmental, and auditing services nearly every industry sector. Furthermore, Kelebone holds a Master's Degree in Environmental Management ar an Honours Degree in Geosciences from the University of Pretoria. 			

3.1.2.2 Summary of the EAP's past experience.

Refer to CV in Annexure A



3.2 LOCATION OF THE OVERALL ACTIVITY.

Table 3-1: Location of the activity

Farm Name:	Rietfontein 458 and farm Enkeldoorn 605.
Application area (Ha)	Approx. 1200 Hectares (ha).
Magisterial districts:	Lejweleputswa District Municipality Nala Local Municipality
Distance and direction from nearest town	14 km north-northwest of Bothaville
21-digit Surveyor General Code for each farm portion	F005000000045800004 F0050000000045800005 F0050000000060500000 F005000000060500001
Description of the overall activity. (Indicate Mining Right, Mining Permit, Prospecting right, Bulk Sampling, Production Right, Exploration Right, Reconnaissance permit, Technical co-operation permit, Additional listed activity)	Prospecting right application for Heavy Minerals, Monazite (Heavy Minerals), Rutile (Heavy Minerals), Rare Earths, Zircon, Garnet, Zirconium Ore, Titanium and Leucoxene (Heavy Minerals) to the Regional Department of Mineral Resources and Energy ("DMRE" Free State Region) in respect of Portions 4 and 5 of the farm Rietfontein 458 and Portion 1 and Remaining Extent of the farm Enkeldoorn 605, situated in the Lejweleputswa District Municipality within the Nala Local Municipality, Free State Province, South Africa. The Prospecting Right activity triggers a Basic Assessment (BA) in terms of the NEMA regulations, which will be undertaken as part of the Environmental Authorisation Application Process.



3.3 LOCALITY MAP

(Show nearest town, scale not smaller than 1:250000)



Figure 3-1: Locality Map







Figure 3-2: Farm boundaries for the study area

3.4 DESCRIPTION OF THE SCOPE OF THE PROPOSED OVERALL ACTIVITY.





3.4.1 Listed and specified activities

Section 16 of the Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act, 2002 (Act No. 28 of 2002) requires, upon request by the Minister that an Environmental Management Plan be submitted and that the applicant must notify and consult with Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs). Section 24 of the NEMA requires that activities, which may impact on the environment must obtain an environmental authorisation from a relevant authority before commencing with the activities. Such activities are listed under Regulations Listing Notice 10 the proposed prospecting activity triggers:

Please refer to the following table for the details in terms of the listed activities.



Table 3-2: Listed and specific activities

 NAME OF ACTIVITY (E.g. For prospecting - drill site, site camp, ablution facility, accommodation, equipment storage, sample storage, site office, access route etc Etc etc. E.g. for mining, - excavations, blasting, stockpiles, discard dumps or dams, Loading, hauling and transport, Water supply dams and boreholes, accommodation, offices, ablution, stores, workshops, processing plant, storm water control, berms, roads, pipelines, power lines, conveyors, etc Etc Etc 	Aerial extent of the Activity Ha or m2	LISTED ACTIVITY (Mark with an X where applicable or affected).	APPLICABLE LISTING NOTICE (GNR 983, GNR 984 or GNR 985)	WASTE MANAGEMENT AUTHORISATION (Indicate whether an authorisation is required in terms of the Waste Management Act). (Mark with an X)
Any activity including the operation of that activity which requires a prospecting right in terms of section 16 of the Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act, 2002 (Act No. 28 of 2002), including associated infrastructure, structures and earthworks, directly related to prospecting of a mineral resource, including activities for which an exemption has been issued in terms of section 106 of the Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act, 2002 (Act No. 28 of 2002) the primary processing of a mineral resource including winning, extraction, classifying, concentrating, crushing, screening or washing; but excluding the secondary processing of a mineral resource, including the smelting, beneficiation, reduction, refining, calcining or gasification of the mineral resource in which case activity 6 in Listing Notice 2 applies.	Approx. 1900 m ²	X	GNR 983 – Listing 1, Activity 20	n/a
Drill site clearing and establishment, mobile chemical ablution facility, drill rig equipment, return water lined sump, and sample storage trays.	640 m²	X	GNR 983 – Listing 1, Activity 20	n/a
Soil Sampling Activities (A typical sampling site will be approximately 25 m ²). It is unlikely that more than 10 samples will be taken, however, this will be confirmed on site as part of the prospecting activities.	64 m ² per prospecting drill site	X	GNR 985 – Listing 3, Activity 12	
Roads (roads will be temporary gravel roads, not exceeding 3,5 m in width).	Approx. 20 000 m ²	-	-	-
Temporary Camp Site.	Approx. 100 m ²	-	-	-



NAME OF ACTIVITY (E.g. For prospecting - drill site, site camp, ablution facility, accommodation, equipment storage, sample storage, site office, access route etc Etc etc. E.g. for mining, - excavations, blasting, stockpiles, discard dumps or dams, Loading, hauling and transport, Water supply dams and boreholes, accommodation, offices, ablution, stores, workshops, processing plant, storm water control, berms, roads, pipelines, power lines, conveyors, etc Etc Etc.)	Aerial extent of the Activity Ha or m2	LISTED ACTIVITY (Mark with an X where applicable or affected).	APPLICABLE LISTING NOTICE (GNR 983, GNR 984 or GNR 985)	WASTE MANAGEMENT AUTHORISATION (Indicate whether an authorisation is required in terms of the Waste Management Act). (Mark with an X)
Site Clearance.	Less than 20 ha	Х	GNR 983 – Listing 1, Activity 27	n/a
Hydrocarbon Storage.	Less than 30 m ³	-	-	-

3.4.2 Description Of How the Mineral Resource And Mineral Distribution Of The Prospecting Area Will Be Determined

The mineral distribution in the portions of the area will be determined following the mineral exploration methods which are outlined in the following text. These mineral exploration methods are planned to follow the mineral exploration value chain where a systematic, phased and cost-effective approach of determining the minerals distribution is followed. At the end of each phase, a decision will be taken to proceed or to abandon the project.

The first phase will be information gathering which includes detailed desktop studies and geological mapping. This will result in a plan showing outcrops and any geological information that will be useful during the subsequent phases of exploration. Feasibility studies will also be conducted at the end of the exploration phases.

No geochemical survey is planned.

Geophysical Survey – a decision will be taken to conduct geophysical observations or procure geophysical data from commercial sources and organizations that collect them. The information that will be acquired will be chiefly magnetic which will be aimed at delineating structures of higher or lower magnetic susceptibility than the surrounding country rocks. If the company conducts the observations, it will be airborne surveys conducted with the auspices of a contractor.

Drilling will be conducted using a diamond drill rig. The holes will be drilled to two different sizes (NQ, and BQ) determined by the formations. The core will be handled and logged in a designated area, sampling will also take place in the same area. Samples will be sent to a laboratory for chemical analyses. Resource modelling will be undertaken using the geological data determined from the data collected.

No other excavations, bulk sampling or pitting is planned.

At the end of each phase there will be a brief period of compiling and evaluating results. The results will not only determine whether prospecting proceeds, but also the manner in which it will go forward. The applicant will only action the next phase of prospecting, once satisfied with the results obtained in the previous phases, refer to planned invasive prospecting methods entail conducting drilling to ascertain the existence of the expected minerals, its thickness and distribution. Samples will be taken and analysed. The number of boreholes planned is 20:



- Reconnaissance drilling: 5.
- Resource drilling 6.
- Feasibility drilling 9.

The depths will vary from very shallow (approx. 30m) to nearly 150m. The exact depths of the boreholes will be determined while the drilling program is underway as influenced by the depths and dips measured in the previous boreholes.



3.5 DESCRIPTION OF PLANNED NON-INVASIVE ACTIVITIES:

(These activities do not disturb the land where prospecting will take place e.g. aerial photography, desktop studies, aeromagnetic surveys, etc.)

The Non-Invasive methods which will be used during the exploration program span all the four phases in different time frames. They are outlined in the following text.

Literature Study – During this stage information pertaining to the geological, geographical, environmental and geomorphological including the topographical and infrastructural systems of the area will be studied with the aim of designing the prospecting program and also the impact that the programs will have in the environmental and the ecosystem of the area. Information will be gathered from relevant areas including the Council of the Geoscience and other commercial areas where it may be available. Sources of information will include geological reports, general geological text books and geological maps, topographical maps, agricultural and land use maps. Visits to the area will also be conducted to acquire information that might not be available in literature. Detailed geological information will then be gathered which will be used in planning further prospecting and exploration strategy.

Geological Mapping – This stage will include the field traverse in the farm collecting geological information. Lithological contacts, outcrops, faults, dykes, folds will be mapped including their attitude and characteristics like dip and strikes,



thickness etc. This information will be correlated with the literature study information in order to correlate with the correct stratigraphy and lithological units.

Geophysical Survey – a decision will be taken to conduct geophysical observation or procure geophysical data from commercial sources and organizations that collect them. The information that will be acquired will be chiefly magnetic which will be aimed at delineating structures of higher or lower magnetic susceptibility than the surrounding country rocks. If the company conducts the observations, it will be airborne surveys conducted with the auspices of a contractor.

Interpretation of Information and decision making – This item appears in all the phases towards the end of the data gathering sub-programs. In Phase 1, this will mean that maps will be drawn depicting the data which is of the geological nature of the area. Using all other information, a decision will then be taken as to whether it is feasible to carry on or if the project should be abandoned.

Sampling and resource modelling - This stage entails sampling the core from drilling as well as data manipulation to produce drilling results information. Resource modelling is conducted which will result in tonnages and grade distribution. However, this is still in low geological confidence. From the results, a decision will be taken if prospecting will be continued.

During the non-invasive methods, additional information is gathered in the form of prefeasibility studies. This includes the determination of the suitable mining method and its costs. Other information that is gathered includes the macro and the microeconomics that will determine the feasibility of the project.

3.6 DESCRIPTION OF PLANNED INVASIVE ACTIVITIES:

(These activities result in land disturbances e.g. sampling, drilling, bulk sampling, etc.)

Planned invasive prospecting methods entail conducting drilling to ascertain the existence of the expected minerals, its thickness and distribution. Samples will be taken and analysed. The number of boreholes planned is 20:

- Reconnaissance drilling: 5.
- Resource drilling 6.
- Feasibility drilling 9.

The depths will vary from very shallow (approx. 30 m) to nearly 150 m. The exact depths of the boreholes will be determined while the drilling program is underway as influenced by the depths and dips measured in the previous boreholes.

Table 3-3 Phases for f the prospecting work program will be undertaken, if warranted.

Table 3-3: Prospecting Phases

Phase	Activity (what are the activities that are planned to achieve optimal prospecting)	Skill(s) required (refers to the competent personnel that will be employed to achieve the required results)	Timeframe (in months) for the activity)	Outcome (What is the expected deliverable, e.g. Geological report, analytical results, feasibility study, etc.)	Timeframe for outcome (deadline for the expected outcome to be delivered)	What technical expert will sign off on the outcome? (E.g. geologist, mining engineer, surveyor, economist, etc.)
1	Non-Invasive Prospecting. – Literature Study.	Geologist.	Month 1	Detailed geological information and the current land use of the area.	Month 1	Geologist



Phase	Activity (what are the activities that are planned to achieve optimal prospecting)	Skill(s) required (refers to the competent personnel that will be employed to achieve the required results)	Timeframe (in months) for the activity)	Outcome (What is the expected deliverable, e.g. Geological report, analytical results, feasibility study, etc.)	Timeframe for outcome (deadline for the expected outcome to be delivered)	What technical expert will sign off on the outcome? (E.g. geologist, mining engineer, surveyor, economist, etc.)
1	Non-Invasive Prospecting. – Geological Mapping.	Geologist.	Month 2 - 4	Detailed geological map of the area including proposed stratigraphy.	Month 4	Geologist
1	Non-Invasive Prospecting – Geophysical Survey.	Geologist, Geophysicist	Month 3	Geophysical map delineating the structures (faults, dykes and other lineaments).	Month 4	Geologist/Geophysicist.
1	Non-Invasive Prospecting – Interpretation of information and Decision making.	Geologist / Mineral Economist	Month 5	Interpreted results of first phase and the decision to carry on with the exploration and the exploration strategy.	Month 5	Geologist/ Mineral Economist
2	Invasive Prospecting Methods – ReconnaissanceDrilling.	Geologist	Month 6 - 18	Detailed borehole logs and sampling information.	Month 18	Geologist
2	Non-Invasive Prospecting – Interpretation of results and decision making.	Geologist	Month 19	Reconnaissance resource model and decision to carry on.	Month 19	Mineral Resource Manager (MRM)
3	Invasive Prospecting Methods – Ore body Drilling.	Geologist	Month 20 - 44	Detailed borehole logs and sampling with the ore body resource model.	Month 44	Geologist
3	Non-Invasive Prospecting Methods – Resource Modelling and Interpretation of the results.	Geologist	Month 45	Ore body resource model.	Month 45	Geologist
3	Non-Invasive Prospecting Methods - Pre-Feasibility Studies.	Geologist, Mineral Rights Lawyer, Finance, Mining Engineer, Metallurgist	Month 46	Pre-feasibility studies report and reserves model and the decision to carry on.	Month 46	MRM, MRLM



Phase	Activity (what are the activities that are planned to achieve optimal prospecting)	Skill(s) required (refers to the competent personnel that will be employed to achieve the required results)	Timeframe (in months) for the activity)	Outcome (What is the expected deliverable, e.g. Geological report, analytical results, feasibility study, etc.)	Timeframe for outcome (deadline for the expected outcome to be delivered)	What technical expert will sign off on the outcome? (E.g. geologist, mining engineer, surveyor, economist, etc.)
4	Invasive Prospecting methods – Feasibility drilling.	Geologist	Month 46 - 55	Detailed borehole logs and sampling with the ore body resource model.	Month 55	
4	Non- Invasive Prospecting methods – Resource Modelling. –	Geologist	Month 56	Resource Model.	Month 56	
4	Non- Invasive Prospecting methods – Feasibility Studies.	Geologist, Mineral Rights Lawyer, Finance, Mining Engineer, Metallurgist.	Month 57-60	Feasibility Studies report and reserves model.	Month 60	



3.7 POLICY AND LEGISLATIVE CONTEXT

Table 3-4: Policy and legislative table

APPLICABLE LEGISLATION AND GUIDELINES USED TO COMPILE THE REPORT (a description of the policy and legislative context within which the development is proposed including an identification of all legislation, policies, plans, guidelines, spatial tools, municipal development planning frameworks and instruments that are applicable to this activity and are to be considered in the assessment process	REFERENCE WHERE APPLIED	HOW DOES THIS DEVELOPMENT COMPLIY WITH AND RESPOND TO THE LEGISLATION AND POLICY CONTEXT. (E.g. In terms of the National Water Act a Water Use License has/ has not been applied for)
Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act, 2002 (Act No. 28 of 2002)	The project requires a prospecting right authorisation from the Department of Mineral Resources.	A prospecting right was lodged with the DMRE. The application was accepted by DMR on 3 rd of March 2021.
NEMA Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations, as Amended 2017	This Basic Assessment and Environmental Management Plan to be conducted. Baseline environmental information of the project area will be assessed. Mitigation measures and recommendations where provided according to best practice standards.	An Application for Environmental Authorisation will be submitted to the Free State DMRE with the prospecting right application lodgement on SAMRAD. The DMRE also requested the submission of the updated NEMA application forms and PWP with 60 days of the approved application.
The South African Constitution The South African Constitution (Act 108 of 1996) constitutes the supreme law of the country and guarantee the rights of all people in South Africa.	Applied at potential impacts identification as well as mitigation measures and public participation.	A public participation process will be followed and consultations will be done regarding the proposed project. An EMPr and awareness plan will be designed according to the issues raised during this process.
National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act, 2004	Presence of critically endangered species, if permit is required. To be determined by ecologist prior to prospecting activities.	The EMP will regulate the applicant to apply for Tree Removal Permit from the Relevant authority prior to the potential removal of any sensitive and/or protected species.





APPLICABLE LEGISLATION AND GUIDELINES USED TO COMPILE THE REPORT (a description of the policy and legislative context within which the development is proposed including an identification of all legislation, policies, plans, guidelines, spatial tools, municipal development planning frameworks and instruments that are applicable to this activity and are to be considered in the assessment process	REFERENCE WHERE APPLIED	HOW DOES THIS DEVELOPMENT COMPLIY WITH AND RESPOND TO THE LEGISLATION AND POLICY CONTEXT. (E.g. In terms of the National Water Act a Water Use License has/ has not been applied for)
National Environmental Management: Waste Act	Provisions of the waste act were consulted to determine whether a waste license was required for any aspect of the proposed development.	The project activities do not trigger a waste management license but proper waste management measures will be addressed in the EMPr.
Section 38 of the National Heritage Resources Act (Act No. 25 of 1999)	Legislation consulted during the impact assessment process, to determine what legal requirements with regards to the management of national heritage resources were relevant to this application.	An upload of the BAR will be done on the SAHRIS online system for comment.
National Water Act The NWA (Act No. 36 of 1998)	Triggered activities will be identified according to the Section 21 of the NWA.	The department has been notified of the proposed project and comments will be addressed.
National Environmental Management: Air Quality Act, 2004 (Act no.39 of 2004);	Dust monitoring on site during the operation.	As part of the EMPr dust suppression methods will be used.
Mine Health and Safety Act, 1996 (Act No. 29 of 1996);	Health and Safety Policy.	Risk Impact Assessment to be conducted.





3.8 NEED AND DESIRABILITY OF THE PROPOSED ACTIVITIES.

(Motivate the need and desirability of the proposed development including the need and desirability of the activity in the context of the preferred location).

The mining industry is identified as one of the key components toward Rapid Economic Growth in order to reduce poverty and minimise unemployment Growth (State of the Nation Address, 2019). The key issues include:

- The need for a strong capable state;
- Cost reduction for businesses and consumers;
- The need for reindustrialisation and a revitalised mining sector;
- Faster growth in tourism;
- Improved infrastructure;
- Better support for small businesses; and
- Marked reduction in unemployment

Mining's contribution to provincial GDP (2020) is 25.9% and the sector employs 53 000 people.

The activity of mining has numerous social and economic benefits in local, regional and national context. These include:

- 1. Job creation
- 2. Skills development
- 3. SMME development
- 4. Local economic development
- 5. Contribution to local and national tax income (royalties, companies' tax etc.)
- 6. Contribution to the national gross domestic product, and
- 7. Future business opportunities.

The production of goods, supply of services or construction of infrastructure results in expenditure within a regional economy which has knock-on effects and results in additional expenditure which contributes to the regional economy.

At the South Africa Investment Conference in 2020, over 50 global companies made investment commitments of R109 billion in industries as diverse as advanced manufacturing, agro-processing, infrastructure, mining, services, tourism and hospitality. Global investment in mining being the 7th largest out of 19 economic subsectors with a total investment of >R2 billion. This shows that mining remains a significant source of investment into the country.

3.9 MOTIVATION FOR THE OVERALL PREFERRED SITE, ACTIVITIES AND TECHNOLOGY ALTERNATIVE.

The application area has been selected as the preferred site based on the the geological formations in the area.

Some of the techniques employed in the non-invasive prospecting activities will include a literature survey, field reconnaissance/mapping, and geophysical survey of the geology, outcrops. Some of the invasive prospective activities include prospecting boreholes, boreholes to confirm continuity of mineralization & potential deposit size and resource definition drilling.

In terms of the technologies proposed, these have been chosen based on the long term success of the company in terms of their prospecting history. The prospecting activities proposed in the Prospecting Works Programme is dependent on the



preceding phase as previously discussed, therefore no alternatives are indicated, but rather a phased approach of trusted prospecting techniques.

Consultation with affected landowners and adjacent landowners will be conducted in order to keep them informed about the proposed prospecting activities as well as to capture any comments and concerns they may have regarding the prospecting activity.

It should be noted that the exact location of the boreholes have not been identified at this stage. The location of these boreholes will be dependent on the findings of the non-invasive prospecting activities. Once the proposed target areas for the boreholes have been identified during the phases as set out in Table 3-3, these areas will be investigated and will be subject to the conditions of this document.



3.10 FULL DESCRIPTION OF THE PROCESS FOLLOWED TO REACH THE PROPOSED PREFERRED ALTERNATIVES WITHIN THE SITE.

NB!! – This section is about the determination of the specific site layout and the location of infrastructure and activities on site, having taken into consideration the issues raised by interested and affected parties, and the consideration of alternatives to the initially proposed site layout.

GIS and spatial analysis will be used to determine the location of the boreholes and temporary infrastructure by considering environmental sensitivities. Furthermore, the resource location will be determined through drilling exercises in order to locate the areas that will be most economical to mine, and the extent of the resource that will be mined.

3.10.1 Details of the development footprint alternatives considered.

With reference to the site plan provided as Appendix 3 and the location of the individual activities on site, provide details of the alternatives considered with respect to:

a) the property on which or location where it is proposed to undertake the activity;

Portions 4 and 5 of the farm Rietfontein 458 and Portion 1 and Remaining Extent of the farm Enkeldoorn 605, situated in the Nala Local Municipality, were the available areas identified on the SAMRAD system.

Therefore, no alternative sites were considered.

As this is a prospecting application the site location is limited to the approved prospecting area. The location of activities will be determined based on the location of the resource, which will only be determined during Phase 1 of the Prospecting Works Programme.

Final borehole sites to be determined after phase one of the prospecting taking into account sensitive areas that need to be avoided.

b) the type of activity to be undertaken;

Prospecting alternatives include drilling and Bulk Sampling. The preferred alternative is drilling as the impact to the environment is less significant than that of Bulk Sampling.

c) The technology to be used in the activity

In terms of the technologies proposed, these have been chosen based on the long term success of the company in terms of their prospecting history. The prospecting activities proposed in the Prospecting Works Programme is dependent on the preceding phase as previously discussed, therefore no alternatives are indicated, but rather a phased approach of trusted prospecting techniques.



d) the operational aspects of the activity; and

No feasible alternative technologies are available to conduct the prospecting due to the basic nature of the processes. Alternative technologies to the management of water, dust, and noise will be considered as mitigation measures in this report.

Water Supply

Currently it is not known whether there are any water boreholes located on the site and whether access and supply will be granted by the landowner.

Continuous water supply will be required during drilling, and on-site water storage tanks with a capacity of 15,000 ℓ for water supply to the drill, will be used.

When core drilling will be undertaken, a number of settling sumps will be excavated and lined with impervious plastic sheets. The purpose of these sumps are to recycle water and drilling fluids by means of gravity which leads to heavier materials (e.g. drill cuttings) to settle and clean water being produced for re-use. The drill cuttings form a sludge which will be collected in the sumps. These sumps will be fenced, where required, to prevent livestock and public access. The plastic sheets will be removed and sumps will be backfilled on completion of drilling. If required, the remaining sludge in sumps is to be treated with a suitable bio-remediation product prior to backfilling or disposal.

Additional water requirements relate to the potable water supply for employees and workers. A temporary 260 ℓ on-site vertical water storage tank for drinking water and generalise by persons will be provided at the drill site.

e) the option of not implementing the activity.

The option of not approving the activities will result in a significant loss to valuable information regarding the mineral reserve status on this property.

In addition to this, should economical reserves be present and the applicant does not have the opportunity to prospect, the opportunity to utilize these reserves for future phases will be lost.



3.10.2 Details of the Public Participation Process Followed

(Describe the process undertaken to consult interested and affected parties including public meetings and one on one consultation. NB the affected parties must be specifically consulted regardless of whether or not they attended public meetings. (Information to be provided to affected parties must include sufficient detail of the intended operation to enable them to assess what impact the activities will have on them or on the use of their land.)

Section 41 of NEMA Regulation 982 set out the Legal and Regulatory Requirement for Public Participation. The Public Participation Process (PPP) aims to involve the authorities and I&APs in the project process, and determines their needs, expectations and perceptions which in turn ensures a complete and comprehensive environmental study. An open and transparent process has and been followed at all times and is based on reciprocal dissemination of information. The following will be undertaken during the PPP:

- 1. Identification of Interested and Affected Parties (IAPs);
- 2. Notification of IAPs regarding the proposed project;
- 3. A public information meeting with IAPs;
- 4. Gathering comments, issues and concerns from IAPs;
- 5. Responding to IAP comments, issues and concerns;
- 6. Compilation and submission of results of consultation report to the DMR; and
- 7. Providing IAPs with the opportunity to review and comment on the basic assessment report.

3.10.3 Summary of issues raised by I&APs

(Complete the table summarising comments and issues raised, and reaction to those responses) To be updated after PPP.



3.10.4 The Environmental attributes associated with the alternatives. (The environmental attributed described must include socio-economic, social, heritage, cultural, geographical, physical and biological aspects)

3.10.4.1 Baseline Environment

3.10.4.1.1 Type of environment affected by the proposed activity.

(Its current geographical, physical, biological, socio- economic, and cultural character).

CLIMATE

The study area falls within the summer rainfall region and the average annual rainfall is roughly 565 mm per year. The average maximum temperature for the study area is recorded during January when an average of 23.4 °C is reached. On average July is the coldest month (Climate-data.org 08/07/2021).



Figure 3-5: Bothaville Climate Graph/Weather




TOPOGRAPHY

According to Mucina & Rutherfords (2006) the average elevation for Vaal-Vet Sandy Grassland varies between 1220 and 1560 MASL (metres above sea level). The average elevation for the study area is roughly 1280 MASL and slopes from the higher eastern sections to the slightly lower western section. Refer to Figure 3-6 for the topography for the prospecting area.





Figure 3-7: Topographical map for the prospecting right

GEOLOGY

The chromitite resources in South Africa are situated within the Bushveld Complex ("BC"), which is an enormous saucerlike ultramafic/mafic intrusion extending for about 400 km east to west and roughly the same distance north and south.

The ultramafic/mafic rocks of the BC are collectively known as the Rustenburg Layered Suite ("RLS") and have been subdivided, from base to top, into five zones, known as the Marginal, Lower, Critical, Main and Upper Zones. The general sequence and composition of the different zones is shown. The continuity of the Critical Zone is intermediate between that of the Lower Zone and Main Upper Zones. The Critical Zone is the host to the chromium and Platinum Group Metals ("PGM") mineralisation within the BC in our area of interest.

The igneous layering within the Critical Zone is remarkably uniform over much of the BC, with individual layers traceable for tens to hundreds of kilometres. It may be subdivided into lower and upper sections and is made up of cyclic units consisting of chromitite, pyroxenite, norite and anorthosite. Cycles in the Lower Critical Zone are entirely ultramafic in character. Cycles in the Upper Critical Zone comprise ultramafic lithologies and also norite-anorthosite.

Chromitite layers occur throughout the Critical Zone, usually, but not always, at the base of crystallisation cycles. The chromitite seams have been classified into lower, middle and upper groups, with the Lower Group occurring in the Lower Critical Zone and the Upper Group in the Upper Critical Zone. The Middle Group chromitite seams straddle the boundary between lower and upper divisions of the Critical Zone. The chromitite seams are named according to their location within the layered succession, with numbers commencing from the bottom up, with the lowermost group being named LG1, followed by LG2, LG3, etc. in the Lower Group (consisting of 7 layers), progressing to MG0, MG1, MG2, etc. in the Middle Group (consisting 4 layers), and then two layers in the Upper Group, UG1 and UG2. The thickness of these chromitite layers



ranges from several millimetres to several metres and named chromitite layers may comprise multiple, composite layers of chromitite separated by interlaminated silicate rocks. The thickest chromitite layers, specifically the LG6 and MG1, are mined for their chromite content. The target area of this application is underlain by rocks of the Critical Zone of the BC, consisting of chromitite interlayered with pyroxenite, norite, anorthositic norite, and mottled anorthosite.

SURFACE DRAINAGE FEATURES

The study area falls within the C24J Quaternary Catchment in the Middle Vaal Water Management Area. The closest perennial river to the study area is the Vaal River that flows approximately 4 km to the west. A nonperennial river, the Sooifonteinleegte, flows along the southern boundary of the study area and intersects Portion 1 of the Farm Enkeldoorn 605, as well as Portions 4 & 5 of the Farm Klipfontein 459. The Bloemhof Dam is located 63 km to the southwest.



Figure 3-8: NFEPA of the study area

FLORA

According to Mucina & Rutherford (2006) the area consists of Western Free State Clay Grassland (Gh 9). This vegetation type is currently listed as being of Least Concern (LC) under the National List of Threatened Ecosystems (Notice 1477 of 2009) (National Environmental Management Biodiversity Act, 2004). The vegetation type is not currently subjected to any pronounced transformation pressures. It is therefore of limited conservation value.



HERITAGE IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Six potential sites were identified on the historical aerial images: One on the Remaining Extent of the Farm Enkeldoorn 605, one on Portion 1 of the Farm Enkeldoorn 605, one on Portion 4 of the Farm Klipfontein 459 and three on Portion 5 of the Farm Klipfontein 459. A total of 4 sites associated with buildings were noted, one indicated as a cemetery and one as a hut. The status of the cemetery is unknown, while three sites are associated with intact buildings as observed on contemporary satellite imagery. The remaining two sites appear a to have been demolished as no surface features were noted on contemporary satellite imagery, but might be associated with subsurface culturally significant remains. It is also unknown whether the sites associated with intact buildings have been demolished and replaced by modern buildings. Should any parts of the sites observed on the 1947 topographical maps still exist, it would be at least 74 years old and would therefore be protected by the NHRA (National Heritage Resources Act) 25 of 1999. The sites identified on the 1975 topographical map might exceed 60 years of age as well since these sites might have been constructed between 1947 and 1961. These sites would therefore also be protected by the NHRA 25 of 1999. The possibility exists that several other buildings were constructed between 1947 and 1961, but were demolished before 1975 and are therefore not indicated on the topographical maps. Since subsurface culturally significant material might still exist at such sites, they should be considered significant from heritage perspective as well (Fig 3-10).



Figure 3-9: Heritage Potential Sites & Sensitive Areas.

NOISE ASSESSMENT

The congestion of different types of vehicles and associated increased noise levels takes place along these roads during the day and to a lesser degree during the night. There are noise sensitive areas such as guest houses, houses, schools, entertainment areas along the road network.



The Noise Receiving Environment

The prevailing ambient noise levels along this proposed road vary between built-up areas with high prevailing ambient noise levels to areas where there are low prevailing ambient noise levels because of the rural type district of the area. Certain areas with high levels of ambient noise are located in close proximity to existing roads. The prevailing ambient noise levels are made up out of traffic noise, domestic noise, built-up area noise, industrial type noises and residential type noises. The proposed prospecting right is not a linear type noise source with only high noise levels during work hours and low noise levels night.

SOCIAL

The proposed Project is located in Nala Local Municipality and the Lejweleputswa District Municipality in the Free State Province of South Africa. The socio-economic characteristics of the population within each of the aforementioned areas are listed below.



Figure 3-10: Lejweleputswa District municipalities

Population and Demographics

The population of Lejweleputswa has an annual population growth rate of 1.5% per annum, the district has a population of 634 462 in 2019. This is 22 % of the total population of the Free State Province. In 2019, the district had a total number of 229 267 households with a population density of 20.1 people per square kilometres. According to the Community Survey, there are 862 child headed households and 85 898 (39.4%) women headed households. Based on the present age-gender



structure and the present fertility, mortality and migration rates, Lejweleputswa's population is projected to grow at an average annual rate of 0.3% from 634 462 in 2019 to 644 000 in 2024 (Lejweleputswa District Municipality (LDM) Profile and Analysis District Development Model, 2020).

Educational Status

According to Community Survey (2016), 68% of young people completed Grade 9 or higher and 37,4% completed matric or higher. However, in 2019, 18 900, people of the population of Lejweleputswa district (aged 15 years and older) had no education, while 83.9% (aged 15 years and older) of the population had completed primary schooling. The number of people without any schooling in Lejweleputswa district municipality accounts for 19.67% of the number of people without schooling in the province and a total share of 0.85% of the national. In 2019, the number of people in Lejweleputswa district municipality with a matric only was 114,000 which is a share of 21.61% of the province's total number of people that has obtained a matric. The number of people with a matric and a post graduate degree constitutes 16.25% of the province and 0.75% of the national proportion. Those aged 15 and above who completed secondary education were 114,000 making up 21.6% of the provincial population with matric. In 2019 the matric pass rate in the district was 87.8%, which is comparable to the rest of the districts in the province. In 2018, the district had a total number of 241 schools in ten circuits (19.8% of the province) and 157 321 learners (22% of the province), an indication of high population density and possible overcrowding in schools (Department of Basic Education, 2017/18 Annual Report). (LDM Profile and Analysis District Development Model, 2020)

Employment and Labour

In 2019, Lejweleputswa employed 142 000 people which is 18.26% of the total employment in Free State Province (779 000), 0.87% of total employment in South Africa (16.4 million). Employment within Lejweleputswa decreased annually at an average rate of -1.63% from 2009 to 2019. In Lejweleputswa district municipality the economic sectors that recorded the largest number of employment in 2019 was the trade sector with a total of 28 400 employed people or 20.0% of total employment in the district municipality. The community services sector with a total of 26 400 (18.6%) employs the second highest number of people relative to the rest of the sectors. The electricity sector with 1 320 (0.9%) is the sector that employs the least number of people in Lejweleputswa for both formal and informal sector dropped by 17 720 individuals between 2008 and 2018. This decline was primarily driven by the reduction of employment in the mining and agricultural sectors. In 2019, there were a total number of 137 000 people unemployed in Lejweleputswa, which is an increase of 61 800 from 75 100 in 2009. The total number of unemployed people within Lejweleputswa constitutes 33.17% of the total number of unemployed people within Lejweleputswa constitutes 23.17% of the total number of unemployed people within Lejweleputswa constitutes 23.17% of the total number of unemployed people within Lejweleputswa constitutes 23.17% of the total number of unemployed people within Lejweleputswa constitutes 23.17% of the total number of unemployed people within Lejweleputswa constitutes 23.17% of the total number of unemployed people within Lejweleputswa constitutes 23.17% of the total number of unemployed people within Lejweleputswa constitutes 23.17% of the total number of unemployed people within Lejweleputswa constitutes 23.17% of the total number of unemployed people within Lejweleputswa constitutes 23.17% of the total number of unemployed people within Lejweleputswa constitutes 23.17% of the total number of unemployed people within Lejweleputswa co

Social Infrastructure and Services

According to the Community Survey (2016), a high number of the households at 76% stay in formal houses which are fully owned and fully paid up, whilst 5% stays in flats in backyard and 1% in apartment. In 2016 (Community Survey, 2016), 95.3% of households were reported to have access to safe drinking water. By 2018, Lejweleputswa District Municipality had a total number of 92 900 (48.66%) households with piped water inside the dwelling, a total of 84 500 (44.25%) households had piped water inside the yard and a total number of 1 870 (0.98%) households had no formal piped water. The municipality within Lejweleputswa district municipality with the highest number of households with piped water inside the dwelling is Matjhabeng local municipality with 74 600 (80.24%). The municipality with the lowest number of households.

According to the Community Survey, in 2016, 84, 2% of households had access to toilet facilities either flush or chemical toilets. 1,5% had no access to any toilet facilities, 09% had access to pit latrines facilities, 03% were still using bucket toilets whilst 02% were using other unspecified toilet facilities. By 2018, Lejweleputswa district municipality had a total number of 160 000 flush toilets (84.07% of total households), 5 310 Ventilation Improved Pit (VIP) (2.78% of total households) and 13. Eco Elementum (Pty) Ltd | Office number: 012 807 0383 | Website: www.ecoe.co.za | Email: info@ecoe.co.za



000 (6.83%) of total households' pit toilets. The municipality within Lejweleputswa with the highest number of flush toilets is Matjhabeng local municipality with 113 000 (70.41%) of the flush toilets. The municipality with the lowest number of flush toilets is Tokologo local municipality with a total of 2 910 (1.81%) of the total flush toilets within Lejweleputswa district municipality.

In 2018, the IHS Markit indicated that Lejweleputswa district municipality had a total number of 151 000 (79.31%) households which had their refuse removed weekly by the authority, a total of 9 040 (4.74%) households which had to remove their refuse personally (own dump). The decline can be attributed to the decline in households. The municipality within Lejweleputswa with the highest number of households where the refuse is removed weekly by the authority is Matjhabeng local municipality with 108 000 (71.36%) of the households in Lejweleputswa. The municipality with a total of 3 890 or a share of 2.57% of the total households where the refuse is removed weekly by the authority within the district municipality. When looking at the number of households with no formal refuse removal, it can be seen that in 2008 the households with no formal refuse removal in Lejweleputswa District Municipality was 30 300, this increased annually at 0.05% per annum to 30 500 in 2018. The total number of households within Lejweleputswa District Municipality is reased at an average annual rate of 0.38% from 2008 to 2018, which is higher than the annual increase of 2.13% in the number of households in South Africa. In 2016 all the municipalities in the district achieved access to electricity of above 85.6%. 79% had in-house prepaid meter, 15% has in-house conventional meter, 4% had no access to electricity, 2% had electricity from other sources not paid for and 1%had electricity from other sources.

By 2018, Lejweleputswa district municipality had a total number of 3 720 (1.95%) households with electricity for lighting only, a total of 175 000 (91.56%) households had electricity for lighting and other purposes and a total number of 12 400 (6.50%) households did not use electricity. (LDM Profile and Analysis District Development Model, 2020).

Health Services

HIV/AIDS is the leading cause of death for the 25-64 age categories, followed by tuberculosis (15.2%) and lower respiratory infections (14.9%). However, AIDS deaths were falling due to the roll-out of antiretroviral therapy, prevention of mother-to-child transmission, the distribution of condoms and medical male circumcision. In terms of maternal conditions, hypertension in pregnancy (28.0%) and indirect maternal (27.0%) were the leading causes of death for females aged between 15-49. Immunization rate stands at 65% and Maternal Mortality Ratio (per 100 000 live births) is 95.2. Nala local municipality and Matjhabeng local municipality had the highest mortality ratio at 139.1 and 102.8, respectively.

There are 98 310 HIV positive people in the district while teenage pregnancy stands at 10.5% which show an increase from 80 077 individuals in 2008. Between 2010 and 2012, there was a slight decrease in HIV estimates in the district from 80 517 to 79 384 persons. Worryingly, according to Statistics South Africa's 2017 midyear population estimates, the HIV prevalence was severe between women aged 30 to 34 and men aged 35 to 39 years. Matjhabeng local municipality led in HIV prevalence and AIDS deaths, accounting for 60% in the district. In terms of health facilities, there is total of 43 primary healthcare (PHC) facilities. (LDM Profile and Analysis District Development Model, 2020).

Description of the current land uses.

The site is dominated by a grass layer but which has been subjected to previous ploughing and is therefore of secondary establishment.

3.10.4.2 Environmental and current land use map.

(Show all environmental and current land use features)





Figure 3-11: Landcover



3.10.5 Impacts and risks identified including the nature, significance, consequence, extent, duration and probability of the impacts, including the degree to which these impact.

 Table 3-5:
 Impact Assessment Table with Mitigation measures

ASSESMENT OF IMPACTS	POTENTIAL IMPACTS (without mitigation)	RESIDUAL IMPACTS (with mitigation)				
Activity	Potential impact	Affected Aspects	Phase	Mitigation Measures / Enhancement Measures	Significance (Consequence + Probability)	Significance (Consequence + Probability)
Topography						
Levelling of drilling sites	Change in natural topography of the site.	Topography	Construction	Stockpile soils removed for rehabilitation. Rehabilitate to original landform.	Neg Low	Neg Low
Geology						
Removal of geological core	Creation of conduits between geological strata.	Geology	Operations	Boreholes to be sealed with concrete.	Neg Low	Neg Low
Soils						
Erosion from soil disturbance at drilling sites	Potential loss of topsoil	Soils	Operations	Keep the footprint of disturbance as small as practicably possible. Vegetation to be left in place to protect soils where possible. Where vegetation clearance cannot be avoided, storm water management measures to be put in place if there is a risk of soil erosion. Erosion protection where cut and fill and levelling of the drill site occurred.	Neg Low	Neg Low





ASSESMENT OF IMPACTS	POTENTIAL IMPACTS (without mitigation)	RESIDUAL IMPACTS (with mitigation)				
Activity	Potential impact	Affected Aspects	Phase	Mitigation Measures / Enhancement Measures	Significance (Consequence + Probability)	Significance (Consequence + Probability)
Erosion from soil disturbance on access roads	Potential loss of soil resource.	Soils	Construction & Operation	Utilise existing access roads as far as possible. Keep the footprint of disturbance as small as practicably possible. Access roads to follow slope contours where possible. Vegetation to be left in place at sides of the road to protect the soils.	Neg Low	Neg Low
Oil and diesel spills due to inappropriate storage, vehicle maintenance and washing operations.	Risk of soil contamination.	Soils	Construction, Operation and Closure	Impermeable liners or surfaces to be provided in areas where hydrocarbons are managed. Diesel storage areas to be bunded and regularly checked. Drip trays to be used when any vehicle maintenance is undertaken. Spill kits to be available at drill sites.	Neg Low	Neg Low
Hydrology (Surface Water)						
Spillage from fuels, oils and lubricants Contamination of surfa- water.		Surface Water	Construction, Operation and Closure	Implement measures to protect soils from pollution. Boreholes to be outside of the 1 in 50-year flood line or 100 m from the edge of a watercourse, whichever is greater.	Neg Low	Neg Low
Increase in sediment loads as a result of erosion and heavy rainfall	water. Ise in sediment loads result of erosion and rainfall		Construction, Operation and Closure	Implement measures for soil erosion control in accordance with risk assessment. Boreholes to be outside of the 1 in 50-year	Neg Low	Neg Low





ASSESMENT OF IMPACTS	POTENTIAL IMPACTS (without mitigation)	RESIDUAL IMPACTS (with mitigation)				
Activity	Potential impact	Affected Aspects	Phase	Mitigation Measures / Enhancement Measures	Significance (Consequence + Probability)	Significance (Consequence + Probability)
				flood line or 100 m from the edge of a watercourse, whichever is greater.		
General and Human Waste	-	Surface Water	Construction, Operation and Closure	Contractors may only use designated toilets and waste disposal facilities.	Neg Low	Neg Low
Hydrogeology (Groundwate	er)					
Seepage of fuels, oils and lubricants.	Contamination of groundwater.	Groundwater	Construction, Operation and Closure.	Implement measures to protect soils from pollution. Boreholes to be outside of the 1 in 50 year flood line or 100 m from the edge of a watercourse, whichever is greater.	Neg Low	Neg Low
Cross contamination of aquifers due to borehole construction.	-	Groundwater	Operation and Closure.	Boreholes that will not be used again will be backfilled with cement and sealed.	Neg Low	Neg Low



Noise									
Machinery and drilling operations. Movement of vehicles.	Increase in ambient noise levels. Disturbance to people and animals.	Noise	Construction, Operation and Closure.	Avoid travelling past residences. Speed limit of 40 km/h will be enforced. Liaise with landowner on areas sensitive to noise. Provide a buffer of 100 m from households. Drilling to take place during daylight hours. Borehole site and access route selection to give cognisance to the location of noise receptors and efforts must be taken to minimise such disturbance.	Neg Moderate	Neg Low			
Air Quality									
Exhaust fumes from vehicles and machinery related to prospecting activities.	Release of gaseous emissions.	Air Quality	Construction, Operation and Closure	No unnecessary revving of vehicles should take place. No vehicles must stand idling when not in use.	Neg Low	Neg Low			
Vehicles travelling on gravel roads	Dust fallout and fine particular matter emissions	Air Quality	Construction, Operation and Closure	Restrict traveling speed of vehicles to reduce vehicle entrainment of dust. Wet gravel roads if dust is found to be excessive.	Neg Low	Neg Low			
Land use and Land Capabi	lity								
Intrusion due to drilling and prospecting activities in an area where agricultural land uses are prominent	Land use conflict	Land use	Construction & Operation	Drilling sites must be selected to minimise disturbance of current land use. Relevant agreements must be in place with land owners to define location and extent of drilling sites and rehabilitation measures that will be undertaken at the end of drilling.	Neg Moderate	Neg Low			





Land clearing and transformation.	Reduction in land capability	Land use	Construction		Neg Low	Neg Low				
Fauna, Flora and Ecology										
Establishment of drilling sites and access routes.		Fauna, Flora and avifaunal.	Construction	Site selection aimed at minimising disturbance to natural vegetation.	Neg Moderate	Neg Moderate				
Accidental fires.	Removal / damage of natural vegetation	Fauna, Flora and avifaunal	Construction, Operation and Closure	 No smoking at drilling sites. Code of conduct to include measures for the prevention of fires. Emergency equipment and procedures for firefighting to be in place. Adhere to emergency procedures. 	Neg Moderate	Neg Moderate				
Establishment of drilling sites and access routes.		Fauna, Flora and avifaunal	Construction	Site selection aimed at minimising disturbance to sensitive animal habitats and breeding areas.	Neg Moderate	Neg Moderate				
Movement of drilling contractors.	Disturbance/ poaching of animals.	Fauna, Flora and avifaunal	Construction, Operation and Closure	Drilling contractors are only allowed to move within the designated drilling area. Environmental awareness training should include poaching and disturbance of animals.	Neg Moderate	Neg Moderate				
Sensitive and Protected Ar	eas									
Establishment of drilling sites and access routes.	Degradation and destruction of sensitive biodiversity- Suitable habitat for the globally threatened red data avifaunal species.	Biodiversity	Construction, Operation and Closure	Site selection by environmental scientists, detailed avifaunal surveys as well as detailed sensitive habitat mapping will have to be conducted for any potential development in the region.	Neg Moderate	Neg Moderate				
Heritage Resources			·							





Drilling of boreholes will damage / destroy heritage resources in the area.	Cultural heritage resources may be found within the study area.	Heritage Resources	Construction and Operation	Site selection by environmental scientists are aimed at minimising disturbance to natural vegetation once positions have been finalised.	Neg Moderate	Neg Low				
Economic Development										
Employment and use of contractors and purchasing goods.	Contribution to the economy.	Economic Development	Construction and Operation	Preference to be given to the use of local employment, contractors and local suppliers.	Pos Low	Pos Moderate				
Dust and noise from prospecting activities.	Creation of nuisance and disturbance to surrounding activities.	Economic Development	Construction, Operation and Closure	Implement measures to minimise air quality and noise impacts. Surrounding neighbours and land owners must be allowed to raise issues and complaints associated with prospecting activities. Their issues must be addressed promptly.	Neg Low	Neg Low				
Visual and Sense of Place										
Visual intrusion due to drilling and prospecting activities.	Loss of sense of place due to prospecting activities	Visual and Sense of Place	Construction and Operation	Implement measures to reduce the visual impacts of prospecting activities, i.e. rehabilitation of drill sites and access roads.	Neg Moderate	Neg Low				
Safety and Security										
Movement of drilling contractors and influx of workers.	Increase in crime.	Safety and Security.	Construction and Operation.	 Drilling contractors not allowed moving outside of designated areas. Access of personnel related to the prospecting operations will only be allowed on approval by the project manager. All personnel that have access to the property will be provided with access cards. 	Neg Moderate	Neg Low				





				- All personnel that have access to the property needs to be made visible.				
Overnight accommodation of drilling contractors.		Safety and Security	Construction, Operation and Closure.	Drilling contractors to be housed off the drilling property.	Neg Moderate	Neg Low		
Stakeholder Acceptability	Stakeholder Acceptability							
Prospecting activities is a predecessor to mining.	Prospecting on private property.	Stakeholder Acceptability.	Construction, Operation and Closure.	Comply with the MPRDA & NEMA Implement and Comply with the EMP.	Neg Moderate	Neg Low		
Prospecting activities is a predecessor to mining.	Prospecting seen as a predecessor to mining and this raises a risk to various environmental impacts.	Stakeholder Acceptability.	Construction, Operation and Closure	An application for a mining right will require a separate public participation process and IAP's will be provided with the opportunity to raise their concerns.	Neg Moderate	Neg Moderate		





3.10.6 Methodology used in determining and ranking the nature, significance, consequences, extent, duration and probability of potential environmental impacts and risks.

(Describe how the significance, probability, and duration of the aforesaid identified impacts that were identified through the consultation process was determined in order to decide the extent to which the initial site layout needs revision).

Criteria of assigning significance to potential impacts

The identification and assessment of environmental impacts is a multi-faceted process, using a combination of quantitative and qualitative descriptions and evaluations. It involves applying scientific measurements and professional judgement to determine the significance of environmental impacts associated with the proposed project. The process involves consideration of, inter alia: the purpose and need for the project; views and concerns of interested and affected parties; social and political norms, and general public interest.

The methodology used for assessing impacts associated with the proposed project follows the philosophy of environmental impact assessments, as described in the booklet Impact Significance, Integrated Environmental Management Information Series 5 (DEAT, 2002b). The philosophy is summarised by the following extracts:

- a. The impact magnitude [or intensity] and significance should as far as possible be determined by reference to legal requirements, accepted scientific standards or social acceptability. If no legislation or scientific standards are available, the EIA practitioner can evaluate impact magnitude based on clearly described criteria. Except for the exceeding of standards set by law or scientific knowledge, the description of significance is largely judgemental, subjective and variable. However, generic criteria can be used systematically to identify, predict, evaluate and determine the significance of impacts (DEAT, 2002b).
- b. Determining significance [of impacts] is ultimately a judgement call. Judgemental factors can be applied rigorously and consistently by displaying information related to an issue in a standard worksheet format (Haug et al., 1984 taken from DEAT, 2002b).

The criteria and systematic approach to identify, describe and assess impacts are outlined below.

Impact Ranking Criteria

The criteria used for assessing the significance of the impacts are given in Table 3-6. Cognisance was given to both positive and negative impacts that could result from prospecting.

Although the criteria used for the assessment of impacts attempts to quantify the significance, it is important to note that the assessment is generally a qualitative process and therefore the application of these criteria is open to interpretation. The assessment thus largely relies on the experience of the EAP and the information provided by specialists appointed to undertake studies for the EIA.

Where the consequence of an event is not known or cannot be determined, the precautionary principle is adhered to and the worst-case scenario assumed. Where possible, mitigation measures to reduce the significance of negative impacts and to enhance positive impacts are recommended. The detailed actions, which are required to ensure that mitigation is successful, will be given in the EMPr which will form part of the BA report.

Consideration will be given to the phase of the project during which the impact occurs. This identification of the phase is provided to assist with the schedule for the implementation of the management measure.



Mitigation Measures

Mitigation measures were identified for significant impacts. The impacts were ranked before and after the implementation of the mitigation measures. Mitigation potential (risk of mitigation failure) was ranked as per the criteria in found in Table 3-7 below.

Table	3-6:	Rating	Criteria
-------	------	--------	----------

	iteria and S	Symbol / Short D	Description		Qualitative Description / Explanation of Rating Criteria			
			Refers to the total	length of time (i.e. n	umber of years) that the impact would or the impact source or risk will be present.			
			1	low	Short-term. May occur for hours and are rapidly reversible.			
		-	2	moderate	I onn-term. May occur for a couple of days, impacts reversible within a timee day period.			
		Iration	3	high	processes or human intervention.			
		<u> </u>	4	very high	Permanent and irreversible. Residual impacts will remain after rehabilitation.			
			Refers to the time i	intervals and how of	ten (i.e. number of days per year) the impact would manifest over the entire duration of the impact.			
		~	1	low	Seldom. Impact would be intermitted (occurs 0-10 % of the time).			
		enc	2	moderate	Occasional. Impact would occur now and again (occurs 10-25% of the time).			
		edn	3	high	Otten (occurs >50% of the time).			
		<u>ل</u>	4	very nign	Continuous. Impact would occur all the time (occurs 100% of the time).			
			1	hone	None. Impact will not occur anywhere.			
			2	moderate	Local. Seldom occurs beyond prospecting site. May affect immediate neighbours, never nearby townships. Small area or			
			2	high	small number of sensitive receptors affected. Regional. Widespread impact. Extends beyond the prospecting boundary. Affects nearby townships. Large area or large			
		ale	3	nign	numbers of sensitive receptors affected.			
		Ň	4	very high	Local or regional impact. Impacts over a vast area or over vast numbers of sensitive receptors.			
			0	none	Never (0 % likelihood).			
	⋧		1	low	Conceivable. Will only happen in exceptional circumstances (<10 % likelihood).			
	ilida		2	moderate	Plausible. Could happen and has occurred here or elsewhere (11-40 % likelihood).			
	oba		3	nign	Probable (>40-80 % likelinood).			
	<u>r</u>		4	very nigh	схрестер. піўпіў ікеly to nappen (>80 % ікеіпоод).			
	(Å		Neg Very High		Widespread negative effect. Negative impact that is of the highest order. Potential fatal flaw.			
	abi		Neg High		Substantial negative impact.			
	b		Neg Moderate		Negative impact that is real but not substantial.			
	+		Neg Low		Low to negligible negative impact with little real effect.			
	an ce		Pos Low		Low to insignificant positive impact.			
	icar		Pos Moderate		Positive impact that is real but not substantial.			
	gnif		Pos High		Substantial positive impact.			
_ e _c	୍ରି	2 0	Pos Very High		Widespread / substantial beneficial effect. An alternative means to achieve the same benefits not possible.			
eca ion: eigl	, alue	e e 1pac	Used when there is	s a potential underst	atement of the significance of a negative impact to increase the significance rating.			
1323	\$C58	5253	U	none	No weighting required. Significance rating is a true reliection of the potential effect of the impact.			
Rating Cr	riteria and S	Symbol / Short E	Description	law	Qualitative Description / Explanation of Rating Criteria			
1					THERE THAY BE A SHOTE UNDERSTATEMENT OF THE SIGNIFICATION OF THE INDIGATION SHOTEGADON ADDRESS A			
			2	moderate	There may be a significance understatement of the significance of the impact significance adapted to be higher			
			2	moderate	There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance ration is highly understated Impact significance adapted to be higher.			
			2 3 4	moderate high very high	There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is highly understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher.			
			2 3 4	moderate high very high	There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is highly understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The significance of a positive impact to reduce the significance rating.			
			2 3 4 Used when there is 0	moderate high very high s a potential overstal	There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is highly understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. terment of the significance of a positive impact to reduce the significance rating. No weighting required. Significance rating is a true reflection of the notential effect of the impact.			
			2 3 4 Used when there is 0	moderate high very high s a potential oversta none low	There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is highly understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance of a positive impact to reduce the significance rating. No weighting required. Significance rating is a true reflection of the potential effect of the impact. There may be a slight understatement of the significance of the impact significance adapted to be lower.			
			1 2 3 4 Used when there is 0 1 2	moderate high very high s a potential overstal none low moderate	There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is highly understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. tement of the significance of a positive impact to reduce the significance rating. No weighting required. Significance rating is a true reflection of the potential effect of the impact. There may be a slight understatement of the significance of the impact. Impact significance adapted to be lower. There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact. Impact significance adapted to be lower.			
		itive acts)	1 2 3 4 Used when there is 0 1 2 3	moderate high very high s a potential overstal none low moderate high	There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is highly understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. tement of the significance of a positive impact to reduce the significance rating. No weighting required. Significance rating is a true reflection of the potential effect of the impact. There may be a slight understatement of the significance of the impact. Impact significance adapted to be lower. There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact. Impact significance adapted to be lower.			
		(Positive Impacts)	2 3 4 Used when there is 0 1 2 3 4	moderate high very high s a potential overstal none low moderate high very high	There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is highly understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance of a positive impact to reduce the significance rating. No weighting required. Significance rating is a true reflection of the potential effect of the impact. There may be a slight understatement of the significance of the impact. Impact significance adapted to be lower. There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact. Impact significance adapted to be lower. There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is highly understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower.			
		(Positive Impacts)	2 3 4 Used when there is 0 1 2 3 4 The likelihood of m climate change, po	moderate high very high s a potential overstal none low moderate high very high nitigation failure rate litical instability, inte	There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance of a positive impact to reduce the significance rating. No weighting required. Significance rating is a true reflection of the potential effect of the impact. There may be a slight understatement of the significance of the impact significance adapted to be lower. There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact. Impact significance adapted to be lower. There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact significance adapted to be lower. There may be a moderate understatement of the significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. d based on: - research and technology, - timing, and thus secondary potential of outside influences occurring over time (i.e. r/national economic instability) financial considerations,- skills and labour availability and potential for human error.			
Risk of M	litigation Fa	annie Positive Impacts)	1 2 3 4 Used when there is 0 1 2 3 4 The likelihood of m climate change, pc 0	moderate high very high s a potential overstal none low moderate high very high vitigation failure rate litical instability, inte	There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is highly understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance of a positive impact to reduce the significance rating. No weighting required. Significance rating is a true reflection of the potential effect of the impact. There may be a slight understatement of the significance of the impact. Impact significance adapted to be lower. There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact. Impact significance adapted to be lower. There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is highly understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. In a solution of the significance significance adapted to be lower. Less than 10% likelihood that mitigation measures could fail. Mitigation implemented quickly, mitigation easy to implement, proven technology used, no special labour skills required.			
Risk of M	litigation Fa	Positive Impacts)	1 2 3 4 Used when there is 0 1 2 3 4 The likelihood of m climate change, po 0 1 1	moderate high very high s a potential overstal none low moderate high very high vitigation failure rate litical instability, inte Very Low Risk	There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is highly understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance of a positive impact to reduce the significance rating. No weighting required. Significance rating is a true reflection of the potential effect of the impact. There may be a slight understatement of the significance of the impact. Impact significance adapted to be lower. There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is highly understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. In adapted to impact adapted to be lower. Less than 10% likelihood that mitigation measures could fail. Mitigation implemented quickly, mitigation easy to implement, proven technology used, no special labour skills required. 10-30% likelihood that mitigation measures could fail.			
Risk of M	litigation Fa	Positive Impacts)	1 2 3 4 Used when there is 0 1 2 3 4 The likelihood of m climate change, po 0 1 2 2	moderate high very high s a potential overstal none low moderate high very high very high very Low Risk Low Risk Moderate Risk	There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance of a positive impact to reduce the significance rating. No weighting required. Significance rating is a true reflection of the potential effect of the impact. There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact significance adapted to be lower. There may be a slight understatement of the significance of the impact. Impact significance adapted to be lower. There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact significance adapted to be lower. There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is highly understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. the impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. the impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. the impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. the impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. the impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. the impact significance rating is severely understat			
Risk of M	litigation Fa	ennin Positive Impacts)	1 2 3 4 Used when there is 0 1 2 3 4 The likelihood of m climate change, pc 0 1 2 3 3 3	moderate high very high s a potential overstal none low moderate high very high vitigation failure rate litical instability, inte Very Low Risk Low Risk Moderate Risk High Risk	There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. No weighting required. Significance rating is a true reflection of the potential effect of the impact. There may be a slight understatement of the significance of the impact significance adapted to be lower. There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact. Impact significance adapted to be lower. There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact significance adapted to be lower. There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact significance adapted to be lower. There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is highly understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. Less than 10% likelihood that mitigation measures could fail. Mitigation implemented quickly, mitigation easy to implement, proven technology used, no special labour skills required. 10-30% likelihood that mitigation measures could fail. 60 to 80% likelihood that mitigation measures could fail.			
Risk of M	litigation Fa	(Positive Impacts)	1 2 3 4 Used when there is 0 1 2 3 4 The likelihood of m climate change, pc 0 1 2 3 4 4	moderate high very high s a potential overstal none low moderate high very high very high very Low Risk Low Risk Moderate Risk High Risk Very High Risk	There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is highly understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance of a positive impact to reduce the significance rating. No weighting required. Significance rating is a true reflection of the potential effect of the impact. There may be a slight understatement of the significance of the impact. Impact significance adapted to be lower. There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact. Impact significance adapted to be lower. There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is highly understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. Less than 10% likelihood that mitigation measures could fail. Mitigation implemented quickly, mitigation easy to implement, proven technology used, no special labour skills required. 10-30% likelihood that mitigation measures could fail. 30 to 60% likelihood that mitigation measures could fail. 80% likelihood that mitigation measures co			
Risk of M	litigation Fa	(Positive Impacts)	1 2 3 4 Used when there is 0 1 2 3 4 The likelihood of m climate change, pc 0 1 2 3 4 3 4 4 Neg Very High	moderate high very high s a potential overstal none low moderate high very high very high very Low Risk Low Risk Moderate Risk High Risk Very High Risk	There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is highly understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. In every severely understatement of the significance rating. No weighting required. Significance rating is a true reflection of the potential effect of the impact. There may be a slight understatement of the significance of the impact. Impact significance adapted to be lower. There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact. Impact significance adapted to be lower. There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is highly understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. I he impact significance rating is necessary skills and labour availability and potential for human error. Less than 10% likelihood that mitigation measures could fail. Mitigation implemented quickly, mitigation easy to implement, proven technology used, no special labour skills required. 10-30% likelihood that mitigation measures could fail. 80 to 60% likelihood that mitigation measures could fail. 80 to 80% likelihood that mitigation measures could fail. 80 to 80% likelihood that mitigation measures could fail. 80 to 80% likelihood that mitigation measures could fail. 80 to kap Pace over many years after closure, and/or may involve exorbitant/prohibitive expenses to implement successfully, and/or may require hi			
Risk of M	litigation Fa	Positive Impacts)	1 2 3 4 Used when there is 0 1 2 3 4 The likelihood of m climate change, pc 0 1 2 3 4 Neg Very High Neg High	moderate high very high s a potential overstal none low moderate high very high very high very Low Risk Low Risk Moderate Risk High Risk	There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. In the impact significance of a positive impact to reduce the significance rating. No weighting required. Significance rating is a true reflection of the potential effect of the impact. There may be a slight understatement of the significance of the impact significance adapted to be lower. There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact significance adapted to be lower. There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is highly understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. It is a severely understatement of the significance adapted to be lower. It is the impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. It is a severely understatement of the significance adapted to be lower. It is a severely understatement of the significance adapted to be lower. It is a severely understatement of the significance adapted to be lower. It is a severely understatement of the significance adapted to be lower. It is a severel on the severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. It is a severel on the severely understated. It is a severel on the severely understated. It is a severel on the severe the severe the severe the severe the severe the s			
Risk of M	litigation Fa	Positive Impacts)	1 2 3 4 Used when there is 0 1 2 3 4 The likelihood of m climate change, po 0 1 2 3 4 Neg Very High Neg High Neg High	woderate high very high s a potential overstal none low moderate high very high very high very Low Risk Low Risk Moderate Risk High Risk	There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. In the impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. In the impact significance of a positive impact to reduce the significance rating. No weighting required. Significance rating is a true reflection of the potential effect of the impact. There may be a slight understatement of the significance of the impact significance adapted to be lower. There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact significance adapted to be lower. There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact significance adapted to be lower. There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is highly understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance adapted to the impact. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is not severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. Less than 10% likelihood that mitigation measures could fail. 30 to 60% likelihood that mitigation measures could fail. 30 to 60% likelihood that mitigatio			
Risk of M	litigation Fa	Positive Impacts)	1 2 3 4 Used when there is 0 1 2 3 4 The likelihood of m climate change, por 0 1 2 3 4 Neg Very High Neg Very High Neg Moderate Nen Low	moderate high very high s a potential overstal none low moderate high very high very high very Low Risk Low Risk Moderate Risk High Risk	There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is highly understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. In the impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. In the impact significance of a positive impact to reduce the significance rating. No weighting required. Significance rating is a true reflection of the potential effect of the impact. There may be a slight understatement of the significance of the impact significance adapted to be lower. There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact significance adapted to be lower. There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is highly understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is neverely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. In the impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. In the impact significance rating is neverely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. In the impact significance rating is neverely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. In the impact significance rating is neverely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. In the impact significance rating is neverely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. In the impact significance rating is neverely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. In the impact significance			
Risk of M	litigation Fa	earline Positive Impacts)	1 2 3 4 Used when there is 0 1 2 3 4 The likelihood of m climate change, po 0 1 2 3 4 Neg Very High Neg Very High Neg Moderate Neg Low Neutral	moderate high very high s a potential overstal none low moderate high very high itigation failure rate litical instability, inte Very Low Risk Low Risk Moderate Risk High Risk Very High Risk	There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is highly understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. In eight of the significance rating is a true reflection of the potential effect of the impact. There may be a slight understatement of the significance of the impact. Impact significance adapted to be lower. There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact. Impact significance adapted to be lower. There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact significance adapted to be lower. There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is highly understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. In empact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. In empact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. In the impact significance rating is neverely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. It is a solval to solval to solve the significance second ratio adapted to be lower. It is a solval to solve the significance conditions, - skills and labour availability and potential for human error. Less than 10% likelihood that mitigation measures could fail. 10-30% likelihood that mitigation measures could fail. 60 to 60% likelihood that mitigation measures could fail. 60 to 60% likelihood that mitigation measures could fail. 60 to 80% likelihood that mitigation measures could fail. 60 to 80% likelihood that mitigation measures could fail. 60 to 80% likelihood that mitigation measur			
Risk of M	litigation Fa	Positive Impacts)	1 2 3 4 Used when there is 0 1 2 3 4 The likelihood of m climate change, po 0 1 2 3 4 Neg Very High Neg High Neg Moderate Neg Low Net defined	moderate high very high s a potential overstal none low moderate high very high nitigation failure rate- litical instability, inte Very Low Risk Low Risk Moderate Risk High Risk Very High Risk	There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is highly understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. In every the a slight understatement of the significance of the impact. Impact significance adapted to be lower. There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact. Impact significance adapted to be lower. There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is highly understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is neverely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. I he impact significance rating is neverely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. I he impact significance rating is neverely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. I he impact significance rating is neverely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. I he impact significance rating is neverely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. I he impact significance rating is neverely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. I he impact significance rating is neverely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. I he is observed and technology, - timing, and thus secondary potential of outside influences occurring over time (
Risk of M	litigation Fa	(Positive Impacts)	1 2 3 4 Used when there is 0 1 2 3 4 The likelihood of m climate change, pc 0 1 2 3 4 0 0 1 2 3 4 0 1 2 3 4 Neg Very High Neg High Neg Moderate Neg Low Neutral Not defined Pos Low Neutral	moderate high very high s a potential overstal none low moderate high very high very high very Low Risk Low Risk Moderate Risk High Risk Very High Risk	There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. In the impact significance of a positive impact to reduce the significance rating. No weighting required. Significance rating is a true reflection of the potential effect of the impact. There may be a slight understatement of the significance of the impact significance adapted to be lower. There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact. Impact significance adapted to be lower. There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is highly understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is neverely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is neverely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is neverely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is neverely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is neverely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating on severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. Less than 10% likelihood that mitigation measures could fai			
Risk of M	litigation Fa	Positive Impacts)	1 2 3 4 Used when there is 0 1 2 3 4 The likelihood of m climate change, portimate change, po	woderate high very high s a potential overstal none low moderate high very high very high very Low Risk Low Risk Moderate Risk High Risk Very High Risk	There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is a true reflection of the potential effect of the impact. There may be a slight understatement of the significance of the impact. Impact significance adapted to be lower. There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is highly understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. I be a moderate understatement of the significance severely potential of outside influences occurring over time (i.e. r/national economic instability) financial considerations,- skills and labour availability and potential for human error. Less than 10% likelihood that mitigation measures could fail. 60 to 60% likelihood that mitigation measures could fail. 60 to 60% likelihood that mitigation measures could fail. 60 to 80% likelihood that mitigation measure			
Risk of M	litigation Fa	Positive Impacts)	1 2 3 4 Used when there is 0 1 2 3 4 The likelihood of m climate change, pool 0 1 2 3 4 Neg Very High Neg High Neg High Neg Moderate Neg Low Neutral Not defined Pos Low Pos Moderate	moderate high very high s a potential overstal none low moderate high very high nitigation failure rate- litical instability, inte Very Low Risk Low Risk Moderate Risk High Risk Very High Risk	There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be higher. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. There may be a slight understatement of the significance of the impact. Impact significance adapted to be lower. There may be a moderate understatement of the significance of the impact. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is highly understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is not understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is severely understated. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is a true reflection of the impact. Impact significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is a true reflection of the significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is a true reflection of the significance adapted to be lower. The impact significance rating is a true reflection of the impact impact significance adapted to be lower. Less than 10% likelihood that mitigation measures could fail. 30 to 60% likelihood that mitigation measures could fail. >80% likelihood that mitigation measures could fail. >80% likelihood that mitigation measures could fail. >80% likelih			



Rating Criteria and Symbol / Short Description			Qualitative Description / Explanation of Rating Criteria			
Environm	ental Impac	ct Description			Description of the direct and indirect effect of human actions and project activities on the environment	
Mitigation Enhancer	n ment Measu	ires	Measures	I	Measures designed to avoid, reduce or remedy adverse potential negative impacts. Includes measures to compensate for residual impacts. Measures designed to expand and augment the effect of potential positive impacts.	
				Planning	Activities, impacts and mitigation measures applicable to the planning (or pre-implementation) phase.	
				Construction	Activities, impacts and mitigation measures applicable to the construction phase.	
				Operational	Activities, impacts and mitigation measures applicable to the operational (invasive prospecting) phase.	
Project Pl	hase			Rehabilitation and Closure	Activities, impacts and mitigation measures applicable to rehabilitation and closure (includes progressive rehabilitation over time leading up to and including rehabilitation at the end of the life of the project). For this project it also covers activities, impacts and mitigation measures applicable to post-closure.	
				Post Closure	Activities, impacts and mitigation measures that would be present after closure. For this project, due to the long life of the project, these are addressed under the Rehabilitation and Closure phase.	
				Negative	Impacts with a potential negative / adverse effect.	
Impact St	atus			Neutral	Neutral, no impact.	
				Positive	Impacts with a potential positive / beneficial effect.	
			1	low	Slight change, disturbance or nuisance. Targets, limits and thresholds of concern never exceeded. Impacts are rapidly and easily reversible. Require no or only minor interventions or clean-up actions. No complaints expected when the impact takes place.	
				2	moderate	Moderate change, disturbance or discomfort. Real but not substantial. Targets, limits and thresholds of concern may occasionally be exceeded. Impacts are reversible but may require some effort, cost and time. Sporadic complaints can be expected when the impact takes place.
	(٨	ts)	3	high	Prominent change, disturbance or degradation. Real and substantial. May result in illness or injury. Targets, limits and thresholds of concern regularly exceeded. Regular complaints can be expected when the impact takes place.	
(e)	ation + Frequenc	Intensity (Negative Impa	4	very high	Severe change, disturbance or degradation. May result in illness, injury or death. Targets, limits and thresholds of concern continually exceeded. Interest group / community mobilisation against project can be expected when the impact takes place. May result in legal action if impact occurs.	
Sca	Du		1	low	Slight change or improvement. Minor benefits.	
+ ner		202	2	moderate	Moderate change or improvement. Real but not substantial benefits.	
erit	nsit	nsit	3	high	Prominent change or improvement, Real and substantial benefits, General community support.	
Sev	Inte	Pos	4	very high	Considerable and large-scale change or improvement. Real and considerable benefit, Widespread support.	
Pating Cr	itoria and S	wmbol / Short	Description		Qualitative Description / Explanation of Pating Criteria	
Rating Ci	iteria allu S	Support Super-	Des Vasi List		Qualitative Description / Explanation of Rating Onteria	
			Diverse Low		Whospiteau support, way be associated while externing in community expectations.	
			Diverse Moderate		Limited interest. Some support. Some concerns.	
			Diverse High		General interest. Some support. Some concerns.	
			Diverse Very High		Widespread interest Some support Some concerns	
			Complete		No information case exist Decision-making can go ahead.	
Assessm	ent Confide	nce	Adequate		Minor information deficiencies exist but this does not affect decision-making. Decision-making can still go ahead.	
			Incomplete		Not enough information for decision-making. Current data to be supplemented with further monitoring or research.	
		Weighting	Formula	Example	Rating Criteria	
		1.0		1.0	Interistry (i) Duration (D)	
i	5	1.0	F	1.0	Frequency (F)	
	8	1.0	S=(I+D+F)/3	1.0	Severity (S)(Intensity + Duration + Frequency)	
4	Dina	1.0	E	4.0	Scale (Extent) (E)	
	5	1.0	C=(S+E)/2	2.5	Consequence (Severity + Extent) (C)	
	all	0.5	P S1=(C+P)/2	3.0	PTOBBOINTY (P) WEIGHING OF 0.5 USED TO INCREASE THE CONSERVANCY OF THE ASSESSMENT Significance (S1) (Consequence + Prohability)	
	112		W	0.0	Precationary Weighting (W)	
	d.		S2=(S+W)	2.7	Significance with Precautionary Weighting (S2)	
-			Formula	Level	Level	
			<=	-3.6	Neg Very High	
			<=	-3.0	Neg High	
Import C	ting		<=	-2.0	Neg Moderate	
Impact Ra	ating		<	0.0	NegLow	
			>	0.0	Pos Low	
			>=	2.0	Pos Moderate	
			>=	3.0	Pos rigit	
			>=			

3.10.7 The positive and negative impacts that the proposed activity (in terms of the initial site layout) and alternatives will have on the environment and the community that may be affected.

The following key concerns have been identified:

- It is expected that primary vegetation will be present in the study area, with habitat assumed suitable for several reddata species.
- Further, the overall ecological state of all areas currently delineated as CBA or ESA, in addition to that of primary vegetation, will need to be verified. Even modified areas, currently seen as ESAs, may be very important as habitat itself or to maintain downstream CBA habitat and species therein.

3.10.8 Possible Mitigation Measure that could be applied and the level of risk

Refer to Section 3.10.5 and Table 3-5.



The following mitigation can be implemented for sections of the proposed properties falling within the important areas. The following environmental management / mitigation plans can be followed if requested:

- Drill site selection must be aimed at minimising disturbance to natural vegetation.
- The site selection should be overseen by environmental scientists. Due to the sensitivity of the area detailed avifaunal surveys as well as detailed sensitive habitat mapping should be conducted before any potential development in the region occurs.
- No-go areas are to be identified where habits are considered to be sensitive.
- Environmental awareness training is to be given to all employees responsible for drilling.
- In order to minimise the impact of drilling activities on surface water a 100-meter buffer was allocated for each stream, river and wetlands.
- The drill sites are still located within the community land but agreement or compensation will need to be sought should the specific site be developed.
- The drilling sites themselves will be provided with safety netting, fencing and signage to ensure no person or animal can access these site.
- Workers and operators will not be housed on site. In addition, rehabilitation objectives will include ensuring that the site is safe.

3.10.9 Motivation where no alternative sites were considered.

No Alternative drill site locations were considered during the study. The project location was however bound to the current location due to the underlying geology and low biodiversity sensitivity as the majority of the study falls on the degraded category of Freestate CBA 2015. The prospecting right is dependent on the area chosen being susceptible to possible mineral deposits and therefore no alternative site could be considered.





3.10.10 Statement motivating the alternative development location within the overall site.

The final layout for the drilling can only be completed once the non-invasive aerial geological surveys have been completed. Invasive prospecting (drilling) will avoid servitudes, suitable habitat for the Globally threatened Red Data avifaunal species, wetlands and 100 m buffer zones, rivers and 100 m buffer zones / 1:100-year flood lines (whichever is greatest), and 50 m buffer zones from potential historical sites, graves and identified protected plants. A detailed terrestrial ecological assessment will be required when the drilling locations are identified and before any construction or operations may occur. Drill site locations are not fixed and need approval by an environmental control officer before drilling. The ECO will, as a minimum, consider:

- The Protected Environment.
- Plant and animal (avi faunal) sensitivity.
- Current land use.
- Servitudes.
- Sensitive features such as households.
- Heritage sites (including graveyards).



3.11 FULL DESCRIPTION OF THE PROCESS UNDERTAKEN TO IDENTIFY, ASSESS AND RANK THE IMPACTS AND RISKS THE ACTIVITY WILL IMPOSE ON THE PREFERRED SITE (IN RESPECT OF THE FINAL SITE LAYOUT PLAN) THROUGH THE LIFE OF THE ACTIVITY.

(Including (i) a description of all environmental issues and risks that are identified during the environmental impact assessment process and (ii) an assessment of the significance of each issue and risk and an indication of the extent to which the issue and risk could be avoided or addressed by the adoption of mitigation measures.)

The same impact ranking criteria and methodology was employed as discussed in Section 3.10.6 of this report.

3.12 ASSESSMENT OF EACH IDENTIFIED POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT IMPACT AND RISK

Refer to Section 3.10.5 and Table 3-5.



3.13 SUMMARY OF SPECIALIST REPORTS.

(This summary must be completed if any specialist reports informed the impact assessment and final site layout process and must be in the following tabular form):-

Table 3-7: Summary of Specialist Reports

List of Studies Undertaken	Recommendations of Specialist Reports	Recommendations that Have Been Included in the EIA Report	Reference Applicable of Report	to Section
Archaeological Desktop study	 Six potential sites were identified on the historical aerial images: One on the Remaining Extent of the Farm Enkeldoom 605, one on Portion 1 of the Farm Enkeldoorn 605, one on Portion 4 of the Farm Klipfontein 459 and three on Portion 5 of the Farm Klipfontein 459. A total of 4 sites associated with buildings were noted, one indicated as a cemetery and one as a hut. The status of the cemetery is unknown, while three sites are associated with intact buildings as observed on contemporary satellite imagery. The remaining two sites appear to have been demolished as no surface features were noted on contemporary satellite imagery but might be associated with subsurface culturally significant remains. It is also unknown whether the sites associated with intact buildings have been demolished and replaced by modern buildings. The following recommendations are made in order to avoid the destruction of heritage remains within the area demarcated for prospecting: Although the demolished sites appear not to be associated with surface remains, subsurface culturally significant material might be present. Therefore, it is recommended that these sites be avoided by the proposed prospecting activities. Should this not be possible, a qualified archaeologist should be present on-site during prospecting in order to limit potential impact on heritage resources. The intact sites might be of cultural significance as the possibility exists that the associated buildings and structures exceed 60 years of age. It is therefore recommended that these areas be avoided by the proposed prospecting activities. Should this not be possible, a qualified archaeologist should be present on-site during prospecting activities. The area associated with the cemetery (B02) should be avoided by the proposed prospecting activities. The area associated with the cemetery (B02) should be avoided by the proposed prospecting activities. It is advised that a qualified archaeologist	X	This table, 3.10.4 and 3.10.5	Section





List of Studies Undertaken	Recommendations of Specialist Reports	Recommendations that Have Been Included in the EIA Report	Reference to Applicable Section of Report
	 Because archaeological artefacts generally occur below surface, the possibility exists that culturally significant material may be exposed during the prospecting phase, in which case all activities must be suspended pending further archaeological investigations by a qualified archaeologist. Also, should skeletal remains be exposed, all activities must be suspended and the relevant heritage resources authority contacted (See National Heritage Resources Act, 25 of 1999 section 36 (6)). 		
Ecological Desktop Study	 The study is still being conducted but a conclusion can be made that: Further, the overall ecological state of all areas currently delineated as CBA or ESA, in addition to that of primary vegetation, will need to be verified. Even modified areas, currently seen as ESAs, may be very important as habitat itself or to maintain downstream CBA habitat and species therein. 	X	This table, Section 3.10.4 and Section 3.10.5





3.14 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

3.14.1 Summary of the key findings of the environmental impact assessment;

The most significant impacts after mitigation and with a cumulative medium to high significance are:

Table 3-8: Summary of key findings

IMPACT	SIGNIFICANCE – WITHOUT MITIGATION	SIGNIFICANCE – WITH MITIGATION	COMMENT	MITIGATION
Negatively affecting the Ecological Support Areas (ESAs)	Moderate	Negative Low	According to the Critical Biodiversity Areas datasets provided by SANBI (2015), the majority of the prospecting area falls within Degraded category, whereas some sections fall within CBA 1, ESA1 and ESA2.	The overall ecological state of all areas currently delineated as degraded, ESA and CBA, in addition to that of primary vegetation, will need to be verified. Even modified areas, currently seen as ESAs, may be very important as habitat itself or to maintain downstream CBA habitat and species therein.
Negatively affecting sensitive bird species and the biodiversity in the area.	Moderate	Negative Low	It is expected that primary vegetation will be present in the study area.	A thorough faunal survey should thus be undertaken, including downstream habitats, prior to prospecting and potential mining being initiated in the area and before drilling can commence – identification of boreholes.
Negatively affecting the Heritage sites.	Moderate	Negative Low	Six potential sites were identified on the historical aerial images: One on the Remaining Extent of the Farm Enkeldoorn 605, one on Portion 1 of the Farm Enkeldoorn 605, one on Portion 4 of the Farm Klipfontein 459 and three on Portion 5 of the Farm Klipfontein 459. A total of 4 sites associated with buildings were noted, one indicated as a cemetery and one as a hut. The status of the cemetery is unknown, while three sites are associated with intact buildings as observed on contemporary satellite imagery.	It is advised that a qualified archaeologist be contacted whenever uncertainty regarding potential heritage remains are encountered. Prospecting should not take place in the vicinity of stone cairns, potential burial sites, stone-walling, building ruins or any other heritage material or structures.
Negatively affecting the surface water quality.	Moderate	Negative Low	A nonperennial river, the Sooifonteinleegte, flows along the southern boundary of the study area and intersects Portion 1 of the Farm Enkeldoorn 605, as well as Portions 4 & 5 of the Farm Klipfontein 459. The Bloemhof Dam is located 63 km to the southwest.	 It is highly recommended that watercourse assessment be carried out as a result of the Spitskop Channel cutting the application area by means of a site visit to ground truth any sensitive ecological features. Avoidance of any channel and sensitive areas is highly recommended for the prospection activities. Water will be recycled as far as possible using a closed loop sump system.



IMPACT	SIGNIFICANCE – WITHOUT MITIGATION	SIGNIFICANCE – WITH MITIGATION	COMMENT	MITIGATION
Conflicting land uses (agriculture and prospecting).	Moderate	Negative Low		 Prospecting will be planned to take place outside of farming activities where possible. where not possible compensation will be discussed and agreed with the affected party. rehabilitation will consider further use of the land.

The nature of prospecting involves invasive drilling of sites not exceeding 64 m². The drill sites are not fixed and can be relocated by 1-50 meters. Due to the flexibility of the drill sites and small size the key mitigation is to approve each site on environmental factors by a competent environmental officer. Each active site will be rehabilitated to its natural status before sampling. The success of the proposed mitigation is high.

3.14.2 Final Site Map

Provide a map at an appropriate scale which superimposes the proposed overall activity and its associated structures and infrastructure on the environmental sensitivities of the preferred site indicating any areas that should be avoided, including buffers. Attach as Appendix 3.



Figure 3-12: Site sensitivities.



3.14.3 Summary of the positive and negative impacts and risks of the proposed activity and identified alternatives.

Description	Advantages	Disadvantages
Prospecting drilling	 Drilling sites must be selected to minimise disturbance of current land use. 	 Vegetation and topsoil excavated during the drilling Intrusion due to drilling and prospecting activities in an area where agricultural land uses are prominent.
No-go alternative	 No activity is to occur within 100 m of any road servitude, wetlands and their 100 m buffer zones, within rivers and their 100 m buffer zone / 1:100- year flood line without the necessary authorisation under NEMA and NWA. Heritage sites and 50 m buffer zones will be preserved at all times unless the necessary permits are obtained under SAHRA. 	- These boreholes and its associated activities will impact on a surface area of between 250 and 625 m ² .

Table 2 0:	Docitivo and	Nogotivo	Impacto /	Dicks of	Dropocod Activ	vity
Table 3-9.	Positive and	negative	impacts /	KISKS UI	FIODOSEC ACT	vily

The risks of the project have potentially negative impacts on the ecological support area and increased urban sprawl into rural areas.

Positive impact is associated with the brief creation of jobs and is considered of moderate to low significance. This has been assessed in terms of the prospecting operation on its own; however, should this prospecting right be converted into a MR then the social benefits will be of moderate to high significance.

3.15 PROPOSED IMPACT MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVES AND THE IMPACT MANAGEMENT OUTCOMES FOR INCLUSION IN THE EMPR;

Based on the assessment and where applicable the recommendations from specialist reports, the recording of proposed impact management objectives, and the impact management outcomes for the development for inclusion in the EMPr as well as for inclusion as conditions of authorisation.

The objectives of impact mitigation and management are to:

- Primarily pre-empt impacts and prevent the realisation of these impacts PREVENTION.
- To ensure activities that are expected to impact on the environment are undertaken and controlled in such a way so as to minimise their impacts – MODIFY and/or CONTROL.
- To ensure a system is in place for treating and/or rectifying any significant impacts that will occur due to the proposed activity – REMEDY.
- Implement an adequate monitoring programme to:
 - Ensure that mitigation and management measure are effective.
 - o Allow quick detection of potential impacts, which in turn will allow for quick response to issue/impacts.
 - Reduce duration of any potential negative impacts.

Environmental impact management outcomes are:

• Conduct prospecting activities responsibly and ensure operation is compliant with legislative requirements.



- Protect the biophysical environment as far as possible, specifically wetlands and riverine areas and any protected species observed on site.
- Protect the water resources in the area as far as possible.
- Ensure atmospheric pollution is kept to a minimum.
- Ensure adequate rehabilitation to allow continued grazing land use.
- Ensure socially responsible activities.
- Protect historical and cultural sites if they are observed on site.

3.16 ASPECTS FOR INCLUSION AS CONDITIONS OF AUTHORISATION.

- A qualified archaeologist be contacted whenever uncertainty regarding potential heritage
- remains are encountered.
- Heritage sites and 50 m buffer zones will be preserved at all times unless the necessary permits are obtained under SAHRA.
- No activity is to occur within 100 m of any road servitude, wetlands and their 100 m buffer zones, within rivers and their 100 m buffer zone / 1:100-year flood line without the necessary authorisation under NEMA and NWA.
- Planning before carrying out prospecting activities in a particular area, and surveying the area before conducting invasive prospecting, is critical to ensure the sensitive areas are preserved and to ensure prospecting proceeds in a manner compliant with national legislation.
- Rehabilitation must be applied on an on-going basis and no sites must be left exposed for more time than necessary to obtain the necessary data. All areas disturbed during the drilling process must be rehabilitated to previous land use capability.

3.17 DESCRIPTION OF ANY ASSUMPTIONS, UNCERTAINTIES AND GAPS IN KNOWLEDGE.

At this stage the exact locations of the invasive prospecting are unknown due to the fact that the locations will be dependent on the findings of the non-invasive techniques. This is not seen as a major gap as the lack of this knowledge has been worked into the EMP as well as the proposed conditions stipulated above. In general, the approach will be as follows for invasive prospecting:

• Activities must remain outside all wetland areas until authorisation has been obtained under NEMA and NWA.

3.18 REASONED OPINION AS TO WHETHER THE PROPOSED ACTIVITY SHOULD OR SHOULD NOT BE AUTHORISED

3.18.1 Reasons why the activity should be authorized or not.

- A qualified archaeologist be contacted whenever uncertainty regarding potential heritage remains are encountered.
- Prospecting will not take place in the vicinity of stone cairns, potential burial sites, stone-walling, building ruins or any other heritage material or structures.
- The EAP believes that the authorisation for the portions not affected by the any sensitive ecological features of the activity should be granted.
- The risks of the remaining proposed prospecting activity are minimal and can be easily mitigated by following the
 mitigation measures stipulated in the EMPr, which will reduce impacts significantly to acceptable levels which will
 easily recover.



3.18.2 Conditions that must be included in the authorisation

- Prospecting should not take place in the vicinity of stone cairns, potential burial sites, stone-walling, building ruins or any other heritage material or structures.
- It is advised that a qualified archaeologist be contacted whenever uncertainty regarding potential heritage remains are encountered.
- No activities to occur within 100 m of any wetlands and their 100 m buffer zones, within rivers and their 100 m buffer zone / 1:100 year flood line without the necessary authorisation under NEMA and NWA.
- Heritage sites and 50 m buffer zones will be preserved at all times unless the necessary permits are obtained under SAHRA.
- Planning before carrying out prospecting activities in a particular area, and surveying the area before conducting
 invasive prospecting, is critical to ensure the sensitive areas are preserved and to ensure prospecting proceeds in a
 manner compliant with national legislation.
- Rehabilitation must be applied on an on-going basis and no sites must be left exposed for more time than necessary to obtain the necessary data. All areas disturbed during the drilling process must be rehabilitated to previous land use capability.

3.19 PERIOD FOR WHICH THE ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORISATION IS REQUIRED.

5 Years.

3.20 UNDERTAKING

Confirm that the undertaking required to meet the requirements of this section is provided at the end of the EMPr and is applicable to both the Basic assessment report and the Environmental Management Programme report.

The applicant representative, Mr. Douglas Mongwe hereby confirms the undertaking to ensure implementation and compliance with the basic assessment report and environmental management programme.



3.21 FINANCIAL PROVISION

Table 3-10: Financial Provision

FS FS 30/5/1/1/2 (10600) PR Province: Free State							
Evaluators: Eco Elementum (Pty) Ltd			Date:July 2021				
	Risk Class	High (A)			-		
A	Environmental Sensitivitu	Medium					
General Information	WF 1: Nature of Terrain Veighting Factor	Flat 1.00	National	Treasure Minerals - Prospecting Right			
	WF 2: Proximity to Urban Area Weighting Factor		1		1 5 5		
Component No	Main Activities Itemized Descriptions	(B) CPI Adjusted Master Rate	[A] Quantity	Units	[C] Multipliction Factor	[D] Veighting Factor 1:	Sub Totals [E = A"B"C"D]
1	Disperation of processing plant and structures	SIEP 4.3	SIEP 4.5		SIEP 4.3	STEP 4.4	
2(4)	Dismanting or processing plant and structures	R 16.59	0.00	m3	1.00	1.00	R 0.00
2(B)	Demolition of reinforced concrete buildings and structures	R 231.09	0.00	m2	1.00	1.00	R 0.00
3	Behabilitation of access roads	R 340.55	0.00	m2 	1.00	1.00	R 0.00
4(A)	Demolition and rebabilitation of electrified railway lines	R 41.35	850.00	m2	1.00	1.00	H 35 14 (.50
4(B)	Demolition and rehabilitation of non-electrified railway lines	R 401.36	0.00		1.00	1.00	R 0.00
+(D) 5	Demolition of housing and facilities	R 210.32	0.00	m 	1.00	1.00	R 0.00
6	Opencest relabilitation including final voids and ramps	R 462.11	0.00	m2	1.00	1.00	R 0.00
7	Sealing of shafts adits and inclines	R 235 221.03	0.00	na _ 2	1.00	1.00	R 0.00
8(A)	Behabilitation of overburden and spoils	R 124.00	0.00	 	1.00	1.00	R 0.00
8(B)	Rehabilitation of processing waste deposits and evaporation ponds (basic, salt-producing waste)	R 201 116.96	0.00	na ha	1.00	1.00	R 0.00
8(C)	Rehabilitation of processing waste deposits and evaporation ponds (acidic, metal-rich waste)	R 584 284.21	0.00	ha	1.00	1.00	R 0.00
9	Rehabilitation of subsided areas	R 135 246.47	0.00	ha	1.00	1.00	R 0.00
10	areas	R 127 949.00	1.26	ha	1.00	1.00	R 161 215.74
11	River diversions	R 127 949.00	0.00	ha	1.00	1.00	R 0.00
12	Fencing	R 145.35	0.00	m	1.00	1.00	R 0.00
13	Water management (Separating clean and dirty water, managing polluted water and managing the impact on groundwater,	R 48 649.81	0.00	ha	1.00	1.00	R 0.00
14	2 to 3 years of maintenance and after care	R 17 027.43	1.26	ha	1.00	1.00	R 21 454.56
15	Specialist study	R 45 000.00	1.00	report	1.00	1.00	R 45 000.00
					Subtotal (1 to 15 above)	R 262 817.80
	Subtotal 1		Weighting Fa	ctor 2		1	R 262 817.80
1	Preliminary and General	122 of Subtotal 1 if less than R100mil 62 of Sub Total 1 if more than R100mil				R 31 538.14	
	0					D 06 001 70	
£	Contingency				102	or sub local 1	H 20 201.10
	2	septotal 2 (Seb	ototal 1 plus s	10 41	anagement and	contingency]	R 51 819.92
							D 000 C07 70



3.21.1 Explain how the aforesaid amount was derived.

The Quantum was calculated using the guideline document developed by the Department of Mineral Resources in 2005. In addition, consideration has been given to Section 41 of the Mineral & Petroleum Resource Development Act, N0 28 of 2002.

The quantum has been aligned with the rehabilitation and allows for the site to be rehabilitated back to the original status of the site. This will include:

- Ensuring all pollution generating activities are eliminated.
- Ensuring all infrastructure is removed from site.
- Ensuring that the existing land use can continue.
- Ensuring that the site is safe for humans and animals.

The rehabilitation sites will have a footprint of 64 m² for 20 sites as this is the area determined that needs to be cleared for drilling from previous experience. The maps and illustrations attached therefore indicate the site layout and sizes associated in order to do prospecting drilling.

Application for Prospecting right in respect of Portions 4 and 5 of the farm Rietfontein 458 and Portion 1 and Remaining Extent of the farm Enkeldoorn 605, situated in the Lejweleputswa District Municipality within the Nala Local Municipality, Free State Province, South Africa with maximum of 20 drill boreholes are required to determine the available resource. Only one prospecting site will be active at a time as there is only one drill rig that will be used. This therefore allows minimum exposure and impact as concurrent rehabilitation can be carried out. Once drilling is complete at one site (usually within one day) the rehabilitation can be done immediately and soils and vegetation replaced.

Existing roads will be used as far as possible and it is not possible to identify any new access roads at this stage as its route will be determined in conjunction with the landowner and activities on the property at that time. No other infrastructure, offices or housing, will be present within the prospecting area and all employees will be housed in nearby towns. Vegetation establishment is monitored after the first rain to ensure sustainability in the rehabilitation efforts.

3.21.2 Confirm that this amount can be provided for from operating expenditure.

The provision forms part of the capital expense of the project and is not included in the operational budget allocated in the prospecting works programme. Allowance has been made for environmental reporting in the operational budget.

3.22 SPECIFIC INFORMATION REQUIRED BY THE COMPETENT AUTHORITY

3.22.1 Compliance with the provisions of sections 24(4)(a) and (b) read with section 24 (3) (a) and (7) of the National Environmental Management Act (Act 107 of 1998). The EIA report must include the:-

3.22.1.1 Impact on the socio-economic conditions of any directly affected person.

(Provide the results of Investigation, assessment, and evaluation of the impact of the mining, bulk sampling or alluvial diamond prospecting on any directly affected person including the landowner, lawful occupier, or, where applicable, potential beneficiaries of any land restitution claim.

The proposed prospecting activities are expected to be limited and thus opportunities for employment will be low. However, consideration will be given to local procurement of goods and services where practicable.



There may be concern that the introduction of the prospecting workforce into the farm communities can result in disputes. The prospecting workforce is not to interfere with any farm labourers or communities. No persons are to reside on the properties during prospecting activities.

3.22.1.2 Impact on any national estate referred to in section 3(2) of the National Heritage Resources Act. (Provide the results of Investigation, assessment, and evaluation of the impact of the mining, bulk sampling or alluvial diamond prospecting on any national estate referred to in section 3(2) of the National Heritage Resources Act, 1999 (Act No. 25 of 1999) with the exception of the national estate contemplated in section 3(2)(i)(vi) and (vii) of that Act, attach the investigation report as Appendix 4 and confirm that the applicable mitigation is reflected in 2.5.3; 2.11.6.and 2.12.herein).

Section 3(2) of the National Heritage Resources Act, No. 25 of 1999 provides a description of all items that is classified as national estate. The EAP has evaluated the list in comparison with the project site. The results of the assessment are provided below with recommendations to the environmental officer where there was uncertainty. A heritage assessment must be initiated prior to invasive drilling.

3.23 OTHER MATTERS REQUIRED IN TERMS OF SECTIONS 24(4)(A) AND (B) OF THE ACT.

Section 24(4) (b) (i) of the Act specifies "investigation of the potential consequences or impacts of the alternatives to the activity on the environment and assessment of the significance of those potential consequences or impacts, including the option of not implementing the activity".

The alternatives assessed and the impacts associated with the alternatives assessed have been fully presented in Section 3.10 and Section 3.14.3.



PART B

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PROGRAMME REPORT



4. DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PROGRAMME

4.1 DETAILS OF THE EAP

(Confirm that the requirement for the provision of the details and expertise of the EAP are already included in PART A, section 1(a) herein as required).

Name of The Practitioner:	Ms. Kelebone Sekonyela
Tel No.:	012 807 0383
Fax No. :	086 714 5397
e-mail address:	kele@ecoe.co.za

4.2 DESCRIPTION OF THE ASPECTS OF THE ACTIVITY

Both non-invasive and invasive prospecting activities will be undertaken as part of the proposed Prospecting Work Programme (PWP). The application will follow a phased approach, where the prospecting work program is divided into several sequential phases.

Regarding the length of the boreholes an average of 150 m was used for budget purposes although the lengths will differ depending on where you are drilling in the project area.

Vegetation will be cleared at the borehole locations within the application area. Minor access tracks will be created to access the proposed borehole sites where there are no existing roads. The total length of the access routes is anticipated to be 5 000 m and the approximate width is 3 m.

At the end of each phase there will be a brief period of compiling and evaluating results. The results will not only determine whether prospecting proceeds, but also the manner in which it will go forward. The applicant will only action the next phase of prospecting, once satisfied with the results obtained in the previous phases. In addition, smaller, non-core parts of the prospecting work program will be undertaken, if warranted. A description of the planned invasive and non-invasive activities is detailed below.

4.3 DESCRIPTION OF PLANNED NON-INVASIVE ACTIVITIES:

(These activities do not disturb the land where prospecting will take place e.g. aerial photography, desktop studies, aeromagnetic surveys, etc.)

The Non-Invasive methods which will be used during the exploration program span all the four phases in different time frames. They are outlined in the following text.

Literature Study – During this stage information pertaining to the geological, geographical, environmental and geomorphological including the topographical and infrastructural systems of the area will be studied with the aim of designing the prospecting program and also the impact that the programs will have in the environmental and the ecosystem of the area. Information will be gathered from relevant areas including the Council of the Geoscience and other commercial areas where it may be available. Sources of information will include geological reports, general geological text books and geological maps, topographical maps, agricultural and land use maps. Visits to the area will also be conducted in order to acquire information that might not be available in literature. Detailed geological information will then be gathered which will be used in planning further prospecting and exploration strategy.



Geological Mapping – This stage will include the field traverse in the farm collecting geological information. Lithological contacts, outcrops, faults, dykes, folds will be mapped including their attitude and characteristics like dip and strikes, thickness etc. This information will be correlated with the literature study information in order to correlate with the correct stratigraphy and lithological units.

Interpretation of Information and decision making – This item appears in all the phases towards the end of the data gathering sub-programs. In Phase 1, this will mean that maps will be drawn depicting the data which is of the geological nature of the area. Using all other information, a decision will then be taken as to whether it is feasible to carry on or if the project should be abandoned.

Sampling and resource modelling. - This stage entails sampling the core from drilling as well as data manipulation to produce drilling results information. Resource modelling is conducted which will result in tonnages and grade distribution. However, this is still in low geological confidence. From the results, a decision will be taken if prospecting will be continued. During the non-invasive methods, additional information is gathered in the form of prefeasibility studies. This includes the determination of the suitable mining method and its costs. Other information that is gathered includes the macro and the micro economics that will determine the feasibility of the project.

4.4 DESCRIPTION OF PLANNED INVASIVE ACTIVITIES:

(These activities result in land disturbances e.g. sampling, drilling, bulk sampling, etc.)

Planned invasive prospecting methods entail conducting drilling to ascertain the existence of the expected minerals, its thickness and distribution. Samples will be taken and analysed. The number of boreholes planned is 20:

- Reconnaissance drilling: 5.
- Resource drilling 6.
- Feasibility drilling 9.

The depths will vary from very shallow (approx. 30 m) to nearly 150 m. The exact depths of the boreholes will be determined while the drilling program is underway as influenced by the depths and dips measured in the previous boreholes.



4.5 COMPOSITE MAP

(Provide a map (Attached as an Appendix3) at an appropriate scale which superimposes the proposed activity, its associated structures, and infrastructure on the environmental sensitivities of the preferred site, indicating any areas that any areas that should be avoided, including buffers)



Figure 4-1: Site Proposed Layout

4.6 DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVES INCLUDING MANAGEMENT STATEMENTS

4.6.1 Determination of closure objectives.

The closure objective allows for the site to be rehabilitated back to the original status of the site. This will include:

- 1. Ensuring all pollution generating activities are eliminated.
- 2. Ensuring all infrastructure is removed from site.
- 3. Ensuring that the existing land use can continue.
- 4. Ensuring that the site is safe for humans and animals.

Vegetation establishment is monitored after the first rain to ensure sustainability in the rehabilitation efforts.



4.6.2 Volumes and rate of water use required for the operation.

Only a small volume of water will be required during drilling. Approximately 15 m³ of water will be used per day for a maximum of 20 days. This amounts to a total maximum of 300 m³.

Water will also be brought onto site for potable use, this is estimated at 5 litres per person / day.

4.6.3 Has a water use licence has been applied for?

No water use licence or water use registration has been applied for. The project aims to utilise water from existing lawful users, an irrigation board or water services provider. Should water be required from a water resource if the above is unsuccessful a water use registration will be applied for.

No watercourses will also be impacted by the activity and a 100 m buffer has been created around all watercourses to limit the need for 21(c) or 21(i) water use licences or registration.

4.6.4 Impacts to be mitigated in their respective phases.

4.6.4.1 Objectives

This section provides for the environmental management of all prospecting activities to be undertaken in the prospecting area. The objective of this section is to detail actions required to address the potential impacts resulting from the identified activities to be undertaken during the establishment, operation and rehabilitation of drilling sites within the prospecting right area. This section elaborates on the implementation of the mitigation measures documented in the detailed impact assessment.


4.6.4.2 Environmental Impacts

The aim of this section is to reduce the significance of negative impacts and enhance positive impacts as far as practicably possible. The overall objectives are thus to:

- Minimize disturbance on the physical environment including the protection of soils, surface water and groundwater during drilling operations;
- Minimize disturbance to the ecological environment and prevent disturbance to sensitive sites;
- prevent disturbance of sites of cultural and historical importance;
- Minimize disturbance to current land uses and neighbouring activities;
- · Provide for a forum for consultation with land owners and affected parties; and
- Facilitate socio-economic development where practicable.

4.6.4.3 Rehabilitation

Prospecting activities are to be undertaken in a manner which facilitates site rehabilitation and the restoration of predisturbance land capabilities. The primary objectives for rehabilitation include the:

- Removal of all infrastructure and material introduced to site;
- Removal of all wastes and their appropriate disposal;
- Promotion of the rapid re-establishment of natural vegetation and the restoration of site ecology; and
- Facilitation of the re-establishment of the land use and land capability to as close as reasonably possible to the original conditions.

4.6.4.4 Action Plan

The various actions that need to be implemented, to ensure that the environmental objectives are met, are detailed in this section. The actions are aimed at preventing or mitigating environmental impacts and implementing the rehabilitation plan. The management actions are stated in a manner that ensures that they can be audited during the performance assessment programme.

4.6.4.5 Time Schedule

Time-frames detail the implementation schedule of management actions. The successful implementation and commencement within the timeframes is to be monitored as part of the performance assessment programme.

4.6.4.6 Requirements for Implementation

Additional measures that will need to be put in place to allow for the successful implementation of the action plan are listed where relevant. The table below presents the actions that need to be implemented to address the potential impacts resulting from the identified activities to be undertaken during the establishment, operation and rehabilitation of drilling sites within the prospecting right area. The management actions are stated in a manner that ensures that they can be audited during the performance assessment programme. Once approved by the relevant authorities, the provisions of the EMP are legally binding on the project applicant and all its contractors and suppliers.



Table 4-1: Impacts to be mitigated in their respective phases, Impact Management outcomes, Impact Management Action

ACTIVITIES (E.g. For prospecting - drill site, site camp, ablution facility, accommodation, equipment storage, sample storage, site office, access route etcetcetc. E.g. For mining, - excavations, blasting, stockpiles, discard dumps or dams, Loading, hauling and transport, Water supply dams and boreholes, accommodation, offices, ablution, stores, workshops, processing plant, storm water control, berms, roads, pipelines, power lines, conveyors, etcetcetc.)	PHASE (Of operation in which activity will take place. State; Planning and design, Pre- Construction' Construction, Operational, Rehabilitation , Closure, Post closure).	SIZE AND SCALE of disturban ce (volumes, tonnages and hectares or m ²)	MITIGATION MEASURES (describe how each of the recommendations in herein will remedy the cause of pollution or degradation and migration of pollutants)	COMPLIANCEWITHSTANDARDS(A description of how each of the recommendations herein will comply with any prescribed environmental management standards or practices that have been identified by Competent Authorities)	TIMEPERIODFORIMPLEMENTATIONDescribe the time period when the measures in the environmental management programme must be implemented Measures must be implemented when required.With regard to Rehabilitation specifically this must take place at the earliest opportunity. With regard to Rehabilitation, therefore state either:Upon cessation of the individual activityOr.Upon the cessation of mining, bulk sampling or alluvial diamond prospecting as the case may be.
Prospecting (drill) site clearance	Construction	640 m ²	The prospecting is aimed at minimising disturbance to natural vegetation once the positions have been finalised. No-go areas to be identified. Environmental awareness training of all employees responsible for drilling.	NEM: BA SANBI	Prior to construction
			 A heritage assessment and paleontological impact assessment need to be undertaken prior to any invasive site activities. ECO to approve drill site location considering biodiversity, water resources, heritage and land use, 	Resources Act Implementation of the Impact management hierarchy to avoid, minimise, mitigate and rehabilitate.	



REPORT REF: 21-1441-AUTH (NTM Rietfontein 10600 PR) Basic Assessment Report

			Consult with landowner on drill site location, demarcates drill site for safety, Create an upstream berm to divert, clean stormwater around the site, Create a downstream berm to contain any dirty water.	Compliance to GN704 of the National Water Act	
Establish water recycling sumps	Construction	2 m ²	Remove topsoil where sumps will be placed for rehabilitation. Line drill sumps with plastic to limit groundwater seepage.	 to meet rehabilitation Standards. to limit groundwater contamination 	During construction.
Clearance of access roads	Construction	800 m ²	ECO to approve access road Route. Limit clearance to two lane tracks.	Implementation of the Impact management hierarchy to avoid, minimise, mitigate and rehabilitate.	During construction.
Establish prospecting site	Construction	25 – 64 m²	 Chemical toilets need to be placed in close proximity to the drill site. All chemicals and fuels need to be stored in a bunded area. bins for general waste need to be provided. signage indicating hazards need to be placed at the entrance of the site. drill rig operators and labourers need to be provided with identification cards. no labourers are to be housed on site. 	Occupation Health requirement. Management of hazardous substances.	During construction.
Operation of the drill site	Operation	25 – 64 m²	 General waste need to be collected and disposed at a licensed facility. during rainfall events the drilling sumps need to be covered with plastic. 	- impact mitigation.	During operations.





REPORT REF: 21-1441-AUTH (NTM Rietfontein 10600 PR) Basic Assessment Report

			 no employee are allowed outside of the drill site barricading without permission from the site manager. water is to be sourced from existing users. working hours is only permitted during daytime hours. vehicles are not permitted to exceed 30 km/h within the drill properties.
Decommissioning and rehabilitation of the drill site Access roads.	Rehabilitation	25 – 64 m²	 All infrastructure need to be removed from the site. All waste and spillage need to be cleaned and disposed of appropriately. drill sump water should be reused or allowed to evaporate. plastic from drill sumps need to be removed. Chemical toilets need to be cleaned before I can be moved to the following drill site. The drill hole must be capped or sealed to limit water ingress and ensure safety for humans and animals. vehicles are not permitted to exceed 30 km/h within the drill properties.



4.6.5 Financial Provision

4.6.5.1 Determination of the amount of Financial Provision.

4.6.5.1.1 Describe the closure objectives and the extent to which they have been aligned to the baseline environment described under the Regulation.

The Quantum was calculated using the guideline document developed by the Department of Mineral Resources in 2005. In addition, consideration has been given to Section 41 of the Mineral & Petroleum Resource Development Act, N0 28 of 2002.

The quantum has been aligned with the rehabilitation and allows for the site to be rehabilitated back to the original status of the site. This will include:

- Ensuring all pollution generating activities are eliminated.
- Ensuring all infrastructure is removed from site.
- Ensuring that the existing land use can continue.
- Ensuring that the site is safe for humans and animals.

The rehabilitation sites will have a footprint of 64 m² for 20 sites as this is the area determined that needs to be cleared for drilling from previous experience. The maps and illustrations attached therefore indicate the site layout and sizes associated in order to do prospecting drilling.

Application for Prospecting right in respect of Portions 4 and 5 of the farm Rietfontein 458 and Portion 1 and Remaining Extent of the farm Enkeldoorn 605, situated in the Lejweleputswa District Municipality within the Nala Local Municipality, Free State Province, South Africa with maximum of 20 drill boreholes are required to determine the available resource. Only one prospecting site will be active at a time as there is only one drill rig that will be used. This therefore allows minimum exposure and impact as concurrent rehabilitation can be carried out. Once drilling is complete at one site (usually within one day) the rehabilitation can be done immediately and soils and vegetation replaced.

Existing roads will be used as far as possible and it is not possible to identify any new access roads at this stage as its route will be determined in conjunction with the landowner and activities on the property at that time. No other infrastructure, offices or housing, will be present within the prospecting area and all employees will be housed in nearby towns. Vegetation establishment is monitored after the first rain to ensure sustainability in the rehabilitation efforts.

4.6.5.1.2 Confirm specifically that the environmental objectives in relation to closure have been consulted with landowner and interested and affected parties.

The basic assessment report and environmental management programme will be provided to IAPs for review and comment for 30 days. The objective is to communicate to IAP's during the public consultation process.

4.6.5.1.3 Provide a rehabilitation plan that describes and shows the scale and aerial extent of the main mining activities, including the anticipated mining area at the time of closure.

Vegetation and topsoil excavated during the drilling process will be stockpiled next to sumps where it will serve as a storm water diversion berm. On completion of the drilling process, the rehabilitated sumps will be backfilled with the stockpiled material.



4.6.5.1.4 Explain why it can be confirmed that the rehabilitation plan is compatible with the closure objectives.

The rehabilitation pf drilling boreholes will provide a project site backfilled ,capping of boreholes, and vegetating of disturbed areas (where not within cultivated lands).

4.6.5.1.5 Calculate and state the quantum of the financial provision required to manage and rehabilitate the environment in accordance with the applicable guideline.

The total provision amounts to the number of holes requiring rehabilitation at any given moment. This ensures that should the project application become insolvent prematurely the costs of rehabilitation can be recovered. Existing roads will be used as far as possible and it is not possible to identify any new access roads at this stage as its route will be determined in conjunction with the landowner and activities on the property at that time. No other infrastructure, offices or housing, will be present within the prospecting area and all employees will be housed in nearby towns. The quantum as calculated using the Department's guideline is provided in the Table below.

Table 4-2: Closure Quantum

CALCULATION OF CLOSURE QUANTUM								
FS FS 30/5/1/1/2 (10600) PR				Province: Free State				
Evaluators: Eco Elementum (Pty) Ltd				Date:July 2021				
	Risk Class	High (A)						
General	Environmental Sensitivity	Medium	h	-			Disk.	
Information	WF 1: Nature of Terrain Weighting Factor	Flat 1.00	National	Irea	asure Min	erais - Pro	ospecting Right	
	WF 2: Proximity to Urban Area Weighting Factor	Peri-Urban 1.05	1					
Component No	Main Activities Itemized Descriptions	[B] CPI Adjusted Master Rate	[A] Quantity	Units	[C] Multipliction Factor	[D] Veighting Factor 1:	Sub Totals [E = A"B"C"D]	
		STEP 4.3	STEP 4.5		STEP 4.3	STEP 4.4		
1	Dismantling or processing plant and structures	R 16.59	0.00	m3	1.00	1.00	R 0.00	
2(A)	Demolition or steel buildings and structures	R 231.09	0.00	m2	1.00	1.00	R 0.00	
2(B)	Demonstron or reinforced concrete buildings and structures	R 340.55	0.00	m2	1.00	1.00	R 0.00	
3	Renabilitation of access roads	R 41.35	850.00	m2	1.00	1.00	R 35 147.50	
4(A)	Demolition and rehabilitation of electrified railway lines	R 401.36	0.00	m	1.00	1.00	R 0.00	
4(B)	Demolition and rehabilitation of non-electrified railway lines	R 218.92	0.00	m	1.00	1.00	R 0.00	
	Demolition of housing and facilities	R 462.17	0.00	m2	1.00	1.00	R 0.00	
6	Opencast rehabilitation including final voids and ramps	R 235 221.83	0.00	ha	1.00	1.00	R 0.00	
7	Sealing of shafts, adits and inclines	R 124.06	0.00	m3	1.00	1.00	R 0.00	
8(A)	Rehabilitation of overburden and spoils	R 161 517.37	0.00	ha	1.00	1.00	R 0.00	
8(B)	Relabilitation of processing waste deposits and evaporation points (basic, salt-producing waste)	R 201 116.96	0.00	ha	1.00	1.00	R 0.00	
8(C)	metal-rich waste)	R 584 284.21	0.00	ha	1.00	1.00	R 0.00	
э	Rehabilitation of subsided areas	R 135 246.47	0.00	ha	1.00	1.00	R 0.00	
10	General surface rehabilitation, including grassing of denuded areas	R 127 949.00	1.26	ha	1.00	1.00	R 161 215.74	
11	River diversions	R 127 949.00	0.00	ha	1.00	1.00	R 0.00	
12	Fencing	R 145.35	0.00	m	1.00	1.00	R 0.00	
13	Water management (Separating clean and dirty water, managing polluted water and managing the impact on groundwater, including treatment, when	R 48 649.81	0.00	ha	1.00	1.00	R 0.00	
14	2 to 3 years of maintenance and after care	R 17 027.43	1.26	ha	1.00	1.00	R 21454.56	
15	Specialist study	R 45 000.00	1.00	report	1.00	1.00	R 45 000.00	
Subtotal (1 to 15 abore)							R 262 817.80	
Subtotal 1 Veighting Factor 2 1							R 262 817.80	
1	Preliminary and General 62 of Subtotal 1 if less than R100mil 62 of Sub Total 1 if more than R100mil					R 31 538.14		
2	Contingence 107 of Sub Total 1 if more than Ribbini					B 26 281 78		
Subtotal 2 (Subtotal 1 plus sum of management and contingency)							R 57 819.92	
Subtotal 3							R 320 637.72	
			GRAN	о тоти	L (Subtotal 3	oles (52 VAT)	D 368 733 38	

Confirm that the financial provision will be provided as determined.

The applicant hereby commits to undertaking to provide the calculated amount in the form of either method provided in section 53 of the MPRD Regulations and the financial provisioning regulations, 2015 Published under Government Notice R1147 (GN R. 39425 of 2015). It should however be noted that no new guideline for determining the quantum for closure and rehabilitation has been published and therefore the guideline published under the MPRDA regulation was used to assess the quantum for closure liability.



4.7 MECHANISMS FOR MONITORING COMPLIANCE WITH AND PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT AGAINST THE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PROGRAMME AND REPORTING THEREON, INCLUDING –

- Monitoring of Impact Management Actions
- Monitoring and reporting frequency (Table 4-3).
- Responsible persons (Table 4-3).
- Time period for implementing impact management actions (Table 4-3).
- Mechanism for monitoring compliance (Table 4-3).

Table 4-3: Monitoring compliance

SOURCE ACTIVITY	IMPACTS REQUIRING MONITORING PROGRAMMES	FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS FOR MONITORING	ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES (FOR THE EXECUTION OF THE MONITORING PROGRAMMES)	MONITORING AND REPORTING FREQUENCY and TIME PERIODS FOR IMPLEMENTING IMPACT MANAGEMENT ACTIONS
Drill site establishment, moving and rehabilitation	 Disturbance of vegetation, Degradation and destruction of sensitive biodiversity. Suitable habitat for the globally threatened red data avifaunal species. Contamination of ground and surface water. Disturbance of heritage Resources. Land use conflicts Noise and dust generation Rehabilitation sustainability. 	 Pre-site establishment, with no go areas and approval by EO and avifaunal specialist. Pre-site establishment risk Assessment. Pre-site establishment risk assessment. Complaint register. Rehabilitation closure report. 	 Project environmental officer. Site manager. Project environmental officer. Project environmental officer. 	 Prior to site establishment. (once off) During operations and closure. (bi-monthly) Prior to site establishment. Prior to site establishment. (once off) During operations and closure. (continuous) Post closure.
Entire operational site	All activities and impacts identified.	Auditing all site activities in compliance with the management commitments.	Project environmental officer.	During life of project. (monthly)

4.7.1 Indicate the frequency of the submission of the performance assessment/ environmental audit report.

A performance assessment / Environmental audit will be undertaken as stipulated in the Environmental Authorisation OR once in Phase 2 and in rehabilitation, which should include the assessment of the financial provision. The performance assessment will be conducted by an external consultant throughout the life of prospecting as required under NEMA. This is conducted to assess the adequacy and compliance to the EMP, EA and the relevant legislation. The reports should be submitted to the DMR.



4.8 ENVIRONMENTAL AWARENESS PLAN

4.8.1 Manner in which applicant intends to inform his / her employees of any environmental risk which may result from their work.

An environmental awareness training manual will be developed for the prospecting project.

All employees must be provided with environmental awareness training to inform them of any environmental risks that may result from their work and of the manner in which the risks must be dealt with to avoid pollution or the degradation of the environment.

Employees should be provided with environmental awareness training before operations start. All new employees should be provided with environmental awareness training. Environmental awareness and training is an important aspect of the implementation of the EMP. The onus is on the different parties involved in the various stages of the life cycle of the project to be environmentally conscious. Hence, it is suggested that all members of the project team are familiar with the findings of the site-specific EA report and the EMPr. For instance, the contractor is responsible for the lack of environmental knowledge of his/her crew members. The contractor could forward internal environmental awareness and training procedures to the project manager and environmental officer for comment prior to the commencement of the project. Likewise, the above is applicable to the programming, design, operations and maintenance, and decommissioning teams. Environmental awareness ensures that environmental accidents are minimized and environmental compliance maximized.

All staff and contractors will be submitted to an annual training / awareness course as to inform the staff of any environmental risks which may result from their work and the manner in which the risks must be dealt with in order to avoid pollution or the degradation of the environment.

Section 39 (3) (c) requires that an applicant who prepares an Environmental Management Programme or Environmental Management Plan must "develop an environmental awareness plan describing the manner in which the applicant intends to inform his or her employees of any environmental risks which may result from the work and the manner in which the risks must be dealt with in order to avoid pollution and degradation of the environment". Environmental Awareness is required not only for management and employees (as described in Section 39 (3) (c) but also for visitors to the site. the following strategies and plans will be put into place for each of the parties.

Visitor Environmental Awareness

Visitor / sub-contractor environmental awareness will be generated through the provision of a signboard describing very briefly the environmental considerations applicable to them. The signboard should contain the following information:

- Statement of the applicant's commitment to environmental principles;
- List of the "rules" to which the visitor must abide. This will include:
 - No littering. Dispose of all waste in the bins provided;
 - \circ No fires;
 - Stay on demarcated roadways and paths only;
 - \circ Kindly report any environmental infringements they may notice; and
 - Check your vehicle/equipment for diesel/oil leaks.

Senior and Middle Management Environmental Awareness:

Achieving environmental awareness at upper levels of management is slightly different from the process at the operational level. There is often a fair level of the general value of environmental awareness but site-specific issues will most often need to be communicated. This will be achieved by:

Management must make themselves fully familiar with the EMPr;

REPORT REF: 21-1441-AUTH (NTM Rietfontein 10600 PR) Basic Assessment Report



- Ensuring that there is a spare copy of the approved EMPr at his/her disposal; management is encouraged to make notes in the document regarding the difficulty / ease of implementing the environmental management measures. These notes should be sent to the consultants to assist in future revisions of the EMPr;
- The manager must ensure that the operators perform regular monitoring of their workstations / areas.

During the management's execution of their activities/being at the site, the management must constantly be aware of and observant of especially the following:

- Dust levels movement outside of demarcated areas;
- Litter management general housekeeping;
- Erosion during rainy season.

Topsoil management - fuel / oil management/leaks/changes;

- Success of operational re-vegetation; and
- Alien vegetation.

Operator / Workforce Environmental Awareness:

Achieving environmental awareness amongst the operators and labour is probably the most important because they are usually present at the place where most environmental transgressions take place or in fact cause them. It is the aim of increased environmental awareness to reduce any such environmental transgressions.

Increasing environmental awareness at these levels can be achieved through the following strategies:

- Induction environmental training must take place prior to any contract period.
- Training: Each and every employee (contractor or not) must go through an environmental training process where at least the following items area covered:
 - The oil/fuel management policy must be explained to the employees. The reason for the policy must also be explained (i.e. to not impact on groundwater, surface water, soil quality etc.);
 - o The domestic and industrial waste management policy & method must also form part of the training;
 - The topsoil handling method and the reasons for preserving topsoil (i.e. post prospecting re vegetation, erosion prevention etc.);
 - o Alien vegetation management: How to recognize and remove such species;
 - Protection of the natural veld by not driving/manoeuvring or walking through the demarcated protection areas. Reporting that demarcation posts/tape is broken or removed;

Emergency management procedures such as dealing with oil spills or fires must also be drilled; and

• Such training will, in this case, be carried out by the site manager/resident engineer.

4.8.2 Manner in which risks will be dealt with in order to avoid pollution or the degradation of the environment.

Training, as detailed above, will address the specific measures and actions as listed in the EMPr and also conditions of the EA. In this way the team will be provided the knowledge required to conduct the mining activities without resulting in environmental non-compliance, the liability of which would lie with the applicant. Secondly, informing the team of the EMPr will also assist the team in identifying if an impact is likely to occur / has occurred and communicate this appropriately to the Environmental Manager.

In order for appropriate action to be taken, proper communications network and reporting protocol must be established, with the team and the site manager reporting all environmental issues to the Environmental Manager and then all social issues to the General Manager.



4.8.3 Specific information required by the Competent Authority

The following specific information will be required by the competent authority:

• The financial provision will be reviewed annually.



UNDERTAKING

The EAP herewith confirms

- c. the correctness of the information provided in the reports
- d. the inclusion of comments and inputs from stakeholders and I&APs ;
- e. the inclusion of inputs and recommendations from the specialist reports where relevant; and
- **f.** that the information provided by the EAP to interested and affected parties and any responses by the EAP to comments or inputs made by interested and affected parties are correctly reflected herein.

Signature of the Environmental Assessment Practitioner:

Eco Elementum Pty Ltd

Name of Company:

July 2021

Date:

-END-



APPENDIX A: EAP CV



APPENDIX B: PUBLIC PARTICIPATION REPORT



APPENDIX C: CONCEPTUAL LAYOUT MAPS



APPENDIX D: SPECIALIST STUDIES



APPENDIX E: SCREENING TOOL REPORT