

**CHANCE FIND PROCEDURE FOR CONSTRUCTION OF ±
100M ACCESS ROAD TO DAM SWITCHING STATION
PROJECT NEAR THE VAALKOP DAM IN THE MADIBENG
LOCAL MUNICIPALITY IN THE NORTH WEST PROVINCE
OF SOUTH AFRICA**

OCTOBER 2020

CHANCE FIND PROCEDURE

INTRODUCTION

An Archaeological Chance Find Procedure (CFP) is a tool for the protection of previously unidentified cultural heritage resources during mining and construction. The main purpose of a CFP is to raise awareness of all construction workers and management on site regarding the potential for accidental discovery of cultural heritage resources and establish a procedure for the protection of these resources. Chance Finds are defined as potential cultural heritage (or paleontological) objects, features, or sites that are identified outside of or after Heritage Impact studies, normally as a result of construction monitoring. Chance Finds may be made by any member of the project team who may not necessarily be an archaeologist or even visitors. Appropriate application of a CFP on development projects has led to discovery of cultural heritage resources that were not identified during archaeological and heritage impact assessments. As such, it is considered to be a valuable instrument when properly implemented. For the CFP to be effective, the site manager must ensure that all personnel on the proposed development site understand the CFP and the importance of adhering to it if cultural heritage resources are encountered. In addition, training or induction on cultural heritage resources that might potentially be found on site should be provided. In short, the Chance find procedure details the necessary steps to be taken if any culturally significant artefacts are found during construction.

DEFINITIONS

In short, the term 'heritage resource' includes structures, archaeology, meteors, and public monuments as defined in the South African National Heritage Resources Act (Act No. 25 of 1999) (NHRA) Sections 34, 35, and 37. This also includes procedures for burial grounds and graves (BGG) as defined under NHRA Section 36.

BACKGROUND

Proposed construction of access road to the New Dam switch station is subject to heritage survey and assessment at planning stage in accordance with the NHRA. These surveys are based on surface indications alone and it is therefore possible that sites or significant archaeological remains can be missed during surveys because they occur beneath the surface or are concealed by dense vegetation cover as is the case with the graves identified in the vicinity of the access road servitude. These are often accidentally exposed in the course of construction work and hence the need for a Chance Find Procedure to deal with accidental finds. In this case an extensive Archaeological Impact Assessment was completed by Pistorius in (2013) over a large area earmarked for the proposed development. The AIA/HIA conducted

was very comprehensive covering the entire site. The studies did not record any significant archaeological or graves.

PURPOSE

The purpose of this Chance Find Procedure is to ensure the protection of previously unrecorded heritage resources along the Dam switching Station access road. This Chance Find Procedure intends to provide the Eskom and contractors with appropriate response in accordance with the NHRA and international best practice. The aim of this CFP is to avoid or reduce project risks that may occur as a result of accidental finds whilst considering international best practice. In addition, this document seeks to address the probability of archaeological remains and graves becoming accidentally exposed during earth moving and ground altering activities during construction. The ongoing construction activities have the potential to cause severe impacts on significant tangible and intangible cultural heritage resources buried beneath the surface or concealed by dense vegetation cover such as the graves in question. MuTingati Heritage Consultants developed this Chance Find Procedure to define the process which govern the management of Chance Finds during construction. This ensures that appropriate treatment of chance finds while also minimizing disruption of the construction schedule. It also enables compliance with the NHRA and in this case Section 36 of the NHRA and all relevant legislations and regulations pertaining to graves found in the context development. Archaeological Chance Find Procedures are to promote preservation of archaeological remains while minimizing disruption of construction scheduling. It is recommended that due to the low to moderate archaeological potential of the project area, all site personnel and contractors be informed of the Archaeological Chance Find procedure and have access to a copy while on site. This document has been prepared to define the avoidance, minimization and mitigation measures necessary to ensure that negative impacts to known and unknown archaeological remains as a result of project activities and are prevented or where this is not possible, reduced to as low as reasonably practical during construction.

Thus, this Chance Finds Procedure covers the actions to be taken from the discovering of a heritage site or item to its investigation and assessment by a professional archaeologist or other appropriately qualified person to its rescue or salvage.

CHANCE FIND PROCEDURE

General

The following procedure is to be executed in the event that archaeological materials or human graves discovered during construction.

- All construction/clearance/ in the vicinity of the accidental find/feature/site must cease immediately to avoid further damage to the find site.
- Briefly note the type of archaeological materials you think you've encountered, and their location, including, if possible, the depth below surface of the find
- Report your discovery to your supervisor or if they are unavailable, report to the project ECO who will provide further instructions.
- If the supervisor is not available, notify the Environmental Control Officer immediately. The Environmental Control Officer will then report the find to the Site Manager who will promptly notify the project archaeologist and SAHRA.
- Delineate the discovered find/ feature/ site and provide 25m buffer zone from all sides of the find.
- Record the find GPS location, if able.
- All remains are to be stabilised *in situ*.
- Secure the area to prevent any damage or loss of removable objects.
- Photograph the exposed materials, preferably with a scale (a yellow plastic field binder will suffice).
- The project archaeologist will undertake the inspection process in accordance with all project health and safety protocols under direction of the Health and Safety Officer.
- **Finds rescue strategy:** All investigation of archaeological soils will be undertaken by hand, all finds, remains and samples will be kept and submitted to a Museum as required by the heritage legislation. In the event that any artefacts need to be conserved, the relevant permit will be sought from the SAHRA.
- An on-site office and finds storage area will be provided, allowing storage of any artefacts or other archaeological material recovered during the monitoring process.
- In the case of human remains, in addition to the above, the SAHRA Burial Ground Unit will be contacted and the guidelines for the treatment of human remains will be adhered to. If skeletal remains are identified, an archaeological will be available to examine the remains.
- The project archaeologist will complete a report on the findings as part of the permit application process.
- Once authorisation has been given by SAHRA, the contractor will be informed when construction activities can resume.

MANAGEMENT OF CHANCE FINDS

Should the Heritage specialist conclude that the find is a heritage resource protected in terms of the NRHA (1999) Sections 34, 36, 37 and NHRA (1999) Regulations (Regulation 38, 39, 40), MuTingati Heritage Consultants (Pty) Ltd will notify SAHRA and/or PHRA on behalf of Eskom SAHRA/PHRA may require that a search and rescue exercise be conducted in terms of NHRA Section 38, this may include rescue excavations, for which MuTingati Heritage Consultants (will submit a rescue permit application having fulfilled all requirements of the permit application process.

In the event that human remains are accidentally exposed, SAHRA Burial Ground Unit or MuTingati Heritage Specialist must immediately be notified of the discovery in order to take the required further steps:

- a. Heritage Specialist to inspect, evaluate and document the exposed burial or skeletal remains and determine further action in consultation with the SAPS and Traditional authorities:
- b. Heritage specialist will investigate the age of the accidental exposure in order to determine whether the find is a burial older than 60 years under the jurisdiction of SAHRA or that the exposed burial is younger than 60 years under the jurisdiction of the Department of Health in terms of the Human Tissue Act.
- c. The local SAPS will be notified to inspect the accidental exposure in order to determine where the site is a scene of crime or not.
- d. Having inspected and evaluated the accidental exposure of human remains, the project Archaeologist will then track and consult the potential descendants or custodians of the affected burial.
- e. The project archaeologist will consult with the traditional authorities, local municipality and SAPS to seek endorsement for the rescue of the remains. Consultation must be done in terms of NHRA (1999) Regulations 39, 40, 42;
- f. Having obtained consent from affected families and stakeholders, the project archaeologist will then compile a Rescue Permit application and submit to SAHRA Burial Ground and Graves Unit.

- g. As soon as the project archaeologist receives the rescue permit from SAHRA he will in collaboration with the company/contractor arrange for the relocation in terms of logistics and appointing of an experienced undertaker to conduct the relocation process.
- h. The rescue process will be done under the supervision of the archaeologist, the site representative and affected family members. Retrieval of the remains shall be undertaken in such a manner as to reveal the stratigraphic and spatial relationship of the human skeletal remains with other archaeological features in the excavation (e.g., grave goods, hearths, burial pits, etc.). A catalogue and bagging system shall be utilised that will allow ready reassembly and relational analysis of all elements in a laboratory. The remains will not be touched with the naked hand; all Contractor personnel working on the excavation must wear clean cotton or non-powdered latex gloves when handling remains in order to minimise contamination of the remains with modern human DNA. The project archaeologist will document the process from exhumation to reburial.
- i. Having fulfilled the requirements of the rescue/burial permit, the project archaeologist will compile a mitigation report which details the whole process from discovery to relocation. The report will be submitted to SAHRA and to the company.

Note that the relocation process will be informed by SAHRA Regulations and the wishes of the descendants of the affected burial.

REFERENCES

Australia Icomos. 1999. The Burra Charter: The Australia ICOMOS charter for places of cultural significance. Burwood.

Bickford, A and Sullivan, S. 1977. "Assessing the research significance of historic sites" in S Sullivan and s. Bowdler (eds), Site Surveys and Significance assessment in Australian Archaeology. Canberra: ANU.

Brothwell, D.R. 1981. Digging up Bones: The excavation, treatment, and study of human skeletal remains. UK: OUP.

Burke, H. And Smith, C. 2004. The archaeologist's field handbook. Allen and Unwin. Australia.

Hammond-Tooke, D.1993. The roots of Black South Africa. Johannesburg: Jonathan Ball Publishers.

Human Tissue Act, 1983 (Act No.65 of 1983)

- Miller, D., and Rivera, J. 2006. Hallowed ground, place, and culture: The cemetery and the creation of place. *Space and Culture*, 9(4), pp.334-350.
- Mhlongo, M. 2017. An exploration of alternative burial methods and options: the challenges of identifying new cemetery land in Richards Bay. PhD Thesis. University of KwaZulu-Natal.
- Moreosele, N. Hlatshwayo, R., Majavu, A., Masuku, S., Seleka, N. 2011. Looking for new ways to bury the dead: South Africa's nine provinces are running out of burial land. *Sowetan Live*, [Online] pp.1-3 Available at: <https://www.sowetanlive.co.za/news/2011-02-28-looking-for-new-ways-to-bury-the-dead/> [Accessed: 6 October 2020].
- Murray, L. 2003. Modern innovations? Ideal vs. reality in colonial cemeteries of nineteenth-century New South Wales. *Mortality*, 8(2), pp.129-143.
- Murimbika M. & Huffman, TN. 2003 (December Issue). Shona ethnography and Late Iron Age Burials *Journal of African Studies*, Vol.1 (2): 237-246.
- National Heritage Resources Act (No. 25 of 1999).
- National Heritage Resources Act (No 25 of 1999). 2002. Regulations.
- Ngongo, N. 2005. Cemeteries Crisis, Burial Alternatives and African Urban Communities: A Case Study of eThekweni Unicity. Master's Thesis. University of KwaZulu-Natal.
- Ngubane, S. 2004. Traditional practices on burial systems with special reference to the Zulu people of South Africa. *Indilinga African Journal of Indigenous Knowledge Systems*, 3(2), pp.171-177.
- Pistorius, J C C. 2013. A Phase 1 Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) Study for Eskom's proposed Dam Switching Station project near the Vaalkop Dam in the Rustenburg and Madibeng Local Municipalities in the North West Province of South Africa
- SAHRA. 2002. General Introduction to surveys, impact assessments and management plans.
- SAHRA. 2002. General guidelines to Archaeological Permitting Policy.
- SAHRA, Burial sites, <Http://www.sahra.org.za/burial.htm>, Accessed, 07 October 2020
- SAHRA. What to do when Graves are uncovered accidentally.